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ES.1 PURPOSE

The Advancing Adams Transportation Master Plan serves as the guiding document for changes to the mobility network in Adams County through 2040. The Transportation Master Plan represents a nearly two year long effort to assess existing conditions of the transportation network, engage key local, regional, and state officials to understand the future mobility needs of Adams County, and to understand how community members seek to connect with key destinations around Adams County and the Front Range.

The Transportation Master Plan identifies a long-term vision for transportation looking into the future, including a roadmap of short-term investments to accomplish this goal. These investments include infrastructure, policies and programs that will ensure an efficient and connected transportation network, that accommodates the population and employment growth. This plan makes recommendations that will improve the experience for people walking, biking, taking transit, and driving in the near term and as Adams County grows.

ES.2 VALUE LENSES

Advancing Adams is framed by the three lenses of Equity, Sustainability, and Livability. Each of these lenses was integrated into every step of the planning process. Throughout the analysis of existing conditions, development of future scenarios, and creation of goals and policies for the community to implement, the lenses served as the backbone of the plan.

ES.2.1 DESCRIPTIONS OF EACH LENS

Equity: The vibrance and strength of a community emerges from diversity and equity. Equity can be measured through distribution of resources, affordability and access to services and experiences, as well as balancing land uses with environmental justice. Adams County will celebrate and leverage a diverse community through equitable land planning as the region continues to grow in population and various ethnicities and identities.

Sustainability: By committing to build smarter and retrofitting existing development to include new technologies and efficiency, all while embracing a holistic and metrics-based approach, sustainability will be part of Adams County’s identity.

Livability: Adams County has numerous multimodal and walkable districts that support a human-scaled, comfortable and memorable experience. This plan will help to further enhance livability through the thoughtful integration of artful placemaking strategies and urban design best practices that celebrate
the culture of Adams County and further contribute to livability.

**ES.3 BIG IDEAS**

The Transportation Master Plan seeks to address the mobility needs of Adams County today as well as the transportation demand that will be generated in the future with the population growth and economic development patterns that are forecasted for the County. Additionally, the Transportation Master Plan explores the role Adams County plays in fostering greater regional connectivity and highlights opportunities for strengthening connections not just within the County but with neighboring jurisdictions as well. The following section summarizes the big ideas that were identified by transportation mode for achieving the
Advancing Adams goals and meeting the mobility needs of today and tomorrow.

**ES.3.1 FIVE CORRIDORS**

To highlight specific opportunities for focused improvements in key areas, Advancing Adams selected five strategic corridors that were analyzed in greater detail as a part of the planning process—Federal Boulevard, Washington Street, Pecos Street, 104th Avenue, and 120th Avenue (Map ES.1). The Advancing Adams Existing Conditions and Opportunities Report in Appendix A profiles the current state of the corridors and provides opportunities for modifying the corridors to promote multimodal travel. The Transportation Master Plan describes the transportation infrastructure (transit, bicycle, pedestrian, and vehicular) that exists on each corridor and shares opportunities for transportation improvements that would both enhance mobility and complement concepts put forward in the Comprehensive and Parks, Open Space and Trails Plans.

The Advancing Adams team considered a range of transportation opportunities for each corridor including road diets (the reallocation of vehicle travel lanes to other uses such as enhanced bicycle or pedestrian facilities), enhancing facilities for those walking or rolling, and leveraging new technologies for forming new connections or operating existing facilities with greater efficiency. Chapter 2 describes opportunities for each corridor in depth, from enhanced transit services on Federal Boulevard to a road diet for Washington Avenue where the County can take advantage of relatively low traffic volumes on certain stretches to reallocate how right of way is currently distributed and reshape the corridor to offer residents and visitors more travel choice.

**ES.3.2 FUTURE OPPORTUNITIES BY TRANSPORTATION MODE**

The Transportation Master Plan envisions a multimodal future for Adams County. The Plan organizes that future vision by focusing on each part individually through a roadway plan, identification of transit network opportunities, bicycle plan, and pedestrian enhancement program.

**ES.3.2.1 ROADWAY PLAN**

As Adams County grows, there will be a need to reevaluate existing roadway standards and to begin aligning roadway cross sections with new travel patterns. In the interim, Adams County can accommodate growth by upgrading arterials in the eastern portion of the County, as noted in this plan. In areas that are already urbanized or are poised for growth in the short-term, the County should pursue road diets that will open opportunities for residents and visitors to travel by their preferred mode.
MAP ES.2: PROPOSED ROADWAY PLAN
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Chapter 4 discusses the key opportunities for improving connectivity for pedestrians on the network of sidewalks, shared use paths and trails, and roadway crossings in the County. Some of the key issues that emerged during the Transportation Master Plan process include sidewalk gaps on key corridors and lack of comfortable pedestrian infrastructure serving certain transit stops. Advancing Adams outlines a strategy for completing the County’s pedestrian network by completing gaps in key areas, rehabilitating sidewalks that are damaged or substandard, rebuilding curb ramps, implementing enhanced crossings, and considering connectivity between the sidewalk and trail network for all users.

To accomplish this, the Transportation Master Plan provides a sidewalk upgrade prioritization that identifies high, medium, and low priority corridors based on a variety of factors including transit access, proximity of parks and open space, and frequency of crashes involving bicyclists and pedestrians. The map of pedestrian priority areas is shown in Map 4.1.

Chapter 4 also details opportunities to provide more safe and comfortable pedestrian crossings in Adams County. Specifically, this chapter highlights opportunities for the two types of crossings—controlled crossings and uncontrolled crossings. A controlled
MAP ES.3: PRIORITIZATION OF MISSING SIDEWALK GAPS
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Officials (AASHTO) and the National Association of City Transportation Officials (NACTO).

The proposed bicycle facilities in Advancing Adams will increase connectivity of the network and support biking for transportation as well as recreation. In order to create a more connected, gridded network and support access to additional destinations, an emphasis was placed on identifying low stress connections to existing and proposed trails. Chapter 5 also includes a focus on continuing existing and planned bicycle facilities from neighboring and incorporated jurisdictions into Adams County in order to create a seamless experience for the user. Development of the proposed bicycle network was also coordinated closely with the Preferred Land Use Plan, in order to create comfortable connections for people biking to existing and planned key destinations.

The future bicycle network is shown in Map 5.1. The development of this network builds off the Adams County 2012 Transportation Master Plan, DRCOG priority bicycle corridors, the existing and proposed bicycle facilities in neighboring jurisdictions, gaps in the existing bicycle network, access to key destinations, and public input. The network categorizes all proposed facilities as on- or off-street. There are a spectrum of different types of on-street bicycle facilities and spectrum of off-street facility types. This plan does not recommend a specific facility type, understanding that additional

An uncontrolled crossing is a crosswalk where vehicle traffic is not controlled by a stop sign or traffic signal. Uncontrolled crossings are typically located on local roadways where vehicle volumes and speeds are relatively low. Creating safe and appropriately spaced roadway crossings is an important component of a complete pedestrian network.

Chapter 4 discusses the proactive and reactive approaches to forming a comprehensive pedestrian crosswalk safety strategy for all users, including equestrian users.

ES.3.2.3 BICYCLE NETWORK

While there are already 31 miles of bike lanes as well as shared use paths for recreation and transportation, given the size of Adams County, there are still many opportunities to expand the network and address barriers to bicycling. Chapter 5 of the Transportation Master Plan outlines a future bicycle network that addresses the challenges for bicyclists identified during community outreach. The bicycle facilities recommended in the Transportation Master Plan are based on national best practices including standards and guidelines set by the American Association of State Highway and Transportation Officials (AASHTO) and the National Association of City Transportation Officials (NACTO).
analysis of curb-to-curb width, right-of-way, and costs will need to be considered to identify a specific facility type. Chapter 5 includes a glossary of bicycle facility types the County may consider as it implements the Transportation Master Plan recommendations.

ES.3.2.4 TRANSIT NETWORK

Chapter 6 of the Transportation Master Plan summarizes future investments Adams County can make to improve the comfort, reliability, and convenience of taking transit within the County. The set of recommendations in Chapter 6 provides opportunities for Adams County to complement and supplement existing Regional Transportation District (RTD) service to improve the feasibility of taking transit for residents, employees, and visitors.

There are three primary challenges associated with transit service in Adams County today:

1. First and last mile gap – or barriers to accessing transit service
2. No transit service in the eastern portion of the County
3. Insufficient transit service in other portions of the County

To address these challenges, the County can pursue infrastructure improvements that enhance the pedestrian and bicyclist experience at transit stops, explore more opportunities for Transit Oriented Development and Mobility Hubs that provide walkable environments, and implement countywide Transportation Demand Management strategies that encourage replacing driving trips with taking transit.

Additionally, Chapter 6 outlines an opportunity for the County to provide supplemental transit service by offering a shuttle system that connects portions of the County with limited or no existing transit service into the RTD network. The service concept for the potential supplemental shuttle system is shown in Figure ES.1.
ES.3.3 FUTURE MOBILITY

Advancing Adams was developed at a time when technology is rapidly changing how people connect to transportation services. Chapter 7 of the Transportation Master Plan describes the emerging technologies that are reshaping transportation. These include Mobility as a Service (the shift away from privately owned automobiles and toward transportation that is offered as a family of services that can be accessed through a single platform), Transportation Demand Management solutions that increase the ease of locating and riding transit, promoting shared mobility options that allow users to rent cars, bicycles, and scooters, policy tools that proactively plan for autonomous vehicles, opportunities for expanding electric vehicle chargers, and mobility hubs that allow for easy connections between transit and other mobility services.

To track implementation of the Transportation Master Plan, Chapter 8 also includes a set of performance measures and associated metrics the County can use throughout the life of the plan to understand whether the Adams County transportation network is achieving the Advancing Adams goals (Table 1).

The Transportation Master Plan concludes with a funding guide that describes the key sources of federal, state, regional, and local funding options the County can pursue in order to implement the plan recommendations. As Adams County grows and transportation demand shifts, the County should routinely revisit the plan to ensure it remains relevant and answers community mobility needs.

ES.4 IMPLEMENTING THE TRANSPORTATION MASTER PLAN

Chapter 8 details the method used for prioritizing project in the Transportation Master Plan to ensure the County implements infrastructure treatments in a manner that answers the needs and helps achieve the goals established for Advancing Adams. In addition to the prioritized list of projects, Chapter 8 discusses implementation tools and the key partnerships the County should explore for any initiatives requiring interagency collaboration.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>TOPIC</th>
<th>PERFORMANCE MEASURE</th>
<th>METRIC</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Safety</td>
<td>Reduce the number of fatal and severe injury collisions</td>
<td>Number of crashes year over year</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Reduce the number of bicycle/pedestrian-related collisions</td>
<td>Number of crashes year over year</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Reduce the annual crash rate (number of crashes/volume or vehicle miles traveled) on key corridors or County-wide</td>
<td>Crashes per 1,000 vehicles year over year (use the same corridors each year)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Implement low stress, connected bicycle facilities</td>
<td>Miles of bicycle facilities implemented, per Chapter 5 bicycle network</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Complete sidewalk gaps and ensure pedestrian facilities are ADA compliant</td>
<td>Miles of sidewalk gaps filled, per Chapter 4 sidewalk prioritization</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Employee and resident participation in Transportation Demand Management programs/strategies</td>
<td>Reporting through program participants</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Increase awareness of the availability and benefits of alternative transportation options (walking, biking, transit)</td>
<td>Mode split (through American Community Survey, local survey data, or DRCOG Focus Model)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Prioritize first and last mile connections to commuter rail stations</td>
<td>Miles of bicycle and pedestrian facilities implemented within a 1-mile buffer of stations</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Provide transportation options where the older population can age in place, when driving is no longer an option</td>
<td>New transit or human service provider options implemented</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Implement bicycle and pedestrian facilities that connect to trails and trailheads</td>
<td>Miles of bicycle and pedestrian facilities implemented within a 1/2-mile buffer of trail access point</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Miles of priority rural roadways paved (as classified by prioritization system)</td>
<td>Miles of bicycle and pedestrian facilities implemented within a 1/2-mile buffer of trail access point</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Implement the prioritization system for paving rural roadways that reflects a balance of access and maintenance costs</td>
<td>Number of times rural road prioritization process applied</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TOPIC</td>
<td>PERFORMANCE MEASURE</td>
<td>METRIC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>----------------------------</td>
<td>--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sustainability</td>
<td>Reduce vehicle miles traveled (VMT) per capita</td>
<td>VMT per capita of unincorporated population per DRCOG Focus Model outputs</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Reduce single occupancy vehicle mode split</td>
<td>Mode split (through American Community Survey, local survey data, or DRCOG Focus Model)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Align transportation and land use</td>
<td>Increase density and mix-uses along transit corridors</td>
<td>Per success of Comprehensive Plan implementation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Implement planned Transit Oriented Developments</td>
<td>Per success of Comprehensive Plan implementation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Continue to identify policy, regulations and locations that support the transit center concept and TODs</td>
<td>Per success of Comprehensive Plan implementation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Regional connectivity</td>
<td>Leverage partnerships with local jurisdictions and neighboring communities to implement projects that cross boundaries and create a consistent experience for users</td>
<td>Number of collaborative cross-boundary efforts</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Freight</td>
<td>Plan for an intermodal freight hub</td>
<td>Tracking of establishment data--employment data collected by NAICS code</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Travel reliability</td>
<td>Travel time along major corridors in both the peak and non-peak hours remains consistent each year</td>
<td>Using BlueToad, Streetlight or Inrix data, compare minutes/mile along the same key corridors each year</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Equity</td>
<td>Ensure investments are made in areas of the County with more vulnerable populations</td>
<td>Number of investments in CDC High Vulnerability census tracts (.75-1) (See Comprehensive Plan Existing Conditions and Opportunities Report (Phase 1) Map 6)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Innovation</td>
<td>Implement partnership, technology or policy that leverages innovation to improve mobility</td>
<td>Number of new partnerships, technologies or policies</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Conduct temporary pilot projects that test out new technologies and providers</td>
<td>Number of pilot projects</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Identify innovative opportunities through this Plan (e.g., signage, ITS, counts, signalization, Big Data)</td>
<td>Number of new innovative opportunities having seen progress</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
CHAPTER 1

INTRODUCTION
1.1 THREE PLANS AT ONCE

Advancing Adams was the process used to prepare three plans simultaneously to guide Adams County in future decision making. In addition to the Transportation Master Plan, Adams County concurrently conducted a planning process for the Comprehensive Plan, and the Parks, Open Space, and Trails (POST) Plan. Combined, these three plans will help guide decision making related to land use, transportation, and parks, open space, and trails and help the county achieve a coordinated vision for the future. Each plan takes a holistic view of the entire county for a long-term vision that will direct planning for the next ten years and shapes the county beyond that. These three plans are created to support goals of a safer, resilient, more equitable, and more prosperous county by creating a legacy planning effort for future generations.

1.2 INTEGRATION BETWEEN THE THREE PLANS

The Comprehensive Plan is the foundational document for the larger family of Adams County plans. Taken holistically, the three plans examine opportunities for increasing sustainability and preservation, managing growth, and improving accessibility. The Transportation Master Plan and the Parks, Open Space, and Trails Plan, for instance, address accessibility by giving attention to off-street trails used primarily for recreation and connector paths that access parks and open space properties, and by focusing on land use connections throughout the county. The Comprehensive Plan and Parks, Open Space, and Trails Plan both address the role of land uses in rural preservation. The Comprehensive Plan identifies current conditions and areas of stability and change. Through this analysis, future land use maps were developed to help Adams County achieve its vision. In the process of developing these maps, it was crucial to coordinate efforts to ensure that rural lands are preserved and adjacent land uses are compatible.

1.3 VALUE LENSES

The three lenses of Equity, Sustainability, and Livability have been integrated into each step of the planning process. Throughout
analyzing the existing conditions, developing future scenarios, and creating goals and policies for the community to implement, the lenses were, and continue to be, key considerations.

1.3.1 DESCRIPTIONS OF EACH LENSE

**Equity**
The vibrance and strength of a community emerges from diversity and equity. Equity can be measured through the distribution of resources, access to those resources, and affordability and experiences. For the transportation network, equity means providing full community access so all users can enjoy opportunities to commute to work, travel to school, visit stores, and recreate. Removing financial and physical barriers, along with providing travel opportunities where they are currently lacking, are critical to make sure residents can access Adams County regardless of age, ethnicity, gender, income level, or ability.

**Sustainability**
Sustainability is a part of Adams County’s identity. Sustainability is recognized through the conservation and celebration of the qualities and characteristics that make the county unique, including natural resources, habitat, and riparian environments. For the mobility network, this means identifying opportunities to make travel more sustainable by promoting electric vehicles, shifting more trips to non-driving modes, and fostering walkable communities.

**Livability**
In western Adams County, there has been a recent expansion of the rail transit system and there have been commensurate shifts in development patterns that are resulting in mixed use, compact, and walkable neighborhoods where residents can more easily access various destinations. This trend is an example of how Adams County is focused on becoming a more livable area for residents and visitors.

1.4 PLAN PURPOSE

This Transportation Master Plan serves as an update to the Imagine Adams County Transportation Master Plan adopted in December 2012. That plan provided an update to the multimodal transportation plan of 1996, intended to continue guiding the implementation of transportation expansions and upgrades through the year 2035. The goals of the 2012 Plan were to:

- Coordinate with local and adjacent municipalities on local and regional transportation efforts
- Develop a prioritization process to guide implementation of transportation projects
• Pursue methods to finance transportation improvements by working with private developers and local municipalities to obtain regional, statewide, and national funding

• Coordinate locally, regionally, and with Regional Transportation District (RTD) to improve public transportation in Adams County

• Coordinate human services transportation so it is more efficient and affordable and provides countywide coverage for people with mobility challenges

• Coordinate county, city, and regional commuter and recreational bicycle and pedestrian travel through dedicated on and off-street facilities

• Establish and implement county design standards including “complete streets”

• Preserve the unique character of selected scenic roadways

• Coordinate land use and transportation

The mobility network and travel demand patterns in Adams County have changed since the 2012 plan; considering transportation needs today and to the future to 2040, Advancing Adams addresses short- and long-term needs for:

• Integration with the current and future land use for the county, by understanding the type and magnitude of travel demand

• Incorporation of evolving preferences of the community, including a desire for increased transportation options that include bicycling, walking, and riding transit

• Leveraging of new assets within the county such as a commuter rail and regional trails

• Adoption of policies and programs that leverage and plan for new technologies, so they can be implemented in a way that is in line with the county’s goal

• Developing a project prioritization methodology that reflects the community’s goals

• Successfully positioning the county for new and evolved funding sources

1.5 EXISTING CONDITIONS SUMMARY

The Phase 1 Existing Conditions report provides a full documentation of the existing transportation network in Adams County and highlights opportunities for strengthening connectivity throughout the county. During Phase 1 of the planning process, a set of challenge and opportunity areas were identified through an assessment of the Adams County roadway, transit, bicycle, and pedestrian networks. Considering
Adams County has just over half a million residents and nearly 140,000 commuters traveling into the county for work each day, the transportation network is a critical asset for accommodating the demands of a fast-growing population and economy.

The Phase 1 analysis resulted in the following key findings about the unique nature of mobility in Adams County:

**Driving**
The Adams County roadway network includes Adams County-owned roadways and CDOT-owned roadways, as well as paved and unpaved roadways. The roadway network provides a connected and efficient means of moving vehicles, including freight, to and through Adams County.

**Walking**
The pedestrian network in Adams County consists of sidewalks and crossings. Based on an inventory completed by the county, there are 407 miles of sidewalks in the unincorporated parts of county and about 13 miles of missing sidewalks.

**Bicycling**
The bicycle network in Adams County consists of on and off-street bicycle facilities. These facilities are primarily in the western portion of the county. There are 31 miles of bike lanes and shared use paths used for both recreation and transportation.

**Transit**
Transit in Adams County consists of local and regional buses, commuter rail, FlexRide (which is the RTD first and last mile service), paratransit and human service providers. Transit investment in Adams County has been high in recent years with the addition of the B and G rail lines as well as the recent opening of the N Line. These rail lines connect various parts of Adams County to downtown Denver, greatly improving access to jobs and other amenities. However, there is no fixed route service in the eastern portion of the county.

**Safety**
The majority of crashes in Adams County have historically occurred in the southwest portion of the county. The number of crashes resulting in severe injuries stayed close to the six-year average of 60 severe injuries per year, while the number of crashes resulting in deaths has steadily been increasing since 2013, with the exception of a decline in 2018.

**Parking**
Adams County has nearly 13 square miles of off-street surface parking, 97% of which have impervious surfacing. Recent studies of on and off-street parking revealed that the county generally has an excess of parking and opportunities to better match parking demand with supply.
Freight
Adams County has a high level of freight activity due to its proximity to Denver, presence of distribution and logistics centers, access to multiple interstate highways, and presence of freight railroad lines. I-270 is the main freight corridor, with trucks constituting 19% of vehicle traffic on average.

1.6 FIVE STRATEGIC CORRIDORS
As part of Advancing Adams, five strategic corridors were selected for deeper analysis and identification of opportunities for strengthening connectivity, developing a stronger tie between transportation and land use, and tying major travel corridors in with Adams County’s growing trails network. The five strategic corridors are:

1. Federal Boulevard
2. Washington Street
3. Pecos Street
4. 104th Avenue
5. 120th Avenue

Each corridor presents different challenges and opportunities; for example, where Federal Boulevard is currently auto-centric with limited pedestrian access, 120th Avenue maintains a rural parkway feel where travel by other modes can be challenging despite adjacent trail access. Chapter 2 provides an in-depth analysis of each corridor and a detailed set of recommendations for transforming the five corridors into multimodal assets that advance the Advancing Adams goals.

1.7 PLANNING PROCESS
The Transportation Master Plan was developed as a tool to guide how mobility can play a role in shaping the Adams County community. In alignment with the overall explorations and planning work, engagement for Advancing Adams was divided into two consecutive phases: Phase 1, Grounding and Phase 2, Plan for the Future. Each phase provided a distinct touchpoint to engage the community. During both engagement phases, community members were presented with information and questions relating to all three plans to ensure an integration of these conversations, preferences, and priorities as a vision for the future and proposed policies for achieving it are put forward. At the outset of Phase 1, the planning team introduced the community to the Advancing Adams planning process through an education campaign and a multi-pronged communication and outreach strategy. At project launch, a press release was distributed and published, introducing the planning process to communities across the county. Engagement mediums included a project website that served as an information hub about the three plans and a resource for sharing updates, engagement opportunities,
draft recommendations, and points of contact with the planning team.

Throughout both phases, the planning team utilized a variety of tools and media to keep community members informed and offer opportunities for direct engagement with the planning work. Taking place in 2020 and 2021, engagement was directly impacted by the COVID-19 pandemic and virtual engagement techniques were used to engage with community members. Activities like online surveys, virtual public workshops facilitated through Zoom, and live polling through online tools like Mentimeter ensured the team was hearing from a broad cross-section of residents despite limitations on face-to-face engagement. Early engagement of key stakeholders was also delivered virtually. For example, the Transportation Master Plan team led a virtual forecasting exercise with county staff to discuss the implications of COVID-19 on travel demand in Adams County.

In-person meetings and events were held during the second phase of the planning process to show community members initial recommendations and verify that the initial direction for Advancing Adams matched the vision of the community. Phase 2 also included a virtual public workshop in October 2021 where community members were presented with scenarios and preliminary recommendations, along with a summary of initial community feedback and insight collected from surveys and in-person engagement events. At this final workshop, community members were...

**Figure 1.1:** Example of a Mentimeter polling question on transportation

### What regional parks are a priority for transit accessibility?

- any park with sports
- willow bay open space
- prairie winds rec center
- recreation centers
- clear creek valley park
- rec centers
- riverdale regional park
- commerce city rec ctrs
- ken mitchell open space
- adco government center
- buffalo run rec center
- northwest open space
- eb rains jr memorial park
engaged in interactive polling to submit ideas, respond to questions and presented material, and confirm or suggest revisions to overall plan recommendations and priorities (Figure 1.1). A full profile of the community engagement activities that were conducted as part of the Advancing Adams process is included in the Comprehensive Plan.

1.8 MOBILITY RECOMMENDATIONS

Chapters 3, 4, 5, and 6 feature recommendations for future roadway, pedestrian, bicycle, and transit networks that are intended to cohesively provide a strong level of community connectivity throughout the county. Chapter 7 discusses how Adams County can leverage emerging technologies and trends in mobility to help make these visionary travel networks a reality.

1.8.1 ROADWAY NETWORK

The roadway plan in Chapter 3 focuses on ensuring that the portions of the county that are most likely to have higher levels of development per the Advancing Adams Comprehensive Plan are served by the roadway network and that areas of dense development with high transit access offer multimodal corridors that comfortably accommodate people driving, walking, bicycling, and riding transit (Figure 1.2). In addition, Chapter 3 highlights a framework Adams County can use moving forward to make decisions about how to prioritize the management and paving of gravel roads.

Figure 1.2: Adams County Proposed Roadway Network
1.8.2 PEDESTRIAN NETWORK

Chapter 4 discusses opportunities to strengthen pedestrian connectivity in Adams County by completing sidewalk gaps in key areas, rehabilitating damaged sidewalks, implementing enhanced pedestrian crossings, and fostering connectivity between the sidewalks and trails network. Recommendations for improving pedestrian facilities are prioritized based on a set of factors including proximity to transit, trails, open space, and key community destinations like grocery stores, as well as the crash history on the adjacent corridor. The prioritization is divided into three tiers to help Adams County assess which pedestrian facilities should be completed or upgraded in the near-term vs. long-term (Figure 1.3).

1.8.3 BICYCLE NETWORK

While Adams County has a growing network of bicycle lanes that are being implemented by incorporated cities, Chapter 5 lays out a vision for a fully connected network of on-street and off-street bicycle facilities that will serve bicycling trips throughout the County and will also connect directly with existing and planned facilities in communities that border Adams County.
networks into technology-driven public assets that efficiently move people and goods. Solutions like Mobility as a Service (Figure 1.5), shared mobility, electric vehicle infrastructure, autonomous and connected vehicles, and mobility hubs are all profiled as potential strategies for balancing the increasing travel demand in Adams County with limited right of way to ensure future travel demand is accommodated as efficiently as possible.

Chapter 6 addresses the three primary challenges associated with utilizing transit service in Adams County today: a lack of first and final mile access to transit stops in many portions of the County, a lack of transit service in eastern Adams County, and low service levels in central and western Adams County. To address these challenges, the Advancing Adams transit recommendations call for countywide Transportation Demand Management strategies that will help encourage more community members to utilize transit services and a preliminary concept for on-demand transportation services that will feed shuttles and connect riders in with the wider existing transit system (Figure 1.4).

Chapter 7 highlights opportunities for Adams County to become a key driver in moving the region forward in transforming transportation networks into technology-driven public assets that efficiently move people and goods. Solutions like Mobility as a Service (Figure 1.5), shared mobility, electric vehicle infrastructure, autonomous and connected vehicles, and mobility hubs are all profiled as potential strategies for balancing the increasing travel demand in Adams County with limited right of way to ensure future travel demand is accommodated as efficiently as possible.

Advancing Adams is a roadmap for reshaping transportation in Adams County over the coming 30 years. Chapter 8 details a prioritization process that was undertaken to help identify project recommendations that should be pursued in the short-term (2022-2030), medium-term (2030-2040), and long-term (2040-2050), with each resulting prioritized set of projects shown in order of the project’s
likelihood to help the county meet the mobility goals of Advancing Adams.

Chapter 8 also includes an implementation guide and performance monitoring framework to help Adams County track plan implementation. Progress will be monitored by regularly tracking performance measures like crash severity, availability of multimodal transportation options, and alignment of transportation and land use. And to ensure that the Transportation Master Plan can be fully implemented, a guide on federal, state, regional, and local funding opportunities is included to guide the county on the range of funding sources available.

1.8.7 LOOKING AHEAD

The Transportation Master Plan component of Advancing Adams considers mobility holistically and was developed with an awareness that land use and transportation are equal partners in fostering a high quality of life and a distinctive community character. The following chapters paint a vision of an Adams County that is seamlessly connected with all other Front Range communities while offering travelers of all ages and abilities a range of comfortable facilities that can be enjoyed on all modes of travel. A forward looking plan, Advancing Adams lays a groundwork for celebrating the best of Adams County today while preparing for the mobility network of tomorrow.

Figure 1.5: Graphic of Mobility as a Service (source: Fehr & Peers)
2.1 - BIG IDEAS

This chapter summarizes the Transportation Master Plan’s approach to developing each of the modal network recommendations—for walking, biking, taking transit, and driving—as well as programmatic and policy recommendations to support infrastructure improvements.

The mobility framework is developed based off multimodal network enhancements that are reflective of land use changes proposed in the Comprehensive Plan. The Transportation Master Plan applies a layered network approach to ensure each street is prioritized for the appropriate mode, ultimately creating a connected network for each mode, but acknowledging that every street cannot prioritize every mode.

Recommendations are developed with an emphasis on innovation and sustainability for the future of transportation, in order to move the County towards its goal of becoming the most innovative County in America. With an eye toward innovation, the Transportation Master Plan sets the County up to provide the transportation infrastructure and options to reduce reliance on the private automobile and improve quality of life.

Lastly, the Transportation Master Plan prepares the County for anticipated growth in travel demand, especially in the central and eastern portions of the County. In order to accommodate this growth, the Transportation Master Plan proposes roadway capacity projects, opportunities to expand transit beyond the Regional Transportation District (RTD) service area, expansion of the bicycle network, and an updated prioritization process for paving gravel roads.

2.2 - MOBILITY FRAMEWORK

The Adams County Transportation Master Plan was developed using a layered network framework, which focuses on how the County’s transportation network can function, as a system, to meet the needs of all users. The layered network concept is recommended by the Institute of Transportation Engineers (ITE) and emphasizes safety for all modes of travel, while supporting key County principles and policies.

Accommodating a range of users on a single roadway is a common transportation planning goal. While achieving a fully multimodal street may be possible in some instances, a layered network approach is often a more feasible mechanism for accommodating all users. A layered transportation network is based on the idea that different roadway and travel facility types can work together to provide mobility. A multi-lane arterial can provide opportunities for efficient vehicle travel while a parallel local street can provide comfortable facilities for walking and biking.
Layered networks are an extension of the Complete Streets philosophy, but clearly recognize that it can be inappropriate to accommodate all modes on all streets with a high level of service for all. The layered network concept serves as a strong planning framework for Adams County.

By designing streets for certain uses, incompatible uses are often discouraged. For example, a network of streets designated for freight can eliminate truck traffic cutting through residential neighborhoods or on low stress bicycle corridors. This chapter illustrates how the Transportation Master Plan applies the layered network concept to offer a future of modal networks that will support all users.

2.3 - PREFERRED MOBILITY SCENARIO

As described in Chapter 1, an alternatives analysis which integrated all three plans helped inform the recommendations for these plans. This process assessed the potential alternative future land use and transportation options and the implications of each. Based on community feedback and analyses, one preferred scenario was selected from this process.

The preferred mobility scenario identified high priority corridors for people walking, biking, taking transit, and driving. Selection of these corridors was driven in large part by the future land use plan to ensure that residents, employees, and visitors of the County can comfortably and conveniently travel to key destinations. As development and growth patterns evolve according to the Comprehensive Plan’s future land use plan, investments in the multimodal transportation networks will be integrated with land use. This preferred scenario is shown in Map 2.1.

The outcomes of the preferred mobility scenario are evident throughout the Transportation Master Plan but most directly in the modal maps and recommendations for the Bicycle Network, Roadway Network, and transit system enhancements.

Figure 2.1: Existing Neighborhood in Adams County
MAP 2.1: PREFERRED FUTURE LAND USE MAP

Inset of map above
This section highlights opportunities to meet the Transportation Master Plan’s vision using programs and policies that incentivize alternative travel modes to the private vehicle, implement bicycle and pedestrian infrastructure, and support health and safety outcomes. Beyond simply maintaining and building physical infrastructure, programs and policies ensure that roadways, active transportation facilities, and transit services are efficient, effective, and intuitive. These programs and policies also align the County’s transportation system with broader community values and move the County toward its vision for transportation.

2.4.1 - BICYCLING AND WALKING

Wayfinding

The Transportation Master Plan recommends that Adams County expand the existing bicycle wayfinding and signage and the recreational signage recommended in the Parks, Open Space, and Trails (POST) Plan. Wayfinding signage helps people biking for transportation as well recreation better navigate the existing bicycle network and feel more comfortable riding somewhere new. The County should develop and implement a Wayfinding Plan that will help people walking and biking intuitively navigate the County. This should include branding guidelines that identifies key destinations to include in the signage. Wayfinding signage should be prioritized anywhere an off-street trail terminates. Signage in these locations should indicate where to go to continue on another low stress bicycle facility or give directions to major destinations nearby. An effective wayfinding system, especially one that is branded and includes distances or times, can encourage more people to bike because they can feel more confident navigating the system and staying on designated bicycle facilities.

Neighborhood Connections

Public input and an analysis of the existing transportation network highlighted the lack of connectivity between neighborhoods due to the curvilinear street network, especially for people walking or...
bicycling. Opportunities for new trail connections between neighborhoods should be considered (Figure 2.2). Creating a trail at the end of a cul-du-sac or between two unconnected streets can greatly decrease the trip lengths for people walking and bicycling (Figure 2.3). This can make taking trips by walking or bicycling easier and more feasible. In established neighborhoods these connections can be created by finding existing easements or right-of-way or by acquiring new right-of-way if none currently exists. It is recommended that all new developments be required to provide pedestrian and bicycle connections where there is a lack of connectivity in the roadway network (e.g., cul-de-sac).

**Complete Streets Policy**

Per the USDOT, "Complete Streets are streets designed and operated to enable safe use and support mobility for all users. Complete Street policies
are set at the state, regional, and local levels and are frequently supported by roadway design guidelines.” Adams County does not currently have a Complete Streets policy. Consideration should be given to adopt a Complete Streets policy that strengthens specific recommendations for the type of low stress pedestrian and bicycle facilities for each street classification. By adopting a Complete Streets policy, the County would direct their transportation planners and engineers to routinely design and operate the entire right-of-way to prioritize safer, slower speeds for all people who use the road, over high speeds for motor vehicles. This policy should also address aesthetics, landscaping, and lighting to enhance appearance through better looking streets as well as provide safer streets for all modes. These revisions should be guided by the DRCOG Regional Complete Streets Toolkit.

**Transportation Demand Management**

Transportation Demand Management (TDM) is a set of strategies and policies for improving the efficiency of a transportation system by providing travelers with opportunities to choose modes other than a single occupancy vehicle and thus, improve air quality. Rather than focusing on meeting travel demand through expanded infrastructure, TDM identifies barriers to using existing, but often under utilized options, as well as generating a mechanism for addressing those barriers. Adams County is a member of Smart Commute Metro North that works to implement TDM strategies across the north Denver metro region. The County should continue to work with Smart Commute Metro North to market their resources throughout Adams County. Education and information campaigns on transportation options will expose County residents to alternatives to driving, making it easier for them to plan trips using transit or bike. By facilitating and supporting the distribution of educational materials through County communication, Adams County can instill interest in active modes and teach residents how to use transit, how to bike safely, and how to connect with other interested community members. Adams County can also explore integrating bicycle awareness into drivers’ education classes and materials.

**Bike Parking**

Adams County should explore adding bike parking, particularly covered, secure bike storage – on County property and encourage the construction of additional bike parking in new developments and key destinations like RTD stations, major employment centers, and shopping areas. County staff should coordinate with RTD to add covered bike storage at the N Line commuter rail stations. The County should explore options for incentivizing existing developments to add secure bike parking, such as tax incentives or a grant program. Beyond
secure bike parking, the County should also accommodate alternative micromobility such as e-bikes and scooters by constructing micromobility parking in high-demand areas.

**Safe Routes to School**

The County should continue to coordinate with school districts and Smart Commute Metro North, promoting existing programs and seeking outside funding opportunities when possible. Safe Routes to School (SRTS) is a national program to enhance opportunities for students to walk and bike to school safely. Barriers to using active modes for getting to and from school can include a lack of comfortable and safe sidewalks and crosswalks, parent concern about children walking or biking alone, and travel distance. An SRTS program helps to document the concerns regarding travel safety, develop programs that can address some of these concerns, and chart a path for implementing infrastructure improvements and upgrades that address concerns. Adams County has applied SRTS grant funding for educational campaigns in the past. The County should identify opportunities to apply for and distribute SRTS funding towards qualifying infrastructure projects. Smart Commute Metro North currently promotes “walking school buses” which are organized walking groups for students who live close enough to school to walk together.

**Maintenance**

Roadway maintenance should ensure bikeways are clear of debris and larger objects. Enforcement of illegal parking in bike lanes could extend beyond ticketing drivers to towing vehicles. Once the County installs additional multimodal infrastructure, routine roadway maintenance activities should also consider bikeway conditions. For example, the Street Paving Program can extend to bike lanes since uneven pavement, cracks, potholes, and other pavement quality issues impact people biking as well as people driving.

**Pedestrian Crossing Guidelines**

The County should adopt pedestrian crossing standards to ensure all future intersections or midblock crossings that are built are in line with national best practices for safe and comfortable crossings for all users.
2.4.2 - TRANSIT NETWORK
FUTURE TRANSIT FEASIBILITY STUDY

Adams County Council of Governments (AdCOG) Subregional Forum has previously discussed conducting a Transit Feasibility Study for Adams County. AdCOG should continue to strongly consider the value of initiating that study in order to provide clear direction and priorities on future investments in transit that can make transit a viable option for residents and employees across the County. This study could include a Transit Propensity Analysis and review of transit best practices in rural areas to guide recommendations and priorities for operational models that are appropriate for the population and land use in various portions of the County. **Chapter 6** of the Transportation Master Plan provides preliminary ideas that can be further assessed and defined as a part of this study.

**Connect Transit to Other Modes**

Adams County should invest in connecting public transit to other modes of travel through strategically located mobility hubs, near activity centers, where one or more transit routes and bicycle facilities intersect. These hubs will provide shared multimodal facilities and may include elements such as bicycle parking, bikeshare and car-share, multimodal information, park-and-rides, and curbspace for shuttles and drop-off vehicles.

**Transit Oriented Development**

High-frequency transit is only viable with supportive land use patterns such as mixed-use with higher-density residential, employment and services. The plan, in combination with the Comprehensive Plan, supports a stronger stance on maximizing infill/ redevelopment potential where service exists or is planned. Adams County should continue to plan and development land use and transportation with special consideration to locations around high frequency transit.

2.4.3 - ROADWAY NETWORK

**Paving Prioritization Process**

The Adams County Public Works Department manages the Gravel Road Resurfacing Program for residents in eastern Adams County. The intent of this program is to fund safe and efficient gravel roads which have been identified as unstable and hazardous. Public Works – Operations Division currently applies a prioritization process inspects roads on a regular basis to evaluate road conditions and maintenance need. **Chapter 3** of the Transportation Master Plan updates this prioritization process based on staff feedback, national best practices, and lessons learned in Adams County. The County should apply this updated
prioritization process to inform prioritization of paving gravel roads.

Regional Travel
The County should work cooperatively with regional partners including the Denver Regional Council of Governments, the Colorado Department of Transportation, other member jurisdictions, and neighboring counties to identify opportunities to provide multimodal regional connections along regionally significant transportation corridors and trail easements.

Freight Mobility
The County should develop and maintain a truck routing plan with designated truck routes to provide commercial access and minimize truck travel through residential neighborhoods. This should build on the upcoming update to the statewide Freight Plan.

2.4.4 - SAFETY AND INNOVATION

Electric Vehicles
The County should conduct a study identifying locations for EV charging stations at County facilities. The County can also encourage, prioritize, and support the purchase of electric vehicles through the design, management, outreach, education, policy updates, broad-based coordination, incentives and operations of streets and wayside infrastructure such as electric vehicle charging stations.

Vehicle Sharing
The County should encourage and support partnerships to provide vehicle-sharing opportunities. Programs should include a full spectrum of vehicle types (e.g., delivery trucks, pickup trucks, hybrid vehicles, scooters/ mopeds, etc.) with electrification of the shared fleet.

Autonomous and Connected Vehicles
Although autonomous and connected vehicles are only in their nascent stages, the County should begin to think about policy and program development that can ensure autonomous and connected vehicles move the County towards its goals. This can be done by ensuring that autonomous and connected vehicles and infrastructure reduce travel time, support and encourage public transit, reduce greenhouse gas emissions.
(GHGs) and reduce low-occupancy trips during peak time. This can be done by prioritizing autonomous vehicles that are electrically powered, shared or operated as a fleet and by disincentivizing zero-occupancy vehicles.

**Vision Zero**

Vision Zero programs have been adopted by municipalities around the country at a growing rate. Communities are committing to eliminating traffic crashes that result in fatalities or serious injuries by providing safety training, implementing engineering solutions that are proven to slow vehicle speeds while reducing conflicts with other roadway users, and forming multidisciplinary initiatives for implementing safety programming. As a first step, Adams County should develop and adopt a Vision Zero Action Plan. The County currently participates in DRCOG’s Vision Zero Work Group but should also consider joining Colorado’s statewide program – Moving Towards Zero Deaths. The County could also consider having the Board of County Commissioners make a proclamation in support of the state initiative, demonstrating the County’s commitment to the vision of zero traffic-related deaths.

**FHWA Local Road Safety Plan**

Adams County should develop and implement a FHWA Local Road Safety Plan. Leveraging opportunities to incorporate safety programming into all County transportation planning efforts is crucial. The Federal Highway Administration’s (FHWA) Local Road Safety Plan (LRSP) program is one example of a road safety planning effort the County can undertake. The LRSP program focuses specifically on safety for local (non-highway) roadways, where fatality rates are often higher than on highways, even though traffic volumes are lower. Local roads tend to have more conflict points where crashes occur like intersections. In addition, local roads have less separation between modes, which can increase crash severity when speed limits are not observed. While safety initiatives can often focus on identifying opportunities for improving conditions on major roadways, an LRSP is an opportunity to focus on all streets within the jurisdiction’s control.
2.5 - FIVE STRATEGIC CORRIDORS

To highlight specific opportunities for focused improvements in key areas, Advancing Adams selected five strategic corridors that were analyzed in greater detail as a part of the planning process—Federal Boulevard, Washington Street, Pecos Street, 104th Avenue, and 120th Avenue (Map 2.2). The Advancing Adams Existing Conditions and Opportunities Report in Appendix A profiled the current state of the corridors and provided opportunities for modifying the corridors to promote multimodal travel. The following section describes the transportation infrastructure (transit, bicycle, pedestrian, and vehicular) that exists on each corridor and shares opportunities for transportation improvements that would both enhance mobility and complement concepts put forward in the Comprehensive and Parks, Open Space and Trails Plans.

The Advancing Adams team considered a range of transportation opportunities for each corridor including road diets (the reallocation of vehicle travel lanes to other uses such as enhanced bicycle or pedestrian facilities), enhancing facilities for those walking or rolling, and leveraging new technologies for forming new connections or operating existing facilities with greater efficiency.

2.5.1 - OPPORTUNITIES ANALYSIS

Focus group sessions were convened for each strategic corridor. These sessions provided an opportunity for agencies such as the Colorado Department of Transportation (CDOT), the Regional Transportation District (RTD), City and County of Denver, and departments within Adams County to provide initial feedback on preliminary ideas. These conversations aided the project team in streamlining a list of initial ideas and focusing on opportunities that would address community needs as identified by stakeholders and be deemed feasible by agencies that will act as implementation partners.

In addition to conversations with stakeholders, a data-driven process was used to assess how each of the five corridors currently serves each transportation mode. In addition to the County-wide analysis described previously in this report, the corridor-specific analysis also included a preliminary assessment of peak hour roadway operations to determine whether ideas like reducing the number of travel lanes might be feasible. Operations were assessed by determining volume to capacity (V/C) ratios for portions of each corridor. V/C ratios were determined using the roadway segment capacities listed in the Denver Regional Council of Governments Focus Regional Travel Demand Model and the historic traffic volume data provided by Adams County. The traffic volume data
provided by the County represented Average Annual Daily Traffic (AADT); peak hour volumes were derived using the assumption that the peak hour of travel represents 10% of daily traffic.

The V/C ratio conveys the quantity of vehicles utilizing a roadway at a given time as a share of the overall traffic-carrying capacity of that roadway. For the purposes of the five corridors analysis, it was assumed that a V/C ratio of less than 0.7 signifies the roadway segment has additional peak hour capacity and that some of the right-of-way currently dedicated to vehicle travel may be reallocated to other modes. A V/C ratio of between
0.7 and 0.9 signifies that the roadway is congested during the peak hour and drivers may experience some delays. It was assumed that some right-of-way reallocation might still be feasible under these conditions. A V/C ratio of over 0.9 signifies a location where existing peak hour congestion is an issue. A V/C ratio of 0.9 corresponds with a Level of Service E or F as illustrated in Figure 2.5.

It should be noted that this operational analysis was conducted using limited data and was intended to serve as a high-level screening for potential feasibility of treatments like road diets. A more rigorous analysis would be needed to conclusively assess feasibility of the opportunities offered in this plan.

Table 2.1 displays the challenges that were identified on each corridor along with the potential opportunities for improvements. Each corridor is profiled in greater detail in the following sections.

Figure 2.5: Level of Service and Volume to Capacity Ratio Concept (source: Fehr & Peers)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Level of Service (LOS) Classification</th>
<th>Traffic Condition</th>
<th>Volume to Capacity (V/C) Ratio</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>A</td>
<td>Free-flowing conditions</td>
<td>0.0–0.60</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B</td>
<td>Stable flow with unaffected speed</td>
<td>0.61–0.70</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C</td>
<td>Stable flow but speed affected</td>
<td>0.71–0.80</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D</td>
<td>High-density but stable flow</td>
<td>0.81–0.90</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E</td>
<td>Near capacity, reduced speeds</td>
<td>0.91–1.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F</td>
<td>At capacity</td>
<td>&gt;1.00</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### TABLE 2.1: EXISTING CHALLENGES AND OPPORTUNITIES ON THE FIVE STRATEGIC CORRIDORS

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>CORRIDOR</th>
<th>CHALLENGES</th>
<th>OPPORTUNITIES</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| **Federal Boulevard** | • Autocentric, with high speeds  
• High freight volume  
• CDOT-owned  
• Sidewalk gaps or inadequate sidewalks  
• Infrequent pedestrian crossings  
• Crosses multiple jurisdictions  
• Multiple character areas | • Connects to the Clear Creek Regional Trail  
• Commercial land uses with high bicycle/pedestrian demand  
• Add pedestrian crossings  
• Expand pedestrian realm  
• Consider parallel corridor for bicycle facilities  
• High frequency transit (route 31); consider bus-only lanes and transit enhancements at intersections  
• Provides access to Clear Creek – Federal commuter rail station, and create transit-oriented development |
| **Washington Street** | • Autocentric, with high speeds  
• High freight volume  
• Sidewalk gaps or inadequate sidewalks  
• Infrequent pedestrian crossings  
• Crosses multiple jurisdictions  
• Multiple character areas | • Opportunity to become a creative district  
• Welby neighborhood generates demand for walking and biking  
• Opportunity for road diet to enhance the pedestrian realm, bicycle facilities, or transit amenities  
• Transit (route 12)  
• Add pedestrian crossings |
| **Pecos Street** | • High freight volume  
• Crosses multiple jurisdictions  
• Segments with limited bicycle and pedestrian facilities | • Connecting to the Clear Creek Regional Trail  
• High frequency transit (route 19); consider transit enhancements at intersections  
• Provides access to Pecos Junction commuter rail station; create transit-oriented development  
• Dense, mixed use development such as Midtown |
| **104th Avenue** | • CDOT-owned roadway  
• Limited bicycle and pedestrian amenities  
• Wide crossings, uncomfortable for pedestrians  
• Infrequent transit service (route 104); low ridership due to land use and first/last mile gaps | • Critical connection to Denver International Airport  
• Possible trail connection to the Front Range Trail and South Platte Trail  
• Could become an east-west Parkway with planted medians and rural feel  
• Opportunity to extend the pavement edges and install detached multiuse trails and enhanced transit amenities |
| **120th Avenue** | • Gaps in multimodal access to Riverdale Park  
• Railroad crossing  
• Infrequent transit service (route 120) | • Leverage rural feel, and natural and cultural heritage  
• Existing adjacent multiuse trail  
• Become part of larger scenic trail loop  
• Add more multimodal connections  
• Critical east-west connection |
2.5.2 - FEDERAL BOULEVARD

The existing conditions analysis found that Federal Boulevard has the opportunity to become a transit-oriented development (TOD) hub for Adams County, particularly with underutilized and vacant parcels around the Clear Creek RTD station area. Incorporating mixed-use and different types of housing on the corridor while providing connections to transit could help transform Federal Boulevard to the auto-centric thoroughfare of today into a multimodal facility. It was also found that Federal Boulevard lacks a cohesive character; integrating streetscape elements like trees, plantings, pedestrian crossing treatment, public art, and other placemaking features can address this issue.

General recommendations for the corridor build off the work of the Federal Boulevard Multimodal Transportation Study completed in December 2021 and include:

- Establishing Federal Boulevard as an enhanced transit corridor
- Completing/upgrading the sidewalk network where feasible
to establish better pedestrian connectivity

- Making connections between Federal Boulevard and the Clear Creek Trail

Adams County should coordinate with CDOT on any potential improvements. The combination of CDOT’s jurisdictional oversight of the corridor along with the existing high volume of traffic within a constrained right-of-way impacts the County’s ability to fully reshape the corridor.

2.5.2.1 - Enhanced Transit Corridor

In the near-term, the County can reimagine Federal Boulevard as an enhanced transit corridor with dedicated bus lanes that operate either in both directions or south in the morning and north in the early evening to match peak hour traffic flows (Figure 2.6). The bus lanes can be paired with enhanced bus stops that provide a comfortable shelter for riders, arrival times, and clear signage to support riders with trip planning (Figure 2.7). The County can also consider transit signal priority that improves reliability by ensuring buses can travel through an intersection on green signals and maintain scheduled arrival times at stops. The enhanced transit corridor would support the land use vision of transit-oriented development along Federal Boulevard. The recommendations for an enhanced transit corridor on Federal Boulevard align with opportunities that were explored through the Federal Boulevard Multimodal Transportation Study.

Figure 2.6: Example of a Dedicated Bus Lane in Downtown Denver (source: Fehr & Peers)

Figure 2.7: High Comfort Transit Stop (source: Design Workshop)
2.5.2.2 - Pedestrian Network Enhancements

Long-term needs on the corridor include completing the sidewalk network and upgrading existing pedestrian crossings while also adding additional crossing opportunities. While completion of the pedestrian network on Federal Boulevard is a longer-term improvement that will require coordination with multiple jurisdictions, there are recommended near term enhancements that would complete pedestrian connections to the Clear Creek – Federal commuter rail station. Specifically, adding a connection to the Clear Creek Trail from Federal Boulevard would provide pedestrian access between that key regional recreational corridor, the commuter rail station, and Federal Boulevard. In addition, the Federal Boulevard bridge over the Clear Creek should be upgraded to provide a wider facility for pedestrians.

In addition to near-term opportunities adjacent to the commuter rail station, pedestrian connections all along the corridor could be improved through implementation of enhanced crossings. This can be accomplished through installation of treatments like High Intensity Activated Crosswalk (HAWK) signals (Figure 2.8), which serve as a stop control for traffic while pedestrians cross. These can be paired with green-backed intersection markings for bicyclists like the example shown in Figure 2.9.

As a part of the Federal Boulevard Multimodal Transportation Study, CDOT and the County are upgrading crossings in order to ensure Americans with Disabilities Act (ADA)-compliance in the short-term. Intersections where crossing enhancements could be considered long-term include:

- 52nd Avenue
- 54th Avenue
- 56th Avenue
- 60th Avenue
- 64th Avenue
- Longfellow Place/65th Place
- 67th Avenue
- 70th Avenue
- 72nd Avenue

![Figure 2.8: High Intensity Activated Crosswalk (HAWK) (source: Denver Public Works)](image-url)
2.5.2.3 - Accommodating Bicyclists

Dedicated on-street bicycle facilities are likely not feasible on Federal Boulevard due to the high traffic demand and limited right-of-way. Lowell Boulevard is a parallel corridor where the City and County of Denver is considering investing resources for enhanced bicycle facilities. An enhancement of bicycle facilities on Lowell Boulevard in the southern portion of Adams County would provide a key north-south connection for people biking and connect Adams County seamlessly to Denver for people biking. There is an opportunity to establish more connectivity between Federal Boulevard and Lowell Boulevard through implementation of bicycle boulevards on east-west streets and wayfinding signage that communicates opportunities for connecting to key destinations. Alternatively, if the County is able to coordinate with CDOT and property owners to modify the right-of-way, then implementing a ten-foot wide detached sidewalk along the corridor would allow bicyclists and pedestrians to share a travel facility that is separated from vehicle traffic.

Figure 2.9: Example of an Enhanced Crossing Treatment with Dedicated Bicycle Striping and Signals (source: Fehr & Peers)
2.5.3 – PECOS STREET

As noted in the Existing Conditions and Opportunities Report, Pecos Street is a Minor Arterial that connects Adams County and the City and County of Denver, terminating to the north at 104th Avenue. The existing conditions analysis found a number of transportation challenges along the corridor, including limited pedestrian and bicycle facilities, challenging connectivity to the Pecos Junction RTD commuter rail station, and high peak hour travel demand that results in congested conditions. Pecos Street has a clear and defined industrial character as well as some autocentric commercial land uses on the southern portion of the corridor. The industrial land uses on the corridor as well as the direct connections to US-36 and I-76 contribute to a significant presence of truck traffic, which further decreases the comfort for people walking and biking. Given the high level of traffic demand, adding facilities for multimodal travel may require expanding the existing cross section rather than reallocating vehicle travel lanes to other modes.

Per the Advancing Adams Comprehensive Plan, Pecos Street has the potential to become a connected
and attractive employment center for Adams County. With a strong industrial presence and existing connectivity, this area can become a great location for logistic services for the larger region. At the same time, the corridor serves a number of neighborhoods and multi-family housing that would benefit from enhanced transportation options.

To improve transportation connectivity on Pecos Street, it is recommended that:

- Multimodal travel facilities are added while existing travel lanes are maintained
- Transit enhancements for improving connectivity to the Pecos Junction Station are considered
- Connections to the Clear Creek Trail are pursued

2.5.3.1 - Adding Multimodal Facilities

The existing cross section of Pecos Street (Figure 2.10) through the portion of the study area that is north of the Pecos Junction commuter rail station has an attached sidewalk on the west side of the street with an adjoining planting strip that is approximately 15-feet wide. If the planting strip is within the public right-of-way, then the County can pursue an expanded cross section that maintains the existing configuration of travel lanes while adding comfortable facilities for bicyclists and pedestrians.

**Figure 2.10:** Existing Cross Section of Pecos Street north of 64th Avenue

**Figure 2.11:** Potential Cross Section on Pecos Street North of 64th Avenue

Source: Fehr & Peers
In order to better accommodate people walking and bicycling on the corridor, the County can assess whether the under-utilized space adjacent to the sidewalk on the west side of Pecos Street can be modified to accommodate a six-foot wide bicycle lane and an eight-foot wide sidewalk (Figure 2.11). This would allow for enhanced bicycle and bidirectional pedestrian access while maintaining the existing number of vehicle travel lanes. On the east side of Pecos Street, the existing planting strip that serves as a buffer between the sidewalk and roadway could be reconfigured to provide a bicycle facility. Implementation of the proposed cross section north of Cargill Drive would bring a consistent cross section through the length of the corridor and provide connections to the Pecos Junction Station and the Clear Creek Trail. This proposed cross section modification would foster better connectivity between the Midtown development and destinations to the south. In addition, the modification would be one step towards transforming Pecos Street into a multimodal corridor from the Denver border at 52nd Avenue to the north. A critical remaining gap is the Pecos Street bridge between Cargill Drive and 62nd Parkway. Advancing Adams will investigate opportunities for upgrading the experience for people walking and biking on the bridge, though an additional detailed feasibility assessment should also be pursued due to the engineering and cost constraints involved in upgrading major bridges.

2.5.3.2 - Enhancing Transit

RTD’s route 19 travels along Pecos Street and serves two of the highest ridership stops in the County at 72nd and 76th Avenues. This route also connects to the Pecos Junction commuter rail station, serving the B and G Lines. Most bus stops along Pecos Street currently lack stop amenities like shelters and benches. Enhancing the bus stops could help improve the transit user experience along the corridor.

2.5.3.3 - Connecting to the Clear Creek Trail

Approximately one mile north of the station is a New Urbanist development, Midtown, with a wide, buffered multiuse trail along Pecos Street (Figure 2.12). Immediately south of the development, Pecos Street provides access to the Clear Creek Trail, an important regional connection for people biking and walking. The County is pursuing implementation of the wayfinding signage recommendations provided in the 2017 Clear Creek Corridor Master Plan in order to foster an enhanced sense of connectivity to the trail. In general, pedestrian connectivity is challenging on the corridor due to the high number of barriers including the rail lines and I-76 and should be explored further.
Figure 2.12: Multiuse Trail Adjacent to the Midtown Neighborhood (source: Design Workshop)
2.5.4 - WASHINGTON STREET

Washington Street is a north-south Principal Arterial with three distinct characters across its extent—1. The northern segment has primarily autocentric commercial land uses; 2. The section adjacent to the Welby neighborhood has a unique

Figure 2.13: Existing Cross Section of Washington Street Between 73rd Avenue and 78th Avenue
character with a mix of uses; and 3. South of I-270 is primarily industrial. The existing cross section of Washington Street varies between four lanes and six lanes, with a two-way left turn lane and attached sidewalks. Figure 2.13 shows the existing six lane cross section between 73rd and 78th Avenues.

Overall, the corridor has a unique mix of agricultural heritage and industrial uses. Bicycle and pedestrian facilities are inconsistent, and do not provide comfortable opportunities for those walking and biking, given the high vehicle speeds and volumes on the corridor. Sidewalks are generally present, but not Americans with Disabilities Act (ADA)-compliant and are narrow in width; there are utility poles interrupting the sidewalk, driveways that are not properly graded, and debris is often present. The corridor is not comfortable for pedestrians due to high vehicle volumes and speeds, associated noise, lack of trees and vegetation, and excessive curb cuts (Figure 2.14).

Preliminary analysis of roadway operations on Washington Street suggests the corridor does not

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>CROSS STREET</th>
<th>73RD AVENUE</th>
<th>78TH AVENUE</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Lanes</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Existing Daily Volume</td>
<td>23,508</td>
<td>20,539</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(Daily vehicles)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Peak Hour Capacity</td>
<td>5,100</td>
<td>5,100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(Peak hour vehicles)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Existing Peak Hour Volume</td>
<td>2,351</td>
<td>2,054</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(vehicles)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Existing Peak Hour V/C Ratio</td>
<td>0.46</td>
<td>0.40</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
experience significant congestion during the peak hour (Table 2.2). Transit riders currently have limited bus service on the corridor with RTD route 12 providing 30-minute frequencies and with limited high comfort bus stops. Participants from an Advancing Adams focus group on Washington Street indicated that without substantial land use changes, ridership on the corridor would likely remain low, though the group also supported enhancing transit for existing riders through providing benches and shade at bus stops.

The main opportunities for Washington Street emerging from Advancing Adams are:

- Implementing a road diet from 73rd Avenue to 78th Avenue
- Exploring operational and infrastructure enhancements to transit service

2.5.4.1 - Road Diet

Given the operational analysis finding in Phase 1 that Washington Street may have capacity for vehicle traffic that exceeds forecasted future demand, it is recommended the County pursue a road diet between 73rd Avenue and 78th Avenue that would reduce Washington Street from six to four travel lanes through this portion of the corridor. Sufficient right-of-way exists for either:

- A narrow buffer on one side of the street that leaves room on the other side of the street for enhanced bus stops or trees in the buffer when a bus stop is not present (Figure 2.16).
- A wider buffer with space for street trees on both sides of the street (Figure 2.17).

The concepts shown are preliminary; further study would be required to select a design that will both work on Washington Street and meet the goals of this plan. Additional considerations to be analyzed further include whether the County has additional right-of-way on the west side of Washington Street to accommodate a wider sidewalk and planting zone, and, if not, whether there are any opportunities to acquire the needed right-of-way. As feasibility is studied further, a refined cross section should be developed.

As noted during Phase 1, Washington Street’s unique mix of light industrial, residential, and agricultural land uses gives the potential to strengthen its identity and become the Creative Center of Adams County. The Welby area, located east of Washington Street, and the industrial area south of I-76, offer a unique type of development potential that could lead to a Colorado Creative District if the infrastructure, capital improvements, and developer partners can be secured. The road diet on Washington Street would contribute to the placemaking that is needed for a destination district to be successful.
**Figure 2.15:** Existing Cross Section of Washington Street (between 73rd Avenue and 78th Avenue)

**Figure 2.16:** Proposed Option 1 Cross Section of Washington Street (between 73rd Avenue and 78th Avenue)

**Figure 2.17:** Proposed Option 2 Cross Section of Washington Street (between 73rd Avenue and 78th Avenue)
The road diet would result in Washington Street becoming a more uniform corridor for vehicles while also introducing opportunities for multimodal travel. According to preliminary analysis, this could be accomplished without generating a prohibitive amount of peak hour traffic congestion (Table 2.3). A reduction in the number of travel lanes would enable reallocation of right-of-way towards ten-foot wide sidewalks that adhere to the County standards for pedestrian facilities on Major Arterials. A buffer separating the sidewalk from the roadway could be included in the design to both observe County standards and provide a more comfortable pedestrian environment. This proposed cross section leaves opportunities for a dedicated bicycle facility and enhanced bus stops.

The cross section could include a center median with periodic breaks for left turn pockets or implementation of pedestrian refuge islands and enhanced crossings. Potential locations for crossing enhancements are shown in Figure 2.18. Since there are infrequent pedestrian crossing opportunities

---

**TABLE 2.3: PEAK HOUR VOLUME TO CAPACITY RATIOS ON WASHINGTON STREET**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>CROSS STREET</th>
<th>73RD AVENUE</th>
<th>78TH AVENUE</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Cross Section</td>
<td>Existing</td>
<td>Post-travel lane reduction</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V/C Ratio</td>
<td>0.46</td>
<td>0.69</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Figure 2.18:** Opportunity Locations for Enhanced Pedestrian and Bicycle Crossings on Washington Street (source: Fehr & Peers)
on Washington Street between 73rd Avenue and 88th Avenue, better connections would be made possible through crossing treatments like High-Intensity Activated crossWalk (HAWK) signals and green-backed intersection markings for people biking across a high volume roadway like Washington Street.

2.5.4.2 - Bus Service Enhancements

The existing bus stops on Washington Street do not provide riders with a comfortable place to wait for the bus. The attached sidewalks leading to the bus stops means that transit riders must wait for the bus adjacent to high speed, high volume traffic (Figure 2.19). It is recommended that during road diet implementation, all bus stops on the corridor be upgraded to include shade, benches, a landscape buffer, and other amenities. Since the existing land uses and urban design can make transit a challenging mode choice, the County will investigate opportunities to create nodes of greater density and pedestrian-oriented design that can support more sustainable travel options. An example may include a mobility hub at 73rd Street, which can be tied to commercial land uses that make multimodal travel on the corridor more attractive. Mobility hubs are described in greater detail in Chapter 7.

Figure 2.19: Sidewalk and RTD Bus Stop on Washington Street (source: Design Workshop)
2.30  |  CHAPTER TWO

travels across the County. The corridor provides access primarily to residential areas as well to regional interstates. The corridor has a rural feel due to the surrounding open space, with potential to serve as a scenic byway (Figure 2.20). The section of 120th Avenue that serves unincorporated Adams County has a multiuse trail that provides comfortable opportunities for those walking and biking. These paths provide some access to the recreational and programmed opportunities at Riverdale Regional Park. However, the County has identified 120th Avenue as one of the strategic corridors due to gaps

Figure 2.20: Aerial View of 120th Avenue (source: Design Workshop)
in multimodal access to the park, which serves as a critical recreational amenity for County residents and visitors.

To improve travel conditions for all users on 120th Avenue, it is recommended that Adams County spearhead an effort to establish a regional partnership that can identify a uniform vision for the corridor.

2.5.5.1 - Regional Coordination to Establish a Vision for 120th Avenue

The major opportunity for this corridor is to create partnerships with adjacent municipalities to determine a common vision for the roadway and facilitate coordinated implementation including multimodal access. 120th Avenue could become part of a larger scenic trail loop that connects a variety of destinations of natural and cultural heritage and creates a memorable experience for residents and visitors. This regional trail loop could connect the Denver International Airport, Rocky Mountain Arsenal, National Western Center, South Platte Trail, Clear Creek Trail and the Colorado Front Range Trail.

The regional partnership for 120th Avenue would help maintain 120th Avenue as a critical east-west corridor for vehicle travel while also promoting more consistent multimodal connections. In addition, challenges like the at-grade rail crossings could be addressed through adoption of crossing gates, signage, and tactile ground surface indicators as shown in Figure 2.22. These treatments would help make at-grade multiuse trail crossings more comfortable.

Figure 2.21: Existing At-Grade Multiuse Trail Crossing on 120th Avenue (source: Google Earth)

Figure 2.22: Sample At-Grade Rail Crossing Treatments (source: Trimet)
2.5.6 - 104TH AVENUE

104th Avenue is a state-owned roadway that carries between 15,000 and 21,000 vehicles per day. This Principal Arterial has two to three travel lanes between Riverdale Road and I-76, and five travel lanes between Colorado Boulevard and Riverdale Road (Figure 2.23). The corridor is a critical connection to Denver International Airport, serving both travelers and airport employees. There are limited bicycle and pedestrian amenities along this corridor, as it takes a more rural feel. A new trail connection is being implemented near Brighton Road and 104th Avenue that will connect to the Front Range Trail and South Platte Trail. Advancing Adams presents an opportunity to build upon that upcoming connection.

Transit service on the corridor is provided by RTD’s 104 route, which has relatively low ridership. Transit access on the corridor is limited due to both the existing low-density land uses but also a lack of sidewalks and comfortable places to wait for the bus (Figures 2.24 & 2.25).

CDOT and the City of Thornton are planning a roadway widening of 104th Avenue between Colorado Boulevard and...
and the Platte River. For Adams County, the chief initiative moving forward will be seeking alignment with those plans where possible.

### 2.5.6.1 - Regional Collaboration on 104th Avenue

Given the current road configuration and existing character that is present between US-36 and Federal Boulevard, 104th Avenue could become an east-west Parkway with planted medians and other elements that would support a smaller scale feel and more rural appeal. A land use vision for this corridor will require inter-jurisdictional partnerships and coordination, given most of the development is driven by municipal entities. The opportunity also exists to establish new connections to the east side of US-85 and connect those neighborhoods with the existing trail system and parks. It is recommended that Adams County be an active partner to the City of Thornton and CDOT in defining final designs for the widening of 104th Avenue.

While the upcoming trail connection between Brighton Road and the Colorado Front Range Trail will be valuable, there is an opportunity to expand bicycle and pedestrian facilities further east and west as shown in Figure 2.26. A potential cross section for 104th Avenue between Riverdale Road and Belle Creek Boulevard is shown in Figure 2.27. This concept could be accomplished by replacing the existing two-way left turn lane with a planted median,
which would help foster a parkway character and slow speeds through the corridor. There is an opportunity to extend the pavement edge north and to install a detached multiuse trail and enhanced bus stops. Where bus stops are not present, a wide buffer is suggested between the travel lane and multiuse trail to provide a more comfortable experience for people walking and biking along 104th Avenue. This potential treatment would maintain 104th Avenue as a corridor that emphasizes vehicular travel while also providing space for people walking or bicycling and increase comfort for those accessing and waiting for the bus.

**Figure 2.26:** Colorado Front Range Trail Opportunity to Improve Connectivity (source: Fehr & Peers)

**Figure 2.27:** Potential Cross Section for 104th Avenue

Source: Fehr & Peers
CHAPTER 3
ROADWAY NETWORK
3.1 - KEY ISSUES

Adams County has a well-connected roadway network that provides ties to the wider region while also providing both mobility and access within the County. As a major part of the Denver Front Range region, Adams County is home to a growing number of residents and employment hubs due to its relative affordability compared to the wider region and the amount of development potential. This chapter highlights the opportunities for both enhancing the existing roadway network and adding capacity where needed to serve future growth.

The existing conditions analysis that was conducted as part of Advancing Adams in Appendix A identified several key issues and opportunities for the existing roadway network:

1. Crash volumes on Adams County roadways have been consistently high in recent years, particularly in the southwest corner of the County where growth in traffic volumes has occurred.

2. There are a number of state-owned and managed highways that serve as key, high-capacity connections for travel with Adams County and for connections to the wider region. These highways include deficiencies that Adams County works to address (e.g., potholes and insufficient facilities for pedestrians and bicyclists), but the multi-jurisdictional nature of these roads presents challenges.

3. Adams County has a diverse mix of roadway infrastructure given the range of existing land uses, from urbanized areas with major arterials to rural areas with unpaved roads. More efficiently managing this range of facilities was identified as a key desired outcome of the transportation plan.

3.2 - COMMUNITY INPUT AND FUTURE NEEDS

The Adams County community regularly cited transportation infrastructure as an area of concern during the Advancing Adams public outreach efforts. When asked to rank the biggest threats to quality of life in Adams County related to growth, respondents to the Advancing Adams Growth Scenario Survey indicated that traffic is the top significant threat and also cited “stress on public services/infrastructure such as transportation” as a threat. When asked how much additional travel time respondents would be willing to incur to help achieve the County’s environmental, safety, and equity goals, the majority indicated they would not be willing to travel any additional time. This suggests that County residents value having a roadway system that provides adequate vehicular capacity and connectivity opportunities and that many community members plan to continue relying on vehicle travel for personal mobility.
In addition, the Advancing Adams Comprehensive Plan identifies areas where future land uses will be modified from today. These changes may create a need to add roadway capacity that serves new development. Two updates to the County land use that will have implications for transportation demand are the increase in intensity of uses in certain portions of the County (e.g., changes in zoning from low to high density residential, or the addition of commercial land uses) as well as the addition of Town Centers, which will be areas that promote a diversity of activity and support both residential and commercial land uses. Areas where new development will likely lead to increased travel demand are predominantly located in south central Adams County, just east of Denver International Airport (Map 3.1). This Transportation Master Plan considers the specific locations where additional roadway capacity will be needed in order to meet this demand.
MAP 3.1: FUTURE LAND USES THAT WILL GENERATE ADDITIONAL TRANSPORTATION DEMAND

- Adams County Boundary
- Incorporated Places Adams County
- High Intensity Land Use
3.3 – BIG IDEAS

As Adams County grows, there will be a need to reevaluate existing roadway standards and to begin aligning roadway cross sections with new travel patterns. In the interim, Adams County can accommodate growth by upgrading arterials in the eastern portion of the County, as noted in this plan. In areas that are already urbanized or are poised for growth in the short-term, the County should pursue road diets that will open opportunities for residents and visitors to travel by their preferred mode.

3.3.1 – ENSURE THE ROADWAY NETWORK KEEPS PACE WITH DEVELOPMENT

As discussed in the Comprehensive Plan, Adams County has the potential to add population and employment through development in the eastern portion of the County, particularly southeast of Denver International Airport. To serve this growth, Advancing Adams proposes new two-lane arterials traveling north-south in areas east of the airport. These corridors will serve as connections between new development and the I-70 corridor. In addition, it is recommended that gravel roads in areas of anticipated growth be upgraded to paved two-lane arterials. These recommendations are shown in Map 3.3 at the conclusion of this chapter.

3.3.2 – EXPLORE OPPORTUNITIES FOR IMPLEMENTING ROAD DIETS

As noted in the operational analysis on the five strategic corridors in Chapter 2, there are corridors in Adams County where existing vehicle volumes do not result in congested conditions due to the ample right-of-way dedicated to vehicle throughput. Additionally, preliminary future travel demand forecasts on some corridors have shown that even with some growth in traffic volumes, there will be sufficient capacity to accommodate demand without leading to peak hour congestion. On these corridors, road diets should be considered in order to foster more opportunities for multimodal travel. Road diets are the reallocation of vehicle travel lanes to other uses such as enhanced bicycle or pedestrian facilities. Corridors serving the future Town Centers should be considered as top priority for road diet implementation to provide walk and bicycle friendly environments where connectivity and multimodal travel opportunities are elevated over vehicle throughput.

3.3.3 – ESTABLISHING IMPROVED PARTNERSHIPS FOR MANAGING STATE HIGHWAYS

In the case of multijurisdictional corridors like Federal Boulevard or 104th Avenue, Adams County can coordinate with local governments and CDOT to establish unified
maintenance protocols and to regularly reach consensus on how to meet the mobility needs of users on each corridor. This can be accomplished through a variety of mechanisms, ranging from informal working groups to formal intergovernmental agreements (IGAs).

### 3.3.4 - GRAVEL ROAD PAVING

As the County grows, it is anticipated that the need to pave gravel roadways in order to accommodate development or growth in traffic volumes will increase. To streamline the County’s decision-making process regarding managing and paving gravel roads, this chapter provides a set of inputs that should be considered when assessing paving needs.

### 3.4 - ROADWAY FUNCTIONAL CLASSIFICATIONS

Adams County uses a set of roadway functional classifications that are intended to promote a range of transportation needs on a spectrum from mobility to access. Mobility is a priority in locations where there are higher traffic volumes, greater distances of travel, and limited need to access destinations along the corridor. Access is a greater concern in locations with a density of destinations travelers are attempting to reach; in these locations, speed is a lower priority. Table 3.1 lists the Adams County roadway classifications and describes the main function of each roadway type. The full functional classification map is shown in Map 3.2.

### 3.4.1 - CROSS SECTIONS

Standard cross sections for each roadway functional classification are currently documented in the Adams County Engineering Road Standards. These cross sections have not been modified as a part of the Transportation Master Plan, but additional cross sections were added for locations near transit stations. These cross sections in addition to the cross sections from the existing Road Standards, are show in the following sections.
TABLE 3.1: ADAMS COUNTY ROADWAY FUNCTIONAL CLASSIFICATIONS

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>FUNCTIONAL CLASSIFICATION</th>
<th>DESCRIPTION</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Freeway</td>
<td>Freeways and tollways are intended only for providing mobility. Traffic is unimpeded, the corridor provides full regional connectivity, and access is provided only through interchanges with no direct parcel access.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Regional Arterial</td>
<td>The only function of regional arterials is to provide mobility between Adams County and neighboring counties. Access points are only at signalized intersections or at interchanges, with no direct parcel access, and are spaced 0.5-1 mile apart. The right-of-way width is 140 feet.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Principal/Major Arterial</td>
<td>Principal arterials are primarily intended to provide mobility, though can also provide direct access when no other option exists. Otherwise, access is limited to signalized intersections that are spaced 0.5-1 mile apart. The right-of-way width is 140 feet.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rural Regional/Major Arterial</td>
<td>Rural Regional or Major Arterials provide access in the more low-density areas of the County. Access spacing will generally be allowed at ¼ to ½-mile spacing, with shared access between parcels encouraged on a case-by-case basis. For purposes of rights-of-way dedications, the typical cross sections for rural Major or Regional Arterials and Rural Arterials are 140-feet.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Minor Arterial</td>
<td>Minor arterials primarily provide mobility with access a secondary function. Accesses are spaced at 0.25-0.5 intervals and are primarily signalized, though stop signs are used in some circumstances. The right-of-way width is 120 feet.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rural Arterial</td>
<td>Rural arterials function similarly to minor arterials. Traffic controls are primarily stop signs, which are configured for side streets so as to minimally impede the flow of vehicles. The right-of-way width is 120 feet.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Collector</td>
<td>Collector roadways emphasize access with mobility as a secondary function. Vehicle speeds are intended to be slower, access points are spaced at 1/8th mile intervals, and the right-of-way width is 80 feet.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Local</td>
<td>Local streets are intended to serve access needs only with unrestricted access to all parcels along the corridor. The right-of-way width is up to 60 feet and traffic.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### 3.4.1.1 - Major Arterial

The Major Arterial cross section shown in Figure 3.2 also applies to Regional Arterials. In urban areas, the cross section includes a wide detached sidewalk for bicycle and pedestrian travel, whereas on a rural arterial there would be an unpaved shoulder (Figure 3.4). On arterials within a half-mile of an RTD commuter rail station the Enhanced Multimodal Corridor cross section for arterials should be considered (Figure 3.3). The Enhanced Multimodal Corridor cross section is appropriate in locations where land use along the corridor is transit supportive. Examples include mixed use development, medium to high density residential, and commercial land uses. In addition, the extent of the Enhanced Multimodal Corridor cross section should be determined based on logical gateways into the half-mile buffer surround a commuter rail station. Examples include major intersections within the buffer or at the edge of a corridor with transit supportive land uses.

**Figure 3.2:** Major Arterial Cross Section

![Major Arterial Cross Section](image)

**Figure 3.3:** Enhanced Multimodal Corridor Arterial Cross Section

![Enhanced Multimodal Corridor Arterial Cross Section](image)

**Figure 3.4:** Rural Arterial Cross Section

![Rural Arterial Cross Section](image)
3.4.1.2 - Minor Arterial

The Minor Arterial cross section features detached sidewalks that are sufficiently wide to accommodate bicyclists and pedestrians (Figure 3.5). In rural locations, the cross section is modified to feature paved shoulders in lieu of sidewalks (Figure 3.6). In instances where an urban minor arterial falls within a half-mile of an RTD commuter rail station, the arterial Enhanced Multimodal Corridor cross section should be considered if the corridor meets the criteria described for the major arterial TOD cross section (Figure 3.3). If the Enhanced Multimodal Corridor cross section is being considered on a minor arterial, the landscaped median cannot be included in the design due to insufficient right of way.

**Figure 3.5: Minor Arterial Cross Section**

![Minor Arterial Cross Section Diagram](source)

**Figure 3.6: Rural Minor Arterial Cross Section**

![Rural Minor Arterial Cross Section Diagram](source)
3.4.1.3 - Major Collector

The Major Collector cross section allows sufficient room for a 12-foot travel lane in each direction with 11 feet on both sides that can be dedicated to a bicycle lane, parking lane, or turn lane (Figure 3.7). Where feasible, a median can be included. The major collector cross section features attached 5.5-foot wide sidewalks.

On collectors within a half-mile of an RTD commuter rail station the Enhanced Multimodal Corridor cross section for collectors should be considered (Figure 3.8). The Enhanced Multimodal Corridor cross section is appropriate in locations where land use along the corridor is transit supportive. Examples include mixed use development, medium to high density residential, and commercial land uses. In addition, the extent of the Enhanced Multimodal Corridor cross section should be determined based on logical gateways into TOD zones. Examples include major intersections with the half-mile station area buffer or at the edge of a corridor with transit supportive land uses.

Figure 3.7: Major Collector Cross Section

![Major Collector Cross Section](source)

Figure 3.8: Enhanced Multimodal Corridor Collector Cross Section

![Enhanced Multimodal Corridor Collector Cross Section](source)
3.4.1.4 - Minor Collector

The Minor Collector has the same right-of-way width as a major collector but with no median or center turn lane (Figure 3.9). Minor Collectors feature a larger setback from property lines to allow for future right-of-way expansions that may be needed upon development. In rural areas, the cross section changes slightly to feature an eight-foot paved shoulder in lieu of a sidewalk (Figure 3.10).

Figure 3.9: Minor Collector Cross Section

Figure 3.10: Rural Minor Arterial Cross Section
3.4.1.5 – Local Streets

Local Street cross sections range from 60 feet wide for industrial/commercial and rural residential streets (Figure 3.11 and Figure 3.15) to 55-feet for local residential streets (Figure 3.13). With the exception of rural areas, all local streets feature a 5.5-foot wide attached sidewalk. On local streets within a half-mile of an RTD commuter rail station the Enhanced Multimodal Corridor cross section for collectors should be considered (Figure 3.12 and Figure 3.14). The Enhanced Multimodal Corridor cross section is appropriate in locations where land use along the corridor is transit supportive. Examples include mixed use development, medium to high density residential, and commercial land uses. In addition, the extent of the Enhanced Multimodal Corridor cross section should be determined based on logical gateways into rail station areas. Examples include major intersections with the half-mile station area buffer or at the edge of a corridor with transit supportive land uses. Local commercial streets in the transit station buffer should have a striped bicycle lane while local residential streets should have shared lanes.

Figure 3.11: Local Street Cross Section (Industrial/Commercial)

![Figure 3.11]

Source: Adams County Engineering Roadway Standards

Figure 3.12: Enhanced Multimodal Corridor Local Street Cross Section (Commercial)

![Figure 3.12]

Source: Fehr & Peers
Figure 3.13: Local Street Cross Section (Residential)

Figure 3.14: Enhanced Multimodal Corridor Local Street Cross Section (Residential)

Figure 3.15: Local Street Cross Section (Residential – Rural)
3.4.2 - REVISING FUNCTIONAL CLASSIFICATIONS

While the Adams County functional classification system for roadways does not change with Advancing Adams, it is anticipated that there may be a future need to revisit the functional classifications as the County grows. Roadways will likely be reclassified on a case-by-case basis when significant development occurs. In these instances, the County can evaluate the need for a roadway reclassification based on the following criteria:

Traffic Volumes:
Current and forecasted average daily traffic. A significant increase in traffic volumes can merit a reclassification to a design that can accommodate heavier volumes.

Spacing:
The spacing between the candidate roadway and adjacent roadways with the proposed functional classification. The Adams County Development Standards and Regulations state that major arterials should be spaced one mile apart, minor arterial spacing should be ½ to one mile apart. The Development Standards and Regulations do not list specific spacing requirements for collectors, though typically collectors are spaced depending on land use context. In the more densely developed areas of Adams County, collector spacing should be at a minimum of ¼ mile.

Access Management:
Evaluation of whether a reclassification may trigger the need to have enhanced access management. If for example, a roadway is to be reclassified from a collector to an arterial, the number of driveways and left turns should be inventoried to determine whether the volume of turning movements can be accommodated on a roadway with higher design speeds.

Traffic Controls:
Inventory of existing and planned traffic signals and stop sign controls. Generally, traffic control treatments become less frequent from local to collector to arterial to freeway classifications.

Local Support:
The County should determine the affected neighborhood is supportive of the reclassification.
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MAP 3.2: ROADWAY CLASSIFICATIONS
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3.5 - GRAVEL ROAD PAVING PRIORITIZATION

When considering whether to pave a roadway that currently has a gravel surface, the Adams County Public Works Department can assess the set of corridors that are potential candidates for paving based on the quantitative and qualitative factors listed in this section. In some instances, there are specific thresholds that can be set for determining whether a roadway should be paved (e.g., traffic volume). In other instances, the factor must be considered on a case-by-case basis. The Adams County Public Works Department can make a determination on whether a gravel roadway should be paved by answering the questions following for each roadway that is under consideration for paving. Roadways that meet the greatest number of criteria listed should be prioritized for paving.

**Existing Demand**

- How many vehicles and what types of vehicles are using the corridor?
- Do volumes exceed 500 Average Daily Traffic (ADT)?
- Are there more than 50 heavy vehicles utilizing the roadway each day? Gravel roadways with a low subgrade support condition can require 14.5 inches on gravel to support truck volumes of 25-50 vehicles per day. Volumes in excess of 50 heavy vehicles will cause accelerated degradation (FHWA).

**Roadway Classification**

- Is the roadway classified as an arterial or collector?
- If not, is the roadway due to be reclassified to an arterial or collector per the Transportation Master Plan or through development?

**Connectivity**

- Does the roadway border neighboring jurisdictions (either communities outside Adams County or incorporated municipalities within the County)?
- If so, does it connect to roadways on the other side of the County boundary that are currently paved? In these instances, paving should be considered to establish a consistent roadway surface.

*Figure 3.16: Washboarding (source: Landlock Paving)*
Is the roadway an emergency access route?

**Maintenance**

- How often does the existing gravel surface require maintenance? How often are erosion, drainage, washboarding, etc. issues being addressed?
- Would the cost of maintaining the same roadway as a paved facility be lower in the long term?

**Design Speed**

Gravel roads are intended to operate at low or moderate speeds due to the fluctuating surface conditions and relatively low traffic, hence these corridors typically have a design speed of 45 mph or less. The gravel roads are also designed with minimal design criteria due to the low-speed environment.

Paving a road can increase vehicle speeds.

In the event that a road becomes paved, are the safety inadequacies and roadway geometrics like sight distance and horizontal and vertical alignments that are dependent on the design speed met with the existing design speed or should they be increased?

**Sight Distance**

Sight distance is the length of roadway ahead that is visible to the driver. The available sight distance on a roadway should be sufficiently long to enable a vehicle traveling at or near the design speed to stop before reaching a stationary object in its path, as shown in Figure 3.17.

- With the paving surface and new design speeds (i.e., in the paved condition), would the sight distance requirements be met?

---

**Figure 3.17: Sight Distance**

- Sight line
- Sight distance
- Vertical summit curve
- Horizontal curve
- Intersection
• If not, what type of obstructions must be removed to meet the requirements?

Alignment and curves

When upgrading a gravel roadway to paved, the horizontal and vertical alignment should be compatible to the anticipated traffic speeds. It becomes necessary to address the safety problems created by the higher speeds and side friction factors that result from paving.

• How sharp are the curves in the roadway?

• Is the alignment, both vertical and horizontal, adequate for the established design speed?

If the realignment costs prohibit the upgrading of all substandard sections, then paving should be reconsidered or warning devices should be placed in accordance with the Manual on Uniform Traffic Control Devices (MUTCD).

Surface friction

Surface friction is the force that resists the relative motion between a vehicle tire and a road surface. It plays a vital role in keeping a vehicle on the road and giving drivers the ability to control/maneuver their vehicles in a safe manner. Higher surface friction equates to more control over the vehicle. The coefficient of friction on gravel surfaces varies at a range from 0.40 to 0.70, which is much lower than on paved surfaces. The coefficient of friction is used to calculate the stopping sight distance for a given design speed.

• Is the stopping sight distance adequate with the paved surface friction characteristics?

Lane width

Wider, unpaved roads encourage higher speeds, thereby increasing the potential for accidents. Unpaved roads that are narrow tend to be driven at low speeds and thus have a lower risk of crashes. NCHRP Report 362 found crash rates on unpaved roads to be lower on roadways with a total width of less than 18 feet compared to roadways of 20 feet to 22 feet or greater.

• For the current roadway with the gravel surface, is the width greater than 18 feet?

Figure 3.18: Curved Gravel Road (source: EMTSP)
Superelevation

Superelevation is the banking of a roadway along a horizontal curve so motorists can safely and comfortably maneuver the curve at reasonable speeds, as conveyed in Figure 3.19. A steeper superelevation rate is required as speeds increase, or horizontal curves become tighter. One of the biggest challenges in gravel road maintenance at curves is that the traffic will tend to displace the gravel towards the upper end of the road and the inside of the curve will become lower. As a result, curves can very easily go out of proper shape and requires constant attention during each maintenance cycle.

- For the current roadway with the gravel surface, is there a lack of superelevation that requires constant attention to maintain the uniform shape throughout the curves?
If so, paving the roadway may result in lower maintenance costs in the long-term.

Bridge deficiencies

As the gravel roads have smaller lane widths, the bridges and culverts at the approach roadways also tend to be narrower. If the gravel roadway is to be paved, would the bridges along the roadway require widening? This can be a very costly investment and will impact the total cost of paving and maintenance.

Figure 3.19: Superelevation for Maintaining Safe Turning Movement as a Roadway Curves (source: FHWA)

Soil condition and drainage improvements

Paving a road with poor base or with inadequate drainage can lead to continual maintenance problems. If the foundation fails or the water is not drained properly from the road, the pavement is bound to fail. It is helpful to have a basic knowledge of the soil characteristics in the area and the type of gravel used for the road surface versus the base for pavement. The gravel road surface needs to
have more fines plus plasticity to bind it together, make it drain quicker and create a hard riding surface. Such material is an inferior base for pavement. If pavement is laid over such material, water can become trapped in the base. The high fines and the plasticity of the material make the wet base soft resulting in premature pavement failure.

- Would the gravel road require strengthening and drainage work prior to paving?
- If so, what are cost estimates of the new materials?

3.5.1.1 - QUALITATIVE CONSIDERATIONS

There may be instances where additional considerations beyond the data-driven factors should be considered. The following factors can be assessed on a case-by-case basis when the County is making determinations on paving needs and priorities.

Overall Condition

- Will resurfacing a gravel roadway that has deteriorated due to severe weather events or other contributing factors beyond the control of the Adams County maintenance department represent a high enough level of cost that paving the roadway will be relatively less costly?

A second financial consideration is to compare maintenance costs of a paved road to maintenance costs of a gravel road.

Future Demand

- Is the roadway serving an area where development is set to occur shortly?
- If so, will the development add a high enough volume of vehicle traffic to merit paving?
- Is the roadway located in a section of the County that may redevelop to a higher intensity of residential use or to an industrial use per the future land use plan?

As additional roads become paved, Adams County Public Works will take on maintenance of more lane miles of paved roadways. The overall capacity to maintain the newly paved roads to a state of good repair should be considered first and foremost when making road paving decisions.

3.6 - FUTURE ROADWAY NETWORK

The future roadway projects for Adams County were determined based on a combination of inputs including: the roadway plan outlined in the 2012 Transportation Master Plan; accommodating future land uses in the preferred land use plan; forecasted traffic growth and volume to capacity ratios; community input; and coordination with investments by neighboring jurisdictions. Projects from the 2012 Transportation
Master Plan that have not yet been implemented were evaluated for continued need. Additional projects were added based on results from community outreach and based on future need as determined by an assessment of planned land uses. **Table 3.2** shows the project details for each proposed project, along with the lead agency. **Map 3.3** displays the project locations. **Chapter 8** offers a prioritized list of the roadway projects the County can use when making decisions on which items to move forward into planning and design phases and earmark in the Capital Improvements Program (CIP).

Adams County is also supportive of potential improvements to state-managed freeways within the County. Specifically, Adams County would like a widening of E-470 evaluated, addition of managed lanes on I-25, and a study of potential improvements to I-270, which is one of the most heavily traveled corridors in the County and is a critical freight corridor. Should the Colorado Department of Transportation explore opportunities to improve key interstate and US highway corridors in Adams County, the County can serve as a stakeholder for establishing needs and opportunities on the corridors.
TABLE 3.1: PROPOSED ROADWAY PROJECTS

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>NAME</th>
<th>EXTENTS</th>
<th>EXISTING</th>
<th>PROPOSED</th>
<th>PROJECT TYPE</th>
<th>MILES</th>
<th>PLANNING LEVEL COST ESTIMATE</th>
<th>LEAD</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Behrens Rd</td>
<td>88th Ave to 112th Ave</td>
<td>Unpaved Road</td>
<td>2 Lanes</td>
<td>New 2 lane roadway</td>
<td>3.0</td>
<td>$19,649,000</td>
<td>Adams County</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bradbury-Krebs Rd</td>
<td>US 36 to 168th Ave</td>
<td>Partially Paved Road</td>
<td>2 Lanes</td>
<td>New 2 lane roadway</td>
<td>18.1</td>
<td>$117,924,000</td>
<td>Adams County</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Buckley Rd</td>
<td>120th Ave to 136th Ave</td>
<td>2 Lanes</td>
<td>4 Lanes</td>
<td>Widen by 2 travel lanes</td>
<td>4.0</td>
<td>$12,383,000</td>
<td>Adams County</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Calhoun-Byers Rd</td>
<td>US 36 to 88th Ave</td>
<td>Partially Paved Road</td>
<td>2 Lanes</td>
<td>New 2 lane roadway</td>
<td>8.1</td>
<td>$52,553,000</td>
<td>Adams County</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CO-224</td>
<td>Broadway St to US 85</td>
<td>2 to 4 Lanes</td>
<td>4 Lanes</td>
<td>Widen by 2 travel lanes</td>
<td>2.0</td>
<td>$6,184,000</td>
<td>CDOT</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CO-7</td>
<td>I-25 to US 85</td>
<td>2 to 4 Lanes</td>
<td>Study Improvements</td>
<td>Study improvements</td>
<td>8.8</td>
<td>$2,200,000</td>
<td>CDOT</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CO-79</td>
<td>I-70 to 168th Ave</td>
<td>Partially Paved Road</td>
<td>2 Lanes</td>
<td>New 2 lane roadway</td>
<td>17.9</td>
<td>$116,775,000</td>
<td>CDOT</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Colorado Blvd</td>
<td>88th Ave to I-76</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>4 Lanes</td>
<td>New 4 lane roadway</td>
<td>1.6</td>
<td>$16,078,000</td>
<td>Thornton</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CO-24</td>
<td>Watkins Rd to E 48th Ave</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>2 Lanes</td>
<td>New 2 lane roadway</td>
<td>2.0</td>
<td>$12,987,000</td>
<td>Adams County</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Deter-Winters Rd</td>
<td>112th Ave to 152nd Ave</td>
<td>Unpaved Road</td>
<td>2 Lanes</td>
<td>New 2 lane roadway</td>
<td>5.1</td>
<td>$33,035,000</td>
<td>Adams County</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E 104th Ave</td>
<td>Shamrock to Winview</td>
<td>Unpaved Road</td>
<td>2 Lanes</td>
<td>New 2 lane roadway</td>
<td>3.9</td>
<td>$25,370,000</td>
<td>Adams County</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E 104th Ave</td>
<td>Colorado Blvd to I-76</td>
<td>2 Lanes</td>
<td>4 Lanes</td>
<td>Widen by 2 travel lanes</td>
<td>3.8</td>
<td>$11,796,000</td>
<td>CDOT</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E 112th Ave</td>
<td>Rector to Deter</td>
<td>Unpaved Road</td>
<td>2 Lanes</td>
<td>New 2 lane roadway</td>
<td>4.0</td>
<td>$26,241,000</td>
<td>Adams County</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E 112th Ave</td>
<td>Strasburg to Horrogate</td>
<td>Unpaved Road</td>
<td>2 Lanes</td>
<td>New 2 lane roadway</td>
<td>10.3</td>
<td>$67,450,000</td>
<td>Adams County</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E 120th Ave</td>
<td>SH 79 to Strasburg</td>
<td>Partially Paved Road</td>
<td>2 Lanes</td>
<td>New 2 lane roadway</td>
<td>5.0</td>
<td>$32,753,000</td>
<td>Adams County</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NAME</td>
<td>EXTENTS</td>
<td>EXISTING</td>
<td>PROPOSED</td>
<td>PROJECT TYPE</td>
<td>MILES</td>
<td>PLANNING LEVEL COST ESTIMATE</td>
<td>LEAD</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---------------------------</td>
<td>--------------------------</td>
<td>---------------------------</td>
<td>----------------</td>
<td>-------------------------</td>
<td>-------</td>
<td>-----------------------------</td>
<td>----------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E 120th Ave</td>
<td>Imboden to SH 79</td>
<td>Partially Paved Road</td>
<td>2 Lanes</td>
<td>New 2 lane roadway</td>
<td>8.9</td>
<td>$58,230,000</td>
<td>Adams County</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E 120th Ave</td>
<td>Holly St to US 85</td>
<td>4 Lanes</td>
<td>6 Lanes</td>
<td>Widen by 2 travel lanes</td>
<td>7.6</td>
<td>$23,548,000</td>
<td>Adams County</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E 120th Ave</td>
<td>Deter to Shamrock</td>
<td>Unpaved Road</td>
<td>2 Lanes</td>
<td>New 2 lane roadway</td>
<td>8.7</td>
<td>$56,501,000</td>
<td>Adams County</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E 120th Ave</td>
<td>US-85 to Tower</td>
<td>Partially Paved Road</td>
<td>6 Lanes</td>
<td>Widen by 4 travel lanes</td>
<td>4.6</td>
<td>$21,408,000</td>
<td>Adams County</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E 120th Ave</td>
<td>Tower to Imboden</td>
<td>Partially Paved Road</td>
<td>4 Lanes</td>
<td>Widen by 2 travel lanes</td>
<td>10.0</td>
<td>$30,837,000</td>
<td>Adams County</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E 144th Ave</td>
<td>Imboden to Peoria Crossing</td>
<td>Partially Paved Road</td>
<td>2 Lanes</td>
<td>New 2 lane roadway</td>
<td>25.0</td>
<td>$162,938,000</td>
<td>Adams County</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E 152nd Ave</td>
<td>I-76 to Imboden</td>
<td>Partially Paved Road</td>
<td>2 Lanes</td>
<td>New 2 lane roadway</td>
<td>8.9</td>
<td>$58,363,000</td>
<td>Adams County</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E 152nd Ave</td>
<td>Mimosa to Philmay</td>
<td>Partially Paved Road</td>
<td>2 Lanes</td>
<td>New 2 lane roadway</td>
<td>5.9</td>
<td>$38,677,000</td>
<td>Adams County</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E 168th Ave</td>
<td>I-25 to Quebec St</td>
<td>2 Lanes</td>
<td>4 Lanes</td>
<td>Widen by 2 travel lanes</td>
<td>3.8</td>
<td>$11,892,000</td>
<td>Adams County</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E 48th Ave</td>
<td>Imboden Rd to Manilla Rd</td>
<td>Partially Paved Road</td>
<td>2 Lanes</td>
<td>New 2 lane roadway</td>
<td>3.0</td>
<td>$19,574,000</td>
<td>Colorado Air and Space Port</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E 56th Ave</td>
<td>Imboden to SH 79</td>
<td>Partially Paved Road</td>
<td>2 Lanes</td>
<td>New 2 lane roadway</td>
<td>15.0</td>
<td>$97,946,000</td>
<td>Adams County</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E 56th Ave</td>
<td>East Rd to Winview</td>
<td>Partially Paved Road</td>
<td>2 Lanes</td>
<td>New 2 lane roadway</td>
<td>2.9</td>
<td>$19,118,000</td>
<td>Adams County</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E 56th Ave</td>
<td>Bradbury to Rector</td>
<td>Partially Paved Road</td>
<td>2 Lanes</td>
<td>New 2 lane roadway</td>
<td>9.0</td>
<td>$58,789,000</td>
<td>Adams County</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E 64th Ave</td>
<td>Strasburg to Bradbury</td>
<td>Unpaved Road</td>
<td>2 Lanes</td>
<td>New 2 lane roadway</td>
<td>4.0</td>
<td>$26,337,000</td>
<td>Adams County</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E 64th Ave</td>
<td>E 56th Ave to E 64th Ave</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>2 Lanes</td>
<td>New 2 lane roadway</td>
<td>1.4</td>
<td>$8,871,000</td>
<td>Adams County</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NAME</td>
<td>EXTENTS</td>
<td>EXISTING</td>
<td>PROPOSED</td>
<td>PROJECT TYPE</td>
<td>MILES</td>
<td>PLANNING LEVEL COST ESTIMATE</td>
<td>LEAD</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>----------------</td>
<td>-----------------------------</td>
<td>-----------</td>
<td>-----------------</td>
<td>----------------------------</td>
<td>-------</td>
<td>-------------------------------</td>
<td>---------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E 96th Ave</td>
<td>Colorado Blvd to I-76</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>Study Improvements</td>
<td>Study improvements</td>
<td>2.4</td>
<td>$424,000</td>
<td>Adams County</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E 96th Ave</td>
<td>Behren to Rector</td>
<td>Unpaved Road</td>
<td>2 Lanes</td>
<td>New 2 lane roadway</td>
<td>4.0</td>
<td>$26,152,000</td>
<td>Adams County</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E 96th Ave</td>
<td>Hanks to East Rd</td>
<td>Unpaved Road</td>
<td>2 Lanes</td>
<td>New 2 lane roadway</td>
<td>10.7</td>
<td>$69,650,000</td>
<td>Adams County</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>East Rd</td>
<td>US 36 to 56th Ave</td>
<td>Unpaved Road</td>
<td>2 Lanes</td>
<td>New 2 lane roadway</td>
<td>4.0</td>
<td>$26,343,000</td>
<td>Adams County</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Federal Blvd</td>
<td>52nd to 72nd Ave</td>
<td>4 to 6 Lanes</td>
<td>Study Improvements</td>
<td>Study improvements</td>
<td>4.0</td>
<td>$699,000</td>
<td>CDOT</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hanks Crossing</td>
<td>US 36 to 112th Ave</td>
<td>Unpaved Road</td>
<td>2 Lanes</td>
<td>New 2 lane roadway</td>
<td>10.9</td>
<td>$71,425,000</td>
<td>Adams County</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Harvest Rd</td>
<td>120th Ave to 168th Ave</td>
<td>Unpaved Road</td>
<td>2 Lanes</td>
<td>New 2 lane roadway</td>
<td>6.0</td>
<td>$38,913,000</td>
<td>Adams County</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Headlight Rd</td>
<td>US 36 to 48th Ave</td>
<td>Partially Paved Road</td>
<td>2 Lanes</td>
<td>New 2 lane roadway</td>
<td>3.0</td>
<td>$19,446,000</td>
<td>Adams County</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Horrogate Rd</td>
<td>112th Ave to 148th Ave</td>
<td>Unpaved Road</td>
<td>2 Lanes</td>
<td>New 2 lane roadway</td>
<td>4.6</td>
<td>$30,230,000</td>
<td>Adams County</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hudson Rd</td>
<td>US 36 to 72nd Ave</td>
<td>Partially Paved Road</td>
<td>2 Lanes</td>
<td>New 2 lane roadway</td>
<td>5.9</td>
<td>$38,478,000</td>
<td>CDOT</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Imboden Rd</td>
<td>56th Avenue to 160th</td>
<td>Partially Paved Road</td>
<td>2 Lanes</td>
<td>New 2 lane roadway</td>
<td>13.0</td>
<td>$84,911,000</td>
<td>Adams County</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Imboden Rd</td>
<td>1-70/Quail Run Rd to 56th Ave</td>
<td>Partially Paved Road</td>
<td>4 Lanes</td>
<td>New 4 lane roadway</td>
<td>3.5</td>
<td>$34,947,000</td>
<td>Adams County</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Imboden Rd</td>
<td>160th to 168th</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>2 Lanes</td>
<td>New 2 lane roadway</td>
<td>1.1</td>
<td>$6,886,000</td>
<td>Adams County</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Manilla Rd</td>
<td>1-70 to 48th Ave</td>
<td>Partially Paved Road</td>
<td>2 Lanes</td>
<td>New 2 lane roadway</td>
<td>3.0</td>
<td>$19,484,000</td>
<td>Colorado Air and Space Port</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Manilla Rd</td>
<td>56th Ave to 144th Ave</td>
<td>Partially Paved Road</td>
<td>2 Lanes</td>
<td>New 2 lane roadway</td>
<td>11.0</td>
<td>$71,813,000</td>
<td>Adams County</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NAME</td>
<td>EXTENTS</td>
<td>EXISTING</td>
<td>PROPOSED</td>
<td>PROJECT TYPE</td>
<td>MILES</td>
<td>PLANNING LEVEL COST ESTIMATE</td>
<td>LEAD</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>--------------------------</td>
<td>--------------------------</td>
<td>---------------------------</td>
<td>--------------</td>
<td>-----------------------</td>
<td>-------</td>
<td>-----------------------------</td>
<td>------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mimosa Rd</td>
<td>112th Ave to 168th Ave</td>
<td>Partially Paved Road</td>
<td>2 Lanes</td>
<td>New 2 lane roadway</td>
<td>7.1</td>
<td>$46,018,000</td>
<td>Adams County</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Monaco St</td>
<td>104th Ave to 88th Ave</td>
<td>2 Lanes</td>
<td>Study Improvements</td>
<td>Study improvements</td>
<td>1.9</td>
<td>$191,000</td>
<td>Adams County</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pecos St</td>
<td>1-76 to 84th Ave</td>
<td>4 Lanes</td>
<td>Study Improvements</td>
<td>Study improvements</td>
<td>2.8</td>
<td>$485,000</td>
<td>Adams County</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pecos St</td>
<td>52nd to 58th</td>
<td>2 Lanes</td>
<td>4 Lanes</td>
<td>Widen by 2 travel lanes</td>
<td>0.7</td>
<td>$2,179,000</td>
<td>Adams County</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Peoria Crossing Rd</td>
<td>136th Ave to 168th Ave</td>
<td>Unpaved Road</td>
<td>2 Lanes</td>
<td>New 2 lane roadway</td>
<td>4.0</td>
<td>$26,315,000</td>
<td>Adams County</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Petterson Rd</td>
<td>144th Ave to 168th Ave</td>
<td>Unpaved Road</td>
<td>2 Lanes</td>
<td>New 2 lane roadway</td>
<td>3.0</td>
<td>$19,745,000</td>
<td>Adams County</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Philmay Rd</td>
<td>152nd Ave to 168th Ave</td>
<td>Unpaved Road</td>
<td>2 Lanes</td>
<td>New 2 lane roadway</td>
<td>2.0</td>
<td>$13,164,000</td>
<td>Adams County</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Piccadilly Rd</td>
<td>120th Ave to 152nd Ave</td>
<td>Partially Paved Road</td>
<td>2 Lanes</td>
<td>New 2 lane roadway</td>
<td>4.0</td>
<td>$26,126,000</td>
<td>Adams County</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Piccadilly Rd</td>
<td>96th Ave to 120th Ave</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>4 Lanes</td>
<td>New 4 lane roadway</td>
<td>3.0</td>
<td>$29,947,000</td>
<td>Adams County</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Piggott Rd</td>
<td>US 36 to 48th Ave</td>
<td>Partially Paved Road</td>
<td>2 Lanes</td>
<td>New 2 lane roadway</td>
<td>3.0</td>
<td>$19,619,000</td>
<td>Adams County</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Piggott Rd</td>
<td>48th Ave to 56th Ave</td>
<td>Unpaved Road</td>
<td>2 Lanes</td>
<td>New 2 lane roadway</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>$6,487,000</td>
<td>Adams County</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rector Leader Rd</td>
<td>US 36 to 112th Ave</td>
<td>Partially Paved Road</td>
<td>2 Lanes</td>
<td>New 2 lane roadway</td>
<td>11.0</td>
<td>$71,681,000</td>
<td>Adams County</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Schumaker Rd</td>
<td>I-70 to 136th Ave</td>
<td>Unpaved Road</td>
<td>2 Lanes</td>
<td>New 2 lane roadway</td>
<td>14.0</td>
<td>$91,286,000</td>
<td>Adams County</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Shamrock Rd</td>
<td>96th Ave to 168th Ave</td>
<td>Unpaved Road</td>
<td>2 Lanes</td>
<td>New 2 lane roadway</td>
<td>9.0</td>
<td>$59,032,000</td>
<td>Adams County</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sheridan Blvd</td>
<td>52nd to 72nd Ave</td>
<td>4 Lanes</td>
<td>Study Improvements</td>
<td>Study improvements</td>
<td>2.5</td>
<td>$438,000</td>
<td>CDOT</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Strasburg Rd</td>
<td>48th Ave to 144th Ave</td>
<td>Partially Paved Road</td>
<td>2 Lanes</td>
<td>New 2 lane roadway</td>
<td>12.0</td>
<td>$78,412,000</td>
<td>Adams County</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NAME</td>
<td>EXTENTS</td>
<td>EXISTING</td>
<td>PROPOSED</td>
<td>PROJECT TYPE</td>
<td>MILES</td>
<td>PLANNING LEVEL COST ESTIMATE</td>
<td>LEAD</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-----------------</td>
<td>--------------------</td>
<td>------------------------</td>
<td>----------</td>
<td>----------------------------</td>
<td>-------</td>
<td>------------------------------</td>
<td>--------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Strasburg Rd</td>
<td>US 36 to 48th Ave</td>
<td>Partially Paved Road</td>
<td>2 Lanes</td>
<td>New 2 lane roadway</td>
<td>5.9</td>
<td>$38,500,000</td>
<td>Adams County</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>New Roadway</td>
<td>E-470 to E 152nd Pkwy</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>2 Lanes</td>
<td>New 2 lane roadway</td>
<td>1.4</td>
<td>$9,066,000</td>
<td>Adams County</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Watkins Rd</td>
<td>Watkins Rd to Imboden Rd</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>2 Lanes</td>
<td>New 2 lane roadway</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>$6,678,000</td>
<td>Adams County</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Winview Rd</td>
<td>US 36 to 56th Ave</td>
<td>Partially Paved Road</td>
<td>2 Lanes</td>
<td>New 2 lane roadway</td>
<td>18.0</td>
<td>$117,767,000</td>
<td>Adams County</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wolf Creek Rd</td>
<td>26th Ave to 48th Ave</td>
<td>Unpaved Road</td>
<td>2 Lanes</td>
<td>New 2 lane roadway</td>
<td>2.0</td>
<td>$12,920,000</td>
<td>Adams County</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>York St</td>
<td>58th Ave to 88th Ave</td>
<td>2 to 4 Lanes</td>
<td>4 Lanes</td>
<td>Widen by 2 travel lanes</td>
<td>4.4</td>
<td>$13,633,000</td>
<td>Adams County</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yulle Rd</td>
<td>I-70 to 56th Ave</td>
<td>Unpaved Road</td>
<td>2 Lanes</td>
<td>New 2 lane roadway</td>
<td>4.4</td>
<td>$28,610,000</td>
<td>Adams County</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
4.1 - KEY ISSUES

People who walk and use assistive mobility devices in Adams County face several key challenges when navigating the pedestrian environment. First, along arterials such as Federal Boulevard, Washington Street, West 84th Avenue, pedestrians encounter relatively narrow sidewalks directly abutting high volumes, high speed vehicle traffic, frequent curb cuts, and wide crossings at intersections. Many of these arterials are key travel corridors and hotspots of commercial activity, but the high-stress pedestrian environment dissuades people who might otherwise walk or puts those foot-reliant in uncomfortable situations.

Additionally, sidewalk connectivity poses issues for users walking as transportation. Many sidewalks in the County have missing gaps, requiring users to walk along the shoulder or grass alongside a roadway. These sidewalk connections and safe crossings are especially important near key destinations such as bus stops and commuter rail stations so that transit riders can comfortably access transit. For example, around the Pecos Junction Station, there are sidewalks present along North Pecos Street and the West 62nd Parkway, but no crosswalks for someone beginning their journey on the west side of Pecos Street to reach West 62nd Parkway, and no crosswalk for someone on the sidewalk on the north side of West 62nd Parkway to cross to the south to enter the transit station. Along Washington Street and East 104th Avenue, transit riders must navigate deficient sidewalks, sidewalk gaps, and attached sidewalks that force them to wait for the bus directly alongside heavy traffic.

Finally, because Adams County abuts seven counties and has 17 member jurisdictions, both incorporated and unincorporated, implementing a more connected sidewalk network will require cross jurisdictional collaboration. For roadways such as Federal Boulevard, Pecos Street, and Washington Street that cross multiple jurisdictions, and for roadways under CDOT’s jurisdiction, Adams County will need to build relationships and leverage partnerships in order to implement large projects and create a consistent experience for users.

4.2 - BIG IDEAS

The main goals for the pedestrian element of the Transportation Master Plan are to:

Complete sidewalk gaps in high priority pedestrian areas:
Adams County should prioritize the completion of missing sidewalks in locations where there are no facilities but where facilities are required by street standards (per Chapter 3).

Rehabilitate existing sidewalks:
This includes replacement of damaged sidewalks and widening of substandard sidewalks.
Rebuild curb ramps to comply with ADA:
Implement new curb ramps and upgrade existing curb ramps to ensure that they are ADA-compliant. This investment should be completed according to the prioritization tiers identified later in this chapter.

Implement new enhanced pedestrian street crossings:
Once key crossing locations are identified based on community concern and the prioritization process defined in this chapter, determine appropriate crossing treatments based on the vehicle volume, vehicle speed, and pedestrian volume.

Consider use of facilities by equestrians:
People riding horses may wish to travel in Adams County or connect to the trail network. Appropriate design considerations should be made, especially on key corridors, to accommodate these users.

4.3 - SIDEWALK UPGRADE PRIORITIZATION METHODOLOGY

The Transportation Master Plan prioritizes sidewalk projects using a data-driven approach to determine those needed most and with the greatest likely return on investment. The framework acknowledges the County has limited funding for sidewalks and identifies the most critical sidewalk gap completion and rehabilitation projects.

To determine the highest priority missing sidewalks in Adams County, missing sidewalks were evaluated on several factors related to access to transit, recreation, key destinations, and safety in order to objectively identify the most important segments for pedestrian connections. The methodology for this analysis built off of the ADA Transition Plan and Making Connections Plan, with the addition of criteria and background data that aligns with the Comprehensive Plan. This analysis was conducted for all roadways, regardless of whether sidewalks already existed there, and also for roadways specifically missing sidewalks. This determines both the highest need for upgrading existing sidewalks and highest need for constructing new sidewalks where they are missing. Priority areas were determined through a spatial analysis consisting of the factors in Table 4.1. Some factors of higher importance were given a higher weight, as shown in the ‘weight’ column. The areas with the highest scores were given the highest priority for pedestrian improvement. The results of this analysis are shown in Map 4.1. Each tier of projects is then prioritized as illustrated in Figure 4.1.

Within each of these six categories, the County should review and prioritize specific locations for gap completion or rehabilitation annually and on a case-by-case basis. In addition to the designated tier, decision makers should also consider the following
factors that may shift when a sidewalk is completed, regardless of its tier:

- Is there new development and/or a willing property owner adjacent to the sidewalk location?
- How/when does this location tie into the street paving/rehabilitation schedule?
- Is there a funding source available such as a Safe Routes to School grant?
- Could partnerships be formed with local entities to perform upgrades?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>TABLE 4.1: SIDEWALK UPGRADE PRIORITIZATION INPUTS</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>INPUTS</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Proximity to bus stops</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Proximity to commuter rail stations</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Proximity to parks/open space</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Proximity to trail access points</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DRCOG Urban Centers</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Proximity to key destinations (hospitals/urgent care, public libraries, grocery stores, rec centers)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Proximity to schools, including early learning centers</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Frequency of bike and pedestrian related crashes along corridor (2013-2018)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Figure 4.1:** Illustration of Pedestrian Prioritization Methodology (Source: Fehr & Peers)
MAP 4.1: TIERED PEDESTRIAN PRIORITY AREAS
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4.4 - PEDESTRIAN CROSSINGS

Safe pedestrian crossings are critical to the comfort of the overall pedestrian network. Pedestrian networks are only as comfortable as their least comfortable link which in many cases are roadway crossings. There are two basic categories for pedestrian crossings—controlled crossings and uncontrolled crossings. A controlled crossing is a crosswalk across a roadway that is controlled by a stop sign or traffic signal. Controlled crossings are typically installed on roadways with higher vehicle volumes and vehicle speeds such as arterials or collectors. An uncontrolled crossing is a crosswalk where vehicle traffic is not controlled by a stop sign or traffic signal. Uncontrolled crossings are typically located on local roadways where vehicle volumes and speeds are relatively low. The specific treatments at both controlled and uncontrolled crossings (marked crosswalk, signage, flashing beacons, etc.) should be determined using national best practices. For example, the National Association of City Transportation Officials’ (NACTO) Urban Street Design Guidelines include important considerations and recommendations for designing safe and comfortable pedestrian crossings for both controlled and uncontrolled crossings. The FHWA and USDOT developed the Guide for Improving Pedestrian Safety at Uncontrolled Crossing Locations. This document details the best practices used across the country for building safe and comfortable uncontrolled crossings. It summarizes criteria for pedestrian uncontrolled crossings and details procedures for evaluating the types of crossing treatments that may be applicable for a particular set of vehicular volumes, speeds, and roadway geometries. Creating safe and appropriately spaced roadway crossings is an important component of a complete pedestrian network. Both proactive and reactive approaches are key to a comprehensive pedestrian crosswalk safety strategy.

4.4.1 - REACTIVELY ADDRESSING PEDESTRIAN CROSSING LOCATIONS

Reactive approaches to improving pedestrian crossing locations include responding to a request or concern expressed by community members about a particular crossing location or identifying needed safety improvements based on a location’s history of severe or fatal crashes. To address these identified concerns, County staff can refer to the Guide for Improving Pedestrian Safety at Uncontrolled Crossing Locations provided by the FHWA, or the Urban Street Design Guidelines created by the NACTO to determine what treatment type is appropriate at each location. The County should also consider developing or adopting its own standards for pedestrian crossings. Treatment type is based on vehicular traffic volume, speed limit, and number of travel lanes.
Treatments to consider include high visibility crosswalks markings, raised crosswalks, signage, curb extensions, pedestrian refuge islands, beacons such as Rectangular Rapid Flashing Beacon (RRFB) or Pedestrian Hybrid Beacon (PHB), or road reconfigurations (also known as road diets). Additionally, the crash profiles detailed in DRCOG’s Taking Action on Regional Vision Zero plan can be helpful in linking common crash types to safety improvements. Responding to these issues is an important part of improving the pedestrian network but must be in balance with proactively addressing unsafe crossing locations before severe or fatal crashes can occur.

4.4.2 - PROACTIVELY ADDRESSING PEDESTRIAN CROSSING LOCATIONS

The sidewalk completion prioritization in Table 4.1 should be applied to prioritization of crossing enhancements as well. Proactive approaches to investigating street crossings could include walking audits, fieldwork, and community outreach to identify pedestrian safety, connectivity, or comfort issues that may not be evident in reported crash records or specific requests from the community. Once crossing locations that are missing or in need of upgrades are identified (starting with Tier 1), each crossing should be assigned a priority score. This score could be based on the peak hour pedestrian crossing volume and the corresponding conflicting vehicular volume, divided by the project’s cost. Locations with the highest score should be prioritized for planning and implementation.

\[
\text{Score} = \frac{\text{Pedestrian volume} \times \text{Vehicle volume}}{\text{Project cost}}
\]

Adams County can also identify priority safety projects based on high-risk roadway features that correlate with particularly severe crash types. This systemic safety approach goes beyond spot treatments where previous crashes have occurred to identifying locations across the system that have the highest potential for future severe crashes. Other factors to consider in identifying and prioritizing crossing locations include proximity to key destinations such as parks or schools, number of vulnerable users (such as school-aged children), and roadway geometry. Additionally, Adams County should adopt pedestrian crossing standards to ensure all future intersections or midblock crossings that are built are in line with national best practices for safe and comfortable crossings for all users.

4.4.3 - PEDESTRIAN AND BICYCLE GRADE SEPARATED CROSSINGS

Grade separated crossings are dedicated crossing facilities for people walking and people biking. Grade separated crossings can be designed as over-passes (bridges) or underpasses (tunnels). Grade separated crossings create a low
stress connection across roadways allowing people walking and people biking to cross without having to navigate vehicle traffic. These crossing types are an essential component of Safe Systems (Figure 4.3), which is an evidenced-based approach defined by FHWA to reduce fatal and severe traffic crashes. The Safe System acknowledges that people make mistakes. A Safe System helps communities design transportation networks that ensure inevitable mistakes made by roadway users do not result in fatalities. Factors to consider include:

**Speed**
Candidates for grade separated crossings include streets operating at or above 35 mph. As shown in Figure 4.3, fatalities increase significantly as speed increases.

**Facility type**
The weakest link approach conveys that a walking experience will be negatively altered by the most stressful point in a trip, typically at a roadway crossing. Investing in grade separated crossings where trails and paths cross arterials extends the low stress facility across the roadway.

**Users**
Grade separated crossings are valuable to people of all ages and abilities. Grade separated crossings can be located where children are present, including at destinations such as schools, parks, and libraries. Grade separated crossings also

---

**Figure 4.2:** Illustration of the Components of the Safe Systems Approach (Source: Fehr & Peers)
ensure a safe and low stress crossing opportunity for older adults, those with mobility challenges, and others who may have trouble crossing high-speed, high-volume roadways at grade.

4.5 - CONNECTIONS FOR EQUESTRIAN USERS

Adams County has a prominent culture of equestrian users that wish to travel on roadways or access trails. The County should understand the design considerations for these unique users and key corridors that might provide desired access. Equestrians are encouraged to use the multiuse trail network but may need to access trails by connecting on the roadway. A wide sidewalk, at least ten feet, is recommended to provide space between people walking and biking and horses. If there is right-of-way, a parallel soft surface trail provides an ideal surface and separation for people riding horses. Barriers improve safety for all trail users—they can prevent a scared animal from running into the path of others. The barrier must be sturdy and tall (at least 54 inches) enough to gain a horse’s respect or the animal may attempt to run through or jump over it. Additional push buttons can be located at a height accessible to those on a horse—on average 70 inches above ground level.

One specific corridor to consider implementing these design considerations is Washington Street. Washington Street provides a key connection to the Western Stock Show and the South Platte Trail.

Figure 4.3: Correlation Between Vehicle Speed and Fatality (Source: ITE)
CHAPTER 5

BICYCLE NETWORK
The bicycle network in Adams County includes both on- and off-street bicycle facilities. Dedicated, connected bicycle facilities offer a comfortable place for those in Adams County to recreate or travel.

Advancing Adams sets forth a vision for bicycling in the County that makes it much more attractive for all users, regardless of their age or ability.

- The benefits of a multimodal transportation system, including a connected bicycle network, include:
  - Improved community health outcomes, including physical and mental well being
  - Increased equity for affordable and accessible transportation options
  - Enhanced quality of life through the expansion of transportation choices
  - Expanded safe access to transit facilities for those who cannot or choose not to drive
  - Enriched social capital that includes more interactions with and connections to community
  - Strengthened environmental sustainability through improved air quality and fewer vehicle miles traveled (VMT)
  - Improved economic benefits through spending at local businesses
  - Increased safety across all modes and especially for vulnerable roadway users

While there are already 31 miles of bike lanes as well as shared use paths for recreation and transportation, given the size of the County, there are still many gaps in the network and other barriers to bicycling. Advancing Adams recognizes these challenges and outlines a future bicycle network that will address them. The bicycle facilities recommended in this plan are based on national best practices including standards and guidelines set by the American Association of State Highway and Transportation Officials (AASHTO) and the National Association of City Transportation Officials (NACTO).

### 5.1 - KEY ISSUES

Challenges within Adams County’s existing bicycle network include connectivity issues, poor wayfinding, and a lack of comfortable bicycle facilities. The current bicycle network is disconnected, with gaps in bicycle facilities. Members of the public and stakeholders identified that despite living close enough to bike to the grocery store, trails, parks, and commuter rail stations, they felt that a lack of direct paths of travel to these destinations prevented them from biking for transportation. Given the investment in trails that do not provide direct connections in the County, missing connections to these existing
amenities is a key barrier to address in the plan.

We heard consistently in public outreach and in focus groups that Adams County residents and visitors generally prefer to bike off-street (on a trail) than on-street (on a bike lane). This provides a more comfortable experience that is separated from vehicles. Therefore, the focus of the bicycle network is to leverage existing and proposed trails and focus on improving access to trails through low-stress on-street facilities. Protected bike lanes (where there is a vertical buffer between people driving and people biking) and sidepaths, (wide sidewalks that provide enough space for people biking and walking), are the most effective way to provide those connections when a trail isn’t possible. We recommended protected bike lanes and sidepaths to make sure we are continuing the facilities of neighboring jurisdictions, providing bike facilities along corridors of change per the land use plan, and connecting to key destinations.

High comfort bicycle facilities such as sidepaths and protected bike lanes require tradeoffs. This may come in the form of high costs, expanding curb to curb width, acquiring additional right-of-way, or high maintenance costs.

5.2 - BIG IDEAS

The proposed bicycle facilities in Advancing Adams will increase connectivity of the network and support biking for transportation as well as recreation. In order to create a more connected, gridded network and support access to additional destinations, an emphasis was placed on identifying low stress connections to existing and proposed trails. There was also a heavy focus of continuing existing and planned bicycle facilities from neighboring and incorporated jurisdictions into Adams County in order to create a seamless experience for the user. Development of the proposed bicycle network was also coordinated closely with the Preferred Land Use Plan, in order to create comfortable connections for people.
biking to existing and planned key destinations.

Especially in the eastern portion of the County, a phased approach will be needed for constructing bicycle infrastructure as areas develop. Because of the types of bicyclists and traffic volumes in the eastern portion of the County, on-street bicycle facilities are recommended rather than off-street facilities. Additionally, based on feedback from public engagement participants, the plan recommends amenities including enhanced wayfinding and signage, additional parking at trailheads, bike racks/bike share stations, and bicycle repair stations, per identification in the programs section of Chapter 2.

5.3 - FUTURE BICYCLE NETWORK

The future bicycle network and project list are shown in Map 5.1 and Table 5.1, respectively. The development of this network builds off the Adams County 2012 Transportation Master Plan, DRCOG priority bicycle corridors, the existing and proposed bicycle facilities in neighboring jurisdictions, gaps in the existing bicycle network, access to key destinations, and public input.

The network categorizes all proposed facilities as on- or off-street. There are a spectrum of different types of on-street bicycle facilities and spectrum of off-street facility types. This plan does not recommend a specific facility type, understanding that additional analysis of curb-to-curb width, right-of-way, and costs will need to be considered to identify a specific facility type. The County should conduct an additional study before implementation of a bicycle facility.

This plan does identify a glossary of bicycle facility types at this end of this chapter that are recommended that help guide selection of specific facilities and ensure consistency of bicycle facility type within the County. On-street facilities include neighborhood bikeways, bike lanes or buffered bike lanes, or protected bike lanes. Off-street facility types include sidepaths and trails.
MAP 5.1: FUTURE BICYCLE NETWORK
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>FACILITY NAME</th>
<th>EXTENT 1</th>
<th>EXTENT 2</th>
<th>FACILITY TYPE</th>
<th>MILES</th>
<th>PLANNING LEVEL COST ESTIMATE</th>
<th>LEAD</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>76th Ave/El Paso Blvd</td>
<td>Zuni St</td>
<td>Conifer Rd</td>
<td>On-Street</td>
<td>1.4</td>
<td>$168,000</td>
<td>Adams County</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Broadway Blvd</td>
<td>84th Ave</td>
<td>Denver Boulder Turnpike</td>
<td>On-Street</td>
<td>1.6</td>
<td>$199,000</td>
<td>Adams County</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Buckley Rd</td>
<td>Bridge St</td>
<td>120th Ave</td>
<td>On-Street</td>
<td>5.0</td>
<td>$626,000</td>
<td>Adams County</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Clear Creek - Federal Station</td>
<td>Clear Creek Trail</td>
<td>Clear Creek - Federal Station</td>
<td>On-Street</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>$21,000</td>
<td>Adams County</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CO-2</td>
<td>Eisenhower Hwy</td>
<td>E 53rd Ave</td>
<td>On-Street</td>
<td>0.7</td>
<td>$83,000</td>
<td>Commerce City</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CO-79</td>
<td>E 112th Ave</td>
<td>Palmer Ave</td>
<td>On-Street</td>
<td>9.6</td>
<td>$1,193,000</td>
<td>CDOT</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Colorado Blvd</td>
<td>141st Ave</td>
<td>CO-7</td>
<td>On-Street</td>
<td>2.3</td>
<td>$282,000</td>
<td>Adams County</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dahlia St</td>
<td>Frontage Rd</td>
<td>E 70th Ave</td>
<td>On-Street</td>
<td>1.4</td>
<td>$175,000</td>
<td>Adams County</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E 104th Ave</td>
<td>Colorado Front Range Trail</td>
<td>E-470</td>
<td>On-Street</td>
<td>7.4</td>
<td>$923,000</td>
<td>CDOT, Commerce City</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E 112th Ave</td>
<td>Peoria St</td>
<td>Picadilly Rd</td>
<td>On-Street</td>
<td>6.0</td>
<td>$744,000</td>
<td>Commerce City</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E 120th Ave</td>
<td>High Plains Pkwy</td>
<td>Imboden Rd</td>
<td>On-Street</td>
<td>11.0</td>
<td>$1,364,000</td>
<td>Adams County</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E 124th Ave</td>
<td>Park Blvd</td>
<td>Sable Blvd</td>
<td>On-Street</td>
<td>3.1</td>
<td>$385,000</td>
<td>CDOT</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E 132nd Ave</td>
<td>Second Creek Trail</td>
<td>US-6</td>
<td>On-Street</td>
<td>2.6</td>
<td>$325,000</td>
<td>Adams County</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E 132nd Ave</td>
<td>Barr Lake</td>
<td>Picadilly Rd</td>
<td>On-Street</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>$60,000</td>
<td>Adams County</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E 136th Ave</td>
<td>Monaco St</td>
<td>Riverdale Rd</td>
<td>On-Street</td>
<td>2.2</td>
<td>$272,000</td>
<td>Thornton</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E 144th Ave</td>
<td>Brighton Rd</td>
<td>27th Ave</td>
<td>On-Street</td>
<td>2.7</td>
<td>$336,000</td>
<td>Adams County</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E 144th Ave</td>
<td>Imboden Rd</td>
<td>Strasburg Rd</td>
<td>On-Street</td>
<td>14.0</td>
<td>$1,740,000</td>
<td>Adams County</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E 160th Ave</td>
<td>Colorado Blvd</td>
<td>27th Ave</td>
<td>On-Street</td>
<td>7.9</td>
<td>$981,000</td>
<td>CDOT</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E 38th Ave</td>
<td>Harback Rd</td>
<td>Kiowa Bennett Rd</td>
<td>On-Street</td>
<td>3.0</td>
<td>$373,000</td>
<td>Adams County</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E 56th Ave</td>
<td>Eudora St</td>
<td>E-470</td>
<td>On-Street</td>
<td>11.3</td>
<td>$1,408,000</td>
<td>Adams County</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E 56th Ave</td>
<td>E-470</td>
<td>West Sand Creek</td>
<td>On-Street</td>
<td>13.1</td>
<td>$1,623,000</td>
<td>Colorado Air and Space Port</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E 60th Ave</td>
<td>Dunkirk St</td>
<td>New Trail</td>
<td>On-Street</td>
<td>0.7</td>
<td>$85,000</td>
<td>Aurora</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E 66th Ave</td>
<td>Washington St</td>
<td>York St</td>
<td>On-Street</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>$124,000</td>
<td>Adams County</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E 88th Ave</td>
<td>Imboden Rd</td>
<td>Strasberg Rd</td>
<td>On-Street</td>
<td>14.0</td>
<td>$1,737,000</td>
<td>Adams County</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E 96th Ave</td>
<td>Colorado Blvd</td>
<td>Heinz Way</td>
<td>On-Street</td>
<td>3.6</td>
<td>$447,000</td>
<td>Adams County</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Essex Dr/84th Ave</td>
<td>Washington St</td>
<td>Devonshire Blvd</td>
<td>On-Street</td>
<td>1.3</td>
<td>$156,000</td>
<td>Adams County</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FACILITY NAME</td>
<td>EXTENT 1</td>
<td>EXTENT 2</td>
<td>FACILITY TYPE</td>
<td>MILES</td>
<td>PLANNING LEVEL COST ESTIMATE</td>
<td>LEAD</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>--------------------------</td>
<td>----------------</td>
<td>----------------</td>
<td>---------------</td>
<td>-------</td>
<td>------------------------------</td>
<td>-----------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Explorador Calle/ Rainbow Ave</td>
<td>88th Ave</td>
<td>Coronado Pkwy</td>
<td>On-Street</td>
<td>1.1</td>
<td>$134,000</td>
<td>Adams County</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Federal Blvd</td>
<td>Little Dry Creek Trail</td>
<td>Clear Creek Trail</td>
<td>On-Street</td>
<td>1.1</td>
<td>$132,000</td>
<td>CDOT</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fulton St</td>
<td>E 26th Ave</td>
<td>Montview Blvd</td>
<td>On-Street</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>$62,000</td>
<td>Aurora</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Greenwood Blvd</td>
<td>84th Ave</td>
<td>Broadway Blvd</td>
<td>On-Street</td>
<td>1.9</td>
<td>$232,000</td>
<td>Adams County</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Henderson Rd</td>
<td>Riverdale Rd</td>
<td>Park Blvd</td>
<td>On-Street</td>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>$49,000</td>
<td>Adams County</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Holly St</td>
<td>E 160th Ave</td>
<td>E 144th Ave</td>
<td>On-Street</td>
<td>2.0</td>
<td>$246,000</td>
<td>Adams County</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Iola St</td>
<td>E 26th Ave</td>
<td>Montview Blvd</td>
<td>On-Street</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>$64,000</td>
<td>Aurora</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jordan Dr</td>
<td>Zuni St</td>
<td>W 70th Ave</td>
<td>On-Street</td>
<td>0.6</td>
<td>$70,000</td>
<td>Adams County</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lowell Blvd</td>
<td>W 67th Ave</td>
<td>W 52nd Ave</td>
<td>On-Street</td>
<td>1.9</td>
<td>$232,000</td>
<td>Adams County</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Manilla Rd</td>
<td>E 72nd Ave</td>
<td>Eisenhower Hwy</td>
<td>On-Street</td>
<td>4.8</td>
<td>$597,000</td>
<td>Colorado Air and Space Port</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>McElwain Blvd</td>
<td>88th Ave</td>
<td>Devonshire Blvd</td>
<td>On-Street</td>
<td>0.8</td>
<td>$103,000</td>
<td>Adams County</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Monroe St</td>
<td>E 26th Ave</td>
<td>US-36</td>
<td>On-Street</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>$122,000</td>
<td>Adams County</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N Imboden Rd</td>
<td>US-6</td>
<td>Colfax Ave</td>
<td>On-Street</td>
<td>24.4</td>
<td>$3,027,000</td>
<td>Adams County</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pecos St</td>
<td>Clear Creek Trail</td>
<td>56th Ave</td>
<td>On-Street</td>
<td>1.1</td>
<td>$134,000</td>
<td>Adams County</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pecos St</td>
<td>W 152nd Ave</td>
<td>W 144th Ave</td>
<td>On-Street</td>
<td>1.1</td>
<td>$133,000</td>
<td>Adams County</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Picadilly Rd</td>
<td>E 152nd Ave</td>
<td>E 122nd Ave</td>
<td>On-Street</td>
<td>5.0</td>
<td>$620,000</td>
<td>Adams County</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S 50th Ave</td>
<td>E Southern St</td>
<td>Frontage Rd</td>
<td>On-Street</td>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>$51,000</td>
<td>Adams County</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sable Blvd</td>
<td>Bromley Ln</td>
<td>E-470</td>
<td>On-Street</td>
<td>3.0</td>
<td>$374,000</td>
<td>Adams County</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Spruce Ave</td>
<td>Aspen St</td>
<td>Basil St</td>
<td>On-Street</td>
<td>0.7</td>
<td>$87,000</td>
<td>Adams County</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Strasburg Rd</td>
<td>144th Ave</td>
<td>88th Ave</td>
<td>On-Street</td>
<td>7.0</td>
<td>$866,000</td>
<td>Adams County</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Future Street</td>
<td>Bromley Ln</td>
<td>E-470</td>
<td>On-Street</td>
<td>3.1</td>
<td>$385,000</td>
<td>Adams County</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Future Street</td>
<td>E Southern St</td>
<td>E 152nd Ave</td>
<td>On-Street</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>$63,000</td>
<td>Adams County</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tennyson St</td>
<td>W 63rd Dr</td>
<td>W 52nd Ave</td>
<td>On-Street</td>
<td>1.4</td>
<td>$176,000</td>
<td>Adams County</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tower Rd</td>
<td>E Southern St</td>
<td>E 152nd Ave</td>
<td>On-Street</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>$59,000</td>
<td>Adams County</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>US-36</td>
<td>Imboden Rd</td>
<td>Monroe St</td>
<td>On-Street</td>
<td>14.4</td>
<td>$1,794,000</td>
<td>CDOT</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>W 115th Ave</td>
<td>Sheridan Blvd</td>
<td>Wolff St</td>
<td>On-Street</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>$33,000</td>
<td>Westminster</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>W 149th Ave</td>
<td>Zuni St</td>
<td>Huron St</td>
<td>On-Street</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>$122,000</td>
<td>Adams County</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>W 152nd Ave</td>
<td>Zuni St</td>
<td>Huron St</td>
<td>On-Street</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>$122,000</td>
<td>Adams County</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>W 55th Pl/W 56th Ave</td>
<td>Julian St</td>
<td>Pecos St</td>
<td>On-Street</td>
<td>1.4</td>
<td>$179,000</td>
<td>Adams County</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>W 64th Ave</td>
<td>Tennyson St</td>
<td>Clear Creek Trail</td>
<td>On-Street</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>$185,000</td>
<td>Adams County</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FACILITY NAME</td>
<td>EXTENT 1</td>
<td>EXTENT 2</td>
<td>FACILITY TYPE</td>
<td>MILES</td>
<td>PLANNING LEVEL COST ESTIMATE</td>
<td>LEAD</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---------------</td>
<td>---------</td>
<td>---------</td>
<td>---------------</td>
<td>-------</td>
<td>------------------------------</td>
<td>--------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>W 70th Ave</td>
<td>Pecos St</td>
<td>Broadway Blvd</td>
<td>On-Street</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>$124,000</td>
<td>Adams County</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wagner St</td>
<td>E 24th Ave</td>
<td>US-36</td>
<td>On-Street</td>
<td>0.8</td>
<td>$102,000</td>
<td>Adams County</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Washington St</td>
<td>E 83rd Dr</td>
<td>E 52nd Ave</td>
<td>On-Street</td>
<td>3.8</td>
<td>$475,000</td>
<td>Adams County</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Welby Rd/E 86th Ave</td>
<td>E 88th Ave</td>
<td>Colorado Blvd</td>
<td>On-Street</td>
<td>0.9</td>
<td>$118,000</td>
<td>Adams County</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Zuni St</td>
<td>W 59th Pl</td>
<td>W 52nd Ave</td>
<td>On-Street</td>
<td>0.7</td>
<td>$93,000</td>
<td>Adams County</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Zuni St</td>
<td>84th Ave</td>
<td>Fern Dr</td>
<td>On-Street</td>
<td>1.8</td>
<td>$228,000</td>
<td>Adams County</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chambers Rd</td>
<td>Montview Blvd</td>
<td>Colfax Ave</td>
<td>Sidepath</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>$251,000</td>
<td>Aurora</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chambers Rd</td>
<td>E 40th Ave</td>
<td>Moncrieff Pl</td>
<td>Sidepath</td>
<td>0.7</td>
<td>$355,000</td>
<td>Aurora</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E 120th Ave</td>
<td>Sheridan Blvd</td>
<td>Federal Blvd</td>
<td>Sidepath</td>
<td>0.6</td>
<td>$276,000</td>
<td>CDOT, Westminster</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E 120th Ave</td>
<td>US-85</td>
<td>High Plains Pkwy</td>
<td>Sidepath</td>
<td>3.6</td>
<td>$1,775,000</td>
<td>CDOT, Commerce City</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E 120th Pkwy</td>
<td>Holly St</td>
<td>US-85</td>
<td>Sidepath</td>
<td>3.5</td>
<td>$1,741,000</td>
<td>Adams County</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E Colfax Ave</td>
<td>Espana St</td>
<td>Himalaya Rd</td>
<td>Sidepath</td>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>$218,000</td>
<td>Aurora</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E Colfax Ave</td>
<td>Himalaya Rd</td>
<td>E-470</td>
<td>Sidepath</td>
<td>1.9</td>
<td>$963,000</td>
<td>Aurora</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E Montview Blvd</td>
<td>Central Park Blvd</td>
<td>Fitzsimons Pkwy</td>
<td>Sidepath</td>
<td>2.9</td>
<td>$1,466,000</td>
<td>Aurora</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E-470 Trail</td>
<td>Signal Ditch</td>
<td>Quebec St</td>
<td>Sidepath</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>$745,000</td>
<td>CDOT</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E-470 Trail</td>
<td>Rail Tracks</td>
<td>Signal Ditch</td>
<td>Sidepath</td>
<td>1.1</td>
<td>$531,000</td>
<td>CDOT</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E-470 Trail</td>
<td>Quebec St</td>
<td>East of Boston St</td>
<td>Sidepath</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>$772,000</td>
<td>CDOT</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E-470 Trail</td>
<td>East of Boston St</td>
<td>Fishing Is Fun Pond</td>
<td>Sidepath</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>$731,000</td>
<td>Adams County</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E-470 Trail</td>
<td>South Platte Trail</td>
<td>Second Creek Trail</td>
<td>Sidepath</td>
<td>2.6</td>
<td>$1,279,000</td>
<td>CDOT</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fitzsimons Pkwy</td>
<td>Montview Blvd</td>
<td>13th Ave</td>
<td>Sidepath</td>
<td>0.8</td>
<td>$400,000</td>
<td>Aurora</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lowell Blvd</td>
<td>W 97th Ave</td>
<td>Denver Boulder Turnpike</td>
<td>Sidepath</td>
<td>2.3</td>
<td>$1,157,000</td>
<td>Westminster, Federal Heights</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Quivas St</td>
<td>W 136th Ave</td>
<td>End of Quivas St</td>
<td>Sidepath</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>$148,000</td>
<td>Westminster</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S 4th Ave/Sable Blvd</td>
<td>Bromley Ln</td>
<td>144th Ave</td>
<td>Sidepath</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>$493,000</td>
<td>Adams County</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>So. Platte River Trail</td>
<td>Adams County Boundary</td>
<td>Smith Park</td>
<td>Sidepath</td>
<td>0.8</td>
<td>$386,000</td>
<td>Adams County</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>US-6</td>
<td>E 152nd Ave</td>
<td>Eagle Blvd</td>
<td>Sidepath</td>
<td>1.4</td>
<td>$723,000</td>
<td>CDOT</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Washington St</td>
<td>E 104th Ave</td>
<td>E 102nd Ave</td>
<td>Sidepath</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>$138,000</td>
<td>Thornton</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Washington St</td>
<td>Washington Center Pkwy</td>
<td>120th Ave</td>
<td>Sidepath</td>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>$182,000</td>
<td>Thornton</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FACILITY NAME</td>
<td>EXTENT 1</td>
<td>EXTENT 2</td>
<td>FACILITY TYPE</td>
<td>MILES</td>
<td>PLANNING LEVEL COST ESTIMATE</td>
<td>LEAD</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-------------------------------------</td>
<td>----------------</td>
<td>----------------</td>
<td>---------------</td>
<td>-------</td>
<td>-----------------------------</td>
<td>--------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Welby Rd/Devonshire Blvd</td>
<td>E 88th Ave</td>
<td>Niver Creek</td>
<td>Sidepath</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>$508,000</td>
<td>Adams County</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>York St</td>
<td>Niver Creek</td>
<td>South Platte</td>
<td>Sidepath</td>
<td>2.1</td>
<td>$1,040,000</td>
<td>Adams County</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>First Creek Trail</td>
<td>E 38th Ave</td>
<td>Colfax Ave</td>
<td>Trail</td>
<td>2.7</td>
<td>$5,299,000</td>
<td>Adams County</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Little Dry Creek Trail Spur</td>
<td>Little Dry Creek</td>
<td>Midtown</td>
<td>Trail</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>$50,000</td>
<td>Adams County</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Little Dry Creek Trail Spur</td>
<td>Little Dry Creek</td>
<td>Midtown</td>
<td>Trail</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>$37,000</td>
<td>Adams County</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>So. Platte River Trail</td>
<td>Fishing Is Fun Pond</td>
<td>North of E-470</td>
<td>Trail</td>
<td>0.8</td>
<td>$1,513,000</td>
<td>Adams County</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>So. Platte River Trail</td>
<td>North of E-470</td>
<td>North of 144th Ave</td>
<td>Trail</td>
<td>0.8</td>
<td>$1,501,000</td>
<td>Adams County</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>So. Platte River Trail</td>
<td>North of 144th Ave</td>
<td>South of Bromley Ln</td>
<td>Trail</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>$2,050,000</td>
<td>Brighton</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>So. Platte River Trail</td>
<td>120th Ave</td>
<td>104th Ln</td>
<td>Trail</td>
<td>2.1</td>
<td>$4,175,000</td>
<td>Adams County</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>So. Platte River Trail</td>
<td>South of 120th Pkwy</td>
<td>North of 120th Pkwy</td>
<td>Trail</td>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>$832,000</td>
<td>Adams County</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>So. Platte River Trail</td>
<td>South of 120th Ave</td>
<td>124th Ave</td>
<td>Trail</td>
<td>0.8</td>
<td>$1,479,000</td>
<td>Adams County</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>New Trail</td>
<td>Adams County Boundary</td>
<td>56th Ave</td>
<td>Trail</td>
<td>2.3</td>
<td>$4,449,000</td>
<td>Aurora</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>New Trail</td>
<td>E 168th</td>
<td>E-470</td>
<td>Trail</td>
<td>1.8</td>
<td>$3,434,000</td>
<td>Adams County</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>New Trail</td>
<td>South Platte Trail</td>
<td>Pena Blvd</td>
<td>Trail</td>
<td>12.4</td>
<td>$24,226,000</td>
<td>Adams County</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>New Trail</td>
<td>Montview Blvd</td>
<td>Colfax Ave</td>
<td>Trail</td>
<td>0.7</td>
<td>$1,429,000</td>
<td>Aurora</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>New Trail</td>
<td>120th Ave</td>
<td>E 112th Ave</td>
<td>Trail</td>
<td>1.2</td>
<td>$2,317,000</td>
<td>Adams County</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>New Trail</td>
<td>Chambers Rd</td>
<td>120th Ave</td>
<td>Trail</td>
<td>5.3</td>
<td>$10,357,000</td>
<td>Adams County</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>New Trail</td>
<td>So. Platte River Trail</td>
<td>Brighton Rd</td>
<td>Trail</td>
<td>0.6</td>
<td>$1,218,000</td>
<td>Adams County</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>New Trail</td>
<td>Brighton Rd</td>
<td>US-85</td>
<td>Trail</td>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>$877,000</td>
<td>Commerce City</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Westerly Creek Trail</td>
<td>E 26th Ave</td>
<td>Montview Blvd</td>
<td>Trail</td>
<td>0.6</td>
<td>$1,086,000</td>
<td>Aurora</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
5.4 – GLOSSARY OF BICYCLE FACILITIES

This section defines and describes characteristics of the future bicycle facility types. Understanding the characteristics of these facilities is critical for successful implementation that applies both best practices and local standards.

5.4.1 – NEIGHBORHOOD BIKEWAYS

Neighborhood bikeways (or bike boulevards or bike routes) are bikeways on streets with low vehicle volumes and speeds where people bicycling share the travel lane with people driving. Neighborhood bikeways use signs, pavement markings, and speed/volume management to communicate the presence and prioritization of people bicycling. Typically, these streets are local, residential roads generally not used for through travel of vehicles. Bicycle routes should include wayfinding signage with distance, direction, and destination information. The Level of Traffic Stress methodology identifies that the posted speed limit for roadways designated as low stress neighborhood bikeways should generally be 25 mph or less and move fewer than 3,000 vehicles per day. To ensure travel speeds do not exceed 25 mph, neighborhood bikeways use signs, pavement markings, and speed/volume management to communicate the presence and prioritization of people bicycling. Typically, these streets are local, residential roads generally not used for through travel of vehicles. Bicycle routes should include wayfinding signage with distance, direction, and destination information. The Level of Traffic Stress methodology identifies that the posted speed limit for roadways designated as low stress neighborhood bikeways should generally be 25 mph or less and move fewer than 3,000 vehicles per day. To ensure travel speeds do not exceed 25 mph, neighborhood bikeways use signs, pavement markings, and speed/volume management to communicate the presence and prioritization of people bicycling. Typically, these streets are local, residential roads generally not used for through travel of vehicles. Bicycle routes should include wayfinding signage with distance, direction, and destination information. The Level of Traffic Stress methodology identifies that the posted speed limit for roadways designated as low stress neighborhood bikeways should generally be 25 mph or less and move fewer than 3,000 vehicles per day. To ensure travel speeds do not exceed 25 mph, neighborhood bikeways use signs, pavement markings, and speed/volume management to communicate the presence and prioritization of people bicycling. Typically, these streets are local, residential roads generally not used for through travel of vehicles. Bicycle routes should include wayfinding signage with distance, direction, and destination information. The Level of Traffic Stress methodology identifies that the posted speed limit for roadways designated as low stress neighborhood bikeways should generally be 25 mph or less and move fewer than 3,000 vehicles per day. To ensure travel speeds do not exceed 25 mph, neighborhood bikeways use signs, pavement markings, and speed/volume management to communicate the presence and prioritization of people bicycling. Typically, these streets are local, residential roads generally not used for through travel of vehicles. Bicycle routes should include wayfinding signage with distance, direction, and destination information. The Level of Traffic Stress methodology identifies that the posted speed limit for roadways designated as low stress neighborhood bikeways should generally be 25 mph or less and move fewer than 3,000 vehicles per day. To ensure travel speeds do not exceed 25 mph, neighborhood bikeways use signs, pavement markings, and speed/volume management to communicate the presence and prioritization of people bicycling. Typically, these streets are local, residential roads generally not used for through travel of vehicles. Bicycle routes should include wayfinding signage with distance, direction, and destination information. The Level of Traffic Stress methodology identifies that the posted speed limit for roadways designated as low stress neighborhood bikeways should generally be 25 mph or less and move fewer than 3,000 vehicles per day. To ensure travel speeds do not exceed 25 mph, neighborhood bikeways use signs, pavement markings, and speed/volume management to communicate the presence and prioritization of people bicycling. Typically, these streets are local, residential roads generally not used for through travel of vehicles. Bicycle routes should include wayfinding signage with distance, direction, and destination information. The Level of Traffic Stress methodology identifies that the posted speed limit for roadways designated as low stress neighborhood bikeways should generally be 25 mph or less and move fewer than 3,000 vehicles per day. To ensure travel speeds do not exceed 25 mph, neighborhood bikeways use signs, pavement markings, and speed/volume management to communicate the presence and prioritization of people bicycling. Typically, these streets are local, residential roads generally not used for through travel of vehicles. Bicycle routes should include wayfinding signage with distance, direction, and destination information. The Level of Traffic Stress methodology identifies that the posted speed limit for roadways designated as low stress neighborhood bikeways should generally be 25 mph or less and move fewer than 3,000 vehicles per day. To ensure travel speeds do not exceed 25 mph, neighborhood bikeways use signs, pavement markings, and speed/volume management to communicate the presence and prioritization of people bicycling. Typically, these streets are local, residential roads generally not used for through travel of vehicles. Bicycle routes should include wayfinding signage with distance, direction, and destination information. The Level of Traffic Stress methodology identifies that the posted speed limit for roadways designated as low stress neighborhood bikeways should generally be 25 mph or less and move fewer than 3,000 vehicles per day. To ensure travel speeds do not exceed 25 mph, neighborhood bikeways use signs, pavement markings, and speed/volume management to communicate the presence and prioritization of people bicycling. Typically, these streets are local, residential roads generally not used for through travel of vehicles. Bicycle routes should include wayfinding signage with distance, direction, and destination information. The Level of Traffic Stress methodology identifies that the posted speed limit for roadways designated as low stress neighborhood bikeways should generally be 25 mph or less and move fewer than 3,000 vehicles per day. To ensure travel speeds do not exceed 25 mph, neighborhood bikeways use signs, pavement markings, and speed/volume management to communicate the presence and prioritization of people bicycling. Typically, these streets are local, residential roads generally not used for through travel of vehicles. Bicycle routes should include wayfinding signage with distance, direction, and destination information. The Level of Traffic Stress methodology identifies that the posted speed limit for roadways designated as low stress neighborhood bikeways should generally be 25 mph or less and move fewer than 3,000 vehicles per day. To ensure travel speeds do not exceed 25 mph, neighborhood bikeways use signs, pavement markings, and speed/volume management to communicate the presence and prioritization of people bicycling. Typically, these streets are local, residential roads generally not used for through travel of vehicles. Bicycle routes should include wayfinding signage with distance, direction, and destination information. The Level of Traffic Stress methodology identifies that the posted speed limit for roadways designated as low stress neighborhood bikeways should generally be 25 mph or less and move fewer than 3,000 vehicles per day. To ensure travel speeds do not exceed 25 mph, neighborhood bikeways use signs, pavement markings, and speed/volume management to communicate the presence and prioritization of people bicycling. Typically, these streets are local, residential roads generally not used for through travel of vehicles. Bicycle routes should include wayfinding signage with distance, direction, and destination information. The Level of Traffic Stress methodology identifies that the posted speed limit for roadways designated as low stress neighborhood bikeways should generally be 25 mph or less and move fewer than 3,000 vehicles per day. To ensure travel speeds do not exceed 25 mph, neighborhood bikeways use signs, pavement markings, and speed/volume management to communicate the presence and prioritization of people bicycling. Typically, these streets are local, residential roads generally not used for through travel of vehicles. Bicycle routes should include wayfinding signage with distance, direction, and destination information. The Level of Traffic Stress methodology identifies that the posted speed limit for roadways designated as low stress neighborhood bikeways should generally be 25 mph or less and move fewer than 3,000 vehicles per day. To ensure travel speeds do not exceed 25 mph, neighborhood bikeways use signs, pavement markings, and speed/volume management to communicate the presence and prioritization of people bicycling. Typically, these streets are local, residential roads generally not used for through travel of vehicles. Bicycle routes should include wayfinding signage with distance, direction, and destination information. The Level of Traffic Stress methodology identifies that the posted speed limit for roadways designated as low stress neighborhood bikeways should generally be 25 mph or less and move fewer than 3,000 vehicles per day. To ensure travel speeds do not exceed 25 mph, neighborhood bikeways use signs, pavement markings, and speed/volume management to communicate the presence and prioritization of people bicycling. Typically, these streets are local, residential roads generally not used for through travel of vehicles. Bicycle routes should include wayfinding signage with distance, direction, and destination information. The Level of Traffic Stress methodology identifies that the posted speed limit for roadways designated as low stress neighborhood bikeways should generally be 25 mph or less and move fewer than 3,000 vehicles per day. To ensure travel speeds do not exceed 25 mph, neighborhood bikeways use signs, pavement markings, and speed/volume management to communicate the presence and prioritization of people bicycling. Typically, these streets are local, residential roads generally not used for through travel of vehicles. Bicycle routes should include wayfinding signage with distance, direction, and destination information. The Level of Traffic Stress methodology identifies that the posted speed limit for roadways designated as low stress neighborhood bikeways should generally be 25 mph or less and move fewer than 3,000 vehicles per day. To ensure travel speeds do not exceed 25 mph, neighborhood bikeways use signs, pavement markings, and speed/volume management to communicate the presence and prioritization of people bicycling. Typically, these streets are local, residential roads generally not used for through travel of vehicles. Bicycle routes should include wayfinding signage with distance, direction, and destination information. The Level of Traffic Stress methodology identifies that the posted speed limit for roadways designated as low stress neighborhood bikeways should generally be 25 mph or less and move fewer than 3,000 vehicles per day. To ensure travel speeds do not exceed 25 mph, neighborhood

![Figure 5.2: Neighborhood Bikeway](image-url)
bikeways may include traffic calming features that control volume or speed through vertical deflection (speed humps) and horizontal deflection (bulb outs, chicanes, medians). The US Traffic Calming Manual (Ewing, Reid, & Steven Brown) can be used to identify the appropriate treatment type for each neighborhood bikeway corridor. A study of each identified neighborhood bikeway should be completed to plan and design the appropriate treatments (i.e., traffic calming, pavement markings, such as bicycle stamps, and wayfinding) for each specific corridor.

5.4.2 - BICYCLE LANES AND BUFFERED BICYCLE LANES

A bicycle lane is a designated lane for people bicycling, separated from the general-purpose travel lane or parking lane by a single white line. NACTO recommends that bicycle lanes be five to six-feet wide (but not more than seven-feet wide), not including curb and gutter. When adjacent to on-street parking, a “door zone” between the bicycle lane and parked cars reduces conflicts between people opening car doors and people biking. A buffered bicycle lane has a painted buffer with limited cross hatching between the bicycle lane and vehicle travel lane. A buffer can increase safety and provide additional comfort for bicyclists, especially on higher speed, higher volume roadways. The identification of future bicycle lane and buffered
bicycle lane locations should include the consideration of existing right-of-way, vehicle speeds, vehicle volumes, travel lane requirements, and on-street parking. Bicycle lanes and buffered bicycle lanes should be located on roadways with average vehicle speeds 30 mph or less and less than 7,000 vehicles per day. It is recommended to require bicycle lanes built with new development to have a six-foot bicycle lane accompanied by a three-foot painted buffer with limited cross-hatching between the bicycle lane and travel lane.

5.4.3 – PROTECTED BICYCLE LANES

Protected bicycle lanes are buffered bicycle lanes with a vertical barrier (bollards, curb, or raised barricade) between people and vehicular traffic. Protected bicycle lanes can create low stress bicycling environments on higher volume, higher speed roadways where traditional bike lanes feel uncomfortable or unsafe for many riders. It is recommended that protected bicycle lanes be six feet wide and have a three-foot buffer with a vertical barrier.

5.4.4 – SIDEPATH OR TRAIL (PAVED AND SOFT SURFACE)

A sidepath or trail is an off-street low stress facility that supports opportunities for both recreation and transportation. A sidepath more specifically is a wide sidewalk (at least ten feet wide) alongside a roadway, separated by a buffer. People who walk, bicycle, skate, or use wheelchairs or mobility devices can experience increased comfort and safety on a trail or sidepath because it is entirely separated from motor vehicles. All trails serving bicyclists should be a minimum width of ten feet. There should be at least a two-foot vertical buffer (concrete or landscaping) between the path and any roadway.
Figure 5.6: Paved Multiuse Trail
CHAPTER 6
TRANSIT NETWORK
6.1 - KEY ISSUES

This chapter provides a summary of future investments Adams County can make to improve the comfort, reliability, and convenience of taking transit within the County.

There are three primary challenges associated with transit service in Adams County today:

1. First and last mile gap – or barriers to accessing transit service
2. No transit service in the eastern portion of the County
3. Insufficient transit service in other portions of the County

Barriers to accessing transit, also known as the first and final mile gap, are a significant challenge to many existing and potential transit users. This gap can be in the form of long distances to the nearest bus stop or commuter rail station, a lack of bicycle and pedestrian amenities, or insufficient available transportation options. Even in areas with significant RTD investment and frequent, reliable service, such as the N Line, there are many barriers to accessing N Line stations.

There is also a lack of transit options for the eastern portion of the County. The RTD service area boundary terminates at the Denver International Airport, leaving many communities in Adams County unserved by transit, including Colorado Air and Space Port, Bennett, and Strasburg.

Even areas within the RTD service area, such as the southwest portion of the County and around Brighton, are often underserved. RTD routes in these areas are infrequent, do not go where users are seeking to travel, or do not operate at sufficient days per week or hours per day.

6.2 - BIG IDEAS

To address the challenges outlined in the previous section, the County can pursue the following recommendations for improving the transit network.

6.2.1 - FIRST AND LAST MILE CONNECTIONS

To address the first and last mile gap, a suite of infrastructure, policy, and program strategies are recommended (Figure 6.1).

**Bicycle and pedestrian infrastructure improvements**

A connected network of low stress pedestrian and bicycle facilities provides an opportunity for transit users to access bus stop or commuter rail stations comfortably without a vehicle. This includes enhanced and frequent pedestrian crossings, a complete and ADA-compliant sidewalk network, and on- and off-street bicycle facilities such as protected bike lanes and trails. To enhance walk and bikeability, facilities should form a dense grid to reduce out of direction travel and increase access. Providing bicycle and pedestrian...
with opportunities to cut-through when roadways do not connect is an effective way to increase connectivity for people walking and biking.

**Transit-oriented development (TOD) and mobility hubs**

TOD is the planning and design of a development around high frequency transit stops and stations in order to increase access to transit for the most residents, visitors, and employees. This development should be compact, dense, walkable, and mixed use. The Comprehensive Plan coordinated closely with the Transportation Master Plan throughout the planning effort and identifying locations for TOD was a significant part of that coordination. They are identified on the preferred land use map shown in Map 2.1. These development patterns would make living without a vehicle in Adams County viable and convenient. Mobility hubs are centers that integrate various transportation modes to allow users to make seamless connections between their origins and destinations. Often centered around transit stations or high frequency bus stops, mobility hubs enable quick transfers from a bus onto a scooter or shared bike, and can also share real-time information on connecting buses, availability of shared-use mobility devices, and walking directions to nearby destinations.

**Transportation Demand Management (TDM) strategies**

TDM strategies are policies, services, and programs to encourage people to travel by walking, rolling, bicycling, using transit, or carpooling, rather than driving alone. Shifting travel modes away from driving alone allows existing infrastructure (like roadways, signals, and sidewalks) to operate more efficiently. TDM strategies are a cost-effective compliment to infrastructure and help optimize available infrastructure and services. These strategies cover a wide range of approaches to improving access to transit. The most effective TDM strategies for improving transit access include: education and marketing; carpool to transit programs; promotion of RTD passes; employer outreach; trip planning information such as smart phone apps; Guaranteed Ride Home; bike parking; and improved amenities and information (real time transit

---

Figure 6.1: First and Last Mile Connections
arrival information, maps, schedules in English and Spanish) at transit stops and stations.

6.2.2 - SUPPLEMENTAL TRANSIT SERVICE

The second and third items addressed in the key issues section were a lack of transit service in the eastern and central portions of the County and insufficient service in other unincorporated portions of the County. This plan recommends a package of three service types as shown in Figure 6.2 to supplement RTD service with improved coverage and frequency. Together, these services can connect people in the eastern and central portions of the County with either RTD fixed route service or destinations in the western portion of the County.

- A shuttle is proposed (shown in the solid yellow line) to connect small rural communities (such as Watkins, Bennett, and Strasburg along I-70) to either their final destination in the denser, western portions of the County or into the RTD network (shown as pink circles). Having this shuttle makes not owning a vehicle a viable option.

- In order to provide access to the shuttle for those that live outside of the core rural community, a paratransit or on-demand door-to-door service could connect people in the very low-density rural parts of the County to the shuttle stops in Watkins, Bennett, or Strasburg. The service area for this on-demand service is designated by the yellow area, meaning anyone traveling from a point in the yellow area to a shuttle stop, would be eligible for this service. This service would only operate when requested and advanced bookings would be required, as this service can be expensive to provide.

- The orange circles represent other areas in the central and northwestern parts of the County where existing RTD service does not cover or operates at a low frequency. An additional on-demand door-to-door service is recommended to connect users from the orange circles to key

---

**Figure 6.2:** Illustration of Potential Locations for Supplemental Transit Service Across Adams County
destinations or RTD transit stations within the denser parts of the County (shown in the pink circles). This service is recommended east of Brighton and north of Thornton. This service would only operate when requested and advanced bookings would be required, as this service can be expensive to provide.

In summary, with this package of recommendations, those in rural areas use an on-demand service (white dashed lines) that can include shared rides that they have scheduled in advance to access a fixed route shuttle stop (in communities such as Watkins, Bennett, and Strasburg) (hollow yellow circle). The shuttle then travels at a fixed schedule (yellow line) to a larger city in the region where it may make multiple stops based on previously identified common and key destinations and RTD transit stations. An additional service shown in the orange circles can also be offered that connects users to areas in western Adams County that have poor transit coverage. This package should be supplemented by programs including marketing, a dispatch service, and travel trainings.

Further study should be performed to forecast demand for these services and determine the operational models and costs. It is important to note, that these services will need to be heavily subsidized, but providing comprehensive, equitable, and affordable transit service to all residents and employees should be a priority for the County.
7.1 - KEY ISSUES

As part of the Phase 1 existing conditions analysis, the Advancing Adams team conducted a future trends workshop called TrendLab+ to explore how local travel behavior might change in the future, particularly in light of the COVID-19 pandemic. TrendLab+ is a Fehr & Peers tool that uses both national research and local trends in Adams County to explore how the response to the COVID-19 pandemic, its impacts on the economy, and other travel-related trends may affect short- and long-term travel behavior, traffic levels, and transit use in the County. Inputs includes changes such as labor force participation, working from home, goods and service delivery, technology, and micromobility. Workshop participants identified a range of trends that may impact transportation in Adams County:

- The local economy is changing, with a potential reduction in fossil fuel-related industries and an increase in warehousing and logistics. This will create a need for technologies that can enable more efficient movement of goods.
- Adams County has experienced growing demand for single family homes, which has led to the increased prevalence of lower density residential areas where residents are vehicle dependent. In addition, during the COVID-19 pandemic, it became evident that workers living in Adams County tend to be employed in essential roles and many do not have the option to replace commute trips with teleworking. These two trends combine to suggest that demand for vehicle travel in Adams County will continue to grow.
- As Adams County continues to see investment in public transit, namely the addition of new RTD commuter rail lines, there will be opportunities to leverage emerging mobility technologies connections to help connect County resident and visitors with transit.

This chapter profiles the strategies Adams County will undertake to ensure it both deploys innovative and technologically up to date resources for managing transportation demand and is prepared the future of mobility across the Front Range.

7.2 - EMERGING MOBILITY SOLUTIONS

The follow section profiles various emerging mobility strategies and solutions for managing transportation demand. These strategies address the range of transportation trends anticipated in Adams County as identified by participants in the Advancing Adams planning process.
The Mobility as a Service (MaaS) Model

Multiple mobility options brought together in an app, accessed with or without a subscription

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Package examples</th>
<th>Base $60/mo</th>
<th>Weekend $250/mo</th>
<th>Unlimited $500/mo</th>
<th>No package Pay as you go</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>RTD / public transit</td>
<td>30-day pass</td>
<td>30-day pass</td>
<td>30-day pass</td>
<td>Pay as you go</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Taxi / rideshare (5 mi)</td>
<td>$10</td>
<td>-15%</td>
<td>Unlimited</td>
<td>Pay as you go</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Car share</td>
<td>$50</td>
<td>Weekends</td>
<td>Unlimited</td>
<td>Pay as you go</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bike / scooter share</td>
<td>Unlimited</td>
<td>Unlimited</td>
<td>Unlimited</td>
<td>Pay as you go</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Every option in the palm of your hand
7.2.1 - MOBILITY AS A SERVICE

Given that many Adams County residents currently rely on driving due to existing land use patterns and a lack of choice regarding when to travel, the County can promote transportation alternatives by lowering the barrier to identifying ways trips can be made using a combination of walking, bicycling, and transit. Mobility as a Service (MaaS) describes the shift away from privately owned automobiles and toward transportation that is offered as a service. This includes both public and private providers that can work together to provide a holistic landscape of transportation options, either as a subscription or pay-as-you-go service. MaaS provides reliable and comprehensive transportation options and information that can reduce the reliance on or eliminate the need for private automobiles. Instead of incurring auto ownership related costs, like loan payments, insurance, and fuel, MaaS instead shifts personal transportation spending to paying for access to transportation services, which reduces the “sunk costs” of automobile ownership, decreases congestion, reduces emissions, increases the use of public infrastructure, and provides transportation providers with the data they need to be more cost-effective. MaaS can become increasingly appealing and viable through an integration of modes that includes payment integration, a trip-planning app, and mobility hubs.

In order to address the challenges that can result from Adams County residents being vehicle dependent, the County can use MaaS as a platform to make transportation alternatives more attractive and easier to use. This can be achieved by working with private transportation providers to share data with the County, which would facilitate providing trip planning resources to County residents. One option is partnering with a third-party vendor to create a trip planning smartphone app for Adams County. Alternatively, the County can promote existing applications that give travelers access to trip planning information for RTD. In areas beyond the RTD service area, the County can explore public-private partnerships that use private providers to provide public transit. These partnerships can also help improve human service transportation provision.

7.2.2 - TRANSPORTATION DEMAND MANAGEMENT

Transportation Demand Management is also described under programs and policies in Chapter 2 but has a focus on innovation in this section. According to Smart Commute Metro North, the Transportation Management Organization that serves the Denver metro north region and is based in Adams County, the main barriers that prevent Adams County commuters from traveling by means other than single occupancy vehicle are the
lack of transit connectivity and the limited opportunities for walking or bicycling to work due to existing land use patterns (Figure 7.1). Previously, the most effective tools for shifting commute trips away from driving were promoting carpooling and raising awareness of the opportunity to take transit for many people commuting from Adams County communities into downtown Denver. Today, with travel behavior being impacted by the COVID-19 pandemic, many workers have either replaced commute trips with telework or scaled back on the volume of commute trips they take each week. Adams County can launch a Transportation Demand Management (TDM) program that closely coordinates with economic development to understand what types of employers are coming to the County, how their workforces commute, and what opportunities exist to work with major employers to shape TDM strategies that align with Advancing Adams.

When working with employers who have essential workers as the core of their workforce, the Adams County TDM program can focus on promoting carpooling, identifying opportunities to put in place microtransit or shuttle systems, and raising awareness on opportunities to connect with high-capacity transit. To ensure the success of these initiatives, Adams County can coordinate with major employers to provide commute incentives like transit passes or toll lane reimbursements.
and gas vouchers for employees who carpool. In addition, the TDM program could develop tools for lowering the barrier to carpooling like sponsoring a ride matching smartphone application that allows employees who carpool to locate one another and identify the most efficient routes between their home locations and place of work.

For employers with a predominantly white collar, information-based workforce, the TDM program can focus on promoting the benefits of teleworking. Specifically, by reducing the volume of commute trips, the County can help manage congestion and improve air quality.

### 7.2.3 - SHARED MOBILITY

Shared mobility, which is the shared use of a motor vehicle, bicycle or scooter, represents a growing segment of the wider mobility network. Users have short-term access to a mode of transportation on an as-needed basis rather than relying on private ownership of the mode. Shared mobility provides a broader set of transportation options for users that reduces reliance on the private automobile, therefore reducing congestion and carbon emissions.

Shared mobility is a key component of MaaS, which was described earlier in this chapter. Shared mobility options require relatively high population densities to be successful, since the providers need to serve a large volume of users making frequent, relatively short trips in order to be financially viable. While shared mobility might not be appropriate for areas like eastern Adams County, the southwestern portion of the County and the future Town Centers are two locations where shared mobility can play a more significant role. Further feasibility studies should be performed to determine if and where shared mobility is appropriate in Adam County. The subsections following go into greater detail on the various forms of shared mobility—ride-hailing, bike/scooter share, and car-share.

#### 7.2.3.1 - Ride-hailing

The best known form of shared mobility is ride-hailing, which is
provided primarily by Transportation Network Companies (TNC), like Uber and Lyft (Figure 7.2). At its most basic level, ride-hailing is simply the modern version of a taxi, using a website and/or smartphone apps that match passengers with drivers. TNCs currently operating within Adams County and the surrounding region are Uber and Lyft.

Nationally, TNCs/ride-hailing represent the fastest growing transportation mode. Ride-hailing services meet a wide range of travel needs including evening and weekend trips when transit does not operate, airport trips that can be easily timed, or trips to locations where parking will be difficult or expensive. Ride-hailing also has some negative impacts. TNC drivers must spend time driving alone between trips (called deadhead time), which can lead to increased cars on the road, energy use/greenhouse gas emissions, and traffic congestion. This problem is especially prevalent in lower density areas where riders are spread out. In more urbanized settings, TNC vehicles compete for curbspace with freight vehicles, people seeking to park, bicyclists, and other users. Ride-hailing is also not always equitable, since lower income households cannot rely on ride-hailing. This is predominantly due to TNC providers using dynamic pricing, which causes trips during periods of higher demand to be a higher price. Without being able to predict the price of a trip, lower income households cannot know in advance whether a TNC trip will be affordable.

Because Adams County residents have a high rate of reliance on their private vehicles, it is unlikely that TNC trips will replace a significant share of trips in a personal vehicle. However, as the southwestern portion of the County continues to densify and receive more transit service, TNCs may begin to play a larger role. Adams County can partner with TNCs to provide subsidized rides to and from transit stations in order to help boost transit ridership. This strategy has successfully been employed in other communities to help bridge first and final mile gaps in lower density areas.

7.2.3.2 - Bike/Scooter Share

Bike share systems for both human-powered and electric bicycles, and
more recently electric-scooter share, have been a rapidly evolving trend over the last decade and have growing presence in communities around the country (Figure 7.3). Bike share and scooter share have the potential to increase transportation options available in Adams County, especially in the areas surrounding transit stations. For example, the new Pecos Junction Station is close (one mile) to the Midtown development, but far enough away to make walking access difficult for some users. With the addition of more comfortable multimodal facilities – something that can be planned through Advancing Adams – bike share or scooter share can serve as a first and last mile solution for accessing transit. Introducing these types of mobility options would require the County to manage the services so they complement land use and transportation goals, while mitigating potential issues. Up-to-date policies on where and how users can operate these mobility devices are needed to ensure that users are safely using these devices and integrating with other modes. The integration of scooters into the network would have an impact on the maintenance needs and even design of roadways, sidewalks, and bicycle facilities; for example, the small wheels of the scooter are more sensitive to potholes, cracks, and debris.

7.2.3.3 – Car-Share

Car-sharing is a model for car rental, similar to bike share or scooter share, which allows users to pay for access to vehicles for limited periods of time. Car-share systems tend to have vehicles dispersed throughout an area and can be easily reserved on a webpage or smartphone app. Adams County can support car-share in the future by dedicating parking spaces for car-share providers both on-street and partnering with RTD to provide car-share services at rail stations. In addition, the County can provide incentives or requirements for new developments to provide car-share and/or car-share parking. The appetite for introducing car-share depends on the extent to which people can travel by foot, bicycle, and transit, all of which afford the ability to travel to and from designated car-share parking without a private automobile.

7.2.4 – AUTONOMOUS VEHICLES

Autonomous and Connected Vehicles (AV/CV), are two technologies that are rapidly evolving with the potential to significantly impact travel patterns.
and trip choices in the future. AVs are capable of sensing the environment and moving through the street network with little or no human input. CVs are vehicles that communicate with other vehicles on the road, as well as connected infrastructure (e.g., signals), to improve roadway use and safety. As discussed in the TrendLab+ workshop summary, near-term widely adopted use of AVs in Adams County is not likely, but the County can use Advancing Adams as an opportunity to prepare for and have a foundation of policies in place for this new mode and its associated challenges. For example, research on travel behaviors suggests that AVs may decrease transit usage except for high-frequency transit services like trains or bus rapid transit that operate on a dedicated facility. AVs may pose new risks to pedestrian safety or implications for lower income communities who are not able to adopt the new technology as quickly. AVs may also increase vehicle miles traveled (VMT) as the opportunity cost of driving goes down. Strategies for proactively addressing any potential negative impacts from AVs include:

- Setting maximum speed limit standards that vehicles must adhere to on local streets where bicyclists and pedestrians are more likely to be present.
- In the case of shared AVs, adopting policies that overcome the digital divide by enabling users without smartphones to have equal access to the mobility service.

It is recommended that Adams County pursue these strategies during implementation of the Transportation Master Plan.

7.2.5 - ELECTRIC VEHICLES AND CHARGING INFRASTRUCTURE

Electric vehicle (EV) technology continues to advance at a rapid pace with increasing regulatory and financial incentives to encourage production and use at both the State and Federal level. While EVs do not reduce traffic congestion, they do reduce emissions, which is in line with the environmental sustainability goals for Adams County. The presence...
of oil and gas refineries as well as other industrial land uses causes Adams County to have somewhat poor air quality. The United States Environmental Protection Agency reported that in 2019, Adams County had the third highest number of days with a moderate Air Quality Index in Colorado. Moderate air quality is defined as air quality being acceptable, though people who are unusually sensitive to air pollution, like individuals with underlying health conditions or older adults, may be at risk. In Adams County, Air Quality Index was in the moderate range for nearly 39% of days in 2019. Achievement of lower emissions through EVs can partially offset the air quality impacts caused by these other users. In planning for future EV integration, Adams County can consider provision of on-street and off-street EV charging stations and preferential parking and increasing the number of charging stations on public property as well as incentives and requirements for provision of EV charging stations and infrastructure by developers. An example of a public EV charging station is shown in Figure 7.5. In addition, I-25 is a federally recognized alternative fuel corridor, where infrastructure upgrades are being made to support the use of electric and other alternative fuel vehicles.

7.2.6 - ESTABLISHING MOBILITY HUBS

As discussed in the profile of Washington Street in Chapter 2, mobility hubs could play a role in the future Adams County transportation network. Mobility hubs are enhanced transit stops where bus and/or rail lines converge to provide rapid connections for transit riders. Mobility hubs are designed to act as a user-friendly travel resource that lowers the barrier to using transit. Mobility hubs can include TNC loading zones, secure bike parking, charging stations for e-bikes, free wi-fi, power outlets, and real-time transit information so anyone arriving at the mobility hub can easily plan the rest of their trip (Figure 7.6). Adams County can partner with RTD to implement mobility hubs on corridors with high levels of transit ridership or in locations where transit supportive land uses are anticipated.
Figure 7.6: Illustration of a Mobility Hub (source: Fehr & Peers)
CHAPTER 8
IMPLEMENTATION & MONITORING
8.1 - IMPLEMENTING ADVANCING ADAMS

8.1.1 - RECOMMENDED PROJECTS

8.1.1.1 - Project Prioritization Methodology

The following section outlines the approach for prioritizing projects listed in the Advancing Adams bicycle and roadway plans. The prioritization methodology, driven by data on access to key destinations, safety, demand, land use, equity, and sustainability, enables the city to determine which projects best accomplish plan goals and serves as a guide for the city to make informed choices regarding the order of project implementation. This methodology provides a transparent approach that informs decisions, with the understanding that funding sources and circumstances may alter the order of implementation.

Each project is scored based on criteria that measures how closely the project addresses the goals of the plan. These criteria include:

- Access to key destinations: number of transit stops, schools, healthcare facilities, libraries, government/civic buildings, grocery stores, recreation centers, and parks and open space lands within a half mile of the project.
- Safety: the total number of crashes along a project segment, with those resulting in a serious injury or fatality weighted more heavily in both roadway and bikeway projects, and bicycle-or pedestrian-involved crashes weighted more heavily in bikeway projects.
- Demand: how many people a project serves, represented by maximum population and employment density along a corridor.
- Alignment with land use plan: whether the project falls within geographies that Adams County's land use plan designates as high density and/or within proposed future town or urban centers.
- Equity: whether a project improves access for underserved populations, represented by the share of low-income households along a corridor.
- Sustainability: whether the project has the potential to reduce vehicle trips, taking into account induced demand and bikeway comfort.

Scores are based on the existing conditions at a project location rather than future outcomes. For example, the safety score reflects the number of crashes near the proposed project as opposed to the project's capacity for improving safety outcomes. The safety outcomes of a project will be measured as a part of future evaluation during the project-specific planning and design process.
Table 8.1 through Table 8.6 display projects from the roadway and bicycle projects, along with a prioritization tier for each project. Appendix D shows the full breakdown of criteria scoring by project. It is recommended that Adams County implements projects in the order of the prioritization score, with higher score projects being implemented in the short range (0-10 years), medium priority projects being implemented in the 10-20 year range, and lower priority projects being implemented in the long-term (20-30 years). Although projects are prioritized as a part of this plan, this prioritization should maintain a level of flexibility, with the County assessing needs on an on-going basis. If a funding source becomes available that is geared towards a certain project type or location, the County can modify the prioritization list to leverage this opportunity.

8.1.1.2 - Funding Sources

Section 8.3 describes each of the funding sources available to implement the recommended projects in this plan and the following tables. Each funding source, per its description in the following section, will have unique circumstances and criteria under which projects are eligible. Further study of each of the proposed projects will help identify the specific funding source that is most appropriate for each project.

### TABLE 8.1: TIER 1 PRIORITIZED ROADWAY PROJECTS - SHORT-TERM 2022-2030

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>PROJECT</th>
<th>CORRIDOR NAME</th>
<th>EXTENTS</th>
<th>LENGTH (MI)</th>
<th>PLANNING LEVEL COST ESTIMATE</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Study improvements</td>
<td>Pecos St</td>
<td>I-76 to 84th Ave</td>
<td>2.77</td>
<td>$485,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Study improvements</td>
<td>Federal Blvd</td>
<td>52nd to 72nd Ave</td>
<td>4.00</td>
<td>$699,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Study improvements</td>
<td>Sheridan Blvd</td>
<td>52nd to 72nd Ave</td>
<td>2.50</td>
<td>$438,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Widen by 2 travel lanes</td>
<td>York St</td>
<td>58th Ave to 88th Ave</td>
<td>4.41</td>
<td>$13,633,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Widen by 2 travel lanes</td>
<td>CO-224</td>
<td>Broadway St to US 85</td>
<td>2.00</td>
<td>$6,184,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Study improvements</td>
<td>CO-7</td>
<td>1-25 to US 85</td>
<td>8.80</td>
<td>$2,200,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Study improvements</td>
<td>E 96th Ave</td>
<td>Colorado Blvd to I-76</td>
<td>2.42</td>
<td>$424,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>New 4 lane roadway</td>
<td>Colorado Blvd</td>
<td>88th Ave to I-76</td>
<td>1.62</td>
<td>$16,078,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Widen by 4 travel lanes</td>
<td>E 120th Ave</td>
<td>US-85 to Tower</td>
<td>4.57</td>
<td>$21,408,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PROJECT</td>
<td>CORRIDOR NAME</td>
<td>EXTENTS</td>
<td>LENGTH (MI)</td>
<td>PLANNING LEVEL COST ESTIMATE</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---------------------------------</td>
<td>---------------</td>
<td>-----------------------------</td>
<td>-------------</td>
<td>-----------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Study improvements</td>
<td>Monaco St</td>
<td>104th Ave to 88th Ave</td>
<td>1.91</td>
<td>$191,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Widen by 2 travel lanes</td>
<td>Pecos St</td>
<td>52nd to 58th</td>
<td>0.70</td>
<td>$2,179,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Widen by 2 travel lanes</td>
<td>Buckley Rd</td>
<td>120th Ave to 136th Ave</td>
<td>4.01</td>
<td>$12,383,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Widen by 2 travel lanes</td>
<td>E 120th Ave</td>
<td>Tower to Imboden</td>
<td>9.98</td>
<td>$30,837,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>New 2 lane roadway</td>
<td>E 152nd Ave</td>
<td>I-76 to Imboden</td>
<td>8.94</td>
<td>$58,363,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>New 2 lane roadway</td>
<td>E 104th Ave</td>
<td>Shamrock to Winview</td>
<td>3.89</td>
<td>$25,370,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Widen by 2 travel lanes</td>
<td>E 104th Ave</td>
<td>Colorado Blvd to I-76</td>
<td>3.82</td>
<td>$11,796,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>New 2 lane roadway</td>
<td>E 120th Ave</td>
<td>SH 79 to Strasburg</td>
<td>5.02</td>
<td>$32,753,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>New 2 lane roadway</td>
<td>Hudson Rd</td>
<td>US 36 to 72nd Ave</td>
<td>5.89</td>
<td>$38,478,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>New 2 lane roadway</td>
<td>Imboden Rd</td>
<td>56th Avenue to 160th</td>
<td>13.01</td>
<td>$84,911,000</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**TABLE 8.2: TIER 2 PRIORITIZED ROADWAY PROJECTS - MEDIUM-TERM 2030-2040**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>PROJECT</th>
<th>CORRIDOR NAME</th>
<th>EXTENTS</th>
<th>LENGTH (MI)</th>
<th>PLANNING LEVEL COST ESTIMATE</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>New 2 lane roadway</td>
<td>CO-79</td>
<td>I-70 to 168th Ave</td>
<td>17.89</td>
<td>$116,775,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Widen by 2 travel lanes</td>
<td>E 120th Ave</td>
<td>Holly St to US 85</td>
<td>7.62</td>
<td>$23,548,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>New 2 lane roadway</td>
<td>E 56th Ave</td>
<td>Imboden to SH 79</td>
<td>15.01</td>
<td>$97,946,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>New 4 lane roadway</td>
<td>Piccadilly Rd</td>
<td>96th Ave to 120th Ave</td>
<td>3.03</td>
<td>$29,947,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Widen by 2 travel lanes</td>
<td>E 168th Ave</td>
<td>I-25 to Quebec St</td>
<td>3.85</td>
<td>$11,892,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PROJECT</td>
<td>CORRIDOR NAME</td>
<td>EXTENTS</td>
<td>LENGTH (MI)</td>
<td>PLANNING LEVEL COST ESTIMATE</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-----------------------------</td>
<td>---------------</td>
<td>-----------------------------</td>
<td>-------------</td>
<td>------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>New 2 lane roadway</td>
<td>Harvest Rd</td>
<td>120th Ave to 168th Ave</td>
<td>5.96</td>
<td>$38,913,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>New 2 lane roadway</td>
<td>Manilla Rd</td>
<td>I-70 to 48th Ave</td>
<td>2.98</td>
<td>$19,484,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>New 2 lane roadway</td>
<td>Schumaker Rd</td>
<td>I-70 to 136th Ave</td>
<td>13.99</td>
<td>$91,286,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>New 2 lane roadway</td>
<td>To Be Determined</td>
<td>E-470 to E 152nd Pkwy</td>
<td>1.39</td>
<td>$9,066,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>New 2 lane roadway</td>
<td>E 120th Ave</td>
<td>Deter to Shamrock</td>
<td>8.66</td>
<td>$56,501,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>New 2 lane roadway</td>
<td>E 48th Ave</td>
<td>Imboden Rd to Manilla Rd</td>
<td>3.00</td>
<td>$19,574,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>New 2 lane roadway</td>
<td>E 64th Ave</td>
<td>E 56th Ave to E 64th Ave</td>
<td>1.36</td>
<td>$8,871,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>New 2 lane roadway</td>
<td>Mimosa Rd</td>
<td>112th Ave to 168th Ave</td>
<td>7.05</td>
<td>$46,018,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>New 2 lane roadway</td>
<td>Piccadilly Rd</td>
<td>120th Ave to 152nd Ave</td>
<td>4.00</td>
<td>$26,126,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>New 2 lane roadway</td>
<td>Strasburg Rd</td>
<td>48th Ave to 144th Ave</td>
<td>12.01</td>
<td>$78,412,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>New 2 lane roadway</td>
<td>Cty Rd 24</td>
<td>Watkins Rd to E 48th Ave</td>
<td>1.99</td>
<td>$12,987,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>New 4 lane roadway</td>
<td>Imboden Rd</td>
<td>I-70/Quail Run Rd to 56th Ave</td>
<td>3.53</td>
<td>$34,947,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>New 2 lane roadway</td>
<td>Manilla Rd</td>
<td>56th Ave to 144th Ave</td>
<td>11.00</td>
<td>$71,813,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>New 2 lane roadway</td>
<td>Piggott Rd</td>
<td>US 36 to 48th Ave</td>
<td>3.01</td>
<td>$19,619,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>New 2 lane roadway</td>
<td>Strasburg Rd</td>
<td>US 36 to 48th Ave</td>
<td>5.90</td>
<td>$38,500,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>New 2 lane roadway</td>
<td>Watkins Rd</td>
<td>Watkins Rd to Imboden Rd</td>
<td>1.02</td>
<td>$6,678,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>New 2 lane roadway</td>
<td>Winview Rd</td>
<td>US 36 to 56th Ave</td>
<td>18.04</td>
<td>$117,767,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PROJECT</td>
<td>CORRIDOR NAME</td>
<td>EXTENTS</td>
<td>LENGTH (MI)</td>
<td>PLANNING LEVEL COST ESTIMATE</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-------------------------------</td>
<td>------------------------</td>
<td>-----------------------------</td>
<td>-------------</td>
<td>------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>New 2 lane roadway</td>
<td>Calhoun-Byers Rd</td>
<td>US 36 to 88th Ave</td>
<td>8.05</td>
<td>$52,553,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>New 2 lane roadway</td>
<td>E 112th Ave</td>
<td>Rector to Deter</td>
<td>4.02</td>
<td>$26,241,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>New 2 lane roadway</td>
<td>E 120th Ave</td>
<td>Imboden to SH 79</td>
<td>8.92</td>
<td>$58,230,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>New 2 lane roadway</td>
<td>E 144th Ave</td>
<td>Imboden to Peoria Crossing</td>
<td>24.96</td>
<td>$162,938,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>New 2 lane roadway</td>
<td>Petterson Rd</td>
<td>144th Ave to 168th Ave</td>
<td>3.02</td>
<td>$19,745,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>New 2 lane roadway</td>
<td>Rector Leader Rd</td>
<td>US 36 to 112th Ave</td>
<td>10.98</td>
<td>$71,681,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>New 2 lane roadway</td>
<td>E 112th Ave</td>
<td>Strasburg to Horrogate</td>
<td>10.33</td>
<td>$67,450,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>New 2 lane roadway</td>
<td>E 152nd Ave</td>
<td>Mimosa to Philmay</td>
<td>5.93</td>
<td>$38,677,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>New 2 lane roadway</td>
<td>E 56th Ave</td>
<td>Bradbury to Rector</td>
<td>9.01</td>
<td>$58,789,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>New 2 lane roadway</td>
<td>E 56th Ave</td>
<td>East Rd to Winview</td>
<td>2.93</td>
<td>$19,118,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>New 2 lane roadway</td>
<td>E 64th Ave</td>
<td>Strasburg to Bradbury</td>
<td>4.03</td>
<td>$26,337,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>New 2 lane roadway</td>
<td>Hanks Crossing</td>
<td>US 36 to 112th Ave</td>
<td>10.94</td>
<td>$71,425,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>New 2 lane roadway</td>
<td>Headlight Rd</td>
<td>US 36 to 48th Ave</td>
<td>2.98</td>
<td>$19,446,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>New 2 lane roadway</td>
<td>Horrogate Rd</td>
<td>112th Ave to 148th Ave</td>
<td>4.63</td>
<td>$30,230,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>New 2 lane roadway</td>
<td>Imboden Rd</td>
<td>160th to 168th</td>
<td>1.05</td>
<td>$6,886,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>New 2 lane roadway</td>
<td>Peoria Crossing Rd</td>
<td>136th Ave to 168th Ave</td>
<td>4.03</td>
<td>$26,315,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>New 2 lane roadway</td>
<td>Shamrock Rd</td>
<td>96th Ave to 168th Ave</td>
<td>9.04</td>
<td>$59,032,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>New 2 lane roadway</td>
<td>Wolf Creek Rd</td>
<td>26th Ave to 48th Ave</td>
<td>1.98</td>
<td>$12,920,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>New 2 lane roadway</td>
<td>Yulle Rd</td>
<td>I-70 to 56th Ave</td>
<td>4.38</td>
<td>$28,610,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PROJECT</td>
<td>CORRIDOR NAME</td>
<td>EXTENTS</td>
<td>LENGTH (MI)</td>
<td>PLANNING LEVEL COST ESTIMATE</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---------</td>
<td>---------------</td>
<td>---------</td>
<td>-------------</td>
<td>------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>New 2 lane roadway</td>
<td>Behrens Rd</td>
<td>88th Ave to 112th Ave</td>
<td>3.01</td>
<td>$19,649,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>New 2 lane roadway</td>
<td>Bradbury-Krebs Rd</td>
<td>US 36 to 168th Ave</td>
<td>18.07</td>
<td>$117,924,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>New 2 lane roadway</td>
<td>Deter-Winters Rd</td>
<td>112th Ave to 152nd Ave</td>
<td>5.06</td>
<td>$33,035,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>New 2 lane roadway</td>
<td>E 96th Ave</td>
<td>Behren to Rector</td>
<td>4.01</td>
<td>$26,152,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>New 2 lane roadway</td>
<td>E 96th Ave</td>
<td>Hanks to East rd</td>
<td>10.67</td>
<td>$69,650,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>New 2 lane roadway</td>
<td>East Rd</td>
<td>US 36 to 56th Ave</td>
<td>4.04</td>
<td>$26,343,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>New 2 lane roadway</td>
<td>Philmay Rd</td>
<td>152nd Ave to 168th Ave</td>
<td>2.02</td>
<td>$13,164,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>New 2 lane roadway</td>
<td>Piggott Rd</td>
<td>48th Ave to 56th Ave</td>
<td>0.99</td>
<td>$6,487,000</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**TABLE 8.4: TIER 1 PRIORITIZED BICYCLE PROJECTS - SHORT-TERM 2022-2030**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>TYPE</th>
<th>FACILITY NAME</th>
<th>EXTENT</th>
<th>EXTENT</th>
<th>LENGTH (MI)</th>
<th>PLANNING LEVEL COST ESTIMATE</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>On-Street</td>
<td>Washington St</td>
<td>E 83rd Dr</td>
<td>E 52nd Ave</td>
<td>3.83</td>
<td>$475,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>On-Street</td>
<td>E 160th Ave</td>
<td>Colorado Blvd</td>
<td>27th Ave</td>
<td>7.9</td>
<td>$981,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>On-Street</td>
<td>E 56th Ave</td>
<td>Eudora St</td>
<td>E-470</td>
<td>11.34</td>
<td>$1,408,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>On-Street</td>
<td>Broadway Blvd</td>
<td>84th Ave</td>
<td>Denver Boulder Turnpike</td>
<td>1.6</td>
<td>$199,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>On-Street</td>
<td>Essex Dr/84th Ave</td>
<td>Washington St</td>
<td>Devonshire Blvd</td>
<td>1.26</td>
<td>$156,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>On-Street</td>
<td>Lowell Blvd</td>
<td>W 67th Ave</td>
<td>W 52nd Ave</td>
<td>1.87</td>
<td>$232,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>On-Street</td>
<td>76th Ave/El Paso Blvd</td>
<td>Zuni St</td>
<td>Conifer Rd</td>
<td>1.35</td>
<td>$168,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>On-Street</td>
<td>E 104th Ave</td>
<td>Colorado Front Range Trail</td>
<td>E-470</td>
<td>7.44</td>
<td>$923,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>On-Street</td>
<td>Explorador Calle/ Rainbow Ave</td>
<td>88th Ave</td>
<td>Coronado Pkwy</td>
<td>1.08</td>
<td>$134,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TYPE</td>
<td>FACILITY NAME</td>
<td>EXTENT</td>
<td>EXTENT</td>
<td>LENGTH (MI)</td>
<td>PLANNING LEVEL COST ESTIMATE</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-----------</td>
<td>--------------------------------</td>
<td>----------------------------</td>
<td>-------------------------</td>
<td>-------------</td>
<td>-----------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>On-Street</td>
<td>Federal Blvd</td>
<td>Little Dry Creek Trail</td>
<td>Clear Creek Trail</td>
<td>1.06</td>
<td>$132,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>On-Street</td>
<td>Fulton St</td>
<td>E 26th Ave</td>
<td>Montview Blvd</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>$62,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>On-Street</td>
<td>Greenwood Blvd</td>
<td>84th Ave</td>
<td>Broadway Blvd</td>
<td>1.87</td>
<td>$232,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>On-Street</td>
<td>Zuni St</td>
<td>84th Ave</td>
<td>Fern Dr</td>
<td>1.84</td>
<td>$93,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>On-Street</td>
<td>Dahlia St</td>
<td>Frontage Rd</td>
<td>E 70th Ave</td>
<td>1.41</td>
<td>$175,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>On-Street</td>
<td>E 96th Ave</td>
<td>Colorado Blvd</td>
<td>Heinz Way</td>
<td>3.6</td>
<td>$447,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>On-Street</td>
<td>McElwain Blvd</td>
<td>88th Ave</td>
<td>Devonshire Blvd</td>
<td>0.83</td>
<td>$103,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>On-Street</td>
<td>Tennyson St</td>
<td>W 63rd Dr</td>
<td>W 52nd Ave</td>
<td>1.41</td>
<td>$176,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>On-Street</td>
<td>W 64th Ave</td>
<td>Tennyson St</td>
<td>Clear Creek Trail</td>
<td>1.49</td>
<td>$185,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>On-Street</td>
<td>W 70th Ave</td>
<td>Pecos St</td>
<td>Broadway Blvd</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>$124,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>On-Street</td>
<td>Welby Rd/E 86th Ave</td>
<td>E 88th Ave</td>
<td>Colorado Blvd</td>
<td>0.95</td>
<td>$118,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>On-Street</td>
<td>Buckley Rd</td>
<td>Bridge St</td>
<td>120th Ave</td>
<td>5.04</td>
<td>$626,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>On-Street</td>
<td>CO-2</td>
<td>Eisenhower Hwy</td>
<td>E 53rd Ave</td>
<td>0.67</td>
<td>$83,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>On-Street</td>
<td>Iola St</td>
<td>E 26th Ave</td>
<td>Montview Blvd</td>
<td>0.51</td>
<td>$64,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>On-Street</td>
<td>Pecos St</td>
<td>Clear Creek Trail</td>
<td>56th Ave</td>
<td>1.08</td>
<td>$134,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sidewalk</td>
<td>E Montview Blvd</td>
<td>Central Park Blvd</td>
<td>Fitzsimons Pkwy</td>
<td>2.93</td>
<td>$1,466,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sidewalk</td>
<td>Fitzsimons Pkwy</td>
<td>Montview Blvd</td>
<td>13th Ave</td>
<td>0.8</td>
<td>$400,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sidewalk</td>
<td>Lowell Blvd</td>
<td>W 97th Ave</td>
<td>Denver Boulder Turnpike</td>
<td>2.31</td>
<td>$1,157,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sidewalk</td>
<td>Welby Rd/Devonshire Blvd</td>
<td>E 88th Ave</td>
<td>Niver Creek Trail</td>
<td>1.02</td>
<td>$508,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sidewalk</td>
<td>York St</td>
<td>Niver Creek Trail</td>
<td>South Platte Trail</td>
<td>2.08</td>
<td>$1,040,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sidewalk</td>
<td>Chambers Rd</td>
<td>E 40th Ave</td>
<td>Moncrieff Pl</td>
<td>0.71</td>
<td>$251,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TYPE</td>
<td>FACILITY NAME</td>
<td>EXTENT</td>
<td>EXTENT</td>
<td>LENGTH (MI)</td>
<td>PLANNING LEVEL COST ESTIMATE</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---------</td>
<td>--------------------------------</td>
<td>-------------------------</td>
<td>-----------------------</td>
<td>-------------</td>
<td>------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sidepath</td>
<td>E 120th Pkwy</td>
<td>Holly St</td>
<td>US-85</td>
<td>3.48</td>
<td>$1,741,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sidepath</td>
<td>Chambers Rd</td>
<td>Montview Blvd</td>
<td>Colfax Ave</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>$355,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Trail</td>
<td>To Be Determined</td>
<td>Montview Blvd</td>
<td>Colfax Ave</td>
<td>0.73</td>
<td>$4,449,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Trail</td>
<td>To Be Determined</td>
<td>South Platte Trail</td>
<td>Pena Blvd</td>
<td>12.38</td>
<td>$3,434,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Trail</td>
<td>Westerly Creek Trail</td>
<td>E 26th Ave</td>
<td>Montview Blvd</td>
<td>0.55</td>
<td>$1,086,000</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**TABLE 8.5: TIER 2 PRIORITIZED BICYCLE PROJECTS - MEDIUM-TERM 2030-2040**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>TYPE</th>
<th>FACILITY NAME</th>
<th>EXTENT</th>
<th>EXTENT</th>
<th>LENGTH (MI)</th>
<th>PLANNING LEVEL COST ESTIMATE</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>On-Street</td>
<td>Clear Creek - Federal Station</td>
<td>Clear Creek Trail</td>
<td>Clear Creek - Federal Station</td>
<td>0.17</td>
<td>$21,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sidepath</td>
<td>E 120th Ave</td>
<td>US-85</td>
<td>High Plains Pkwy</td>
<td>3.55</td>
<td>$276,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sidepath</td>
<td>Washington St</td>
<td>Washington Center Pkwy</td>
<td>120th Ave</td>
<td>0.36</td>
<td>$138,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>On-Street</td>
<td>E 120th Ave</td>
<td>High Plains Pkwy</td>
<td>Imboden Rd</td>
<td>10.99</td>
<td>$1,364,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>On-Street</td>
<td>E 66th Ave</td>
<td>Washington St</td>
<td>York St</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>$124,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>On-Street</td>
<td>Sable Blvd</td>
<td>Bromley Ln</td>
<td>E-470</td>
<td>3.01</td>
<td>$374,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>On-Street</td>
<td>W 55th Pl/W 56th Ave</td>
<td>Julian St</td>
<td>Pecos St</td>
<td>1.44</td>
<td>$179,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sidepath</td>
<td>E 120th Ave</td>
<td>Sheridan Blvd</td>
<td>Federal Blvd</td>
<td>0.55</td>
<td>$1,775,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sidepath</td>
<td>Washington St</td>
<td>E 104th Ave</td>
<td>E 102nd Ave</td>
<td>0.28</td>
<td>$182,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Trail</td>
<td>To Be Determined</td>
<td>Chambers Rd</td>
<td>120th Ave</td>
<td>5.29</td>
<td>$24,226,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Trail</td>
<td>To Be Determined</td>
<td>Adams County Boundary</td>
<td>56th Ave</td>
<td>2.27</td>
<td>$1,429,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>On-Street</td>
<td>E 124th Ave</td>
<td>Park Blvd</td>
<td>Sable Blvd</td>
<td>3.10</td>
<td>$385,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>On-Street</td>
<td>Jordan Dr</td>
<td>Zuni St</td>
<td>W 70th Ave</td>
<td>0.56</td>
<td>$70,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>On-Street</td>
<td>N Imboden Rd</td>
<td>US-6</td>
<td>Colfax Ave</td>
<td>24.38</td>
<td>$3,027,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TYPE</td>
<td>FACILITY NAME</td>
<td>EXTENT</td>
<td>EXTENT</td>
<td>LENGTH (MI)</td>
<td>PLANNING LEVEL COST ESTIMATE</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-----------</td>
<td>--------------------------------------</td>
<td>-------------------------------</td>
<td>-------------------------------</td>
<td>-------------</td>
<td>------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>On-Street</td>
<td>Zuni St</td>
<td>W 59th Pl</td>
<td>W 52nd Ave</td>
<td>0.75</td>
<td>$228,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sidepath</td>
<td>S 4th Ave/Sable Blvd</td>
<td>Bromley Ln</td>
<td>144th Ave</td>
<td>0.99</td>
<td>$493,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Trail</td>
<td>Little Dry Creek Trail Spur</td>
<td>Little Dry Creek Trail</td>
<td>Midtown</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>$50,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>On-Street</td>
<td>Colorado Blvd</td>
<td>141st Ave</td>
<td>CO-7</td>
<td>2.27</td>
<td>$282,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>On-Street</td>
<td>E 112th Ave</td>
<td>Peoria St</td>
<td>Picadilly Rd</td>
<td>5.99</td>
<td>$744,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>On-Street</td>
<td>E 144th Ave</td>
<td>Brighton Rd</td>
<td>27th Ave</td>
<td>2.70</td>
<td>$336,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sidepath</td>
<td>So. Platte River Trail</td>
<td>Adams County Boundary</td>
<td>Smith Park</td>
<td>0.77</td>
<td>$386,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sidepath</td>
<td>US-6</td>
<td>E 152nd Ave</td>
<td>Eagle Blvd</td>
<td>1.45</td>
<td>$723,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Trail</td>
<td>So. Platte River Trail</td>
<td>South of 120th Ave</td>
<td>124th Ave</td>
<td>0.76</td>
<td>$1,513,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>On-Street</td>
<td>E 136th Ave</td>
<td>Monaco St</td>
<td>Riverdale Rd</td>
<td>2.19</td>
<td>$272,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>On-Street</td>
<td>E 56th Ave</td>
<td>E-470</td>
<td>West Sand Creek</td>
<td>13.07</td>
<td>$1,623,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>On-Street</td>
<td>US-36</td>
<td>Imboden Rd</td>
<td>Monroe St</td>
<td>14.45</td>
<td>$1,794,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>On-Street</td>
<td>W 115th Ave</td>
<td>Sheridan Blvd</td>
<td>Wolff St</td>
<td>0.27</td>
<td>$33,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sidepath</td>
<td>E Colfax Ave</td>
<td>Himalaya Rd</td>
<td>E-470</td>
<td>1.93</td>
<td>$218,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sidepath</td>
<td>E Colfax Ave</td>
<td>Espana St</td>
<td>Himalaya Rd</td>
<td>0.44</td>
<td>$963,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sidepath</td>
<td>E-470 Trail</td>
<td>South Platte Trail</td>
<td>Second Creek Trail</td>
<td>2.56</td>
<td>$745,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Trail</td>
<td>Little Dry Creek Trail Spur</td>
<td>Little Dry Creek Trail</td>
<td>Midtown</td>
<td>0.03</td>
<td>$37,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Trail</td>
<td>So. Platte River Trail</td>
<td>North of 144th Ave</td>
<td>South of Bromley Ln</td>
<td>1.05</td>
<td>$1,501,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Trail</td>
<td>To Be Determined</td>
<td>E 168th</td>
<td>E-470</td>
<td>1.75</td>
<td>$2,317,000</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
TABLE 8.6: TIER 3 PRIORITIZED BICYCLE PROJECTS - LONG-TERM 2040–2050

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>TYPE</th>
<th>FACILITY NAME</th>
<th>EXTENT</th>
<th>EXTENT</th>
<th>LENGTH (MI)</th>
<th>PLANNING LEVEL COST ESTIMATE</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>On-Streets</td>
<td>To Be Determined</td>
<td>Bromley Ln</td>
<td>E-470</td>
<td>3.10</td>
<td>$385,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Trail</td>
<td>First Creek Trail</td>
<td>E 38th Ave</td>
<td>Colfax Ave</td>
<td>2.71</td>
<td>$5,299,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Trail</td>
<td>So. Platte River Trail</td>
<td>120th Ave</td>
<td>104th Ave</td>
<td>2.13</td>
<td>$2,050,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Trail</td>
<td>South of 120th Pkwy</td>
<td>North of 120th Pkwy</td>
<td>0.42</td>
<td>$4,175,000</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Trail</td>
<td>To Be Determined</td>
<td>So. Platte River Trail</td>
<td>Brighton Rd</td>
<td>0.62</td>
<td>$10,357,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Trail</td>
<td>To Be Determined</td>
<td>120th Ave</td>
<td>E 112th Ave</td>
<td>1.18</td>
<td>$1,218,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Trail</td>
<td>To Be Determined</td>
<td>Brighton Rd</td>
<td>US-85</td>
<td>0.45</td>
<td>$877,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>On-Streets</td>
<td>CO-79</td>
<td>E 112th Ave</td>
<td>Palmer Ave</td>
<td>9.61</td>
<td>$1,193,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>On-Streets</td>
<td>E 38th Ave</td>
<td>Harback Rd</td>
<td>Kiowa Bennett Rd</td>
<td>3.00</td>
<td>$373,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>On-Streets</td>
<td>Holly St</td>
<td>E 160th Ave</td>
<td>E 144th Ave</td>
<td>1.99</td>
<td>$246,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>On-Streets</td>
<td>Manilla Rd</td>
<td>E 72nd Ave</td>
<td>Eisenhower Hwy</td>
<td>4.81</td>
<td>$597,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>On-Streets</td>
<td>Picadilly Rd</td>
<td>E 152nd Ave</td>
<td>E 122nd Ave</td>
<td>5.00</td>
<td>$620,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>On-Streets</td>
<td>S 50th Ave</td>
<td>E Southern St</td>
<td>Frontage Rd</td>
<td>0.41</td>
<td>$51,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>On-Streets</td>
<td>To Be Determined</td>
<td>E Southern St</td>
<td>E 152nd Ave</td>
<td>0.51</td>
<td>$63,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>On-Streets</td>
<td>Tower Rd</td>
<td>E Southern St</td>
<td>E 152nd Ave</td>
<td>0.48</td>
<td>$59,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sidewalk</td>
<td>Quivas St</td>
<td>W 136th Ave</td>
<td>End of Quivas St</td>
<td>0.30</td>
<td>$148,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Trail</td>
<td>So. Platte River Trail</td>
<td>North of E-470</td>
<td>North of 144th Ave</td>
<td>0.77</td>
<td>$832,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>On-Streets</td>
<td>E 132nd Ave</td>
<td>Second Creek Trail</td>
<td>US-6</td>
<td>2.61</td>
<td>$325,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>On-Streets</td>
<td>E 60th Ave</td>
<td>Dunkirk St</td>
<td>New Trail</td>
<td>0.69</td>
<td>$85,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>On-Streets</td>
<td>Monroe St</td>
<td>E 26th Ave</td>
<td>US-36</td>
<td>0.98</td>
<td>$122,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>On-Streets</td>
<td>Pecos St</td>
<td>W 152nd Ave</td>
<td>W 144th Ave</td>
<td>1.07</td>
<td>$133,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>On-Streets</td>
<td>Spruce Ave</td>
<td>Aspen St</td>
<td>Basil St</td>
<td>0.70</td>
<td>$87,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TYPE</td>
<td>FACILITY NAME</td>
<td>EXTENT</td>
<td>EXTENT</td>
<td>LENGTH (MI)</td>
<td>COST ESTIMATE</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>--------</td>
<td>---------------------</td>
<td>-----------------------</td>
<td>-----------------</td>
<td>-------------</td>
<td>---------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>On-Street</td>
<td>Wagner St</td>
<td>E 24th Ave</td>
<td>US-36</td>
<td>0.82</td>
<td>$102,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sidepath</td>
<td>E-470 Trail</td>
<td>East of Boston St</td>
<td>Fishing Is Fun Pond</td>
<td>1.46</td>
<td>$531,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sidepath</td>
<td>E-470 Trail</td>
<td>Rail Tracks</td>
<td>Signal Ditch</td>
<td>1.06</td>
<td>$772,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Trail</td>
<td>So. Platte River Trail</td>
<td>Fishing Is Fund Pond</td>
<td>North of E-470</td>
<td>0.77</td>
<td>$1,479,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>On-Street</td>
<td>E 132nd Ave</td>
<td>Barr Lake</td>
<td>Picadilly Rd</td>
<td>0.48</td>
<td>$60,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>On-Street</td>
<td>E 88th Ave</td>
<td>Imboden Rd</td>
<td>Strasberg Rd</td>
<td>13.99</td>
<td>$1,737,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>On-Street</td>
<td>Henderson Rd</td>
<td>Riverdale Rd</td>
<td>Park Blvd</td>
<td>0.39</td>
<td>$49,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>On-Street</td>
<td>W 152nd Ave</td>
<td>Zuni St</td>
<td>Huron St</td>
<td>0.98</td>
<td>$122,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sidepath</td>
<td>E-470 Trail</td>
<td>Signal Ditch</td>
<td>Quebec St</td>
<td>1.49</td>
<td>$731,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>On-Street</td>
<td>E 144th Ave</td>
<td>Imboden Rd</td>
<td>Strasburg Rd</td>
<td>14.01</td>
<td>$1,740,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>On-Street</td>
<td>Strasburg Rd</td>
<td>144th Ave</td>
<td>88th Ave</td>
<td>6.97</td>
<td>$866,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>On-Street</td>
<td>W 149th Ave</td>
<td>Zuni St</td>
<td>Huron St</td>
<td>0.98</td>
<td>$122,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sidepath</td>
<td>E-470 Trail</td>
<td>Quebec St</td>
<td>East of Boston St</td>
<td>1.54</td>
<td>$1,279,000</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
8.1.2 - OVERVIEW OF TOOLS FOR IMPLEMENTATION

Achieving the safety and mobility goals of the Transportation Master Plan will require ongoing funding for project implementation over the next two decades. A short-term emphasis on funding and building the multimodal transportation network, especially over the next five years, will be critical to slow the rate of crashes, accommodate the changing travel needs of new business and residents, and maintain economic vitality. Over the next five years the following are steps that should be taken by staff, the community, and elected officials.

8.1.2.1 - Phasing

Although most projects are listed in this plan as a single project, Adams County and relevant municipalities should consider the phasing of projects, as appropriate. Projects can be completed in segments if deemed appropriate. This desire to implement projects in a phased approach may arise if there are opportunities through partnerships, funding sources, repaving schedules, or changes in project needs. For example, a grant specific for active transportation may fund the bicycle and pedestrian components of a multimodal project but not the roadway components.

8.1.2.2 - Pursue New Internal and External Funding Sources

Additional local funding will be required to maintain older transportation infrastructure that will be passed on to future generations. A list of the current funding sources and possible new external funding sources for projects is outlined in this chapter. It will be critical for the County to expand the use of grant funding through additional resources and to strategically consider the best opportunities for the investment in completing grant applications. A critical step in obtaining external grants is having a Transportation Master Plan and project priorities that are supported by the community and elected officials. It will be critical to have the projects “shovel ready” so that the funding can be used for implementation. In most cases the list of external funding sources requires local matching funds of up to 30%.

8.1.2.3 - Partner With New Development to Implement Multimodal Network

Given the number of neighboring jurisdictions and governing bodies in the northern Colorado region, coordinating between and within various municipalities and departments is especially important. Ensuring the right stakeholders are at the table during the planning and design phases of a project will be important to ensure: the project scope encompasses the needs of all users; all available funding sources are being leveraged; and project implementation is coordinated with other related efforts. Over the next five years, on-going development in Adams County will present
opportunities to co-fund multimodal transportation projects. Some of the projects might be in new development areas that allow Adams County to complete missing trail links, or in already developed areas where upgrades to existing infrastructure allow for new multimodal enhancements.

8.1.3 - KEY IMPLEMENTATION GROUPS / AGENCIES

Coordinating within the County is equally as important. For example, if a proposed project includes restriping a roadway to add bicycle lanes, being aware of the repaving schedule will allow the leveraging of funds to implement the project in a much more cost-effective manner. Adams County should coordinate both internally and externally to implement proposed projects in a manner that ensures efficiency, potential cost savings, and the most effective long-term solutions. The Public Works Department should coordinate with the Parks, Open Space & Cultural Arts Department and the Community & Economic Development Department. Coordination can help ensure there is a seamless connection between transportation facilities and trails, new development, and other investments in the right-of-way. The County should also coordinate with external partners including the Colorado Department of Transportation (CDOT), Regional Transportation District (RTD), Denver Regional Council of Governments (DRCOG), Smart Commute Metro North, Adams County Council of Governments Subregional Forum (ADCOG), and local incorporated jurisdictions. This collaboration will allow for a seamless travel experience for users across the region, opportunities to leverage funding sources, and consistency with future planning efforts.

8.2 - MONITORING PROGRESS

Monitoring Adams County in achieving the Transportation Master Plan’s goals is an important way of evaluating current success, modifying the path forward, and building momentum and support within the community. Table 8.7 lists each of the eleven transportation goals and performance measures for each goal. This monitoring table should be completed by Adams County staff on an annual basis. Performance measures are intended to track the effectiveness of the implementation of recommendations towards the County’s goals introduced in Phase 1 (Appendix A). These performance measures also will enable County staff to communicate outcomes of the transportation system changes in future years and can be used on a continuous basis for evaluation of the proposed recommendations.
### TABLE 8.7: ADVANCING ADAMS TRANSPORTATION PERFORMANCE MEASURES

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>TOPIC</th>
<th>PERFORMANCE MEASURE</th>
<th>METRIC</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Safety</td>
<td>Reduce the number of fatal and severe injury collisions</td>
<td>Number of crashes year over year</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Safety</td>
<td>Reduce the number of bicycle/pedestrian-related collisions</td>
<td>Number of crashes year over year</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Safety</td>
<td>Reduce the annual crash rate (number of crashes/volume or VMT) on key corridors or County-wide</td>
<td>Crashes per 1,000 vehicles year over year (use the same corridors each year)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Transportation options for all ages and abilities</td>
<td>Implement low stress, connected bicycle facilities</td>
<td>Miles of bicycle facilities implemented, per Chapter 5 bicycle network</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Transportation options for all ages and abilities</td>
<td>Complete sidewalk gaps and ensure pedestrian facilities are ADA compliant</td>
<td>Miles of sidewalk gaps filled, per Chapter 4 sidewalk prioritization</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Transportation options for all ages and abilities</td>
<td>Employee and resident participation in Transportation Demand Management programs/strategies</td>
<td>Reporting through program participants</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Transportation options for all ages and abilities</td>
<td>Increase awareness of the availability and benefits of alternative transportation options (walking, biking, transit)</td>
<td>Mode split (through American Community Survey, local survey data, or DRCOG Focus Model)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Transportation options for all ages and abilities</td>
<td>Prioritize first and last mile connections to commuter rail stations</td>
<td>Miles of bicycle and pedestrian facilities implemented within a 1-mile buffer of stations</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Transportation options for all ages and abilities</td>
<td>Provide transportation options where the older population can age in place, when driving is no longer an option</td>
<td>New transit or human service provider options implemented</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Access to trails for recreation and transportation</td>
<td>Implement bicycle and pedestrian facilities that connect to trails and trailheads</td>
<td>Miles of bicycle and pedestrian facilities implemented within a 1/2-mile buffer of trail access point</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Upgrade and maintain rural roadway network</td>
<td>Implement the prioritization system for paving rural roadways that reflects a balance of access and maintenance costs</td>
<td>Number of times rural road prioritization process applied</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TOPIC</td>
<td>PERFORMANCE MEASURE</td>
<td>METRIC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-----------------------------------</td>
<td>-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sustainability</td>
<td>Reduce vehicle miles traveled (VMT) per capita</td>
<td>VMT per capita of unincorporated population per DRCOG Focus Model outputs</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Reduce single occupancy vehicle mode split</td>
<td>Mode split (through American Community Survey, local survey data, or DRCOG Focus Model)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Align transportation and land use</td>
<td>Increase density and mix-uses along transit corridors</td>
<td>Per success of Comprehensive Plan implementation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Implement planned Transit Oriented Developments</td>
<td>Per success of Comprehensive Plan implementation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Continue to identify policy, regulations and locations that support the transit center concept and TODs</td>
<td>Per success of Comprehensive Plan implementation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Regional connectivity</td>
<td>Leverage partnerships with local jurisdictions and neighboring communities to implement projects that cross boundaries and create a consistent experience for users</td>
<td>Number of collaborative cross-boundary efforts</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Freight</td>
<td>Plan for an intermodal freight hub</td>
<td>Tracking of establishment data--employment data collected by NAICS code</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Travel reliability</td>
<td>Travel time along major corridors in both the peak and non-peak hours remains consistent each year</td>
<td>Using BlueToad, Streetlight or Inrix data, compare minutes/mile along the same key corridors each year</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Equity</td>
<td>Ensure investments are made in areas of the County with more vulnerable populations</td>
<td>Number of investments in CDC High Vulnerability census tracts (.75-1) (See Comprehensive Plan Existing Conditions and Opportunities Report (Phase I)Map 6)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Innovation</td>
<td>Implement partnership, technology or policy that leverages innovation to improve mobility</td>
<td>Number of new partnerships, technologies or policies</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Conduct temporary pilot projects that test out new technologies and providers</td>
<td>Number of pilot projects</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Identify innovative opportunities through this Plan (e.g., signage, ITS, counts, signalization, Big Data)</td>
<td>Number of new innovative opportunities having seen progress</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
8.3 - FUNDING

There are a variety of funding measures that Adams County, in partnership with its local municipalities, can pursue to support the implementation and operations of innovative transportation programs and services. The funding landscape is competitive and often requires County departments to enter the planning phase having considered grant requirements and opportunities to position the County for successful grant applications. Identifying project priorities in a Transportation Master Plan that are supported by the community and elected officials, and will help meet anticipated travel demand, is a critical step in obtaining external grants. An initial step for implementing the Transportation Master Plan can be the further study and design needed to bring high priority projects from the concept level to the “shovel ready” level in order to demonstrate to potential funders that an award would go directly towards project implementation. In most cases, the list of external funding sources featured in this chapter requires local matching funds.

Funding sources will continue to change between now and 2040, but this section identifies grant and funding streams available as of October 2021. Descriptions of grant opportunities come from federal, state, and regional sources.

8.3.1 - FEDERAL GRANTS

There are a variety of grants that could be used to fund innovative programs and services.

**Federal Highway Safety Improvement Program (HSIP):**

Eligible projects in this category include improvements or corrections to safety issues on any local or regional public roads and trails or paths. Funded activities must be consistent with Colorado’s Strategic Highway Safety Plan. Projects are selected competitively through CDOT.

**USDOT Rebuilding American Infrastructure with Sustainability and Equity (RAISE) (formerly BUILD and TIGER):**

Since 2009, USDOT has distributed grants for planning and capital investments in surface transportation infrastructure. Grants are awarded on a competitive basis for projects that will have a significant local or regional impact. RAISE funding can support roads, bridges, transit, rail, ports, or intermodal transportation.

**Infrastructure for Rebuilding American (INFRA):**

The FAST (Fixing America’s Surface Transportation) Act established the Nationally Significant Freight and Highway Projects (NSFHP) program to provide financial assistance—competitive grants, known as INFRA grants, or credit assistance—to nationally and regionally significant
freight and highway projects that align with the program goals to improve safety, efficiency and reliability of freight; improve global competitiveness; reduce highway congestion; improve connectivity; and addressing growing demand for freight.

Advanced Transportation and Congestion Management Technologies Deployment Program (ATCMTD) grants:
In July 2020, the U.S. Department of Transportation’s Federal Highway Administration (FHWA) published a Notice of Funding Opportunity (NOFO) for $60 million in ATCMTD grants to fund new technologies that improve transportation efficiency and safety.

5310 Enhanced Mobility of Seniors and Individuals with Disabilities:
This formula fund supports public transportation for seniors and individuals with disabilities by funding eligible capital, purchased service, and preventive maintenance projects for transportation providers. Eligible projects include vehicle purchases, passenger shelters, purchased services, preventive maintenance, travel training, marketing programs, development of centralized call centers, and other equipment that supports transportation to meet the special needs of seniors and individuals with disabilities. DRCOG administers 5310 funding for the Denver-Aurora Urbanized Area, which includes Adams County.

FTA Mobility On-Demand (MOD) Sandbox Program:
The MOD program envisions a multimodal, integrated, automated, accessible, and connected transportation system in which personalized mobility is a key feature. The Sandbox Demonstration Program seeks to fund project teams to innovate, explore partnerships, develop new business models, integrate transit and MOD solutions, and investigate new, enabling technical capabilities such as integrated payment systems, decision support, and incentives for traveler choices.

Surface Transportation Block Grant:
A formula grant distributed to states who then distribute it through discretionary grants. This grant primarily funds capital improvements.

Public Transportation Innovation Program:
The program is a competitive grant process that provides funding to develop innovative products and services assisting transit agencies in better meeting the needs of their customers. It funds research, development, demonstration and deployment projects, and evaluation of technology of national significance to public transportation.
8.3.2 – STATE

CDOT Funding Advancements for Surface Transportation and Economic Recovery Act (FASTER):
This category includes safety-related projects, such as: asset management, transportation operations, intersection and interchange improvements, and shoulder and safety-related widening, and pedestrian and advanced by local governments and selected based on priority and data within CDOT Region 1.

Safe Routes to School (SRTS): This program was formed to:
Enable and encourage children to walk and bike to school; make walking and biking safer and more appealing; facilitate planning development, and implementation of projects that improve safety, reduce traffic, fuel consumption, and air pollution around schools. There is no longer dedicated federal SRTS funding, but the Colorado SRTS program has been continued with state funding and a local agency match requirement. This is a competitive program where projects are screened by a statewide selection advisory committee.

Great Outdoors Colorado (GOCO):
Funding from the Colorado Lottery is awarded to a variety of project types, including trail projects, across the state by the GOCO Board. GOCO Board members are appointed by the Governor and confirmed by the Colorado State Senate.

Regional Priorities Program (RPP):
The goal of this program is to implement regionally significant projects identified through the transportation planning process. These funds are flexible in use and are allocated to the regions by the Colorado Transportation Commission on an annual basis. The allocations are based on regional population, CDOT on-system lane miles, and CDOT on-system truck vehicle miles traveled (VMT).

8.3.3 – REGIONAL

Metropolitan Planning: Federal funds are allocated to DRCOG to provide for a continuing, comprehensive, and cooperative (3C) transportation planning process in the region.

Multimodal Options Fund (MMOF):
The legislation states that the Multimodal Options Fund should promote a “complete and integrated multimodal system” through objectives such as benefitting seniors, providing enhanced mobility for the disabled population, or providing safe routes to school. Local recipients are required to provide a match of project funding equal to the amount of the grant, with exemptions allowed. The current MMOF funding is available through June 30, 2023.
**DRCOG Congestion Mitigation and Air Quality Improvement Program (CMAQ):**
The FAST (Fixing America’s Surface Transportation) Act continued the CMAQ program to provide a flexible funding source to State and local governments for transportation projects and programs to help meet the requirements of the Clean Air Act. Funding is available to reduce congestion and improve air quality for areas that do not meet the National Ambient Air Quality Standards for ozone, carbon monoxide, or particulate matter (nonattainment areas) and for former nonattainment areas that are now in compliance (maintenance areas). Adams County is in non-attainment for 8-hour Ozone.

**DRCOG Surface Transportation Block Grants:**
The Surface Transportation Block Grant program (STBG) provides flexible funding that may be used by States and localities for projects to preserve and improve the conditions and performance on any Federal-aid highway, bridge and tunnel projects on any public road, pedestrian and bicycle infrastructure, and transit capital projects, including intercity bus terminals.

**CDOT/DRCOG Transportation Alternatives (TA):**
Eligible projects for TA grants include planning or construction projects for on and off-road pedestrian and bicycle facilities, community enhancement activities, and safe routes to schools. Projects are screened and selected by CDOT Region 1 and funds are awarded through a competitive process to local entities.

**DRCOG Community Mobility Planning and Implementation (CMPI):**
The purpose of the CMPI set-aside is to support small area planning and small infrastructure projects that contribute to the implementation of key outcomes within Metro Vision and the Metro Vision Regional Transportation Plan. The current program goals are to: Support diverse, livable communities; Support the development of connected urban centers and multimodal corridors; Support a transportation system that is well-connected and serves all modes of travel; Support healthy and active choices; Expand access to opportunity for residents of all ages, incomes, and abilities; and supports a transportation system that is safe, reliable, and well maintained.

**Highway Users Tax Fund (HUTF):**
Revenues generated from the Road Safety Surcharge, Oversize Overweight Surcharge, Rental Car Surcharges, and late vehicle registration fees are credited to the Highway Users Tax Fund (HUTF) and distributed per statute to the Colorado Department of Transportation, counties, and municipalities.
Colorado Energy Office:
Funding is available through HB21-1253 to local government proposed projects to support the development and construction of renewable and clean energy infrastructure in all areas of the state especially in communities in which renewable and clean energy infrastructure is sparse and with consideration to geographical diversity in these awards.

8.3.4 - LOCAL FUNDING
While local funding is more limited, it is also feasible to supplement State and Federal funding options.

Adams County Road and Bridge Tax Fund:
This fund accounts for the proceeds the County receives from the Adams County Road and Bridge sales tax of 0.50 percent. The Adams County Road and Bridge capital projects are managed by the Infrastructure Department.

Local Property Taxes:
Funds generated by sales, use, specific ownership, and property taxes can be transferred to general funds or directed towards capital projects. These can either be permanent or a local option tax that is subject to voter approval. Community Partners Shared mobility programs could seek funding from large employers in Adams County or interested community partners could contribute to mobility services as they serve their users and provide better access to their services, including Business Improvement Districts (BID), Front Range Community College, or local Urban Renewal Authorities. These local partners may gift funds to the program, or they could help to subsidize trips for their employees or students. The Lone Tree Link is a strong example of shared mobility funded in part by local partners.

Dedicated Sales Tax:
Additional sales tax could be collected as the result of a County or citizen sponsored ballot initiative to collect sale tax for specific/dedicated uses for transportation related use. This can include funding for sustainability and resilience. This additional funding would be collected over a set amount of time and used to fund the included items.

Local Payroll Tax:
It is an option to assess a local payroll tax on employers or employees. This can raise funds but can also burden low-income workers and may not have public support.

Farebox Revenue and Advertisements:
These are direct revenues from fares for shared mobility programs or advertisements through transit or bike
share. Raising fees and fares would increase income from this source but may lead to decreased ridership and reduced mobility options for underserved populations. Increasing advertisement options could increase revenue from local sources.

Transportation Utility Fees:
Transportation utility fees are a financing mechanism that treats the transportation system like a utility in which residents and businesses pay fees based on their use of the transportation system rather than taxes based on the value of property they occupy. The fees are not subject to voter approval and are based on the number of trips generated by different land uses. They are enacted on property owners and renters alike, paid on an ongoing monthly basis.

Other funding options that could be considered with further analysis are parking fees, private sources, transportation impact fees, fuel taxes, bond measures, and special assessments.

8.4 – CONCLUSION
The Adams County Transportation Master Plan is a long-term transportation and mobility plan that will serve as a guide for the County as growth continues to occur. Many projects, programs, policies, and studies are recommended for all modes of transportation (vehicle, transit, bikes, walking, wheeling, and travel by horse) to help maintain or improve the quality of life for the County’s residents. Creating a plan far in advance provides the County with a blueprint to support funding requests for implementing recommendations, as well as guidance for right-of-way preservation to ensure sufficient roadway capacity as well as curb space for transit stops and stations and safe pedestrian and bicycle facilities. In the future, new forces and emerging technologies will impact Adams County and most communities around the globe. Examples of these include telecommuting, microtransit, electric vehicles, autonomous vehicles, and many others that will present challenges but also opportunities to better serve communities. As these continue to appear, growth continues to occur, and as projects are implemented, the Monitoring Table included in this chapter will help the County track the success of the plan or make adjustments and modifications if plan goals are not being achieved.
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Advancing Adams: Planning for a Shared Future is a planning effort that includes the update of three plans simultaneously:

- Comprehensive Plan
- Transportation Master Plan (TMP)
- Parks, Open Space & Trails Master Plan (POST)

This trio of updates will create a coordinated vision and comprehensive set of policy goals, recommendations, and strategies that work together to encourage sensitive development, robust economic development, a connected and efficient multimodal transportation network, and parks, open spaces and trails that are used by all residents year-round.
This plan, the TMP, will set the foundation to drive County short and long-term multimodal transportation. Through the planning process, projects, programs, and policies will be identified that help create a successful multimodal transportation network for people of all ages and abilities. It will be a network that is connected, efficient, comfortable, easy to navigate, and convenient. Creating an attractive transportation system will ensure Adams County remains one of the top places to live, work, and play in the Denver metro region.

**Advancing Adams Transportation Master Plan** is a comprehensive process that will provide a roadmap for the Plan’s vision:

*The Transportation Master Plan (TMP) sets a foundation that drives County transportation investments in the short and long-term. The TMP will ensure the County remains at the top of places to live, work, and play in the Denver metropolitan region by identifying projects, programs, and policies that create a multimodal transportation network for all ages and abilities that is safe, comfortable, connected, efficient, convenient, and fiscally responsible.*

This report consists of five components:

- Background and context for the Transportation Master Plan
- Preliminary plan metrics that identify the drivers of success
- An assessment of the multimodal transportation network in Adams County
- An analysis of future trends in transportation locally and nationally
- An assessment of existing conditions on the five strategic corridors identified for Advancing Adams

**BACKGROUND**

Adams County, Colorado (1,182 square miles) is located in the northeast quadrant of the state with the Denver metropolitan areas defining the western portion of the County and agricultural rural areas in the east. Adams County abuts seven counties and has 17 member jurisdictions (incorporated and unincorporated). With a population of 530,000 and expected to grow to over 580,000 in the next five years, there is an increasing demand for transportation facilities and services to travel within and through the County. The County has an extensive transportation network that includes roadways for freight and passenger vehicles (paved and unpaved), on and off-street bicycle facilities, sidewalks and challenges and opportunities for multimodal travel within Adams County.
crossings, and bus and commuter rail transit service.

**PLAN METRICS**

Preliminary plan metrics will be used to guide the development of the TMP by clearly identifying the drivers of success. In understanding the metrics and indicators that are important to Adams County, this Plan can recommend and prioritize infrastructure projects, programs, and policies that will lead to the achievement of those defined thresholds. The metrics include indicators on safety, access to trails, sustainability, freight, regional connectivity, and innovation.

**MULTIMODAL ANALYSIS**

A set of challenge and opportunity areas were identified to show specific geographies, corridors and small areas where there are opportunities to advance specific topic areas. Factors used to identify these specific areas include congestion, connectivity, safety, and barriers to multimodal travel. These opportunity areas include: SH 7, 120th Avenue, 104th Avenue, Southwest Adams County (east and west of I-25), Central Adams County, and the western edge of Commerce City.

In addition, this report assesses the existing conditions for:

- **Driving** - The Adams County roadway network is comprised of Adams County–owned roadways and CDOT-owned roadways, as well as paved and unpaved roadways. The roadway network provides a connected and efficient means of moving vehicles, including freight, to and through Adams County.

- **Walking** - The pedestrian network in Adams County consists of sidewalks and crossing. There are 407 miles of sidewalks in the unincorporated parts of County and 13 miles of missing sidewalks.

- **Bicycling** - The bicycle network in Adams County consists of on and off-street bicycle facilities. These facilities are primarily in the western portion of the County. There are 31 miles of bike lanes as well as shared use paths for recreation and transportation.

- **Transit** - Transit in Adams County consists of local and regional buses, commuter rail, FlexRide (which is RTDs first and last mile service), and human service providers. Transit investment in Adams County has been high in recent years with the addition of the B and G rail lines as well as the recent opening of the N Line. These rail lines connect various parts of Adams County to downtown Denver, greatly improving access to jobs and other amenities. However, there is no fixed route service in the eastern portion of the County.

- **Safety** - The majority of crashes take place in the southwest portion of the County. The number of
crashes resulting in severe injuries stayed close to the six-year average of 60 severe injuries per year, while the number of crashes resulting in deaths has steadily been increasing since 2013, with the exception of a decline in 2018.

- **Parking**—Adams County has nearly 13 square miles of off-street surface parking, 97% of which consists of impervious surfacing. Recent studies of on and off-street parking revealed that the County generally has an excess of parking and opportunities to better match parking demand with supply.

- **Freight**—Adams County has a high level of freight activity due to its proximity to Denver, presence of distribution and logistics centers, access to multiple interstate highways, and presence of freight railroad lines. I-70 is the main freight corridor, with trucks constituting 19% of vehicle traffic on average.

**INNOVATION**

Adams County’s vision is to be the most innovative and inclusive county in America. Transportation is a powerful and effective way to exemplify this innovation. Transportation is changing quickly; and Plans like this one help identify potential policies and infrastructure to leverage these emerging technologies so that they support the Advancing Adams goals. Some examples of transportation innovations that are expected to increase include:

- Shared mobility like bike share or Transportation Network Companies (TNCs)
- Electric vehicles that support Adams County sustainability goals
- Autonomous vehicles
- Mobility hubs around transit stations that make it easier to connect to commuter rail
- Dynamic, connected transportation systems, called Intelligent Transportation Systems (ITS)

**FIVE STRATEGIC CORRIDORS**

To highlight specific opportunities for focused improvements in key areas, Advancing Adams has selected five strategic corridors that are analyzed in greater detail as a part of this planning process—Federal Boulevard, Washington Street, Pecos Street, 104th Avenue, and 120th Avenue. Each of these corridors has varying land uses, gaps in the transportation network, and goals for moving people and goods. A summary of the transportation gaps and opportunities for each corridor is outlined in the following table:
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>CORRIDOR</th>
<th>CHALLENGES</th>
<th>OPPORTUNITIES</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Federal Boulevard</td>
<td>• Autocentric, with high speeds</td>
<td>• Connects to the Clear Creek Regional Trail</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• High freight volume</td>
<td>• Commercial land uses with high bicycle/pedestrian demand</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• CDOT-owned</td>
<td>• Add pedestrian crossings</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Sidewalk gaps or inadequate sidewalks</td>
<td>• Expand pedestrian realm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Infrequent pedestrian crossings</td>
<td>• Consider parallel corridor for bicycle facilities</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Crosses multiple jurisdictions</td>
<td>• High frequency transit (route 31); consider bus-only lanes and transit enhancements at intersections</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Multiple character areas</td>
<td>• Provides access to Clear Creek – Federal commuter rail station, and create transit-oriented development</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Washington Street</td>
<td>• Autocentric, with high speeds</td>
<td>• Opportunity to become a creative district</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• High freight volume</td>
<td>• Welby neighborhood generates demand for walking and biking</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Sidewalk gaps or inadequate sidewalks</td>
<td>• Opportunity for road diet to enhance the pedestrian realm, bicycle facilities, or transit amenities</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Infrequent pedestrian crossings</td>
<td>• Transit (route 12)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Crosses multiple jurisdictions</td>
<td>• Add pedestrian crossings</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pecos Street</td>
<td>• High freight volume</td>
<td>• Connecting to the Clear Creek Regional Trail</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Crosses multiple jurisdictions</td>
<td>• High frequency transit (route 19); consider transit enhancements at intersections</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Segments with limited bicycle and pedestrian facilities</td>
<td>• Provides access to Pecos Junction commuter rail station; create transit-oriented development</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>104th Avenue</td>
<td>• CDOT-owned roadway</td>
<td>• Dense, mixed use development such as Midtown</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Limited bicycle and pedestrian amenities</td>
<td>• Critical connection to Denver International Airport</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Wide crossings, uncomfortable for pedestrians</td>
<td>• Possible trail connection to the Front Range Trail and South Platte Trail</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Infrequent transit service (route 104); low ridership due to land use and first/last mile gaps</td>
<td>• Could become an east-west Parkway with planted medians and rural feel</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Infrequent transit service (route 120)</td>
<td>• Opportunity to extend the pavement edges and install detached multiuse trails and enhanced transit amenities</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>120th Avenue</td>
<td>• Gaps in multimodal access to Riverdale Park</td>
<td>• Leverage rural feel, and natural and cultural heritage</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Railroad crossing</td>
<td>• Existing adjacent multiuse trail</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Infrequent transit service (route 120)</td>
<td>• Become part of larger scenic trail loop</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>• Add more multimodal connections</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>• Critical east-west connection</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>• Create at-grade rail crossings</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
This report consists of five components:

- Background and context for the Transportation Master Plan
- Preliminary plan metrics that identify the drivers of success
- An assessment of the multimodal transportation network in Adams County
- An analysis of future trends in transportation locally and nationally
- An assessment of existing conditions on the five strategic corridors identified for Advancing Adams
This introductory section provides an overview of this planning process—referred to as Advancing Adams. It provides a high-level review of the planning process, goals of the plan, and context under which this report was developed.

Preliminary plan metrics will be used to guide the development of Phase II of the Transportation Master Plan by clearly identifying the drivers of success. In understanding the metrics and indicators that are important to Adams County, this Plan can recommend and prioritize infrastructure projects, programs, and policies that will lead to the achievement of those defined thresholds.

The existing conditions assessment analyzes infrastructure, programs, and standards for driving, biking, walking, and transit in the County. In addition to the current network for all modes, this section describes traffic safety in the County, parking inventory and utilization, and current freight patterns.

The future trends component of the report describes the TrendLab+ workshop held as a part of Advancing Adams. This workshop used national research to explore how the COVID-19 pandemic, its impacts on the economy, and other travel-related trends may affect short- and long-term travel behavior, traffic levels, and transit use in Adams County. The results of this workshop informed the follow-up narrative of how future innovations in transportation and mobility (such as autonomous vehicles, electric scooters, and on-demand transportation) can improve transportation options and efficiency in Adams County and across the region.

Advancing Adams has selected five strategic corridors that will be analyzed in greater detail as a part of this planning process—Federal Boulevard, Pecos Street, Washington Street, 104th Avenue, and 120th
Avenue. This report describes the transportation infrastructure (bicycle, pedestrian, transit, and vehicular) that exists on each corridor. The Comprehensive Plan and Parks, Open Space and Trails Plan will evaluate the land use and open space opportunities along these corridors.

**PLAN VISION AND THEMES**

Advancing Adams Transportation Master Plan is a comprehensive process that will provide a roadmap for the Plan’s vision:

The Transportation Master Plan (TMP) sets a foundation that drives County transportation investments in the short and long-term. The TMP will ensure the County remains at the top of places to live, work, and play in the Denver metropolitan region by identifying projects, programs, and policies that create a multimodal transportation network for all ages and abilities that is safe, comfortable, connected, efficient, convenient, and fiscally responsible.

The Plan identifies a number of key themes that serve as important pillars for the planning process and outcomes. These themes are:

- Prioritizing recommendations for all modes including walking, biking, transit, and automobiles
- Strategically upgrading rural roads to improve accessibility to services and amenities throughout the County and region
- Integrating innovation and emerging mobility such as personal scooters and self-driving vehicles
- Managing growth
- Improving safety
- Considering strategic, fiscally minded investments
- Evaluating strategic corridors
PLANNING VALUES

As part of the initial work on Advancing Adams, three initial core value lenses have emerged. These will ultimately guide the final Comprehensive Plan, Transportation Master Plan, and Parks, Open Space and Trails Plan, but are included in the Existing Conditions Report as they can guide how the data is viewed. Each analysis step, recommendation, and policy will be evaluated for alignment with these values.

1. **Equity**: The vibrance and strength of a community emerges from diversity and equity. Equity can be measured through distribution of resources, affordability and access to services and experiences, as well as balancing land uses with environmental justice. Adams County will celebrate and leverage a diverse community through equitable land planning as the region continues to grow in population and various ethnicities and identities.

2. **Sustainability**: By committing to build smarter and retrofitting existing development to include new technologies and efficiency, while embracing a holistic and metrics based approach, sustainability will be part of Adams County’s identity.

3. **Livability**: Adams County has numerous multi-modal and walkable districts that support a human-scale, comfortable and memorable experience. This plan will help to further enhance livability through the thoughtful integration of artful placemaking strategies and urban design best practices that celebrate the culture of Adams County and further contribute to livability.

**EQUITY**

Just and fair inclusion into a society in which all can participate, prosper, and reach their full potential.

**SUSTAINABILITY**

The practice of creating and maintaining conditions to meet the needs of the present without compromising the ability to meet the same needs elsewhere or in the future.

**LIVABILITY**

The sum of the factors that add up to a community’s quality of life—including the built and natural environments, economic prosperity, social stability and equity, educational opportunity, and cultural, entertainment and recreation possibilities.
ROLE OF PHASE I AND THE PLANNING PROCESS

Advancing Adams: Planning for a Shared Future is a planning effort that includes the update of three plans simultaneously:

- **Comprehensive Plan**
- **Transportation Master Plan (TMP)**
- **Parks, Open Space & Trails Master Plan (POST)**

This trio of updates will create a coordinated vision, comprehensive set of policy goals, recommendations and strategies that work together to encourage sensitive development, robust economic development, a connected and efficient multi-modal transportation network, and parks, open spaces and trails that are used by all residents all the time. Planned thoughtfully, these updates will help to ensure Adams County will remain “the most innovative and inclusive county in America.” Advancing Adams will lead to a safer, resilient, more equitable and more prosperous county. We will integrate equity, sustainability and livability into each plan to ensure residents of today and future generations will benefit from a shared vision to Advance Adams.

**COMPREHENSIVE PLAN OVERVIEW**

The Comprehensive Plan will serve as an overarching policy and operations tool to guide our county toward the vision of being “the most innovative and inclusive county in America for all families and businesses.” It will set the tone for improving all aspects of quality of life. The plan will be an overarching and implementable document that addresses future development and land use needs, engaging the entire community, addressing economic development, equity and sustainability.

This is the “big picture” plan that lays the groundwork and provides direction for the TMP and POST updates. At the same time, work on these two plans will also help inform goals and recommendations for the Comprehensive Plan. The integration of the TMP and Comprehensive Plan acknowledges the connection between land use and transportation. The Comprehensive Plan sets a vision for an equitable, vital, and sustainable County through future development and code needs. These policies, standards, and zoning will shape, and are shaped, by existing and future transportation infrastructure, programs, and policies. Specifically, along five strategic corridors, these plans are closely integrated in order to create a comfortable and functional character and user experience that is developed holistically.

This is the plan that will help us “holistically improve quality of life.”
TRANSPORTATION MASTER PLAN OVERVIEW

The Transportation Master Plan (TMP) will set the foundation to drive county short and long-term transportation and transit investments. Through the planning process, projects, programs and policies will be identified that help create a successful multi-modal transportation network for people of all ages and abilities. It will be a network that is connected, efficient, comfortable, easy to navigate and convenient. Creating an attractive transportation system will ensure Adams County remains one of the top places to live, work and play in the Denver metro region.

This is the plan that will “get us moving”.

PARKS, OPEN SPACE & TRAILS MASTER PLAN OVERVIEW

Adams County’s parks, open spaces and trails are fantastic amenities for residents and wildlife alike. The Great Outdoors of Colorado are one of the main reasons people come here to live. The Parks, Open Space & Trails Master Plan (POST) will provide recommendations to ensure equitable access for all residents to parks, open spaces and trails. It will point to strategies that help preserve and enhance important wildlife habitat and corridors, natural and scenic resources and agricultural lands, lay the framework for expanding trail connections and providing a richer variety of recreation opportunities for everyone.
The Transportation Master Plan is working closely with the POST Plan in both the process and Plan product. Specifically, these plans are collaborating on the trail network. The TMP is focused on providing access to key destinations and trails through on-street facilities and filling in gaps in the trail network where they primarily serve as transportation. The POST Plan is focused on trails where they primarily serve as recreation.

This is the plan that will help us all “enjoy the outdoors”.

PUBLIC ENGAGEMENT OVERVIEW

Advancing Adams is inspired and informed by residents, stakeholders and County and City staff. Moving forward together, these groups shared their concerns, visions and goals for the County as a whole, for its transportation system and its parks, open spaces and trails throughout the planning process. Engagement is divided into two distinct phases – Phase 1: Grounding and Phase 2 – Plan for the Future. During Phase 1, the project team prepared a public education campaign to inform residents about the plan updates, listened to community members to get a strong understanding of existing conditions and facilitated activities that allowed residents and stakeholders to analyze and discuss opportunities for the future. Input from these activities informed and helped guide the plan direction.

Taking place in 2020, engagement was directly impacted by the COVID-19 pandemic. Virtual engagement was used throughout the process and in-person meetings were only held when, and if, appropriate.

PHASE 1: GROUNDING (EXISTING CONDITIONS & ANALYSIS OF OPPORTUNITIES)

REACHING OUT

During the entire phase, several outreach methods were used to get the word out to the community and “meet people where they are.” A dedicated project website with an introductory video was launched at the end of November 2020, press releases were provided to local media, flyers were posted in strategic locations in English, Spanish and Burmese, local morning radio programs interviewed key project partners, social media posts were posted periodically, and email messages were sent to project partners, who in turn shared the information with their networks.

ENSURING INCLUSIVITY

During the Winter of 2020, community group meetings were held to inform those who have not been as involved in these types of planning processes historically about Advancing Adams. These meetings were held in Spanish and English with stakeholders representing community development, housing, economic development organizations, faith-based groups, aging adult advocates,
youth representatives, among others. The team also facilitated briefing presentations with various Boards and organizations representing a variety of interests. Key partners included the Community Resource Network, Tri-County Health, Adelante Community Development, local faith organizations, RISE Colorado, Cultivando, Village Exchange Center, and CREA Results. These conversations reached over 2000 underrepresented individuals in Adams County – educating about Advancing Adams and inviting them to get engaged in the process.

Youth engagement was an important component of this process. For Phase 1, the project team shared an interactive youth activity workbook with school districts and youth program partners so that young residents can share their ideas to provide a vision for the future that younger generations will support and champion.

**DEEP LISTENING**

In December 2020, the project team conducted technical focus groups with staff, regional partners, representational business owners and other stakeholders throughout the County. With over 150 attendees total, these sessions covered topics including Agriculture, Economic Development, Outdoor Recreation and Programming, Housing, Open Space Funding, the Pecos Street corridor, and the Federal Boulevard corridor. Fifteen deep dive individual stakeholder interviews were also conducted to understand the specific concerns of those involved with economic growth, recent development, multi-modal transportation, concurrent planning efforts, sustainability, and recreation.

**ENGAGING ALL**

In November 2020, the first community-wide bilingual public survey was posted online utilizing an interactive dashboard which let the public explore the process and existing conditions in depth. Over 1000 people have engaged with the dashboard to date, and this interactive dashboard will remain live to continue educating residents about the existing conditions of the County.

In February 2021, a virtual public meeting was held in English and Spanish to give the public an update on the planning process and provide an opportunity for residents to share their thoughts on plan topic areas with County staff and the project team. Nearly fifty members of the public gave their input via live polling during the meeting and engaged with the project team during the Q&A portion of the meeting. These recordings were then posted online with the second community-wide bilingual public survey.

**KEY ENGAGEMENT FINDINGS**

Through the variety of outreach and engagement methods mentioned above, the project team was able to receive valuable input to integrate into the existing conditions reports for each of the three plans. While some feedback was specific to each
individual plan, other comments were applicable to Advancing Adams as a whole. Below are some key engagement findings sorted by theme that were heard by multiple stakeholder groups over the last four months.

- Adams County Today and Tomorrow
  
  » When asked what one word best describes Adams County today, popular responses were: growing, diverse, and progressing. These words capture the spirit of Advancing Adams: Planning for a Shared Future as this planning process aims to plan for the growing and diversifying population of the County with innovative recommendations. As the population of Adams County continues to grow, residents and stakeholders feel that the top considerations that should be used in future decision-making include inclusivity, affordability, equity, and resiliency. These are not only reflected in the three value lenses of the plans (Equity, Livability, and Sustainability) but also key ideas addressed in each individual plan.

- Recreation and Trails
  
  » Providing equitable access to recreation and parks is a priority for many. Some suggestions heard multiple times on how this could be achieved include expanding program options, working with partners, and addressing the quantity and quality of facilities in all areas.

  » Trails should better serve transportation and recreation purposes, and therefore also better connect to community locations like schools, libraries, residential areas, etc.

  » Riparian areas and other critical habitats are a notable County asset. The opportunity to combine preservation with recreation should be considered.

- Agriculture
  
  » Zoning and development considerations will be important in order to incentivize sustainable agricultural practices.

  » Partnerships in the County could expand opportunities for urban food access and agritourism.

- Arts and Culture
  
  » Diversity in Adams County deserves to be celebrated with more cultural festivals, a better focus on local art and music, and recognition of the rich history of the County.

- Housing
  
  » Housing needs to be better developed concurrently with
Key Transportation Engagement Findings

During the public outreach process for the initial phase of Advancing Adams, some common themes relating to transportation emerged from the public survey, listening sessions, focus group meetings, and stakeholder interviews. In general, outreach participants shared that the County does not currently offer a fully connected, multimodal transportation network.

Community members experience travel challenges due to traffic congestion, which some community members perceive is caused by population growth in the County, and feel there are not adequate alternatives to driving. Walking trips can be difficult due to an incomplete sidewalk network, traveling by bicycle is not always feasible due to a lack of available bicycle lanes and trails, and the existing transit system does not provide efficient connectivity for many trip purposes. In addition, improving travel safety emerged as a top theme in the public survey. In response to this community input, the Existing Conditions and Opportunities report focuses on identifying the key locations where more multimodal connectivity could provide additional travel options so that community members feel less reliant on driving. The analysis conducted for this report includes identification of sidewalk gaps, an overview of transit service and ridership in the County, and a review of traffic safety issues based on recent crashes.

In addition to receiving input on the Countywide transportation network, focus group sessions were conducted to learn more targeted insights on the five strategic corridors. Focus group participants shared that enhancing walkability near the newly built RTD commuter rail stations is key to providing more walkable, connected communities. Specific suggestions included wider sidewalks along Pecos Street and Federal Boulevard, more marked crossing opportunities so pedestrians can limit out of direction travel, traffic calming measures to make walking trips more pleasant, and addition of bicycle facilities that make traveling by bicycle within neighborhoods and for regional trips more feasible. Participants would also like to see bus transit become a more reliable option on the corridors.

The initial opportunities highlighted in this Existing Conditions and Opportunities report are informed by the community input received to date. Preliminary opportunities like adding multimodal accommodations to the strategic corridors through reallocating vehicle travel lanes or adding dedicated transit facilities like bus lanes were partially envisioned in response to community feedback. The final TMP will provide a full set of
recommendations for enhancing the travel experience in Adams County for all users that addresses community concerns and ideas as expressed during the outreach process.

**PLAN PURPOSE**

This Plan serves as an update to the Imagine Adams County Transportation Master Plan adopted in December 2012. That plan provided an update to the multimodal transportation plan of 1996, intended to continue guiding the implementation of transportation expansions and upgrades through the year 2035. The goals of the 2012 Plan were to:

- Coordinate with local and adjacent municipalities on local and regional transportation efforts
- Develop a prioritization process to guide implementation of transportation projects
- Pursue methods to finance transportation improvements by working with private developers and local municipalities to obtain regional, statewide, and national funding
- Coordinate locally, regionally, and with Regional Transportation District (RTD) to improve public transportation in Adams County
- Coordinate human services transportation so it is more efficient and affordable and provides Countywide coverage for people with mobility challenges
- Coordinate County, city, and regional commuter and recreational bicycle and pedestrian travel through dedicated on and off-street facilities
- Establish and implement County design standards including “complete streets”
- Preserve the unique character of selected scenic roadways
- Coordinate land use and transportation

As the transportation industry and the travel demands and patterns within Adams County have changed dramatically over the last eight years, the Advancing Adams TMP update will be important for setting a revised vision and priorities for the County in both the short-term and looking forward to 2040. The most significant updates will include:

- Integration with the current and future land use for the County, by understanding the type and magnitude of travel demand
- Incorporation of evolving preferences of the community, including a desire for increased transportation options that include bicycling, walking, and riding transit
- Leveraging of new assets within the County such a commuter rail and regional trails
- Adoption of policies and programs that leverage and plan for new technologies, so they can be
implemented in a way that is in-line with the County’s goal

• Developing a project prioritization methodology that reflects the community’s goals

• Successfully positioning the County for new and evolved funding sources

ADAMS COUNTY CONTEXT

Adams County, Colorado (1,182 square miles) is located in the northeast quadrant of the state with the Denver metropolitan areas defining the western portion of the County and agricultural rural areas in the east. Denver International Airport is not located within the County boundary, but the County surrounds the airport almost entirely on the western portion of the County, northeast of Denver. Adams County abuts seven counties and has 17 member jurisdictions (incorporated and unincorporated).

The County has an extensive transportation network that includes roadways for freight and passenger vehicles (paved and unpaved), on and off-street bicycle facilities, sidewalks and crossings, and bus and commuter rail transit service. This network moves users within and through Adams County. The County contains a comprehensive network of roadways from local roads to arterials to interstates. There are also 700 miles of unpaved roadways, primarily in the central and eastern portions of the County. The existing bicycle lane network Countywide is approximately 31 miles; bicycle lanes are predominantly found on roadways in Adam’s County’s local incorporated cities. The multiuse trail network consists of over 385 miles of infrastructure, most of which is hard-surface, concrete paths. The system’s backbones are comprised of four regional trails—Little Dry Creek Trail, Clear Creek Trail, South Platte Trail, and Niver Canal Trail. There are approximately 57 miles of sidewalk gaps in the County, about 13 miles of which are located along roadways.
within unincorporated County land. The Regional Transportation District (RTD) is the regional agency operating public transit services in Adams County and the surrounding Denver-Aurora-Boulder Combined Statistical Area in Colorado. The agency operates 30 local buses and three commuter rail lines within western and central Adams County.

**PREVIOUS PLAN REVIEW**

This section summarizes the previously completed transportation planning documents in Adams County. This information is important to understanding the current framework in place related to planning in the County. Advancing Adams will build off of the analyses and recommendations in these planning efforts.

**CURRENT FEDERAL BOULEVARD MULTIMODAL TRANSPORTATION STUDY**

Adams County, the City of Federal Heights and the City of Westminster are currently collaborating on the Federal Boulevard Multimodal Transportation Study. The goal of the project is to develop recommendations for safety, mobility and accessibility in order to create a community-serving boulevard.

This project is a partnership of local governments to address the challenges of safety for all users, the volume and speed of vehicular traffic, inconsistent pedestrian and bike facilities, limited connectivity to trails and first and final mile destinations, and need for improved transit service and amenities.

The process is engaging community members and stakeholders to develop recommendations to improve safety, functionality, and options to travel along and to Federal Boulevard. The study will identify opportunities that may include lighting, crosswalks, intersection and vehicle lane modifications, higher frequency bus service, transit amenities to provide protected waiting areas, and enhanced connections or wayfinding to trails and on-street bike lanes.

Advancing Adams is working closely with this process to ensure these efforts are coordinated in their recommendations and priorities.

**2019 DRCOG 2050 METRO VISION REGIONAL TRANSPORTATION PLAN OVERVIEW**

The Denver Regional Council of Governments (DRCOG) is set to update its regional transportation plan to the 2050 horizon year through the 2050 Metro Vision Regional Transportation Plan. This 2019 overview was provided as part of the July 2019 Adams County Transportation Forum to provide a summary of the upcoming planning effort and a guide for how the plan will impact Adams County.

Regional Transportation Plans are federally required documents that
provide a regional transportation vision at the Metropolitan Planning Organization level, determine a list of transportation projects that will promote regional connectivity, and compile a fiscally constrained plan that can be implemented using anticipated revenues. The overview provides both a profile of Adams County and a snapshot of how the region is tracking on meeting performance targets.

Adams County plays a significant role in advancing regional transportation goals. As an area of the DRCOG region that has many undeveloped pockets and room for growth, local transportation planning is especially important in helping the region become a safer and more connected place.

2018 TOWN HALL: NEIGHBORHOOD SNAPSHOT REPORT; SOUTHWEST CORRIDOR NEIGHBORHOODS: SHERIDAN BOULEVARD TO PECOS STREET AND 76 AVENUE TO 52 AVENUE

The Southwest Corridor neighborhood is in unincorporated Adams County and bordered by Sheridan Boulevard to the west, 76th Avenue to the north, Pecos Street to the east, and 52nd Avenue to the south. At the time this plan was written there was a high rate of new development due to three new RTD rail stations having been added to the neighborhood as part of the FasTracks program. The report profiles the neighborhood demographics, profiles recent and significant projects like an office/warehouse development along I-25, and also looks at development trends in the neighborhood. Since the area is zoned primarily for high-intensity commercial and small lot single-family residential, the existing land uses are mostly auto sales and repair, retail, and single-family homes.

In addition to recent trends, the report summarizes neighborhood, subarea, and corridor plans nearby, discusses code enforcement, building permit activity, and provides an oil and gas well inventory. The parks and open space portion of the report details trail enhancements in the area, including replacement of a portion of the Clear Creek Trail, and enhanced neighborhood connections to the trail. The report also discusses improvements like new sidewalks and ADA ramps, as well as a streets paving program that would impact the study area.

The Southwest Corridor has potential to become a thriving residential and commercial core within unincorporated Adams County. Its position just north of Denver and its access to high quality transit and trail connections make it a desirable and promising area.
2017 CLEAR CREEK CORRIDOR MASTER PLAN

The 2017 Adams County Clear Creek Corridor Master Plan is an amendment and update to the 2012 Adams County Open Space, Parks, and Trails Master Plan, which provided recommendations on: land acquisition to fill missing trail segments; identified connections to the trail from roadways like Lowell Boulevard and Tennyson Street that could connect residents with the trail; and also identified infill park opportunities to provide more recreation space adjacent to the trail. By 2017, the County had received numerous reports of safety concerns relating to existing recreational spaces along the trail, which had not been thoroughly addressed in the 2012 plan. In addition, new commuter rail stations at Sheridan Boulevard, Federal Boulevard, and Pecos Street could alter development patterns along the creek corridor. Adams County undertook the Clear Creek Corridor Master Plan in response to these safety and development concerns.

The Clear Creek Corridor Master Plan divided recommendations into four categories: 1. basic needs, 2. recreation planning, 3. circulation and access, and 4. development guidelines. Basic needs recommendations covered safety, access, and stewardship issues while development guidelines recommendations covered experience enhancements, habitat expansions, and integration of resilient infrastructure. The other two categories provided geographically focused recommendations based on three zones: Sheridan Boulevard to Zuni Street (Zone 1), Zuni Street to Washington Street (Zone 2), and Washington Street to the South Platte River Confluence (Zone 3).

This plan summary focuses on the plan recommendations that pertain to trail access and circulation. To meet basic needs expressed by public engagement participants, the plan recommended an integrated signage program to improve wayfinding, additional parking at trailheads, bike racks/bike share stations, and bicycle repair stations. High priority circulation and access recommendations included a Pedestrian-Hybrid Beacon installation for the trail crossing at Tennyson Street, an on-street trail connection to Clear Creek Valley Park and Jim Baker Reservoir at Lowell Boulevard, a pedestrian bridge east of Federal Boulevard connecting to the rail station, and a dedicated multi use path from Pecos Station to the Clear Creek Trail.

The Clear Creek Trail is one of the most significant multimodal corridors in Adams County. It provides strong opportunities for building out a regional trail network.
MAKING CONNECTIONS: SOUTHWEST ADAMS COUNTY PLANNING AND IMPLEMENTATION PLAN

This Plan focuses on formulating a sound and rational basis for guiding development, redevelopment and supporting infrastructure for unincorporated Southwest Adams County. The Plan includes projects ideal for multi-jurisdictional and public-private partnership and investment. It includes 10 implementation-focused “Projects” that poise Southwest Adams County for the future. These include: a Complete Streets policy/standard, a sidewalk program to complete critical links, completing connections to the G Line Sheridan Station, enhancements on Federal Boulevard, and multimodal enhancements along Clear Creek and in the Welby neighborhood.

2016 ADAMS COUNTY / BRIGHTON DISTRICT PLAN

“Adams County and the City of Brighton partnered to draft the District Plan to study the feasibility of preserving farmland in southern Brighton that remains valuable for food production, while allowing for a range of development opportunities that consider the most efficient and sustainable use of the land. This plan helps decision-makers guide investment in the area for compatible residential, commercial, and industrial development, as well as farmland conservation, and local food and agritourism promotion.”

(page 11) The District Plan highlights recommendations from previous plans for road alignments and improvements in Southern Brighton that would enhance connectivity and safety while accommodating new development and more economic activity.

The District Plan is chiefly centered on preserving a unique section of Brighton, it does include a focus on ensuring future transportation demand can be met through multimodal enhancements.

2014 THE FEDERAL BOULEVARD FRAMEWORK PLAN

In anticipation of the two new rail transit stations planned for Federal Boulevard, Federal Station on the Gold Line and Westminster Station, the Federal Boulevard Framework Plan was developed in order to manage growth on the corridor that will occur as a result of the transit improvements. The study area is a 2.25 mile segment of Federal Boulevard between 52nd Avenue and 72nd Avenue. Plan recommendations included: using a variety of zoning mechanisms to facilitate different types of development; improving the balance between different transportation modes so that vehicular access is maintained while pedestrian and bicycle connectivity is enhanced; and aligning east-west streets that cross Federal Boulevard to create more direct paths of travel.
This plan was created to thoughtfully manage the growth and redevelopment that is anticipated from the infrastructure developments in southwest Adams County. The plan focuses on urban design and streetscape environments, bicycle and pedestrian safety, the context of market feasibility, and engagement of the many communities along the corridor. The findings helped set 20 recommendations to be considered in future developments.

2014 WELBY: WHERE DEEP ROOTS GROW. A COMMUNITY PLAN FOR FUTURE DEVELOPMENT

“Welby: Where Deep Roots Grow, a Community Plan for Future Development (Welby Plan) focuses on the urban, historic, and important southwest Adams County community of Welby, located just east of I-25 and north of I-76. Welby’s beginnings were very much tied to its rich lands supporting many years of robust agricultural production and later truck farms. With time, however, this production was not as viable and with the construction of the Valley Highway (I-25) in 1958 and later I-76, industrial uses began emerging in the area. The transition from agriculture to industrial was the beginning of major changes to the community, especially to its infrastructure and lack of amenities (e.g. grocery store, recreation center, etc.) for residents. The Welby Plan represents the product of hard work and innovative ideas on how to build on and transform existing assets, reinvest, and maintain a vibrant community that attracts investments from both inside and outside of Welby.”

(Page 1 of Plan)

The Welby Plan builds upon the study area’s existing connections while also identifying opportunities for improving internal circulation and external connectivity. Plan recommendations should be inventoried to determine implementation status.

2012 IMAGINE ADAMS COUNTY TRANSPORTATION PLAN

The 2012 Transportation Plan, a part of the Imagine Adams County 2012 Comprehensive Plan, served as an update to the 1996 multimodal transportation plan. This plan coordinated the transportation plans from participating communities and developed a regional implementation strategy. The 2012 Transportation Plan identified multimodal improvements to be implemented by three planning horizons: 2018 (short-range), 2025 (mid-range), and 2035 (long-range). Plan recommendations reflected a set of 11 policies pertaining to integration of local and Adams County planning efforts including: development of a prioritization process for cross-jurisdictional projects; pursuing cooperative funding agreements; coordinating transportation services to achieve greater efficiency; and preserving the functional integrity of the County roadway system through right of way coordination.
The 2012 Transportation Plan also established five sets of strategic corridors, each with a modal focus. The corridors included the freeways that serve the County, the passenger rail corridors being implemented as part of the Denver Regional Transportation District (RTD) FasTracks system, regional arterial roadways, road corridors that serve local commercial needs but provide fewer regional connections, and trail corridors.

Recommendations were divided into five plan elements: roadway, bicycle, transit, pedestrian, and Travel Demand Management (TDM). The first four elements highlight infrastructure enhancements and new facilities that would accommodate users of each travel mode. The TDM element highlighted opportunities to increase transportation system efficiency by modifying land use policies to allow for more developments that are conducive to travel without driving, preserving right of way for future transit projects, and promoting alternative commuting options in the region. In addition to project recommendations and implementation phasing, the Transportation Plan provides a list of potential funding sources.

Adams County has a mix of both urbanized and rural areas, which poses interesting transportation planning challenges. The update to the 2012 plan is an opportunity to build upon those efforts to bring more multimodal transportation options to the County and to emphasize the need for mode choice as a tool for meeting both local and regional goals.

2009 CLEAR CREEK VALLEY TOD PLAN

The Clear Creek Valley TOD Plan was published to plan for new transit stations in southwest Adams County: Federal Station on the Gold Line and Pecos Junction Station. TOD, or Transit Oriented Development, is based on the concept of focusing development that is walkable and combines a variety of uses within ½ mile of a transit station in order to help provide meaningful alternatives to driving. The Clear Creek Valley TOD Plan sought to maintain and enhance the existing commercial corridors around the two stations while promoting new sustainable and mixed-use developments, improving connections to surrounding areas, improving open space, and enhancing the area’s role as a gateway to southwest Adams County.

The plan offered two options for shaping the future of the two station areas: Option 1 was the Clear Creek Parkway, a set of two east–west roadways that would connect the two station areas, and Option 2 was the Clear Creek Open Space, which would provide a collector road between Federal and Pecos Stations while setting aside land for additional recreational spaces along Clear Creek.

The Clear Creek Valley provides a significant opportunity to add dense residential and commercial
development on a corridor with rail transit that recently went into service. This plan envisions a transformation from a currently industrial corridor.

**2008 WELD/ADAMS COUNTY LINE CROSSROADS ALIGNMENT STUDY**

East 168th Avenue/Weld County Road 2 is a boundary line between Adams and Weld Counties, respectively. The Weld/Adams County Line Crossroads Alignment Study was undertaken as a joint venture by Adams County, Weld County, the City of North Northglenn, and the City of Thornton to correct for five off-set intersections along East 168th Avenue/Weld County Road 2. The study analyzed existing conditions at the five intersections and provided three alternative designs for each. Alternatives were evaluated and scored based on community input, community impacts, geometrics, safety, environments impacts, and construction costs. A preferred alternative was identified for each plan and provided to each jurisdiction to preserve the rights-of-way for future implementation of the new alignment.

**2006 ADAMS COUNTY: TRANSIT ORIENTED DEVELOPMENT AND RAIL STATION AREA PLANNING GUIDELINES**

The guidelines document outlines Adams County’s strategy for Transit Oriented Development (TOD), which includes designating rail station areas and corridors, creating policies to guide TOD, and establishing a standardized process and format for Station Area Plans. These are land use decisions that were not included in the Adams County Comprehensive Plan at the time of publication. While specific station area plans are still needed, these guidelines establish basic guidance including: that a Station Area would be defined as the half-mile radius around a station; the Station Area Core would be considered the quarter-mile radius around a station; and that transit corridor designation would apply to unincorporated County land within 1,500 feet of transit lines.

The guidelines seek to ensure that any development resulting from the new transit facilities is compatible with established County character and that community benefits are provided through increased services, more housing, and enhanced walkability. The guidelines encourage a mix of land uses, higher density development in station areas, an integrated transportation system that includes bicycle and pedestrian-scale design, and provision of parks and open space.

At the time the guidelines were completed, Adams County was looking to transit investments through FasTracks to help urbanize certain pockets of the County and leverage transit investments with first and final mile connections.
2005 RIVERDALE ROAD CORRIDOR PLAN

The Riverdale Corridor Plan documents efforts to preserve the South Platte River Valley by creating development agreements, coordinating development along the corridor, guiding investment in infrastructure, and creating trail connections between existing and future parks and neighborhoods.

This plan proposes a multitude of strategies to prevent the urban growth and development in the area surrounding Riverdale Road from causing harm to its natural and scenic beauty. The plan includes a vision and an implementation policy framework to better guide development and conservation efforts in the corridor.

2005 SOUTHWEST ADAMS COUNTY FRAMEWORK FOR FUTURE PLANNING

“Southwest Adams County contains part of the “first ring” suburbs developed around Denver during the post-WWII era. This diverse area is showing its age and the fact that more investment dollars have been spent elsewhere in past decades. Various land use types can be found in southwest Adams County, including most varieties of residential housing, commercial districts, and industrial areas. Many neighborhoods have evolved to contain a mix of land uses. Each area has its own unique set of circumstances, issues and needs, and stakeholders. This calls for many different approaches to the planning process. The Southwest Adams County Framework Plan was created to guide and organize the multiple planning efforts needed in this area.” (page 3 of plan)

This area of the County contains the confluence of the interstate highways that serve the County and also contains five of the rail stations funded through FasTracks. As a result, much of the population and economic growth that will occur in unincorporated Adams County is likely to occur in the Southwest portion.

The 2005 Southwest Adams County Framework Plan provided an outline for future planning and redevelopment efforts in southwest Adams County. It is intended to serve as a guiding document throughout the many years it would take to complete the neighborhood plans, corridor plans, and other redevelopment efforts needed to improve southwestern Adams County. It sets the stage for the planning efforts needed for ensuring transportation demand resulting from growth in this section of the County is accommodated through a multimodal network.

RTD N LINE PROGRESS

The N Line is a commuter rail line that operates between Denver and North Thornton, in Adams County. The N Line, which is also known as the North Metro Rail Line, is part of the 2004 FasTracks plan. The N Line was
expected to be completed in 2018 but has incurred delays. Service started on the first 13 of 18.5 planned miles on September 21, 2020.

The N Line provides a direct and rapid transit connection between various municipalities in northern Adams County and Denver. This will create opportunities for shifting development patterns, more dense development along the corridor, and additional economic development opportunities in Commerce City, Northglenn, and Thornton.
METHODOLOGY AND APPLICATION

Preliminary plan metrics will be used to guide the development of the TMP by clearly identifying the drivers of success. In understanding the metrics and indicators that are important to Adams County, this Plan can recommend and prioritize infrastructure projects, programs, and policies that will lead to the achievement of those defined thresholds.
The set of plan metrics identified in this report were compiled based on inputs from the following factors:

- Review of previous plans (see previous section)
- Staff input as a part of the Transportation Strategic Kick-off meeting and monthly Project Manager check-ins
- Best practices in long-range planning and regional transportation planning efforts across the country

This report synthesized these documents and meetings in order to identify key transportation-related goals for the County.

**Table 1: Preliminary Plan Metrics**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>TOPIC</th>
<th>VISION INDICATOR</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Safety</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Reduce the number of fatal and severe injury collisions</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Reduce the number of bicycle/pedestrian-related collisions</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Reduction in the annual crash rate (number of crashes/volume or vehicle miles traveled) on key corridors or city-wide</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Transportation options for all ages and abilities</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Implement low-stress, connected bicycle facilities</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Complete sidewalk gaps and ensure pedestrian facilities are ADA compliant</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Employee and resident participation in Transportation Demand Management programs/strategies</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Increase awareness of the availability and benefits of alternative transportation options (walking, biking, transit)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Prioritize first and last mile connections to commuter rail stations</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Provide transportation options where the older population can age in place, when driving is no longer an option</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Access to trails for recreation and transportation</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Implement bicycle and pedestrian facilities that connect to trails and trailheads</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**PLAN METRIC AND INDICATORS**

Table 1 shows a set of preliminary plan metrics, identified through the methodology described in the previous section. The column on the left identifies the general topic areas. The column on the right provides specific examples of quantifiable metrics that can measure the success of each respective topic area. These indicators will be refined and expanded as a part of this planning process but serve as an initial example of ways to track each topic area.
| Upgrade and maintain rural roadway network | • Develop a prioritization system for paving rural roadways that reflects a balance of access and maintenance costs |
| Sustainability | • Reduce vehicle miles traveled per capita |
| | • Reduce single occupancy vehicle mode split by providing infrastructure and programs to support people walking, biking and taking transit |
| | • Reduce PM10 from urban, unpaved roadways |
| | • Allow the basic access needs of individuals and societies to be met safely and in a manner consistent with human and ecosystem health, and with equity within and between generations |
| | • Minimize consumption of non-renewable resources to the sustainable yield level |
| | • Reduce vehicle miles traveled by employees for work purposes by 10% |
| | • Increase number of residents with access to multi modal transportation options, including on/off road bike routes, sidewalks, and alternative transportation within ¼ mile of their residence by 30% |
| Align transportation and land use | • Increase density and mix-uses along transit corridors |
| | • Implement planned Transit Oriented Developments |
| | • Continue to identify policy, regulations and locations that support the transit center concept and TODs |
| Regional connectivity | • Leverage partnerships with local jurisdictions and neighboring communities to implement projects that cross boundaries and create a consistent experience for users; leverage the South Platte River Vision and Implementation Plan and Coalition |
| Freight | • Plan for an intermodal freight hub |
| Travel reliability | • Travel time along major corridors in both the peak and non-peak hours remains consistent each year |
| Equity | • Ensure investments are made in areas of the County with more vulnerable populations |
| Innovation | • Implement partnership, technology or policy that leverages innovation to improve mobility |
| | • Conduct temporary pilot projects that test out new technologies and providers |
| | • Identify innovative opportunities through this Plan (e.g. signage, ITS, counts, signalization, Big Data) |
This section takes a deeper look into analyzing and identifying opportunities in Adams County. These categories are:

- Demographics and Employment Trends and Projections
- County-wide Challenge and Opportunity Areas
- Modal Maps and Analysis
DEMOGRAPHICS AND EMPLOYMENT TRENDS AND PROJECTIONS

According to data from the state demographer, the population of Adams County in 2020 was expected to be 528,857, which is approximately 16.4 percent higher than 2010, or a growth rate of 1.6 annually. Growth is projected to remain steady over the next five years at 1.8 percent annually (8.9 percent overall) reaching a population of 580,775 by 2025. The population of unincorporated Adams County in 2020 was 100,558. That number has grown by around 16 percent since 2010. Population growth is expected to occur most significantly and rapidly in the westernmost portions of Adams County that are closer to Denver and other urban centers. Adams County residents are predominantly white, with 70% of the population reporting being white alone, although 40% report Hispanic origin. The Hispanic population is expected to continue growing and account for 41.7% of the population by 2025. 10% of households receive food stamps and 10% of households are below the poverty line. Nearly 30% of households reported English as a second language with the majority of those residents speaking Spanish.

With abundant land available for new development, ideal location in Central Colorado and exceptional infrastructure in place to serve a diverse range of businesses, Adams County has potential for increased economic capacity. According to 2020 data from ESRI Business Analyst, there are 14,172 businesses in Adams County employing 196,673 total employees. The unemployment rate, without accounting for COVID-19 impacts, is relatively low at 7.3% (264,459 people) of the County’s population over the age of 16 being employed. The work distribution is mostly white collar, which accounts for 54.4% of total employment, followed by blue collar and services, which make up 27.4% and 18.2% respectively.

The County’s Median Household Income (MHI) is relatively low at $70,282 compared to the State at $73,219. The County’s MHI is expected to increase at the same rate as statewide MHI, growing by 1.7% annually (8.4% overall) over the next five years reaching $76,194 by 2025.

COUNTY-WIDE CHALLENGE AND OPPORTUNITY AREAS

A set of challenge and opportunity areas were identified to show specific geographies where there
are opportunities to advance specific topic areas. This section describes the methodology used to select the areas and provides a summary describing the characteristics of each location that presents challenges and opportunities that will be addressed in the TMP.

This analysis considers a range of factors for informing the selection of challenge and opportunity areas. The following factors were used to identify the seven specific areas of mobility challenges and opportunities highlighted in Figure 1 and described in Table 2. Locations where one or more factors were found to be especially prevalent were considered for the selection of challenge and opportunity areas.

**BARRIERS TO MULTIMODAL TRAVEL**

Adams County is currently conducive to travel by motor vehicle. Presence of railroad crossings, arterials with high volume and high speed, and major highways create the need for out of direction travel or uncomfortable crossings for people walking and biking. This factor informed selection of specific locations where travelers are likely to be most comfortable traveling by vehicle, rather than walking or biking.

**SAFETY**

Figure 1 shows the Denver Regional Council of Governments Vision Zero High Injury Network (HIN) that are on roadways in unincorporated Adams County. The HIN are the roadways with the highest density of fatal and severe injury collisions. The presence of the HIN informed selection of challenge and opportunity areas; the following section (Section C) includes a deeper look at the data to understand specific areas where traffic safety is a major concern and locations where the TMP can make recommendations for countermeasures that improve safety outcomes and increase comfort.

**CONGESTION**

Using traffic count data available for 2010–2019, this analysis identified specific locations where traffic volumes are growing the fastest in unincorporated Adams County and used these locations to inform selection of the challenge and opportunity areas. Changes in Average Daily Traffic (ADT) reported in Table 2 are for specific locations along the corridors where year over year traffic data is available.

**TRANSIT**

The proposed Bus Rapid Transit (BRT) service on SH 7, the two new commuter rail stations in unincorporated Adams County, and the future Park and Ride stations all contribute to Adams County having opportunities for multimodal travel enhancements in the western portion of the County. This analysis also identified challenge areas outside the RTD service area where future transit options are needed to provide residents with mobility options.
Table 2: Description of Challenge and Opportunity Areas

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>DESCRIPTION</th>
<th>VISION INDICATOR</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| **1: SH 7** | • Proposed BRT corridor  
| | • Traffic congestion: ADT increased 4% from 2016 to 2018 (though fell slightly in 2019)  
| | • Need for multimodal facilities  
| | • On High Injury Network |
| **2: 120th Avenue** (part of 5 Strategic Corridor discussion) | • Challenging railroad crossings  
| | • Traffic congestion: ADT increased 19% from 2012 to 2019  
| | • On High Injury Network  
| | • Future bicycle corridor  
| | • Future Parks/Open Space along the corridor |
### 3: 104th Avenue  
(part of 5 Strategic Corridor discussion)

In addition to the segment identified as a strategic corridor, the wider extent of 104th Avenue crosses between incorporated cities and unincorporated Adams County and brings travelers through difficult barriers like railroad crossings and travel segments with high crash rates.

- Traffic congestion: ADT increased 7% from 2017 to 2019
- On High Injury Network
- Railroad crossings are a barrier

### 4. Southwest Adams County  
(west of I-25)

Southwest Adams County west of I-25 is the area of unincorporated Adams County with the highest population density and the site of two TMP strategic corridors (Federal Boulevard and Pecos Street). Recent transit investments have been focused in this area, which create a need for multimodal transportation planning that will support walkable environments. Safety and traffic congestion issues are also prevalent in this area.

- The two commuter rail stations in unincorporated Adams County are in the Southwest. There are opportunities for targeted pedestrian network enhancements in these station areas that will support walkable Transit Oriented Development (TOD) and first and last mile solutions.
- Traffic congestion
- Most major roadways are on the High Injury Network
- General need in this area for upgrading pedestrian facilities, adding on-street bicycle facilities, and ensuring trail connections are prevalent and comfortable

### 5: Southwest Adams County  
(east of I-25)

Southwest Adams County east of I-25 is dense with commercial and industrial land uses and the site of a TMP strategic corridor (Washington Street). This area has a high amount of freight activity and poor connectivity for people walking.

- Heavy freight traffic
- General need in this area for upgrading pedestrian facilities, adding on-street bicycle facilities, and ensuring trail connections are prevalent and comfortable
- Barriers for circulation including highways, a disconnected street grid, and large industrial sites.

### 6: Western edge of Commerce City where railroad and highways converge

This area of the County presents general travel barriers due to the convergence of freight railroads as well as major roadways like US-85.

- Railroad crossing barriers
- Major roadways that create challenges for non-vehicle travel

### 7: Central Adams County

This portion of the County has mostly unpaved roads and a rural character. However, with development pressures that may cause population growth to extend east, consideration for how to enhance multimodal travel opportunities is needed.

- Strategic prioritization of roadway paving is needed including future development cost participation
- Most of this area is just outside the RTD service area. Planning is needed to determine what expanded transit and human services transportation in central and eastern Adams County might look like.
- Considerations for a future bicycle network are needed. Would this network serve future parks? There are currently no key destinations in this area, will that change?
MODAL MAPS AND ANALYSIS

TRAFFIC SAFETY

This section summarizes the safety performance of the existing transportation network in Adams County. Crash records have been analyzed from 2013 through 2018, the most recent complete six-year period for which records were available through the Denver Regional Council of Governments (DRCOG). Crashes were analyzed based on several factors:

- Location within unincorporated Adams County
- Severity: More specifically at trends for crashes that resulted in death or severe injury
- Mode: More specifically at trends for crashes involving a bicyclist or pedestrian

TOP CRASH LOCATIONS IN UNINCORPORATED ADAMS COUNTY

Figure 2: Top Crash Locations in Unincorporated Adams County
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From 2013 to 2018, there were 16,422 crashes that occurred on roadways in unincorporated Adams County. The majority of those crashes were concentrated in the southwest part of the County, with many of the crashes occurring on I-25 (Figure 2). Of the crashes in unincorporated Adams County, 457 resulted in death or severe injury. The yearly number of crashes sharply increased from 2013 to 2014 and, with the exception of 2016 when there was an especially high number of crashes, the number of incidents has remained relatively flat since 2014 (Figure 3). The number of crashes resulting in severe injuries stayed close to the six-year average of 60 severe injuries per year, while the number of crashes resulting in deaths has steadily been increasing since 2013, with the exception of a decline in 2018 (Figure 4).

Crashes involving pedestrians remained relatively steady from 2013 to 2018, with an average of approximately 23 crashes per year. The number of bicyclist-involved crashes has varied more significantly (Figure 5). The leading cause of crashes are rear end collisions, which accounted for 37% of all crashes from 2013 to 2018. In addition, 29% of crashes occurred on County-owned roadways. The TMP will explore opportunities to pursue engineering and programmatic safety countermeasures on high-crash roadways managed by Adams County in order to improve the comfort and safety for all users on those corridors. We will consider specifically countermeasures to the most common causes of crashes.
PEDESTRIAN NETWORK

Figure 6 shows the existing sidewalk network in Adams County. There are approximately 57 miles of sidewalk gaps in the County, about 13 miles of which are located along roadways within unincorporated County land. For perspective, there are 2,901 miles of sidewalk Countywide, 407 of which are located in unincorporated Adams County. The majority of the gaps in sidewalks are located in the southwest portion of the County. Locations like 70th Avenue and Pecos Street have a number of sidewalk gaps adjacent to higher density residential land uses and commercial properties with higher pedestrian activity. Advancing Adams will include a prioritized list of pedestrian improvement areas that builds off of the Americans with Disabilities Act (ADA) Transition Plan which considers adjacent projects, proximity to destinations with high pedestrian volumes, and locations with significant barriers to accessibility.

The Transportation Master Plan will coordinate closely with the Comprehensive Plan to determine how proposed land use changes will impact transportation recommendations, such as pedestrian improvements, more specifically. In addition to areas for development of new sidewalks, Adams County has sidewalks that do not meet the County standards described in the following section. Examples include the portion of Federal Boulevard between 63rd Avenue and 64th Avenue where the existing sidewalk width is three feet (narrower than the 5.5 foot standard) (Figure 7). Because Adams County has a number of state-owned roadways, some of these sidewalk gaps should be completed in coordination with CDOT.

Advancing Adams will also include guidance for upgrading sub-standard sidewalks and crossings. There is not data available on sidewalk quality, but qualitatively, there are many areas where sidewalks are present but are of poor quality (e.g. with cracks or inconsistent grades). Rehabilitating these sidewalks is important, in addition to filling in sidewalk gaps. The County utilizes an asset management database known as Cartegraph to identify and prioritize sidewalk repairs. Advancing Adams will identify strategies to ensure that development standards and regulations are met not only along property frontages, but continue beyond these parcels to provide connectivity and continuity for people walking.

The Adams County ADA Transition Plan provides guidance not only on the prioritization of implementing projects within the pedestrian realm, but on the approach to implementation. As outlined in the plan, accessibility improvements should first occur in conjunction with other projects (new construction, new improvements, major maintenance and rehabilitation) and then as a part of routine maintenance. Funding for these projects is identified in the Annual Capital Improvement Plan Projects but can also be obtained through Federal grants, bonds, or taxing districts.
Figure 6: Adams County Sidewalk Network
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Figure 7: Example of Narrow Sidewalk on Federal Boulevard
SIDEWALKS

Chapter 7 of the Adams County Development Standards and Regulations requires that all new sidewalks have a minimum width of 5.5 feet. This standard denotes that sidewalk widths may be required to be wider than 5.5 feet in areas of heavy pedestrian traffic, although definitions of areas with ‘heavy pedestrian traffic’ are not defined. Advancing Adams will explore opportunities for further defining the widening of sidewalks and adding a landscaped buffer in locations with vulnerable populations (e.g., seniors, youth, those with mobility challenges) and high pedestrian activity. This Plan will also explore how sidewalk standards will vary based on available right of way in order to mitigate impacts to property owners.

Although the County does not specify the width of sidewalks or buffers as they vary across street classifications, the Development Standards includes typical cross sections for various street classifications. Figure 8 shows a major arterial with a detached ten-foot sidewalk. Typical cross sections for each street classification are shown in Table 3. Transit Oriented Development (TOD) areas were discussed conceptually in the 2012 Transportation Master Plan as areas that should reflect complete street designs that emphasize pedestrian and bicycle movements. The 2012 Plan did not identify specific standards for sidewalks within TOD areas, but the Advancing Adams

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>STREET CLASSIFICATION</th>
<th>SIDEWALK WIDTH</th>
<th>ATTACHED/DETACHED</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Major Arterial</td>
<td>10’ Detached</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Minor Arterial</td>
<td>10’ Detached</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Minor Arterial (rural)</td>
<td>0’ (6’ paved shoulder)</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Major Collector</td>
<td>5.5’ Attached</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Minor Collector</td>
<td>5.5’ Attached</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Minor Collector (rural)</td>
<td>0’ (8’ paved shoulder)</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Local (industrial/commercial)</td>
<td>5.5’ Attached</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Local (residential)</td>
<td>5.5’ Attached</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Local (residential, rural)</td>
<td>0’ (6’ paved shoulder)</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure 8: Major Arterial Typical Cross Section
process will specify sidewalk widths and specification for these overlay areas.

The identified sidewalk widths and standards provide a consistent and ADA-accessible network for pedestrians. However, a buffer between the sidewalk and roadway on major and minor collectors would provide additional comfort by providing a space between high volume, high speed vehicles and people walking. The buffer would also allow for snow storage, so snow does not accumulate in the sidewalk.

Advancing Adams will build off the ADA Transition Plan for guidance on filling in missing gaps and upgrading deficient segments in the sidewalk network.

CROSSINGS

The Public Rights-of-Way Accessibility Guidelines requires ADA-compliant curb ramps at all intersections and midblock locations with marked pedestrian crossings. The County utilizes Community Development Block Grant monies to install ADA-compliant curb ramps in conjunction with other projects such as new construction, new improvements, major maintenance and rehabilitation. Grade separated pedestrian crossings may be required when regional/neighborhood trails cross collectors and arterials, as determined by the Parks Department. Barriers throughout Adams County, such as highways, rivers, and railroads, decrease connectivity for pedestrians.
Pedestrian crossings of these barriers result in out of direction travel and are high stress. Additional grade separated crossings and upgrades of inadequate pedestrian amenities on bridges and underpasses will be considered as a part of Advancing Adams.

Pedestrian crossings on arterials in Adams County are often infrequent and uncomfortable. Increasing the frequency of crossings to reduce out of direction travel for pedestrian will create a more connected and comfortable pedestrian environment. Crossings at signalized intersections should have pedestrian accommodations including ADA-accessible directional curb ramps, a pedestrian signal head, high visibility marking, and a median refuge island for long crossings.

**BICYCLE NETWORK**

Adams County’s bicycle network consists of three primary bicycle facility types, discussed in further detail in the following sections—bicycle lanes, paved multiuse trails, and unpaved multiuse trails. Facilities are defined in greater detail in this section. Figure 9 shows the County’s existing on and off-street bicycle network. The existing bicycle lane network Countywide is approximately 31 miles; bicycle lanes are predominantly found on roadways in the incorporated cities like the bike lane on Colorado Boulevard through Thornton. Some bicycle lanes, like the one on 88th Avenue, serve the border roadways of cities and unincorporated Adams County. The trail network consists of over 385 miles of infrastructure, most of which is hard-surface, concrete paths. The system’s backbones are comprised of four regional trails—Little Dry Creek Trail, Clear Creek Trail, South Platte Trail, and Niver Canal Trail. These trails provide low stress local and regional connections for people bicycling for recreation and transportation. They provide access to locations including Jefferson County, City and County of Denver, light rail stations, and regional parks. The *Parks, Open Space and Trails Plan* provides additional detail on the existing multiuse trail network in Adams County. The County currently has a number of bicycle facilities within current capital improvement projects that are under design or construction; these include Pecos Street improvements, York Street safety improvements, 62nd Avenue safety improvements, and Dahlia Street bike lanes.

*Advancing Adams* will build on the existing bicycle network, especially the strong system of multiuse trails, to provide direct and comfortable bicycle access to commercial corridors and large employers. This expansion of the network will make bicycling a more viable transportation option for Adams County residents and employees. The *Adams County Development Standards and Regulations* do not contain specifications for bicycle facilities. There are, however, requirements for providing bicycle parking at multi-family developments and commercial areas.
MAP OF ADAMS COUNTY BICYCLE FACILITIES

Figure 9: Adams County Bicycle Facilities

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Legend</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>-</td>
<td>Bicycle Lane</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-</td>
<td>Shared Use Path - Paved</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-</td>
<td>Shared Use Path - Soft Surface</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-</td>
<td>Lakes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-</td>
<td>City Boundaries</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-</td>
<td>Adams County Boundary</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
BICYCLE LANES
A bicycle lane is a designated space for bicyclists, separated from the general-purpose travel lane or parking lane by a single painted white line. This provides a comfortable place for people biking on corridors where vehicle volumes and speeds are too high for many riders to comfortably share the travel lane with vehicles. On roadways with even higher speeds and volumes, a horizontal or vertical buffer is recommended between the bicycle lane and vehicle lane. **Figure 10** shows an example of a bicycle lane, on 88th Avenue, in Adams County.

PAVED MULTIUSE TRAILS
A multiuse trail is a low stress facility that supports opportunities for both recreation and transportation. People who walk, bicycle, skate, or use wheelchairs such as mobility devices can experience increased comfort and safety on a multiuse trail because it is entirely separated from motor vehicles. Paved multiuse trails are preferred over unpaved paths for corridors that are likely to be used for transportation or regional connections. This allows for more versatility in the types of users who can safely and comfortably travel. **Figure 11** shows an example of a paved multiuse trail in Adams County—the South Platte Trail.

UNPAVED MULTIUSE TRAILS
Unpaved multiuse trails can be composed of a range of material (gravel, crusher fines, dirt) and may be narrower than paved trails. They

---

**Figure 10**: Example of a Bicycle Lane (88th Avenue at Downing Street)

**Figure 11**: Example of a Paved Multiuse Trail (South Platte River Trail) (Source: Google Maps)

**Figure 12**: Example of a Multiuse Trail (Clear Creek Trail) (Source: TrailLink)
provide valuable opportunities for equestrian use, connector trails, and recreation through open space. **Figure 12** shows the Clear Creek Trail, a trail with sections that are soft surface.

**LEVEL OF TRAFFIC STRESS METHODOLOGY**

In addition to evaluating the presence of bicycle or pedestrian facilities, assessing their level of comfort, known more formally as Level of Traffic Stress, is important. This concept acknowledges that although there may be an existing bicycle or pedestrian facility present, it may not effectively serve users of all ages and abilities. *Advancing Adams* will recommend additional bicycle and pedestrian facilities that are low stress, but also opportunities to upgrade existing facilities so that they are comfortable for all ages and abilities.

In 2012, Mekuria, Furth, and Nixon developed the original Level of Traffic Stress (LTS) framework with guidance from the National Association City of Transportation Officials (NACTO) and the American Association of State Highway and Transportation Officials (AASHTO). As a national best practice, LTS is used to inform the appropriate bicycle facility type for a roadway that will comfortable for all ages and abilities, based on street characteristics, including speed limit, traffic volumes, the number of travel lanes, and the bike facility type. Scores range from LTS 1 to LTS 4. LTS 1 and LTS 2 are considered low stress bicycle facilities, while LTS 3 and LTS 4 are considered high stress. **Figure 13** displays and describes the four types of bicyclists considered within the LTS framework.

Adams County multiuse trails, such as the South Platte Trail, are a great example of an LTS 1 facility, given their separation from vehicular traffic and width to accommodate bidirectional traffic of people walking and biking.

![Figure 13: Bicycle Rider Types and Corresponding Levels of Traffic Stress](image-url)
The existing bicycle lanes on roadways in unincorporated Adams County are predominantly higher stress. For example, the bicycle lane on 88th Avenue shown in Figure 10 is considered high stress since the facility is four lane arterial with a 35 mph speed limit. Decreasing the speed limit and/or implementing bollards on the bicycle lane would help increase the comfort level for bicyclists.

**STRAVA HEATMAP ACTIVITY PATTERNS**

Strava Global Heatmap data was used to examine bicycling activity patterns in Adams County from 2018 to 2020. Strava is an internet service with a smartphone application that enables users to track their physical activity; it is popular among active transportation users. Figure 14 and Figure 15 show bicycling activity in Adams County, on the west and east sides of the County respectively, as recorded by Strava users. It is important to note that this Strava data represents only bicyclists who have chosen to record their activity using the Strava application and many people bicycling, especially commuters, do not use Strava and are not being captured in this data; however, the data provides an opportunity to understand the rate of travel on different roadways and paths. The lighter and thicker blue lines represent more popular biking routes while the thinner purple and blue routes represent less-used routes.

The data shows the most bicycling activity on the trails within the County. On the east side, there is a notable amount of activity on the County Roads, likely from recreational bicyclists.
Figure 14: Strava Heatmap of Bicycling Activity (West)

Figure 15: Strava Heatmap of Bicycling Activity (East)
TRANSIT

Adams County is served by RTD, which offers a variety of transit service types (Figure 16 and Table 4). The service boundary for RTD is located in central Adams County, which dictates that there is no fixed route transit service in the eastern portions of the County.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>SERVICE TYPE</th>
<th>NUMBER OF ROUTES</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Local Bus</td>
<td>30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Regional Bus</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Commuter Rail</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Light Rail</td>
<td>1 (a portion of the R Line)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Airport Bus</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FlexRide</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 4: Adams County RTD Transit Service

MAP OF RTD TRANSIT SERVICE

Figure 16: Adams County RTD Transit Service
Transit investment in Adams County has been high in recent years with the addition of the B and G rail lines as well as the recent opening of the N Line. Average weekday transit ridership for stops serving Adams County was approximately 53,400 riders in January 2019. Ridership fell in 2020 due to public health agency restrictions on transit operations to help mitigate the transmission of the COVID-19 virus. April 2020 ridership in Adams County was 49% lower than January 2019 levels. Compared to the national average of an 81% decline in ridership due to the COVID-19, demand for transit has remained comparatively strong in Adams County (National Transit Database).

Of all transit stops in Adams County, ten stops account for approximately one-third of the County’s transit ridership. These stops are located in incorporated Adams County communities serve the A and R rail lines and the 120X and 15 bus routes, which are some of the highest ridership routes in the RTD system. Transit stops in unincorporated Adams County tend to have lower ridership than stops in Adams County municipalities, with the majority of stops serving fewer than 25 riders per day (Figure 18). It should be noted that the G Line had not yet started service in January 2019. Ridership by stop was likely differently distributed in January 2020. Advancing Adams will include an updated ridership analysis. As of January 2019, the highest ridership stops in the unincorporated portion of the County are Pecos Street & W 72nd Avenue, Pecos Street & W 70th Avenue, and Federal Boulevard & W 56th Avenue. These stops serve the following routes:

- 19 – The North Pecos route, offering service between Civic Center Station in Denver and 106th & Melody Transfer Center in Northglenn
- 31 – The Federal Boulevard route, operating between Evans Avenue and Front Range Community College
- 72 – The 72nd Avenue route, operating between Arvada and the 72nd Avenue N Line station in Commerce City

The high ridership on bus routes serving Pecos Street suggests that additional service may be needed on the corridor to accommodate demand. Major transfer points and routes serving rail stations tend to have higher ridership. Advancing Adams will explore opportunities to enhance transit service where demand is highest while...
determining whether service changes are needed at lower ridership stops. Alternatives include on-demand service or schedule adjustments.

As transit investments evolve, transit planning in Adams County will continue to adapt. For example, the addition of commuter rail lines result in the need for first and last mile connections to improve access to this reliable transit service. Additionally, as funding and services provided by RTD evolve, Adams County should consider additional opportunities to fill in gaps in transportation services that RTD is unable to provide.

**Figure 18:** Transit Ridership by Stop in Unincorporated Adams County

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Average Weekday Ridership (January 2019)</th>
<th>Service Type</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Less than 25</td>
<td>Regional Bus</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25 - 50</td>
<td>FlexRide</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>51 - 100</td>
<td>Local Bus</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>100 - 205</td>
<td>Commuter Rail</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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HUMAN SERVICE PROVIDERS

Human service transportation supplements traditional fixed route public transit to provide transportation services for disadvantaged populations that may have unique transportation needs. These populations include older adults, people with disabilities, veterans, and/or those with lower income. Human service transportation providers accommodate these needs through such characteristics as on-demand booking, door to door service, or fare free systems. The operational models of these providers may vary and could include taxi vouchers, reimbursement of mileage for friends and family of users, or volunteer driver programs. There are many different providers and programs in the region that serve these populations. Many of these services have limited eligibility or only operate during limited times for defined service areas.

Service providers and programs in the Adams County region include:

- A-Lift
- Amazing Wheels
- American Cancer Society, Road to Recovery
- Care-a-Van Transport/A1 Non-Emergency Transport
- Colorado Non-Emergent Medical Transportation
- RTD’s Access-a-Ride
- Seniors’ Resource Center
- Servicios de La Raza
- Via Mobility Services
- Volunteers of America Veteran Services Center

Advancing Adams will further explore the breadth of these services, their geographies, and eligible populations to better understand where there are gaps in human service transportation.
VEHICULAR NETWORK

The Adams County roadway network is comprised of Adams County-owned roadways and CDOT-owned roadways. The roadway network provides a connected and efficient means of moving vehicles, including freight, to and through Adams County. The County applies a methodical approach, using the Capital Improvement Program (CIP), to maintain and upgrade Adams County-owned streets. The state highway system within Adams County provides key connections for the County and provides an important role by prioritizing mobility, in order to allow for parallel corridors to prioritize access to destinations. However, Adams County dedicates a lot of our resources and advocacy towards missing or

EXISTING ADAMS COUNTY ROADWAY NETWORK (WESTERN)

Figure 19: Existing Adams County Roadway Network (Western Portion of County)
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EXISTING ADAMS COUNTY ROADWAY NETWORK (EASTERN)

Figure 20: Existing Adams County Roadway Network (Eastern Portion of County)
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Functionally deficient portions of the CDOT system. Tate-owned roadway locations with deficiencies include Federal Boulevard, Sheridan Boulevard, SH 224, 104th Avenue, I-270, US-85, and interchanges along I-70, SH 79. These deficiencies include potholes, maintenance needs, inadequate lighting, and insufficient bicycle and pedestrian facilities.

Figure 19 and Figure 20 show the existing roadway network in Adams County.

Example of a multilane arterial running through Adams County
Adams County Development Standards and Regulations includes a street classification system based on traffic volumes and surrounding existing and future land use. Roadway types consist of:

- Local – Residential
- Local – Residential, Rural
- Local – Industrial/Commercial
- Minor Collector
- Minor Collector, Rural
- Major Collector
- Minor Arterial
- Minor Arterial, Rural
- Major Arterial
- Transit Oriented Development Parkway
- Transit Oriented Development Collector

The Development Standards and Regulations outline design standards for each street classification. The standards were developed and should continue to be updated based on AASHTO, *A Policy on Geometric Design of Highways and Streets* ("Green Book") and the latest edition of the Colorado Department of Transportation Design Guides may also be used for roadway design. Figure 21 and Figure 22 shows Adams County typical cross sections for each of the identified street classifications, per the County’s Development Standards and Regulations as of December 2020. In addition to design standards, the Development Standards include specifications on street characteristics including width, curb and gutter, traffic control, access conditions and function. Transit Oriented Development (TOD) areas were discussed in the 2012 Transportation Master Plan as areas that should be considered in designing streets in TOD areas and other appropriate neighborhoods that reflect complete street designs that emphasize pedestrian and bicycle movements. These corridors are shown in Figure 22 and will be refined as a part of the Advancing Adams process.

The County utilizes an asset management database known as Cartegraph to identify street paving and street seal programs for maintaining paved roadways.
Figure 21: Typical Cross Sections by Street Classification (Source: Adams County Development Standards and Regulations Appendix C)

Figure 22: TOD Cross Sections (Source: 2012 TMP)
The County has approximately 700 miles of unpaved roadways (Figure 23). These roadways are primarily in the central and eastern portions of the County. Figure 24 shows an example of an unpaved section of 104th Avenue near Denver International Airport. Adams County currently has a successful Gravel Road Program that invests in the unpaved road network in the County to ensure roadways are safe and efficient. This program not only informs the prioritization of roadways for repaving but applies an innovative approach to maintenance of existing unpaved roads. This innovative approach resulted in the development of a mixture of materials for unpaved roads that requires less maintenance and uses fewer resources to improve the quality of roads. While some roadways are periodically upgraded to accommodate new development, improve safety, and eliminate hazards, the high cost of upgrading and maintaining roadways dictates that the County should strategically upgrade unpaved roadways. Advancing Adams will provide an update on the existing Gravel Road Program’s prioritization process for paving roads based on land use, safety, and traffic volume trends.
CDOT monitors traffic volumes on major roadways in the County. **Figure 25** shows the average annual daily traffic for 2019. I-25 and I-270 are the highest traffic volume roadways in Adams County.

**Figure 24:** Unpaved Section of Imboden Road

**ANNUAL AVERAGE DAILY TRAFFIC IN ADAMS COUNTY**

**Figure 25:** 2019 Annual Average Daily Traffic (Source: CDOT)
PARKING

OFF-STREET PARKING

Adams County has nearly 13 square miles of off-street surface parking, 97% of which consists of impervious surfacing (Figure 26). Utilization data for some off-street parking is available through the 2019 Adams County Southwest County Parking Study, which examined parking in 15 neighborhoods. The study included a review of five off-street parking facilities in Perl Mack, Goat Hill, Lakeshore Estates, Welby, and Fairview. The Study found that of the five lots, the Perl Mack lot had a peak weekday occupancy rate of 42% while the other four lots ranged from 2% to 4% occupancy. While this study represents a small sample of the available off-street parking in Adams County, the analysis suggests that there may be an excess of surface parking in Adams County.

Advancing Adams will explore opportunities to repurpose underutilized surface parking for other uses, expand opportunities for shared use parking, or absorb the high demand for on-street parking in certain neighborhoods, as discussed in the following section.

ON-STREET PARKING

According to the 2019 parking study, demand for on-street parking is relatively low, with an average peak of 25% occupancy on weekdays. However, some communities do experience challenges with spillover parking, which occurs when land uses generate parking demand in excess of the number of spaces available and parking spills over to adjacent properties. While the average occupancy is 25%, there may be certain locations where the occupancy is closer to 100%. The study found this phenomenon to be most prevalent in the Berkeley, Perl Mack, and Welby neighborhoods. Advancing Adams will evaluate opportunities for managing on-street parking demand in those communities by leveraging available surface parking.

PARKING REQUIREMENTS

Chapter 4 of the County’s Development Standards identifies parking requirements and restrictions for various developments and overlay zones in the County. Minimum parking requirements are identified depending on the land use type and size of the development. Standards make additional specifications for on vs. off-street parking, design and layout, lighting, signs, landscaping within parking lots, location of parking, paving material, parking space size, access requirements, and handicap parking spaces. There are opportunities for developments to provide fewer parking spaces than is specified in the standards. Developers can capitalize on these exceptions through the implementation of: Transportation Demand Management strategies such as transit passes, bike share, or Van Pool; demonstrable pedestrian, bicycle, or mass transit facilities; shared parking; or electric vehicle charging parking.
SHARED PARKING

Shared parking is a strategy that optimizes parking capacity by allowing complementary land uses to share parking spaces, rather than producing separate spaces for separate uses. For example, a church that uses parking primarily on Sundays could share parking spaces with a bank, who uses spaces primarily Monday through Friday. The County’s Development Standards support opportunities for shared parking, with some restrictions on the nature of the land use types and proximity of parking.

ADAMS COUNTY SURFACE PARKING

Figure 26: Surface Parking in Adams County (Source: DRCOG)
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FREIGHT

Adams County has a high level of freight activity due to its proximity to Denver, presence of distribution and logistics centers, access to multiple interstate highways, and presence of freight railroad lines. I-70 is the main freight corridor, with trucks constituting 19% of vehicle traffic on average (Figure 27). SH 79 and I-76 are the second and third largest carriers of freight vehicles respectively.

Demand for freight movement has grown in recent years due to industrial land uses that are increasingly being added to the southwestern and central portions of Adams County (Figure 28). In addition, companies like Amazon have established distribution and fulfillment centers in Adams County that serve the regional demand for consumer goods. Amazon has a significant presence in unincorporated Adams County, Thornton, and Aurora.
The area around the US-85 and I-76 corridors is home to a number of industrial uses and logistics centers.

Adams County contains many of the freight bottlenecks identified in the CDOT 2019 Colorado Freight Plan (Figure 29). Bottlenecks are defined as areas where traffic slows due to roadway design rather than congestion caused by the number of vehicles exceeding roadway capacity. As the presence of industrial activity and associated freight demand increases in Adams County, the County should continue to work with CDOT to address the freight bottlenecks and support more efficient movement of goods.

Adams County contains many of the freight bottlenecks identified in the CDOT 2019 Colorado Freight Plan (Figure 29). Bottlenecks are defined as areas where traffic slows due to roadway design rather than congestion caused by the number of vehicles exceeding roadway capacity. As the presence of industrial activity and associated freight demand increases in Adams County, the County should continue to work with CDOT to address the freight bottlenecks and support more efficient movement of goods.

Figure 28: Examples of Industrial and Warehousing Land Uses Increasing Freight Traffic

Figure 29: Colorado Freight Bottlenecks (Source: Colorado Freight Plan, 2019)
This section takes a deeper dive into Innovation & Emerging Mobility in Adams County by looking at:

- TrendLab+ Outcomes
- The Role of Future Mobility Strategies
SUMMARY OF TRENDLAB+ OUTCOMES

The COVID-19 pandemic and associated recession has altered nearly every aspect of daily life, including travel patterns. COVID-19 is a highly contagious disease that spread across the United States beginning in March 2020, and continues to spread at the time of publication of this report. Due to the highly contagious nature of the disease, local and state guidelines have restricted travel, business operations, and severely limited person to person contact. This has had significant impacts on transportation trends. Associated impacts like increasing rates of telecommuting, public health concerns leading to a possible increase in suburban-style development, and increased rates of home deliveries for goods have converged to quickly change how much we drive or how often we take transit. The Advancing Adams team held a TrendLab+ workshop with Adams County government representatives in October 2020 to explore how local travel behavior in Adams County will change following the recovery from the COVID-19 pandemic.

TrendLab+ is a Fehr & Peers tool that uses both national research and local trends in Adams County to explore how the response to the COVID-19 pandemic, its impacts on the economy, and other travel-related trends may affect short- and long-term travel behavior, traffic levels, and transit use in the County. This includes changes such as labor force participation, working from home, goods and service delivery, technology, and micromobility. The following summary describes the topics that were discussed at the workshop along with the implications for Adams County as described by County transportation stakeholders.

ECONOMIC CONSEQUENCES

By mid-May 2020, unemployment reached almost 15% nationally and 11% in Adams County; in October 2020 the national unemployment rate was 6.9% and Adams County unemployment rate was 7%. To provide perspective, the unemployment rate during the Great Depression was about 25% and during the 2008 recession it was about 10%. Even though the economy began to partially reopen after the most stringent lockdown policies were lifted in mid-May 2020, concerns linger about a second drop in unemployment, and some economists forecast unemployment could exceed that of the Great Depression further into the pandemic. According to the Wall Street Journal (WSJ) economic survey, retail and hospitality employment losses have been at least five percentage points greater than total employment; this economic survey projects that it will take 24 to 30 months for total unemployment to return to 2019 levels (approximately 3% in Adams County). Some companies have established
smaller satellite offices rather than requiring employees to commute to large offices in central cities. The rise in unemployment has also brought lower household incomes, which can lower travel demand. On the other hand, behavior in response to COVID-19 has led to a decrease in fuel consumption, causing a drop in gas prices. A prolonged decrease in fuel prices will lower auto-operating costs, which may result in higher amounts of driving.

A significant portion of the Adams County economy is dedicated to fossil fuels. The Suncor refinery in Commerce City is a major employer, but with the recent drop in demand for oil, the refinery’s future is in question. At the time of writing, the refinery had reduced its staff size by 15%. Meanwhile, the County is adding freight and logistics employment opportunities through new Amazon distribution centers and through both the Rocky Mountain Rail Park and the Pecos Logistics Center.

BUSINESS RESPONSE AND CHANGE IN LAND USE

Concerns about compact living and demand for a home office could increase suburban migration, reduce development density, place an emphasis on single-family rather than multi-family development, and lead to a decline in the number of urban commercial development. TrendLab+ workshop participants identified high local demand for detached single-family homes instead of apartments, which would suggest higher rates of vehicle travel. Adams County offers residential development opportunities that are relatively more affordable than in Denver or other neighboring jurisdictions, which suggests there may be a future increase in vehicle travel due to the growing availability of lower density housing.

A national trend of embracing technologies that support almost universal remote work has been accelerated by the reaction to an increase in working from home in response to COVID-19. Some businesses are stating that they will not bring employees back to physical offices for considerably more time. Dramatic reductions in traffic volumes and transit use since shelter-in-place orders took effect show that for many companies, telecommuting is a viable way to conduct business. However, the trend is not as pronounced in Adams County, where 60% of workers are considered essential and have continued regular commute patterns throughout the COVID-19 pandemic.

Nationally, many schools have not reopened for the Fall 2020 semester; those that do reopen, may be alternating remote learning with in-class participation in order to prevent the spread of the virus. However, in Adams County, schools have resumed in-person learning in almost all instances.

While the reaction to the COVID-19 pandemic is causing many communities to envision land use
differently, the large share of essential workers in Adams County, along with the continuation of in-person learning, suggests that the County is less likely to experience the type of land use change that would impact transportation patterns long-term. Instead, the growing demand for single-family homes is more likely to generate an increased demand for vehicle travel.

**TRANSIT AND MULTIMODAL MEASURES**

Changes in work habits and travel patterns in reaction to the COVID-19 pandemic has caused a shift in how multimodal transportation options are provided and used. Some communities have designated streets exclusively or primarily for pedestrian and bicycle use. Bike share and electric scooters were gaining popularity in urbanized areas before the COVID-19 pandemic but have dropped in use since March 2020. Bike share and scooter companies may continue to consolidate and adjust their business models to take advantage of the desire for an alternative to transit for short-distance travel and more comfortable streets for biking and walking. There is growing demand for more multimodal connectivity in Adams County and the TrendLab+ participants identified local political support for expanding bicycle and pedestrian facilities.

The immediate future of transit in Adams County is unclear. RTD may attempt to return to its pre-COVID-19 pandemic operating frequencies or revenue loss may require sustained service reductions and/or fare increases. However, ridership in Adams County has proven relatively more resilient than in other areas. RTD ridership is at approximately half of pre-COVID-19 pandemic levels compared to an 80% decline in transit use nationally. New transit service, like the N Line commuter rail, is being added and the County’s workforce has a high share of essential workers who may continue to rely on transit. Due to these factors, the workshop participants predicted that transit ridership in Adams County in the long-term will exceed pre-COVID-19 pandemic levels.

**VEHICLE AVAILABILITY AND RIDE-HAILING**

Concerns about shared vehicles and transit crowding could increase auto ownership and lead to a greater preference for services like Lyft and Uber over transit in more urban settings. For example, upon the reopening of China's economy in the spring of 2020 about 60% of Volkswagen purchasers were first-time car buyers. At the time of the TrendLab+ workshop, it was yet to be seen whether the reaction to the COVID-19 pandemic was causing an increase in vehicle purchases in Adams County.
GOODS AND SERVICE DELIVERY

INRIX (a phone-based big data source) recorded a 13% reduction in long-distance freight between March and April 2020, and StreetLight and Boston Consulting reported drops in FedEx and UPS delivery truck movements of about 20%. They also reported an increase of 25% in USPS vehicle deliveries. Reductions in deliveries to office buildings, restaurants, and other retail establishments seem to be offset by increases in home deliveries. As the economy recovers, these patterns may return to pre-COVID-19 pandemic patterns, but some needs may continue to be met by electronic (e.g. drone) versus tangible product deliveries.

SOCIAL NETWORKING AND IN-PERSON INTERACTION

Social networking platforms like Twitter and Instagram have served as substitutes for in-person gatherings when restrictions on in-person gatherings associated with COVID-19 are in place. It remains to be seen whether there is a new age of virtual socializing or if in-person gatherings will return post-COVID-19 pandemic. At the time of the TrendLab+ workshop, the Tri-County Health Department, which represents Adams, Arapahoe, and Douglas Counties, issued an order limiting in-person gatherings. As the COVID-19 pandemic continues, ongoing restrictions on in-person gatherings will naturally reduce demand for vehicle travel and likely replace those gatherings with online interactions.

CONSUMER CONFIDENCE AND SPENDING

Consumer spending often declines during periods of economic shock such as the 2008 recession and the dot com bubble. Retail sales dropped 16% between March and April 2020, twice as much as they dropped during the 2008 recession. Deloitte forecasts that disposable income and consumer spending will not
return to pre-COVID-19 pandemic levels until at least 2022. Several months after reopening, stores in China have recovered less than 40% of their retail sales. While local consumer spending data for Adams County was not available at the time of the workshop, the Bureau of Labor Statistics reported that the consumer price index (a weighted average of the price of goods) for the Denver-Aurora-Lakewood area, which neighbors Adams County, was up 1.1% year over year in September 2020, with more pronounced increases of 4.5% for items like food. Rising prices during a time of higher unemployment likely means lower consumer spending locally.

TRAVEL TRENDS

Vehicle miles traveled dropped 80% to 90% in coastal cities and 70% to 80% in many inland cities during the first two months of shelter-in-place orders. As some states have begun reopening their economies, traffic levels have begun to rise again slightly.. Once equilibrium is reached, the new normal may still show lower traffic volumes due to increased telecommuting, remote learning, and social telecommunications; Alternatively, traffic levels may be higher than pre-recession levels as more people move to remote locations or replace carpooling and transit with single occupancy trips. If the outcome results in lower congestion levels, more discretionary travel may be induced.

SUMMARY

TrendLab+ workshop participants provided predictions for each of the trends discussed in this section. The participants identified that Adams County will continue to witness travel behavior related impacts related to responses to COVID-19 into 2021. However, in the coming years, the high share of essential workers and preference for lower density housing will cause a rise in VMT and transit ridership that will near pre-COVID-19 levels by 2025 and begin exceeding those levels in 2030 (Figure 38). When considering future travel demand, Advancing Adams will take into account the trends that local transportation stakeholders shared during the workshop and also factor some of the forces that will impact transportation locally.
Figure 30: Adams County TrendLab+ Workshop Results
When the 2012 Adams County Transportation Plan was developed, the concept of individuals using smartphones to rent shared electric scooters seemed far off. Less than ten years later, scooter share and other technology-enabled transportation options are becoming ubiquitous throughout the region. This section identifies both emerging technologies and approaches to leveraging these technologies for improving future mobility in Adams County.

**SHARED MOBILITY**

Shared mobility, which is the shared use of a motor vehicle, bicycle or scooter, represents a growing segment of the wider mobility network. Users have short-term access to a mode of transportation on an as-needed basis rather than relying on private ownership of the mode. Shared mobility provides a broader set of transportation options for users that reduces reliance on the private automobile, therefore reducing congestion and carbon emissions. Shared mobility is a key component of Mobility-as-a-Service, described later in this section. Shared mobility options require relatively high population densities to be successful, since the providers need to serve a large volume of users making frequent, relatively short trips in order to be financially viable. While shared mobility might not be appropriate for areas like eastern Adams County, the southwestern portion of the County is a potential area where it might play a role.

**RIDE-HAILING**

The best known form of shared mobility is ride-hailing, which is provided primarily by Transportation Network Companies (TNC), like Uber and Lyft. At its most basic level, ride-hailing is simply the modern version of a taxi, using website and smartphone apps that match passengers with drivers. TNCs operating within Adams County and the surrounding region are Uber and Lyft.

Nationally, TNCs/ride-hailing represent the fastest growing transportation mode. Ride-hailing services meet a wide range of travel needs including evening and weekend trips when transit does not operate, airport trips that can be easily timed, or trips to locations where parking will be difficult or expensive. Ride-hailing also has some negative impacts. TNC drivers must spend time driving alone between trips, which can lead to increased cars on the road, energy use/greenhouse gas emissions, and traffic congestion. This problem is especially prevalent in lower density areas where riders are spread out. In more urbanized settings, TNC vehicles compete for curbspace with freight vehicles, people seeking to park, bicyclists, and other users. Ride-hailing is also not always equitable, since lower income households cannot rely on ride-hailing. This is
predominantly due to TNC providers using dynamic pricing, which causes trips during periods of higher demand to also be a higher price. Without being able to predict the price of a trip, lower income households cannot know in advance whether a TNC trip will be affordable.

Because Adams County residents have a high rate of reliance on their vehicles, it is unlikely that TNC trips will replace a significant share of trips in a personal vehicle. As the southwestern portion of the County continues to densify and receive more transit service, however, TNCs may begin to play a larger role.

BIKE/SCOOTER SHARE

Bike share systems for both human-powered and electric bicycles, and more recently electric-scooter share, have been a rapidly evolving trend over the last decade and have become a growing presence in communities around the country. Bike share and scooter share have the potential to increase transportation options available in Adams County, especially in the areas surrounding transit stations. For example, the new Pecos Junction Station is close to the Midtown development, but far enough away to make walking access difficult for some users. With the addition of more comfortable multimodal facilities – something that can be planned through Advancing Adams – bike share or scooter share can serve as a first and last mile solution for accessing transit. Introducing these types of mobility options would require the County to manage the services so they complement land use and transportation goals, while mitigating potential issues. Up-to-date policies on where and how users can operate these mobility devices are needed to ensure that users are safely using these devices and integrating with other modes. The integration of scooters into the network would have an impact on the maintenance needs and even design of roadways, sidewalks and bicycle facilities; the small wheels of the scooter are more sensitive to potholes, cracks, and debris.

CAR-SHARE

Car-sharing is a model for car rental, similar to bike share or scooter share, which allows users to pay for access to vehicles for limited periods of time. Car-share systems tend to have vehicles dispersed throughout an area, and can be reserved through a few clicks on a webpage or smartphone app. Adams County can support car-share in the future by dedicating parking spaces for car-share providers both on-street and partnering with RTD to provide car-share services at rail stations. In addition, the County can provide incentives or requirements for new developments to provide car-share and/or car-share parking. The appetite for introducing car-share depends on the extent to which people can travel by foot, bicycle, and transit, all of which afford the ability to choose not to own a car.
ELECTRIC VEHICLES

Electric vehicle (EV) technology continues to advance at a rapid pace with increasing regulatory and financial incentives to encourage production and use at both the State and Federal level. While EVs do not reduce traffic congestion, they do reduce emissions, which would be an important outcome for Adams County. The presence of oil and gas refineries as well as other industrial land uses causes Adams County to have somewhat poor air quality. The United States Environmental Protection Agency reported that in 2019, Adams County had the third highest number of days with a moderate Air Quality Index in Colorado. Moderate air quality is defined as air quality being acceptable, though people who are unusually sensitive to air pollution, like individuals with underlying health conditions or older adults, may be at risk. In Adams County, Air Quality Index was in the moderate range for nearly 39% of days in 2019. Achievement of lower emissions through EVs can partially offset the air quality impacts caused by these other users. In planning for future EV integration, Adams County can consider provision of on-street and off-street EV parking and increasing the number of charging stations on public property as well as incentives and requirements for provision of EV charging stations and infrastructure by developers. In addition, I-25 is a federally recognized alternative fuel corridor, where infrastructure upgrades are being made to support the use of electric and other alternative fuel vehicles.

MOBILITY AS A SERVICE

Mobility as a Service (MaaS) describes the shift away from privately owned automobiles and toward transportation that is offered as a service. This includes both public and private providers that can work together to provide a holistic landscape of transportation options, either as a subscription or pay-as-you-go service. MaaS provides reliable and comprehensive transportation options and information that can reduce the reliance on or eliminate the need for private automobiles. Instead of incurring auto ownership related costs, like loan payments, insurance, and fuel, MaaS instead shifts personal transportation spending to paying for access to transportation services, which reduces the “sunk costs” of automobile ownership, decreases congestion, reduces emissions, increases the use of public infrastructure, and provides transportation providers with the data they need to be more cost-effective. MaaS can become increasingly appealing and viable through an integration of modes that includes payment integration, a trip-planning app and mobility hubs.

Adams County can promote MaaS by working with private transportation providers to share data with the County, which would facilitate...
providing trip planning resources to County residents. This may include working with a third party vendor to create a trip planning smartphone app for Adams County. Alternatively, the County can promote existing applications that give travelers access to trip planning information for RTD. In areas beyond the RTD service area, the County can explore public-private partnerships that use private providers to provide public transit. These partnerships can also help improve human service transportation provision.

AUTONOMOUS AND CONNECTED VEHICLES

Autonomous and Connected Vehicles (AV/CV), are two technologies that are rapidly evolving with the potential to impact travel patterns and trip choices in the future. AVs are capable of sensing the environment and moving through the street network with little or no human input. CVs are vehicles that communicate with other vehicles on the road, as well as connected infrastructure, to improve roadway use and safety. As discussed in the TrendLab+ workshop summary, near-term use of AVs in Adams County is not likely, but the County can use Advancing Adams as an opportunity to prepare for this new mode and its associated challenges. For example, research on travel behaviors suggests that AVs may decrease transit usage except for high-frequency transit services like trains or bus rapid transit that operate on a dedicated facility.

AVs may pose new risks to pedestrian safety or implications for lower income communities who are not able to adopt the new technology as quickly. Advancing Adams will include strategies to address the potential impacts of AVs and CVs; examples of potential strategies include:

- Setting maximum speed limit standards that vehicles must adhere to on local streets where bicyclists and pedestrians are more likely to be present.
- In the case of shared AVs, adopting policies that overcome the digital divide by enabling users without smartphones to have equal access to the mobility service.
- Establishing programs for using AVs as a formal first/last mile connection to transit stations.

ADDITIONAL TECHNOLOGIES FOR IMPROVING TRANSPORTATION NETWORKS

In addition to the more general innovations in transportation described previously, there are some more specific technologies that have recently been changing the way users travel. For example, Intelligent Transportation Systems (ITS) are new technologies that are reshaping people’s experience on roadways. ITS examples include technologies like Adaptive Signal Control, which automatically adjusts traffic signal timing based on current traffic conditions. This type of technology
can dynamically manage bicycle and pedestrian street crossing times while also providing transit vehicles additional time to pass through intersections, which helps buses stay on schedule.

Mobility Hubs are another way that new technologies are reshaping the transportation experience. Mobility Hubs are centers that integrate various transportation modes to allow users to make seamless connections as they travel. Often centered around transit stations, Mobility Hubs enable quick transfers from a bus onto a scooter or shared bike, and can also share real-time information on connecting buses, availability of shared-use mobility devices, and walking directions to nearby destinations. Mobility Hubs rely on integration across transportation services as well as close communication with users through smartphone apps that enable users to trip plan, pay fares, and be notified of transit service disruptions.

**FUNDING SOURCES**

There are a variety of funding measures that Adams County in partnership with its local municipalities can pursue to support the implementation and operations of innovative transportation programs and services.

**FEDERAL GRANTS**

There are a variety of grants that could be used to fund innovative programs and services.

- **Advanced Transportation and Congestion Management Technologies Deployment Program (ATCMTD) grants**

In July 2020 The U.S. Department of Transportation’s Federal Highway Administration (FHWA) published a Notice of Funding Opportunity NOFO for $60 million in ATCMTD grants to fund new technologies that improve transportation efficiency and safety.

- **5310 Enhanced Mobility of Seniors and Individuals with Disabilities**

This formula fund supports public transportation for seniors and individuals with disabilities by funding eligible capital, purchased service, and preventive maintenance projects for transportation providers. Eligible projects include vehicle purchases, passenger shelters, purchased services, preventive maintenance, travel training, marketing programs, development of centralized call centers, and other equipment that supports transportation to meet the special needs of seniors and individuals with disabilities. DRCOG administers 5310 funding for the Denver–Aurora Urbanized Area, which includes Adams County.

- **FTA Mobility On-Demand (MOD) Sandbox Program**

The MOD program envisions a multimodal, integrated, automated, accessible, and...
connected transportation system in which personalized mobility is a key feature. The Sandbox Demonstration Program seeks to fund project teams to innovate, explore partnerships, develop new business models, integrate transit and MOD solutions, and investigate new, enabling technical capabilities such as integrated payment systems, decision support, and incentives for traveler choices.

- **US DOT’s Better Utilizing Investments to Leverage Development (BUILD) Transportation Discretionary Grants (formerly TIGER grants)**

This formula grant program funds investments in transportation infrastructure. Projects are evaluated based on merit criteria that include safety, economic competitiveness, quality of life, environmental protection, state of good repair, innovation, partnership, and additional non-Federal revenue for future transportation infrastructure investments. In 2020, BUILD awarded 70 projects across 44 states.

- **Surface Transportation Block Grant**

A formula grant distributed to states who then distribute it through discretionary grants. This grant primarily funds capital improvements.

- **Public Transportation Innovation Program**

The program is a competitive grant process that provides funding to develop innovative products and services assisting transit agencies in better meeting the needs of their customers. It funds research, development, demonstration and deployment projects, and evaluation of technology of national significance to public transportation.

**LOCAL FUNDING**

While local funding is more limited, it is also feasible to supplement State and Federal funding options.

- **Farebox Revenue and Advertisements**

These are direct revenues from fares for shared mobility programs or advertisements through transit or bike share. Raising fees and fares would increase income from this source but may lead to decreased ridership and reduced mobility options for underserved populations. Increasing advertisement options could increase revenue from local sources.

- **Local Property Taxes**

Cities/towns and counties may levy property taxes to support transit. These can either be permanent or a local option tax that is subject to voter approval.
• **Community Partners**

Shared mobility programs could seek funding from large employers in Adams County or interested community partners could contribute to mobility services as they serve their users and provide better access to their services, including Business Improvement Districts (BID), Front Range Community College, or local Urban Renewal Authorities. These local partners may gift funds to the program, or they could help to subsidize trips for their employees or students. The Lone Tree Link is a strong example of shared mobility funded in part by local partners.

• **Local Payroll Tax**

It is an option to assess a local payroll tax on employers or employees. This can raise funds but can also burden low-income workers and may not have public support.

**NEXT STEPS**

*Advancing Adams* will expand on the opportunities for future mobility presented in this report by developing both specific recommendations for adopting new technologies on key corridors and developing a policy framework for adopting those technologies equitably and efficiently.
This section of the plan is in DRAFT form. The transportation opportunities being set forth in this section need to be further coordinated with the land use recommendations being developed in Phase II in the Comprehensive Plan as well as feedback from the public. Further review will need to take place before opportunities can be presented to the public as draft recommendations. Additional visuals will also be prepared as a part of Phase II.
OVERVIEW

To highlight specific opportunities for focused improvements in key areas, Advancing Adams has selected five strategic corridors that will be analyzed in greater detail as a part of this planning process—Federal Boulevard, Washington Street, Pecos Street, 104th Avenue, and 120th Avenue. The following section describes the transportation infrastructure (transit, bicycle, pedestrian, and vehicular) that exists on each corridor and shares opportunities for transportation improvements that would both enhance mobility and complement concepts put forward in the Comprehensive and Parks, Open Space and Trails Plans.

The Advancing Adams team is considering a range of transportation opportunities for each corridor including road diets, enhancing facilities for those walking or rolling, and leveraging new technologies for forming new connections or operating existing facilities with greater efficiency. A road diet is the reallocation of vehicle travel lanes to other uses such as enhanced bicycle or pedestrian facilities.

OPPORTUNITIES ANALYSIS

Stakeholder interviews and focus group sessions were convened for the strategic corridor. These sessions provided an opportunity for agencies such as CDOT, RTD, City and County of Denver, and departments within Adams County to provide initial feedback on preliminary ideas. These conversations aided the project team in streamlining a list of initial ideas and focusing on opportunities that
would address community needs as identified by stakeholders and be deemed feasible by agencies that will act as implementation partners.

In addition to conversations with stakeholders, a data-driven process was used to assess how each of the five corridors currently serves each transportation mode. In addition to the County-wide analysis described previously in this report, the corridor-specific analysis also included a preliminary assessment of peak hour roadway operations to determine whether ideas like reducing the number of travel lanes might be feasible. Operations were assessed by determining vehicle to capacity ratios (V/C) for portions of each corridor. V/C ratios were determined using the roadway segment capacities listed in the DRCOG Focus Regional Travel Demand Model and the historic traffic volume data provided by Adams County. The traffic volume data

Figure 31: Level of Service Ratings (Source: UDOT)
provided by the County represented Average Annual Daily Traffic; peak hour volumes were derived using the assumption that the peak hour of travel represents 10% of daily traffic.

The V/C ratio conveys the quantity of vehicles utilizing a roadway at a given time as a share of the overall traffic-carrying capacity of that roadway. For the purposes of the five corridors analysis, it was assumed that a V/C ratio of less than 0.7 signifies the roadway segment has additional peak hour capacity and that some of the right of way currently dedicated to vehicle travel may be reallocated to other modes. A V/C ratio of between 0.7 and 0.9 signifies that the roadway is congested during the peak hour and drivers may experience some delays. It was assumed that some right of way reallocation might still be feasible under these conditions. A V/C ratio of over 0.9 signifies a location where existing peak hour congestion is an issue. A V/C ratio of 0.9 corresponds with a Level of Service E or F as illustrated in Figure 31.

It should be noted that this operational analysis was conducted using limited data and was intended to serve a high-level screening for potential feasibility of treatments like road diets. A more rigorous analysis would be needed to conclusively assess feasibility of the opportunities offered in this report.

### FEDERAL BOULEVARD

#### EXISTING CONDITIONS

Federal Boulevard is a north-south arterial that provides local and regional connections for Adams County travelers (Figure 32). Federal Boulevard is owned and operated by CDOT; issues relating to street surfacing, sidewalk maintenance, snow removal, and other operational concerns are the responsibility of CDOT. Adams County can provide input on the corridor, but do not have decision making authority on changes to the corridor. The corridor connects to the City and County of Denver to the south and to Broomfield to the north. The arterial carries a little over 30,000 vehicles per day. The corridor is primarily zoned for commercial uses, with industrial uses around the rail lines. Given the number of key commercial destinations, the corridor has a high demand for people walking and biking. The existing cross section does

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>CROSS STREET</th>
<th>72ND AVENUE</th>
<th>NORTH OF I-76</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Number of Travel Lanes</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Volume (average daily traffic)</td>
<td>36,128</td>
<td>39,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Roadway Capacity</td>
<td>3,400</td>
<td>5,100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Peak Hour Volume</td>
<td>3,613</td>
<td>3,900</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Existing Peak Hour V/C Ratio</td>
<td>1.06</td>
<td>0.76</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
not contain any designated bicycle facilities. The pedestrian network is inconsistent, with many locations with sidewalk gaps or sidewalk segments of insufficient width (Figure 33 and Figure 34). Where ADA-compliant sidewalks are present, they are uncomfortable due to their narrow width frequency of curb cuts and conflicts with driveway access points, and lack of buffer, putting pedestrians adjacent to high vehicle speeds and volumes. There is also a lack of comfortable pedestrian crossings on Federal Boulevard. For example, there is a bus stop at 65th Place but no marked crosswalk nearby (Figure 35). Advancing Adams can explore opportunities for adding pedestrian crossing treatments along the corridor to help pedestrians make east-west connections across Federal Boulevard. The design of the commercial areas with large surface parking lots fronting the roadway also makes for an unpleasant pedestrian experience.

The existing traffic volume to roadway capacity, or V/C ratio, on Federal Boulevard shows that traffic congestion exists during the peak hours, particularly on the section adjacent to 72nd Avenue (Table 5). Based on this analysis, it was determined that removing travel lanes through a road diet is likely not a feasible treatment for Federal Boulevard.

RTD’s 31 route runs along Federal Boulevard to connect to the Clear
Figure 33: Sidewalk Gaps on Federal Boulevard

Figure 34: Examples of Uncomfortable Pedestrian Facilities on Federal Boulevard
Figure 35: Example of an Opportunity for Adding Pedestrian Crossing Treatments on Federal Boulevard

Creek – Federal commuter rail station. The route frequency is 15-minute intervals during the peak times with high ridership, even throughout the COVID-19 pandemic. Figure 18 shows high ridership along this route, especially at stops near commercial core areas and transferring to other high frequency transit routes.

Adams County is currently collaborating with the City of Federal Heights and the City of Westminster on the Federal Boulevard Multimodal Transportation Study. The study area is eight miles of the Federal Boulevard corridor within the three jurisdictions from 52nd Avenue to 120th Avenue. This study will take a more in-depth look at addressing the challenges of safety for all users, the volume and speed of vehicular traffic, inconsistent pedestrian and bicycle facilities, limited connectivity to trails and first and final mile destinations and need for improved transit service and amenities. Advancing Adams is coordinating with this concurrent study to develop a set of recommendations that is consistent between these two efforts.

OPPORTUNITIES FOR IMPROVEMENTS

With a number of previous and current planning efforts that are studying Federal Boulevard including The Federal Boulevard Framework Plan and Making Connections, the opportunities highlighted build off of these findings and recommendations.

The Making Connections Plan identifies that “the future design of Federal Boulevard should allow for a strong pedestrian environment, bicycle movement, buildings closer to the street, outdoor eating areas,
and parking that does not dominate the streetscape." This Plan also identifies a bundle of projects for the corridor including a BRT Feasibility Study, missing sidewalk installation, intersection improvements and a bridge over Little Dry Creek. The Federal Boulevard Framework Plan identifies the need for improved access to the Little Dry Creek Trail, improved ADA compliance, better connectivity along business frontages, and more comfortable bicycle connectivity.

With existing underutilized and vacant parcels around the Clear Creek RTD station area, the Federal Boulevard corridor area has the opportunity to become a TOD hub for Adams County, incorporating mixed-use and different types of housing. This area could accommodate new missing middle housing as well as affordable housing. The multi-unit housing types would increase density and accommodate more of the growing population, allowing for more residents to attain fee simple housing and also to live within walking distance of the RTD station. Federal Boulevard also lacks a cohesive character that can integrate streetscape elements like trees, planting, pedestrian crossing treatment, public art and other placemaking features.

The southernmost portion of this corridor would mostly remain commercial, although a less autocentric commercial area would better align to the development pattern in the transit-oriented development mixed-use areas.

Modifications to the road and right of way configuration should be explored to offer a more pedestrian friendly and walkable environment where pedestrian and bicycle access to the Clear Creek Trail should be provided from multiple areas.

Adams County shall coordinate with CDOT on any potential improvements.
The combination of CDOT’s jurisdictional oversight of the corridor along with the existing high volume of traffic within a constrained right of way impacts the County’s ability to fully reshape the corridor. Long-term needs on the corridor include completing the sidewalk network and upgrading existing pedestrian crossings while also adding additional crossing opportunities. In the near-term, the County can reimagine Federal Boulevard as an enhanced transit corridor with dedicated bus lanes that operate either in both directions or south in the morning and north in the early evening to match peak hour traffic flows. The bus lanes can be paired with enhanced bus stops that provide a comfortable shelter for riders, arrival times, and clear signage to support riders with trip planning. The County can also consider transit signal priority that improves reliability by ensuring buses can travel through an intersection on green signals and maintain scheduled arrival times at stops (Figure 36 and Figure 37). The enhanced transit corridor would support the land use vision of Transit Oriented Development along Federal Boulevard.

While completion of the pedestrian network on Federal Boulevard is a longer term improvement that will require coordination with multiple jurisdictions, there are near term enhancements that would complete pedestrian connections to the Clear Creek – Federal commuter rail station. Specifically, adding a connection to the Clear Creek Trail from Federal Boulevard would provide pedestrian access between that key regional recreational corridor, the commuter rail station, and Federal Boulevard. In addition, the Federal Boulevard bridge over the Clear Creek should be upgraded to provide a wider right of way for pedestrians.

In addition to near-term opportunities adjacent to the commuter rail station, pedestrian connections all along the corridor could be improved through implementation of enhanced crossings. This can be accomplished through installation of treatments like High-Intensity Activated crossWalk (HAWK) signals, which serve as a stop control for traffic while pedestrians cross. These can be paired with green-backed crosswalk striping to also provide crossing opportunities for bicyclists like the example shown in Figure 38.

Figure 38: Example of an Enhanced Crossing Treatment with Dedicated Bicycle Striping and Signals

As a part of the Federal Boulevard Multimodal Transportation
Study, CDOT and the County are upgrading crossings in order to ensure ADA compliance in the short-term.

Dedicated on-street bicycle facilities are likely not feasible on Federal Boulevard due to the high traffic demand and limited right of way. Lowell Boulevard is a parallel corridor where the County has recently implemented a multiuse trail. There is an opportunity to establish more connectivity between Federal Boulevard and Lowell Boulevard through implementation of bicycle boulevards on east-west streets and wayfinding signage that communicates opportunities for connecting to key destinations. In addition to potential recommendations put forward in Advancing Adams, the Federal Boulevard Multimodal Transportation Study is also evaluating Lowell Boulevard as a bicycle facility for the corridor.

PECOS STREET

Pecos Street is a Minor Arterial that connects Adams County and the City and County of Denver, terminating to the north at 104th Avenue (Figure 40). Pecos Street has a range of bicycle and pedestrian facilities. Around the rail station, there are limited facilities for active transportation, making it uncomfortable if not impossible for pedestrians to navigate the high amount of truck traffic to access the commuter rail station. Pecos Street has a clear and defined industrial character as well as some autocentric commercial on the southern portion of the corridor. As part of the Capital Improvements Program, Adams County is implementing improvements on Pecos Street between 52nd Avenue and Cargill Drive. Improvements include widening Pecos Street to four lanes, installing a raised median, building a new sidewalk along with drainage and landscaping, and installing new traffic signals. Sidewalks will be rebuilt on both sides of the street and one of the sidewalks will be a ten-foot-wide multiuse trail. This project will help improve connectivity south of the rail station. The County is also in the process of upgrading

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>CROSS STREET</th>
<th>64TH AVENUE</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Lanes</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Volume</td>
<td>28,203</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Capacity</td>
<td>3,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Peak Hour Volume</td>
<td>2,820</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Existing Peak Hour V/C Ratio</td>
<td>0.94</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
62nd Parkway and 62nd Avenue between Pecos Street and Washington Street. The Project consists of widening/reconstructing the roadway; improving intersections; installing curbs, gutters, sidewalks, ADA ramps, drainage system with water quality features; and implementing landscaping to improve safety, environment, and increase mobility capacity to serve increased growth and economic development.

Preliminary operations analysis shows that Pecos Street through the study area carries a high volume of traffic during the peak hour (Table 6). This is due, in part, to the industrial land uses on the corridor as well as the direct connections the corridor provides to US-36 and I-76. Since Pecos Street sees a high level of traffic demand, adding facilities for multimodal travel may require expanding the existing cross section rather than reallocating vehicle travel lanes to other modes.

The existing cross section of Pecos Street (Figure 39) through the portion of the study area that is north of the Pecos Junction commuter rail station has an attached sidewalk on the west
side of the street with an adjoining planting strip that is approximately 15 feet wide. If the planting strip is within the public right of way, then County can pursue an expanded cross section that maintains the existing configuration of travel lanes while adding comfortable facilities for bicyclists and pedestrians. This concept is discussed further in the following section.

Approximately one mile north of the station is a new urbanist development, Midtown, with a wide, buffered multiuse trail along Pecos Street (Figure 41). Immediately south of the development, Pecos Street provides access to the Clear Creek Trail, an important regional connection for people biking and walking. The County is pursuing implementation of the wayfinding signage recommendations provided in the 2017 Clear Creek Corridor Master Plan in order to foster an enhanced sense of connectivity to the trail. In general, pedestrian connectivity is challenging on the corridor due to the high number of barriers including the rail lines and I-76.

RTD’s route 19 travels along Pecos Street, with some of the highest ridership stops in the County, at 72nd and 76th Avenues. This route also connects to the Pecos Junction commuter rail station, serving the B and G Lines. Most bus stops along Pecos Street currently lack stop amenities like shelters and benches. Enhancing the bus stops could help improve the transit user experience along the corridor.

**OPPORTUNITIES FOR IMPROVEMENTS**

Pecos Street has the opportunity to become a connected and attractive employment center for Adams County. With a strong industrial presence and great connectivity, this area can become a great location for logistic services for the larger region. At the same time, the corridor serves a number of neighborhood and denser housing that demand enhanced transportation options.

In order to better accommodate people walking and bicycling on the corridor, the County can assess whether the under-utilized space adjacent to the sidewalk on the west side of Pecos Street can be modified to accommodate a six-foot wide bicycle lane and an eight-foot wide sidewalk (Figure 42). This would allow for enhanced pedestrian and bicycle...
access while maintaining the existing number of vehicle travel lanes. On the east side of Pecos Street, the existing planting strip that serves as a buffer between the sidewalk and roadway could be reconfigured to provide a bicycle facility. Implementation of the proposed cross section north of Cargill Drive would bring a consistent cross section through the length of the corridor and provide connections to the Pecos Junction Station and the Clear Creek Trail. This proposed cross section modification would foster better connectivity between the Midtown development and destinations to the south. In addition, the modification would be one step towards transforming Pecos Street into a multimodal corridor from the Denver border at 52nd Avenue to the north. A critical remaining gap is the Pecos Street bridge between Cargill Drive and 62nd Parkway. Advancing Adams will investigate opportunities for upgrading the experience for people walking and biking on the

Figure 42: Potential Cross Section on Pecos Street North of 64th Avenue

Figure 43: Washington Street Looking North from I-270
Figure 44: Existing Cross Section of Washington Street Between 73rd Avenue and 78th Avenue

WASHINGTON STREET

Washington Street is a North-south Principal Arterial with three distinct characters across its extent—1. the northern segment is primarily autocentric commercial; 2. the section next to Welby has a unique character with a mix of uses; and 3. south of I-270 is primarily industrial. Overall, it has a unique mix of agricultural heritage and industrial uses. Bicycle and pedestrian facilities are inconsistent, and do not provide comfortable opportunities for those walking and biking, given the high vehicle speeds and volumes on the corridor (Figure 45). Sidewalks are generally present, but not ADA-compliant and are narrow in width, have utility poles interrupting the sidewalk, driveways that are not properly graded, and debris present. The corridor is not comfortable for pedestrians due to high vehicle volumes and speeds, associated noise, lack of trees and vegetation, and excessive curb cuts.
Table 7: Peak Hour Vehicle to Capacity Ratios on Washington Street

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>WASHINGTON STREET</th>
<th>73RD AVENUE</th>
<th>78TH AVENUE</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Lanes</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Volume</td>
<td>23,508</td>
<td>20,539</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Capacity</td>
<td>5,100</td>
<td>5,100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Peak Hour Volume</td>
<td>2,351</td>
<td>2,054</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Existing Peak Hour V/C Ratio</td>
<td>0.46</td>
<td>0.40</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(Figure 43). The cross section of Washington Street varies between four lanes and six lanes, with a two-way left turn lane and attached sidewalks. Figure 44 shows the existing six lane cross section between 73rd and 78th Avenues.

Preliminary analysis of roadway operations on Washington Street suggests the corridor does not experience significant congestion during the peak hour (Table 7). This suggests there is an opportunity to consider pursuing a road diet that would provide enhanced accommodations for people walking, bicycling, and riding transit. This concept will be explored further in the following section.

The nearby Welby neighborhood is an older residential community that has traditionally generated demand for more walking and bicycling access to commercial destinations. In addition to the numerous commercial destinations like restaurants along Washington Street in Adams County, there are also a number of destinations along Washington Street immediately to the south of Adams County, including the National Western Stock Show. There is a potential for improving multimodal access to these amenities in neighboring jurisdictions.

RTD’s route 12 serves Washington Street at 30-minute frequency seven days per week. The existing bus stops on Washington Street do not provide riders with a comfortable place to wait for the bus. The attached sidewalks leading to the bus stops means that transit riders must wait for the bus adjacent to traffic (Figure 46).

OPPORTUNITIES FOR IMPROVEMENTS

With a unique mix of light industrial, residential, and agricultural character,
Washington Street has the potential to strengthen its identity and become the Creative Center of Adams County. The Welby area located east of Washington Street is a mix of small-scale agriculture and light industrial land uses that offer a very unique type of development. The industrial areas south of I-76 are prime for an evolution to shift to be more oriented towards art and maker-culture. This part of Washington Street has the potential to be a Colorado Creative District in the future if the infrastructure, capital improvements, and developer partners can be secured. The types of possible development could be mixed-use warehouses and artist colonies. If a destination district is pursued for the

**Figure 47:** Existing and Proposed Cross Sections for Washington Street
southern portion of Washington Street, then transportation infrastructure needs to support those uses.

Given the operational analysis finding that Washington Street may have capacity for vehicle traffic that exceeds existing demand, there is an opportunity to pursue a road diet between 73rd Avenue and 78th Avenue that would reduce Washington Street from six to four travel lanes through this portion of the corridor. Sufficient right of way exists for either 1. a narrow buffer on one side of the street that leaves room on the other side of the street for enhanced bus stops or trees in the buffer when a bus stop is not present, or 2. A wider buffer with space for street trees on both sides of the street (Figure 47). Further study would be required to select a cross section. It is assumed that the County has additional right of way on the west side of Washington Street to accommodate a wider sidewalk and planting zone; a refined cross section would require more precise information on existing right of way and information on the County’s ability to acquire right of way if needed.

This would make Washington Street a more uniform corridor for vehicles while also introducing opportunities for multimodal travel. According to preliminary analysis, this could be accomplished without generating a prohibitive amount of peak hour traffic.

Figure 48: Opportunity Locations for Enhanced Pedestrian and Bicycle Crossings on Washington Street (Source: Google Maps)
congestion (Table 8). A reduction in the number of travel lanes would enable reallocation of right of way towards ten-foot wide sidewalks that adhere to the County standards for pedestrian facilities on Major Arterials. A buffer separating the sidewalk from the roadway could be included in the design to both observe County standards and provide a more comfortable pedestrian environment. This proposed cross section leaves opportunities for a dedicated bicycle facility and enhanced bus stops.

The proposed cross section could include a center median with periodic breaks for left turn lanes or pedestrian refuge islands and enhanced crossings. Potential locations for crossing enhancements are shown in Figure 48. At the time of this report, there are infrequent crossing opportunities on Washington Street between 73rd Avenue and 88th Avenue. Better connections would be made possible through crossing treatments like High-Intensity Activated crossWalk (HAWK) signals and green-backed crosswalk striping that provides a path of travel for people biking across a high volume roadway like Washington Street.

| Table 8: Operational Performance Before and After Road Diet |
|----------------------------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|
| CROSS STREET                    | 73RD AVENUE     | 78TH AVENUE     |
| Cross Section                   | Existing        | Post-travel lane reduction | Existing        | Post-travel lane reduction |
| V/C Ratio                       | 0.46            | .69              | .40             | .60              |
104TH AVENUE

104th Avenue is a state-owned roadway that carries between 15,000 and 21,000 vehicles per day (Figure 49). This Principal Arterial has two to three travel lanes between Riverdale Road and I-76, and five travel lanes between Colorado Boulevard and Riverdale Road (Figure 50). The corridor is a critical connection to Denver International Airport, serving both travelers and airport employees. There are limited bicycle and pedestrian amenities along this corridor, as it takes a more rural feel. A new trail connection is being implemented near Brighton Road and 104th Avenue that will connect to the Front Range Trail and South Platte Trail. Advancing Adams presents an opportunity to build upon that upcoming connection.

The intersection of 104th Avenue and US-85 intersection presents challenges for pedestrian connectivity due to long crossings, lack of sidewalk infrastructure and high vehicle speeds (the speed limit on 104th Avenue goes from 65 mph to 30 mph very quickly approaching this intersection). A grade separated crossing is being pursued at this intersection jointly by Adams County, Commerce City, and CDOT. The interchange has been designed and funding for right of way acquisition has been secured but construction funding is yet to be identified. In addition, CDOT and the City of Thornton are exploring the possibility of widening 104th Avenue.
Figure 50: Varying Number of Travel Lanes on 104th Avenue

Figure 51: Existing Bus Stop at 104th Avenue and Brighton Road
between Colorado Boulevard and the Platte River.

Transit service on the corridor is provided by RTD’s 104 route, which has relatively low ridership. Transit access on the corridor is limited due to both the existing low density land uses but also a lack of sidewalks and comfortable places to wait for the bus (Figure 51).

OPPORTUNITIES FOR IMPROVEMENTS

Given the current road configuration and existing character that is present between US 36 and Federal Boulevard, 104th Avenue could become an east-west Parkway with planted medians and other elements that would support a smaller scale feel and more rural appeal. This vision matches the 104th Avenue widening project being undertaken by the City of Thornton, which is intended to address future congestion concerns on the corridor. A land use vision for this corridor will require inter-jurisdictional partnerships and coordination, given most of the development is driven by municipal entities. The opportunity also exists to establish new connections to the east side of US-85 and connect those neighborhoods with the existing trail system and parks.

While the upcoming trail connection between Brighton Road and the Colorado Front Range Trail will be valuable, there is an opportunity to expand bicycle and pedestrian facilities further east and west as shown in Figure 52. A potential cross section for 104th Avenue is shown in Figure 53. This concept reflects the City of Thornton’s proposal for the 104th Avenue widening project, which is planned for the segment of 104th Avenue between Colorado Boulevard and US-85. The proposed cross section could be accomplished by replacing the existing two-way left turn lane with a planted median, which would help foster a parkway character and increased compliance with the posted speed limit through the corridor. The median could have breaks for left turn lanes as needed. There is an opportunity to extend the pavement edges and install detached multiuse trails on both sides of 104th Avenue, as proposed by the City of Thornton, as well as enhanced bus stops. Where bus stops are not present, a wide buffer is suggested between the travel lane and multiuse trail to provide a more comfortable experience for people walking and biking along 104th Avenue. The cross section shown in Figure 53 includes bicycle lanes per the City of Thornton project scope. This potential treatment would maintain 104th Avenue as a corridor that emphasizes vehicular travel while also providing space for people walking or bicycling and increase comfort for those accessing and waiting for the bus.
**Figure 52:** Colorado Front Range Trail Opportunity to Improve Connectivity

**Figure 53:** Proposed Cross Section for 104th Avenue
120TH AVENUE

120th Avenue is two-lane Principal Arterial that serves as a major east-west corridor across Adams County (Figure 54). The roadway transitions between four, five, and six travel lanes as it travels across the County. The corridor provides access primarily to residential areas as well to regional interstates. The corridor has a rural feel due to the surrounding open space, with potential to serve as a scenic byway (Figure 55). The section of roadway which will be a focus of Advancing Adams, shown in Figure 54, has a multiuse trail that provides comfortable opportunities for those walking and biking. These paths provide some access to the recreational and programmed opportunities at Riverdale Regional Park. However, the County has identified 120th Avenue as one of the strategic corridors due to gaps in multimodal access to the park, which serves as a critical recreational amenity for County residents and visitors.

While the existing multiuse trail provides a dedicated facility for pedestrians and bicyclists, there are still some barriers to these users. Most notably, the railroad crossing presents a potential impediment to multimodal travel as shown in Figure 56.

RTD operates route 120 along 120th Avenue, at one-hour frequency seven days a week. As shown in Figure 18, this route has relatively low ridership; this is likely due to the low frequency and low density land uses surrounding bus stops.
Figure 55: Aerial View of 120th Avenue

Figure 56: Existing At-Grade Multiuse Trail Crossing on 120th Avenue
OPPORTUNITIES FOR IMPROVEMENTS

The major opportunity for this corridor is to create partnerships with adjacent municipalities to determine a common vision for the roadway and facilitate coordinated implementation including multimodal access. 120th Avenue could become part of a larger scenic trail loop that connects a variety of destinations of natural and cultural heritage and creating a memorable experience for residents and visitors. This regional trail loop could connect the Denver International Airport, Rocky Mountain Arsenal, National Western Center, South Platte Trail, Clear Creek Trail and the Colorado Front Range Trail.

The regional partnership for 120th Avenue would help maintain 120th Avenue as a critical east-west corridor for vehicle travel while also promoting more consistent multimodal connections. In addition, challenges like the at-grade rail crossings could be addressed through adoption of crossing gates, signage, and tactile ground surface indicators as shown in Figure 57. These treatments would help make at-grade multiuse trail crossings more comfortable.

Figure 57: Sample At-Grade Rail Crossing Treatments (Source: Trimet)
CONCLUSION

This report lays the groundwork for determining recommendations that can help achieve the vision for transportation in Adams County. This existing conditions assessment and preliminary opportunities will be paired with feedback from the public and recommendations from the Comprehensive Plan and Parks, Open Space, and Trails Plan in order to identify projects, programs, and policies that are prioritized along with guidance for implementation. These recommendations will align with and enhance the three plan values of equity, sustainability, and livability. These lenses will ensure that the TMP creates a framework for transportation in Adams County that fosters diversity, reduces reliance on the single-occupancy vehicle, is fiscally-minded, and promotes comfortable walking and biking for all users.
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Figure 6: Adams County Sidewalk Network
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Figure 18: Transit Ridership by Stop in Unincorporated Adams County
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Figure 25: 2019 Annual Average Daily Traffic (Source: CDOT)
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Figure 26: Surface Parking in Adams County (Source: DRCOG)
Figure 27: Freight Routes and Truck Traffic in Adams County (Source: DRCOG)
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Figure 32: Federal Boulevard Existing Transportation Infrastructure
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Figure 40: Pecos Street
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Figure 45: Washington Street
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Figure 49: 104th Avenue Existing Transportation Infrastructure
Figure 54: 120th Avenue Existing Transportation Infrastructure
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APPENDIX C
SCENARIO FRAMEWORK

Source: Design Workshop
Phase 2 of Advancing Adams includes the creation, analysis, and community feedback on three growth scenarios. The following framework is provided to offer an overview of the Scenario Planning process, including outlining a process for refining our geographic focus, identifying the three growth models that will be applied in the three scenarios, and an initial list of performance measures that may be considered. This framework proposes a high amount of coordination in the development and analysis of the three growth scenarios, ensuring that, for instance, transportation networks considered will be analyzed accordingly in the Comprehensive Plan, and, vice versa, land uses proposed in the Comprehensive Plan will work with/be analyzed in the TMP. We have also incorporated the three engagement windows and topics we propose to explore with the community for you to consider as you review the framework.

The intent of this memo is to vet our process and methodology with you prior to beginning the scenario work, so that we may agree on the approach before we dive into draft frameworks. The intent is to also begin coordination across the three plans. Review of this memo is anticipated to take 2-3 hours.

The following step-by-step framework is proposed for this initial conversation. There are three key things we hope you will focus on as you review this document: areas of stability and change (Step 1), performance measures (Step 6), and how goals and tradeoffs are expressed to the public (Step 7). These three topics are anticipated to be a main part of the Milestone Meeting on May 26th to ensure these inputs are discussed and refined with the larger Adams County staff team. Based on input and feedback from that meeting, we will begin developing the scenarios. We request any feedback on these topics by May 21st so it can be incorporated into that Milestone Meeting presentation.

1. Identify Areas of Stability / Areas of Change

Areas of stability and areas of change provide an easy way for community members to identify areas where growth should be accommodated and areas that should be left alone. Areas of stability can communicate what elements are being protected and why it is important, while areas of change can communicate what types of development, activity, or quality of life is being supported. Below are examples that are relevant in Adams County based on the work completed in Phase 1. Additional refinement is anticipated in the Milestone Meeting. Also included below is an example of the type of feedback that could be requested in Engagement Window 1.

Areas of Stability – places to be protected or avoided from development

Examples:

- Existing “legacy” neighborhoods (i.e., 100% single family neighborhoods; mobile home parks)
- Affordable housing (formally deed restricted, or free-market housing that
serves 100% of area median income or lower)
• Open space, parks, and protected lands
• Wildlife corridors
• Prime habitat and natural amenities
• Sensitive soils
• Prime agriculture land
• Wetlands/riparian areas/flood zones

• Cultural landscapes
• Historically significant structures or areas
• Areas with drinking water risk
• Airport overlay areas
• Proposed scenic byway area along Riverdale Road

Areas of Change – best places to accommodate growth

Examples:
• Remediation / superfund sites
• Opportunity zones
• DRCOG centers with buffer to capture more unincorporated area
• Areas with low density, older building stock using census tract data
• Areas within 1 mile of bus/transit service.
• Vacant land
• Census tracts with blend of housing types with older housing stocks
• Areas with high level of recent land sale transactions
• Areas with (1) rising rental rates, (2) decreasing market capitalization rate,
  (3) low vacancy rates / high occupancy rates
• Areas of county with significantly slower increasing property values in comparison with other areas.
• Areas zoned for agriculture that do not contain prime farmland soils
• Unincorporated areas located within 1 mile of municipal area boundaries.
• Areas considered well-served from the 20-Minute Community analysis, meeting six or more criteria.
• Corridor subareas

Engagement Window 1 – June 5 (Brighton Summer Fest):
Example: Adams County is projected to grow by 220,000 by the year 2040! Help us identify areas that should not change, and areas that could develop more densely to accommodate growth. What types of housing do you think our communities need? What types of transportation do you use today and hope to use in the future? How can growth and development be sustainable and be resilient to climate change? Where is there a greater need for parks, open space and trails?

2. Define and quantify areas of focus

This step will break the County into manageable pieces based on natural breaks such as transportation infrastructure, organizing the County into different priorities that go beyond a zoning map. The Adams County Transects from Phase 1 will be integrated as possible. We will quantify areas of change acreages within each geographic area to understand land availability in relation to growth expectations. Once the geographies to be studied and mapped are defined, the scenario work within each will begin.
The three geographies we will use will divide the county from west to east. These geographies are consistent with outreach efforts:

- West Adams County (County border to Brighton)
- Central Adams County (Platte River to Imboden Road)
- Rural (Imboden Road to County border)

3. **Identify 3 growth models**

Here we will identify the growth policies that the County desires to evaluate through scenarios. We will develop three unique land use, transportation, and open space scenarios that proactively plan the population projections that are anticipated by the year 2040. Each scenario will identify and allocate space for Adams County’s future households. The ways in which growth will be absorbed will vary between each scenario, and we will work with Adams County staff to determine how much growth may be allocated to municipalities versus unincorporated areas in each scenario. The overarching Value Lenses of equity, sustainability, and livability will be incorporated.

Examples:

- Business as usual: existing zoning (it is important to note that this scenario might not be able to accommodate the projected population growth or the growth will result in widespread sprawl, but we will learn that though the process)
- Many smaller centers strategically located throughout county
- Fewer larger/denser centers strategically located throughout county

The assumptions in the three scenarios will be applied to each geography while being mindful of context, however we anticipate that some densities, land uses, and transportation patterns will make more sense in some areas of the County than others. The purpose of the scenarios is to vision and receive feedback from the public; this is an opportunity to test big ideas that may seem unfeasible given current cultural, political, or economic climates.

4. **How will these growth models be applied in each plan?**

Land use, transportation, and parks and open space priorities will vary in each scenario. The SWOT analysis from Phase 1 will be integrated to address challenges or opportunities that have been identified. Themes / goals / policies will be applied where relevant. Elements proposed in each of the three plans’ scenarios will be coordinated and integrated throughout, ensuring that, for instance, transportation networks considered in Scenario 1 will be analyzed accordingly in the Comprehensive Plan. Below are some examples of how different topics could be expressed in the growth models outlined in section 3. Additional refinement is anticipated in the Milestone Meeting. Also included below is an example of the type of feedback that could be requested in Engagement Window 2.

Example:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th><strong>Business as Usual</strong></th>
<th><strong>Many small nodes</strong></th>
<th><strong>Fewer large nodes</strong></th>
<th><strong>Theme / Goal / Policy</strong></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Housing Priorities</strong></td>
<td>Growth predominantly accommodated through construction of new single-family homes expanding east and north.</td>
<td>Medium density scattered throughout the County, targeting multimodal stations and key corridors or intersections within Areas of Change.</td>
<td>High density in a few strategic nodes, targeting western Adams County, multimodal stations, and key corridors or intersections within Areas of Change.</td>
<td>Areas of Change: Accommodate projected housing growth in the County in a way that supports equity, sustainability and livability.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Transportation Priorities</strong></td>
<td>Primarily auto-centric corridors with some investment in enhanced transit, biking, and walking. Land use is less supportive of multimodal transportation options.</td>
<td>Slightly higher transit service levels, with focus on improved coverage at multimodal hubs and on-demand service area. Investment in bicycle and pedestrian amenities through lower cost bike routes and wayfinding.</td>
<td>Focus on improved transit frequency rather than coverage. Significant investment in first and last mile connections to multimodal hubs including designated bike lanes and trails. Designated multimodal spine corridors with heavy investment. Higher threshold for tradeoffs to vehicle efficiency.</td>
<td>Areas of Change: Accommodate growth in travel demand and more multimodal transportation preferences in a way that supports equity, sustainability, and livability. Areas of Consistency: Continue to ensure driving is an efficient and convenient option.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Parks, Open Space, and Trails Priorities</strong></td>
<td>Parks -Parks provided in ratio to growing population, maintain level of service in proximity to residential addresses. Open Space -Open Space acquisitions and acreages maintain existing level of service in ratio to growing population (how much open space, what types). Trails -Emphasis on connecting to existing trails and completing identified future trail priorities.</td>
<td>Parks -Focus on existing regional park expansion and new regional parks to provide access opportunities for a greater geographical spread. -Emphasize neighborhood parks. Open Space -Open Space acquisitions focus on river corridors and prime wildlife habitat. Trails -Emphasis on connecting to regional trails and spreading recreation trail provisions to neighborhoods with fewer trail miles (such as the eastern portion of the county).</td>
<td>Parks -Provide community parks and urban parks in relationship to dense residential and underserved populations. Open Space -Open Space acquisitions focused on large acreages where growth pressures occur that aren’t high density land uses (i.e. center of the County). -Open Space acquisitions focus on recreation valued lands and agricultural lands. Trails -Emphasis on connecting to multimodal hubs and community parks. -Focus new trail connections and</td>
<td>Areas of Change: Accommodate parks, open space and trails in the County in a way that supports equity, sustainability and livability. Areas of Consistency: Maintain a standard of provision for existing levels of service in relationship to where population growth occurs.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Sustainability Priorities

Development pressures stress broad areas of natural resources; preservation is limited to available land.

Buildings become more efficient over time, but no concentrated effort on sustainable development.

Enhance existing trails in urban areas to serve higher density areas.

Areas of Change: Accommodate natural resources preservation, innovative uses that are community supportive, encourage equity and reduce and adapt to climate impacts.

Areas of Consistency: Increase connectivity over time, provide additional options to support more sustainable lifestyles.

### Engagement Window 2 – July 3:

Example: Adams County is projected to grow by 220,000 people by the year 2040! These scenarios depict different ways Adams County could develop to accommodate new households.

- **Scenario 1** preserves single family neighborhoods, while stretching residential development into eastern Adams County. Residents of Adams County primarily drive to get around and have the same amount of park access as they do today, etc.
- **Scenario 2** allows for more dense, mixed use neighborhoods that are served by more frequent transit and on-street bike lanes. Regional parks and trails are expanded and river corridors are protected from development, etc.
- **Scenario 3** concentrates residential density in key centers that are served by frequent transit and protected bicycle infrastructure. Large open spaces are preserved for agriculture and natural resource protection, while urban parks develop in activity hubs, etc.

**Questions for Adams County:** Do these examples for how different policies would be articulated in the different growth models resonate with you? Does the Areas of Change and Consistency make sense in these scenarios?

5. **Apply these assumptions to scenarios through mapping.**

The three growth scenarios will be mapped to show how different priorities, goals, and policies result in different development futures. We are opting for a diagrammatic view of scenarios rather than a detailed land use map to avoid site specific concerns at neighborhood or block levels.
6. **Evaluate and communicate the impacts of each scenario using performance measures.**

This work will help communicate how well each scenario does in supporting Plan goals and provides an opportunity to review tradeoffs associated with each growth model. A mix of GIS- and Excel-based analyses will be provided. Tools may include Esri Urban Tool. Below are some example performance measures that could be used to evaluate the success of the different growth scenarios in meeting Adams County’s goals. The specific performance measures should be determined prior to development of the scenarios. These will be further refined as part of the Milestone Meeting in May.

Example performance measures:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Built Environment and Connections</th>
<th>Percentage of population living within proximity of urban centers with 20-minute drive to all basic needs and necessary services including schools, libraries, health care/hospitals, community venues/recreation centers, parks, fire rescue and healthful retail food outlets</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Built Environment and Connections</td>
<td>Percentage of population (or demographic segment of population) living within 1/2 mile of a bus line or 1-mile of rail station.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Built Environment and Connections</td>
<td>Percentage of population living within 1/2 mile of a low-stress bicycle facility</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Built Environment and Connections</td>
<td>Achieved density versus preserved open space</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Community and Housing</td>
<td>Number of Transit Oriented Development nodes with multi-family housing or job centered development</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Community and Housing</td>
<td>Percentage of population with housing insecurity utilizing indicators for home value by census tract versus household income by census tract. Ratio of renters to owners.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Community and Housing</td>
<td>Variety of unit types.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Natural Environment</td>
<td>Percentage of County residents within a 10-minute walk of risk indicators: violent crime locations, vehicular fatality locations and superfund/brownfield/heavy industrial land use.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Natural Environment</td>
<td>Percentage of flood risk and wildfire risk areas protected from traditional development</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Natural Environment</td>
<td>Provision of parks and open space per population (level of service).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---------------------</td>
<td>---------------------------------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Natural Environment</td>
<td>Acres of land conserved with high quality habitat, agricultural soils, surface waters, and diverse ecosystems.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Natural Environment</td>
<td>Lands held in conservation easements.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Natural Environment</td>
<td>Conservation of urban tree canopy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Economic Development</td>
<td>Infrastructure service areas in locations with planned growth.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Economic Development</td>
<td>Daytime versus evening population.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Economic Development</td>
<td>Diversity of jobs.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cultural Heritage</td>
<td>Percentage of agricultural land conserved.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Questions for Adams County: Do these performance measure examples reflect your expectations for this planning efforts? Are there any other performance measures you know need to be included that are not already listed above? We have found communicating scale bars rather than specific numbers can be advantageous when communicating with the public – does this resonate with you?**

7. **Engage community on scenarios preferences**

Scenarios will be vetted through public engagement window #1 using map-based activities and preference surveys. We will use goals or values to communicate different growth models.

Example: Scenario performance in various plan goal / value: transit and bicycle access, affordability, preservation of agricultural land and open space, balances natural and built environment, cost to service and maintain, etc.

Ultimately, feedback from the community will help the project team refine concepts, policies, and elements of each scenario that should be carried forward for the Preferred Land Use Map. This will be the main topic of engagement in Engagement Window 3.

---

**Engagement Window 3 – August**

Engagement window 3 will occur after the preferred scenario has been identified. Some potential topics to explore with the community might be prioritization, implementation, or strategies.
APPENDIX D
PRIORITIZATION RESULTS

Source: Design Workshop
Adams County TMP Prioritization Methodology

The following inputs will be used to prioritize proposed bicycle and roadway projects in the Adams County Transportation Master Plan. The inputs reflect the plan metrics that were identified during Phase I. The proposed inputs are:

- **Access to key destinations**: facilities within 1/2 mile of everyday destinations
- **Safety**: high crash locations with fatal and severe injury crashes weighted more heavily
- **Demand**: population + employment density
- **Alignment with land use plan**: proximity to future high density land uses and town/urban centers
- **Equity**: low income households
- **Sustainability**: potential of project to create an opportunity for Adams County community members to choose transportation modes other than driving

Each section below describes how prioritization points will be assigned for each input. For each individual score, thresholds for scores 1-5 will be defined based on the breaks established in the data, via quintiles. For example, block groups with the lowest 20% of population and employment density will receive a demand score of 1, while those with the highest 20% of density will receive a demand score of 5. “Q1-Q5” represent these yet-to-be-determined quintiles.

1. **Access to key destinations (Weighted x2)** – *Does the proposed project provide access to key destinations?*
   
   Equally weighted; Projects within a ½ mile buffer of the following key destinations:
   - Bus Stops
   - Rail Stops
   - Schools
   - Hospitals/Urgent Care
   - Public Libraries
   - Government/Civic Buildings
   - Grocery Stores
   - Recreation Centers
   - Parks and Open Lands

   ![Table 1: Key Destinations Scoring System](image)

2. **Safety (Weighted x2)** – *Does the proposed project address roadway safety concerns in the City?*
   
   Number of crashes on a proposed project segment. Crashes resulting in death or severe injury will be weighted as two crashes. For the bikeway projects, each crash involving a
cyclist or pedestrian will be weighted as four crashes when scoring bicycle and pedestrian projects. Bicycle and pedestrian-involved crashes are less prevalent nominally but tend to be more severe in nature. Weighting bicycle and pedestrian-involved crashes more heavily helps to normalize crash data during the prioritization process.

Table 2: Corridor Safety Scoring

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Score</th>
<th>Roadway projects</th>
<th>Bicycle and Pedestrian projects</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Total number of crashes, with those resulting in serious injury or fatality counting as 2</td>
<td>Crashes resulting in injury or fatality counts as 2, Bike or pedestrian involved crashes counted as 4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>1-2</td>
<td>1-12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>3-10</td>
<td>13-38</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>11-62</td>
<td>39-94</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>63-496</td>
<td>95-469</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

3. **Demand** – How many people does the proposed project serve? Based on a transportation demand index that was developed using two factors:
- Max Population density (pop/mi²) + Max Employment Density (Job/mi²)

Table 3: Demand Scoring

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Score</th>
<th>Corridors (Max Population + Employment Density/Mi)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>0-2,500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>2,501-5,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>5,001-7,500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>7,501-10,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>10,001+</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

4. **Equity** – Does this project improve access for underserved (i.e. low income) populations?

Table 4: Equity Scoring

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Score</th>
<th>Min Median Household Income of Census Tract</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>100,001+</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>75,001-100,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>65,001-75,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>50,001-65,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>&lt;50,000</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

5. **Alignment with land use plan** – Does the project fall within geographies that the Adams County proposed future land use plan designate as high density? Also, does the project fall within the proposed future town or urban centers?
### Table 5: Land Use Scoring

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Score</th>
<th>Relationship to Future Land Use</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td><em>Project intersects a one mile buffer of a future high density area or town/urban center</em></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td><em>Project is directly adjacent to (intersects) a future high density area or town/urban center</em></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td><em>Project is mostly within (have their center in) a future high density area or town/urban center</em></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

6. **Sustainability** – How well does the project reduce vehicle trips, taking into account induced demand and bikeway comfort?

**Roadway projects:**
- -1 point: Widen by 2 lanes
- -2 points: Widen by 4 lanes
- -3 points: New 2 lane roadway
- -4 points: New 4 lane roadway
- +2 points: Remove 2 travel lanes
- 0 points: Managed lanes or study improvements

**Bikeway projects:**
- +1 point: On-street facility
- +2 points: Sidewalk
- +3 points: Trail
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Type</th>
<th>Facility name</th>
<th>Extent</th>
<th>Length (mi)</th>
<th>Planning level cost estimate</th>
<th>FinalScore Tier</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>On-Street</td>
<td>Washington St</td>
<td>E 83rd Dr</td>
<td>3.83</td>
<td>$475,000</td>
<td>22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sidepath</td>
<td>Montview Blvd</td>
<td>Central Park Blvd</td>
<td>2.93</td>
<td>$1,466,000</td>
<td>22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Trail</td>
<td>TBD</td>
<td>Montview Blvd</td>
<td>0.73</td>
<td>$4,449,000</td>
<td>22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>On-Street</td>
<td>E 160th Ave</td>
<td>Colorado Blvd</td>
<td>7.90</td>
<td>$981,000</td>
<td>21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>On-Street</td>
<td>E 56th Ave</td>
<td>Eudora St</td>
<td>11.34</td>
<td>$1,408,000</td>
<td>21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sidepath</td>
<td>Fitzsimons Pkwy</td>
<td>Montview Blvd</td>
<td>1.86</td>
<td>$140,000</td>
<td>21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sidepath</td>
<td>Lowell Blvd</td>
<td>W 97th Ave</td>
<td>2.31</td>
<td>$1,357,000</td>
<td>21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>On-Street</td>
<td>Broadway Blvd</td>
<td>Denver Boulder Turnpike</td>
<td>4.34</td>
<td>$199,000</td>
<td>21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>On-Street</td>
<td>Essex Dr/84th Ave</td>
<td>Washington St</td>
<td>1.26</td>
<td>$156,000</td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>On-Street</td>
<td>Lowell Blvd</td>
<td>W 67th Ave</td>
<td>1.87</td>
<td>$232,000</td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sidepath</td>
<td>York St</td>
<td>South Platte Trail</td>
<td>2.08</td>
<td>$1,040,000</td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>On-Street</td>
<td>76th Ave/Ei Polo Blvd</td>
<td>Zuni St</td>
<td>1.35</td>
<td>$168,000</td>
<td>19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>On-Street</td>
<td>104th Ave</td>
<td>Colorado Front Range Trail</td>
<td>1.40</td>
<td>$923,000</td>
<td>19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>On-Street</td>
<td>Explorador Calle/Rainbow Ave</td>
<td>88th Ave</td>
<td>1.06</td>
<td>$132,000</td>
<td>19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>On-Street</td>
<td>Tennyson St</td>
<td>Tennyson St</td>
<td>1.49</td>
<td>$185,000</td>
<td>19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>On-Street</td>
<td>W 70th Ave</td>
<td>Pecos St</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>$124,000</td>
<td>18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sidepath</td>
<td>Chambers Rd</td>
<td>E 40th Ave</td>
<td>0.71</td>
<td>$251,000</td>
<td>18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sidepath</td>
<td>E 120th Pkwy</td>
<td>Holly St</td>
<td>3.48</td>
<td>$1,741,000</td>
<td>18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Trail</td>
<td>TBD</td>
<td>South Platte Trail</td>
<td>12.38</td>
<td>$3,434,000</td>
<td>18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Trail</td>
<td>Westerly Creek Trail</td>
<td>E 26th Ave</td>
<td>0.55</td>
<td>$1,086,000</td>
<td>18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>On-Street</td>
<td>Dahlia St</td>
<td>E 70th Ave</td>
<td>1.41</td>
<td>$175,000</td>
<td>18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>On-Street</td>
<td>E 96th Ave</td>
<td>Colorado Blvd</td>
<td>3.60</td>
<td>$447,000</td>
<td>18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>On-Street</td>
<td>McElwain Blvd</td>
<td>88th Ave</td>
<td>0.83</td>
<td>$103,000</td>
<td>18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>On-Street</td>
<td>Tennyson St</td>
<td>W 63rd Dr</td>
<td>1.41</td>
<td>$176,000</td>
<td>18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>On-Street</td>
<td>Fulton St</td>
<td>Montview Blvd</td>
<td>0.50</td>
<td>$62,000</td>
<td>18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>On-Street</td>
<td>Greenwood Blvd</td>
<td>84th Ave</td>
<td>1.87</td>
<td>$232,000</td>
<td>18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>On-Street</td>
<td>Zuni St</td>
<td>84th Ave</td>
<td>1.84</td>
<td>$93,000</td>
<td>18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sidepath</td>
<td>Chambers Rd</td>
<td>E 40th Ave</td>
<td>0.71</td>
<td>$251,000</td>
<td>18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sidepath</td>
<td>E 120th Pkwy</td>
<td>Holly St</td>
<td>3.48</td>
<td>$1,741,000</td>
<td>18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>On-Street</td>
<td>Buckley Rd</td>
<td>Bridge St</td>
<td>5.04</td>
<td>$626,000</td>
<td>17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>On-Street</td>
<td>E-2</td>
<td>Eisenhower Hwy</td>
<td>0.67</td>
<td>$83,000</td>
<td>17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>On-Street</td>
<td>Iola St</td>
<td>E 26th Ave</td>
<td>0.51</td>
<td>$64,000</td>
<td>17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>On-Street</td>
<td>Pecos St</td>
<td>Clear Creek Trail</td>
<td>1.08</td>
<td>$134,000</td>
<td>17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>On-Street</td>
<td>Clear Creek - Federal Station</td>
<td>Clear Creek Trail</td>
<td>0.17</td>
<td>$21,000</td>
<td>16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sidepath</td>
<td>E 120th Ave</td>
<td>US-5</td>
<td>3.55</td>
<td>$276,000</td>
<td>16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sidepath</td>
<td>Washington St</td>
<td>Washington Center Pkwy</td>
<td>1.49</td>
<td>$276,000</td>
<td>16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E 120th Ave</td>
<td>High Plains Pkwy</td>
<td>Imboden Rd</td>
<td>10.99</td>
<td>$1,348,000</td>
<td>15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E 66th Ave</td>
<td>Washington St</td>
<td>York St</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>$124,000</td>
<td>15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>On-Street</td>
<td>Sable Blvd</td>
<td>Bromley Ln</td>
<td>3.01</td>
<td>$374,000</td>
<td>15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>On-Street</td>
<td>W 55th Pk/W 56th Ave</td>
<td>Julian St</td>
<td>1.44</td>
<td>$179,000</td>
<td>15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sidepath</td>
<td>E 120th Ave</td>
<td>Sheridan Blvd</td>
<td>0.55</td>
<td>$1,775,000</td>
<td>15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sidepath</td>
<td>Washington St</td>
<td>E 104th Ave</td>
<td>0.28</td>
<td>$182,000</td>
<td>15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Trail</td>
<td>TBD</td>
<td>Chambers Rd</td>
<td>5.29</td>
<td>$24,226,000</td>
<td>15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Trail</td>
<td>TBD</td>
<td>Chambers Rd</td>
<td>120th Ave</td>
<td>$24,226,000</td>
<td>15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>On-Street</td>
<td>E 124th Ave</td>
<td>Park Blvd</td>
<td>3.10</td>
<td>$385,000</td>
<td>14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>On-Street</td>
<td>Jordan Dr</td>
<td>Zuni St</td>
<td>0.56</td>
<td>$70,000</td>
<td>14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>On-Street</td>
<td>N Imboden Rd</td>
<td>US-6</td>
<td>24.39</td>
<td>$3,027,000</td>
<td>14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>On-Street</td>
<td>Zuni St</td>
<td>W 59th Pl</td>
<td>0.75</td>
<td>$226,000</td>
<td>14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sidepath</td>
<td>S 4th Ave/Sable Blvd</td>
<td>Bromley Ln</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>$493,000</td>
<td>14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Trail</td>
<td>Little Dry Creek Trail Spur</td>
<td>Little Dry Creek Trail</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>$50,000</td>
<td>14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>On-Street</td>
<td>Colorado Blvd</td>
<td>141st Ave</td>
<td>2.27</td>
<td>$282,000</td>
<td>13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>On-Street</td>
<td>E 112th Ave</td>
<td>Peoria St</td>
<td>5.99</td>
<td>$744,000</td>
<td>13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>On-Street</td>
<td>E 144th Ave</td>
<td>Brighton Rd</td>
<td>2.70</td>
<td>$336,000</td>
<td>13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sidepath</td>
<td>So. Platte River Trail</td>
<td>Adams County Boundary</td>
<td>0.77</td>
<td>$386,000</td>
<td>13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sidepath</td>
<td>US-6</td>
<td>E 152nd Ave</td>
<td>1.45</td>
<td>$723,000</td>
<td>13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Trail</td>
<td>So. Platte River Trail</td>
<td>South of 120th Ave</td>
<td>0.76</td>
<td>$1,513,000</td>
<td>13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>On-Street</td>
<td>E 136th Ave</td>
<td>Monaco St</td>
<td>2.19</td>
<td>$272,000</td>
<td>12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>On-Street</td>
<td>E 56th Ave</td>
<td>West Sand Creek</td>
<td>13.07</td>
<td>$1,623,000</td>
<td>12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>On-Street</td>
<td>Imboden Rd</td>
<td>Monroe St</td>
<td>14.45</td>
<td>$1,794,000</td>
<td>12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>On-Street</td>
<td>W 115th Ave</td>
<td>Sheridan Blvd</td>
<td>0.27</td>
<td>$33,000</td>
<td>12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sidepath</td>
<td>E Colfax Ave</td>
<td>Himalaya Rd</td>
<td>1.93</td>
<td>$218,000</td>
<td>12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sidepath</td>
<td>E Colfax Ave</td>
<td>Espana St</td>
<td>0.44</td>
<td>$963,000</td>
<td>12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sidepath</td>
<td>E-470 Trail</td>
<td>Second Creek Trail</td>
<td>2.56</td>
<td>$745,000</td>
<td>12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Trail</td>
<td>Little Dry Creek Trail Spur</td>
<td>Little Dry Creek Trail</td>
<td>0.03</td>
<td>$37,000</td>
<td>12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Trail</td>
<td>So. Platte River Trail</td>
<td>South of 144th Ave</td>
<td>1.05</td>
<td>$1,501,000</td>
<td>12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Trail</td>
<td>E 168th</td>
<td>E-470</td>
<td>1.75</td>
<td>$2,317,000</td>
<td>12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>On-Street</td>
<td>TBD</td>
<td>E-470</td>
<td>3.10</td>
<td>$385,000</td>
<td>11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Trail</td>
<td>First Creek Trail</td>
<td>E 38th Ave</td>
<td>2.71</td>
<td>$5,299,000</td>
<td>11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Trail</td>
<td>So. Platte River Trail</td>
<td>104th Ave</td>
<td>2.13</td>
<td>$2,050,000</td>
<td>11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Trail</td>
<td>So. Platte River Trail</td>
<td>South of 120th Pkwy</td>
<td>0.42</td>
<td>$4,175,000</td>
<td>11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Trail</td>
<td>TBD</td>
<td>So. Platte River Trail</td>
<td>Brighton Rd</td>
<td>0.62</td>
<td>$10,357,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-------</td>
<td>-----</td>
<td>------------------------</td>
<td>-------------</td>
<td>------</td>
<td>-------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Trail</td>
<td>TBD</td>
<td>120th Ave</td>
<td>E 112th Ave</td>
<td>1.18</td>
<td>$1,218,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Trail</td>
<td>TBD</td>
<td>Brighton Rd</td>
<td>US-85</td>
<td>0.45</td>
<td>$877,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>On-Street</td>
<td>CO-79</td>
<td>E 112th Ave</td>
<td>Palmer Ave</td>
<td>9.61</td>
<td>$1,193,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>On-Street</td>
<td>E 38th Ave</td>
<td>Harback Rd</td>
<td>Kiowa Bennett Rd</td>
<td>3.00</td>
<td>$373,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>On-Street</td>
<td>Holly St</td>
<td>E 160th Ave</td>
<td>E 144th Ave</td>
<td>1.99</td>
<td>$246,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>On-Street</td>
<td>Manilla Rd</td>
<td>E 72nd Ave</td>
<td>Eisenhower Hwy</td>
<td>4.81</td>
<td>$597,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>On-Street</td>
<td>Picadilly Rd</td>
<td>E 152nd Ave</td>
<td>E 122nd Ave</td>
<td>5.00</td>
<td>$620,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>On-Street</td>
<td>S 50th Ave</td>
<td>E Southern St</td>
<td>Frontage Rd</td>
<td>0.41</td>
<td>$51,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>On-Street</td>
<td>TBD</td>
<td>E Southern St</td>
<td>E 152nd Ave</td>
<td>0.51</td>
<td>$63,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>On-Street</td>
<td>Tower Rd</td>
<td>E Southern St</td>
<td>E 152nd Ave</td>
<td>0.48</td>
<td>$59,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sidestep</td>
<td>Quivas St</td>
<td>W 136th Ave</td>
<td>End of Quivas St</td>
<td>0.30</td>
<td>$148,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Trail</td>
<td>So. Platte River Trail</td>
<td>North of E-470</td>
<td>North of 144th Ave</td>
<td>0.77</td>
<td>$832,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>On-Street</td>
<td>E 132nd Ave</td>
<td>Second Creek Trail</td>
<td>US-6</td>
<td>2.61</td>
<td>$325,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>On-Street</td>
<td>E 60th Ave</td>
<td>Dunkirk St</td>
<td>New Trail</td>
<td>0.69</td>
<td>$85,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>On-Street</td>
<td>Monroe St</td>
<td>E 26th Ave</td>
<td>US-36</td>
<td>0.98</td>
<td>$122,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>On-Street</td>
<td>Pecos St</td>
<td>W 152nd Ave</td>
<td>W 144th Ave</td>
<td>1.07</td>
<td>$133,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>On-Street</td>
<td>Spruce Ave</td>
<td>Aspen St</td>
<td>Basil St</td>
<td>0.70</td>
<td>$67,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>On-Street</td>
<td>Wagner St</td>
<td>E 24th Ave</td>
<td>US-36</td>
<td>0.82</td>
<td>$102,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sidestep</td>
<td>E-470 Trail</td>
<td>East of Boston St</td>
<td>Fishing Is Fun Pond</td>
<td>1.46</td>
<td>$531,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sidestep</td>
<td>E-470 Trail</td>
<td>Rail Tracks</td>
<td>Signal Ditch</td>
<td>1.06</td>
<td>$772,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Trail</td>
<td>So. Platte River Trail</td>
<td>Fishing Is Fund Pond</td>
<td>North of E-470</td>
<td>0.77</td>
<td>$1,479,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>On-Street</td>
<td>E 132nd Ave</td>
<td>Barr Lake</td>
<td>Picadilly Rd</td>
<td>0.48</td>
<td>$60,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>On-Street</td>
<td>E 88th Ave</td>
<td>Imboden Rd</td>
<td>Park Blvd</td>
<td>13.99</td>
<td>$1,737,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>On-Street</td>
<td>Henderson Rd</td>
<td>Riverdale Rd</td>
<td>Park Blvd</td>
<td>0.39</td>
<td>$49,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>On-Street</td>
<td>W 152nd Ave</td>
<td>Zuni St</td>
<td>Huron St</td>
<td>0.98</td>
<td>$122,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sidestep</td>
<td>E-470 Trail</td>
<td>Signal Ditch</td>
<td>Quebec St</td>
<td>1.49</td>
<td>$731,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>On-Street</td>
<td>E 144th Ave</td>
<td>Imboden Rd</td>
<td>Strasburg Rd</td>
<td>14.01</td>
<td>$1,740,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>On-Street</td>
<td>Strasburg Rd</td>
<td>144th Ave</td>
<td>88th Ave</td>
<td>6.97</td>
<td>$866,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>On-Street</td>
<td>W 149th Ave</td>
<td>Zuni St</td>
<td>Huron St</td>
<td>0.98</td>
<td>$122,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sidestep</td>
<td>E-470 Trail</td>
<td>Quebec St</td>
<td>East of Boston St</td>
<td>1.54</td>
<td>$1,279,000</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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New 2 lane roadway
New 2 lane roadway
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Corridor name
Pecos St
Federal Blvd
Sheridan Blvd
York St
CO-224
CO-7
E 96th Ave
Colorado Blvd
E 120th Ave
Monaco St
Pecos St
Buckley Rd
E 120th Ave
E 152nd Ave
E 104th Ave
E 104th Ave
E 120th Ave
Hudson Rd
Imboden Rd
CO-79
E 120th Ave
E 56th Ave
Piccadilly Rd
E 168th Ave
Harvest Rd
Manilla Rd
Schumaker Rd
TBD
E 120th Ave
E 48th Ave
E 64th Ave/TBD
Mimosa Rd
Piccadilly Rd
Strasburg Rd
Cty Rd 24
Imboden Rd
Manilla Rd
Piggott Rd
Strasburg Rd
Watkins Rd
Winview Rd
Calhoun-Byers Rd
E 112th Ave
E 120th Ave
E 144th Ave
Petterson Rd
Rector Leader Rd
E 112th Ave
E 152nd Ave
E 56th Ave
E 56th Ave
E 64th Ave
Hanks Crossing
Headlight Rd
Horrogate Rd
Imboden Rd
Peoria Crossing Rd
Shamrock Rd
Wolf Creek Rd
Yulle Rd
Behrens Rd
Bradbury-Krebs Rd
Deter-Winters Rd
E 96th Ave
E 96th Ave
East Rd

Extents

I-76 to 84th Ave
52nd to 72nd Ave
52nd to 72th Ave
58th Ave to 88th Ave
Broadway St to US 85
I-25 to US 85
Colorado Blvd to I-76
88th Ave to I-76
US-85 to Tower
104th Ave to 88th Ave
52nd to 58th
120th Ave to 136th Ave
Tower to Imboden
I-76 to Imboden
Shamrock to Winview
Colorado Blvd to I-76
SH 79 to Strasburg
US 36 to 72nd Ave
56th Avenue to 160th
I-70 to 168th Ave
Holly St to US 85
Imboden to SH 79
96th Ave to 120th Ave
I-25 to Quebec St
120th Ave to 168th Ave
I-70 to 48th Ave
I-70 to 136th Ave
E-470 to E 152nd Pkwy
Deter to Shamrock
Imboden Rd to Manilla Rd
E 56th Ave to E 64th Ave
112th Ave to 168th Ave
120th Ave to 152nd Ave
48th Ave to 144th Ave
Watkins Rd to E 48th Ave
I-70/Quail Run Rd to 56th Ave
56th Ave to 144th Ave
US 36 to 48th Ave
US 36 to 48th Ave
Watkins Rd to Imboden Rd
US 36 to 56th Ave
US 36 to 88th Ave
Rector to Deter
Imboden to SH 79
Imboden to Peoria Crossing
144th Ave to 168th Ave
US 36 to 112th Ave
Strasburg to Horrogate
Mimosa to Philmay
Bradbury to Rector
East Rd to Winview
Strasburg to Bradbury
US 36 to 112th Ave
US 36 to 48th Ave
112th Ave to 148th Ave
160th to 168th
136th Ave to 168th Ave
96th Ave to 168th Ave
26th Ave to 48th Ave
I-70 to 56th Ave
88th Ave to 112th Ave
US 36 to 168th Ave
112th Ave to 152nd Ave
Behren to Rector
Hanks to East rd
US 36 to 56th Ave
Roadway Project Prioritization

Length (mi)
2.77
4.00
2.50
4.41
2.00
8.80
2.42
1.62
4.57
1.91
0.70
4.01
9.98
8.94
3.89
3.82
5.02
5.89
13.01
17.89
7.62
15.01
3.03
3.85
5.96
2.98
13.99
1.39
8.66
3.00
1.36
7.05
4.00
12.01
1.99
3.53
11.00
3.01
5.90
1.02
18.04
8.05
4.02
8.92
24.96
3.02
10.98
10.33
5.93
9.01
2.93
4.03
10.94
2.98
4.63
1.05
4.03
9.04
1.98
4.38
3.01
18.07
5.06
4.01
10.67
4.04

Planning level
cost estimate
FinalScore
$485,000
21
$699,000
20
$438,000
19
$13,633,000
19
$6,184,000
18
$2,200,000
18
$424,000
15
$16,078,000
14
$21,408,000
14
$191,000
14
$2,179,000
14
$12,383,000
12
$30,837,000
12
$58,363,000
11
$25,370,000
10
$11,796,000
9
$32,753,000
9
$38,478,000
9
$84,911,000
9
$116,775,000
8
$23,548,000
8
$97,946,000
8
$29,947,000
8
$11,892,000
7
$38,913,000
7
$19,484,000
7
$91,286,000
7
$9,066,000
7
$56,501,000
6
$19,574,000
6
$8,871,000
6
$46,018,000
6
$26,126,000
6
$78,412,000
6
$12,987,000
5
$34,947,000
5
$71,813,000
5
$19,619,000
5
$38,500,000
5
$6,678,000
5
$117,767,000
5
$52,553,000
4
$26,241,000
4
$58,230,000
4
$162,938,000
4
$19,745,000
4
$71,681,000
4
$67,450,000
3
$38,677,000
3
$58,789,000
3
$19,118,000
3
$26,337,000
3
$71,425,000
3
$19,446,000
3
$30,230,000
3
$6,886,000
3
$26,315,000
3
$59,032,000
3
$12,920,000
3
$28,610,000
3
$19,649,000
2
$117,924,000
2
$33,035,000
2
$26,152,000
2
$69,650,000
2
$26,343,000
2
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1
1
1
1
1
1
1
1
1
1
1
2
2
2
2
2
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2
2
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Project Type</th>
<th>Roadway</th>
<th>Avenue Range</th>
<th>Cost</th>
<th>Rating</th>
<th>Score</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>New 2 lane roadway</td>
<td>Philmay Rd</td>
<td>152nd Ave to 168th Ave</td>
<td>$13,164,000</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>New 2 lane roadway</td>
<td>Piggott Rd</td>
<td>48th Ave to 56th Ave</td>
<td>$6,487,000</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>