## CONDITIONAL USE PERMIT

Application submittals must include all documents on this checklist as well as this page. Please use the reference guide (pgs. 3-4) included in this packet for more information on each submittal item.


#### Abstract

All applications shall be submitted electronically to epermitcenter@adcogov.org. If the submittal is too large to email as an attachment, the application may be sent as an unlocked OneDrive link. Alternatively, the application may be delivered on a flash drive to the One-Stop Customer Service Center. All documents should be combined in a single PDF. Once a complete application has been received, fees will be invoiced and payable online at https:// permits.adcogov.org/CitizenAccess/.


1. Development Application Form (pg. 5)
2. Application Fees (see pg. 2)
3. Written Explanation of the Project
4. Site Plan Showing Proposed Development
5. Proof of Ownership (warranty deed or title policy)
6. Proof of Water and Sewer Services
7. Proof of Utilities (e.g. electric, gas)
8. Legal Description
9. Certificate of Taxes Paid
$\checkmark$ 10.Certificate of Notice to Mineral Estate Owners/and Lessees(pg. 7)
11.Certificate of Surface Development (pg. 8-10)

Supplemental Items (if applicable) *Contact County staff for supplemental forms

1. Traffic Impact Study
2. Neighborhood Meeting Summary
3. Solid waste transfer station*
4. Solid waste composting facility*
5. Scrap tire recycling facility*
$\square$
6. Inert fill*

| Application Fees | Amount | Due |
| :---: | :---: | :--- |
| Conditional Use Permit | $\$ 1,000(\$ 300$ per additional <br> residential request/ $\$ 500$ per <br> additional non-residential) | After complete <br> application received |
| Tri-County Health | $\$ 360$ (TCHD Level 3) | After complete <br> application received |

## DEVELOPMENT APPLICATION FORM

## Application Type:



PROJECT NAME: High Plains Disposal

## APPLICANT

| Name(s): | Patrick Blair | Phone \#: | $\boxed{720-788-2541}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Address: | 13901 Downing Street |  |  |
| City, State, Zip: | Brighton, co 80602 |  |  |
| 2nd Phone \#: | $303-883-1023$ |  |  |
|  |  | Email: | pblair@ccrscrap.com |
|  |  |  |  |

## OWNER

| Name(s): | 301 W 60th Place LLC | Phone \#: | 303-227-4362 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Address: | 500 E. 62nd Ave |  |  |
| City, State, Zip: | Denver CO 80216 |  |  |
| 2nd Phone \#: | 720-308-3019 | Email: | wplessman@miholdings.com |

## TECHNICAL REPRESENTATIVE (Consultant, Engineer, Surveyor, Architect, etc.)

Name:
R\&R Engineers-Surveyors
Phone \#: 303-753-6730

Address:
1635 W 13th Ave Suite 310
City, State, Zip:
Denver, CO 80204
2nd Phone \#:
720-390-5513 Email: cdayton@rrengineers.com

## DESCRIPTION OF SITE

Address:
City, State, Zip:
Area (acres or square feet):

### 11.2649 acres

Tax Assessor
Parcel Number

## Parcel ID: 01825.10.2.00.040, Tax ID: R0103486

Existing
Zoning:
I-3 Heavy Industrial
Existing Land Use:

Heavy Industrial
Proposed Land Use:

## Heavy Industrial - Recycling Center

Have you attended a Conceptual Review?
YES $\square$ NO $\square$
If Yes, please list PRE\#: PRE2021-00014

I hereby certify that I am making this application as owner of the above described property or acting under the authority of the owner (attached authorization, if not owner). I am familiar with all pertinent requirements, procedures, and fees of the County. I understand that the Application Review Fee is non-refundable. All statements made on this form and additional application materials are true to the best of my knowledge and belief.

Name:
301 W 60th Place, LLC
Date: 12/20/2021
Owner's Printed Name
Name:
Digital Signature Provided - WP
Owner's Signature

William
Plessman

## Project description

## Purpose of the Project:

Presently no C\&D waste processing facilities are operating in Colorado. High Plains Disposal (HPD) is seeking a Conditional Use Permit to build a mobile C\&D Recycling Center in Adams County at the interstate triangle of I-76, I-25, and I-70 in North Denver. Plant design includes installing a mixed C\&D sorting line with a combination of mechanized and manual sorting stations that will have the capacity to process at least 200,000 annual tons of C\&D waste into 187,000 annual tons of renewed materials.

Approximately 35\% of Colorado's waste is C\&D material "with no indication of slowing down." The proposed mechanized and automated C\&D recycling center serving the Colorado front range will achieve a 67\% diversion rate in year one involving twelve renewable materials. Through continued research and end market development, High Plains Disposal will raise the diversion rate from $67 \%$ in year one to $93 \%$ in year three of operation by creating end markets for asphalt shingles, Grade B wood, and carpet. The proposed facility will help the FRWD and CDPHE realize their waste diversion goals of $39 \%$ by 2026, and 51\% by 2036.

The vision for the planned High Plains Disposal C\&D Recycling Center is to enable recycling-oriented contractors to load their job site waste into single containers versus material-specific containers for processing while also providing ease of use benefits to those contractors who send their construction waste directly to area landfills. By using state-of-the-art equipment (see attached schematic) designed specifically to divert end market commodities away from local area landfills, HPD will be able to sort comingled C\&D debris from any job site cost-effectively. Those materials include the following.

1. Aggregates
2. Grade A wood (untreated)
3. Grade B wood (treated)
4. Cardboard (OCC)
5. Paper
6. Glass
7. Ferrous metals
8. Nonferrous metal
9. Plastics (HDPE)
10. Plastics (PET)
11. Gypsum
12. Tile
13. Organics (yard waste)

To begin with, aggregates will be moved from the site to be crushed by others. In the future, aggregates would be crushed on site, but only in amounts that can be moved off site within 72 hrs of crushing.

The canopy structures attach directly to the mobile processing equipment and can be seen in photographs attached to this application. The purpose of the canopy structures is to protect the workers on the sort lines from the environment. i.e wind, rain, snow, sun.

The modular office building is a typical modular office one might find on any jobsite. It rolls in on wheels and detaches from the truck pulling it. It then can be hooked up to site utilities from there and serve as a mobile office structure for staff operating the facility. A typical photo is attached.

## Operation and Environmental Information

Facility will be open from 7:00 am to 5: pm Monday through Friday and will be open on Saturdays from 7:00am to 12:00 PM The expected run times per month for generators will be 220 hrs . the noise rating is insignificant, between 60 and 70 decibels, somewhere between a dishwasher and vacuum cleaner.

Interior road will not be paved at this time. A water Truck will be utilized.
The conveyor sorting will be both manual and equipment based. AS depicted in earlier diagrams, the material will be pre shredded for size and directly sorted by size and dimension after shredding. From this equipment it will then be separated by hand using a manual separation with employees with robotic sorting planned in the future. The equipment is manufactured with hose attachments for self-contained dust suppression at the source.

Applicant will train employees in asbestos awareness classes for visual confirmation of asbestos containing materials. Signs and placards will be posted detailing what material is accepted and what is not accepted. Asbestos and hazardous materials will be listed as unacceptable. CDPHE requires that every demolition in the state of Colorado be inspected for asbestos AND abated prior to demolition of the structure. Only known contractors will be dumping at the facility. Contractors poor track records for following the rules will not be permitted to dispose at the facility. Visual inspections of all loads prior to dumping will be a last line of defense. Any material that is found not to be recyclable will be rejected from the facility.

If hazardous materials are discovered, proper cleanup and disposal per state regulations will be followed. Areas that are contaminated will be cordoned off and the public will not be allowed to access until the hazard has been mitigated.

Included are writeups describing the Nuisance Control Plan and Air Pollution Emission Notice that will be included as this project progresses.

## Time Frame:

High Plains Disposal would open for business in the spring/summer of 2022 after a Conditional Use Permit is granted and design and install of the facility can be completed.







## Memorandum

Date: August 16, 2021<br>To: Adams County Community and Economic Development Department<br>From: Anthony Der Tatevasion, Air Quality Specialist<br>Subject: High Plains Disposal, 30I W. 60th Place, Denver, Colorado, Colorado Department of Public Health and Environment Air Pollution Control Division, Air Pollution Emission Notice and Permit Analysis

## Introduction

R \& R Engineers - Surveyors (R\&R) has requested that Pinyon Environmental (Pinyon) complete an evaluation for the High Plains Disposal to be constructed at 301 West $60^{\text {th }}$ Place, Denver, Colorado (Facility) applicability to Colorado Department of Public Health and Environment (CDPHE) Air Pollution Emission Notice (APEN) and permit requirements. As noted in the Concept Review Package submitted to the Adams County Community and Economic Development Department (ADCO) in February 2021, the proposed project is a construction and demolition material recycling and sorting facility.

After review of the Concept Review Package, ADCO requested in their Document Review Team Comments additional details be provided regarding additional air compliance details; this memorandum is in response to that request.

## Regulatory Background

CDPHE Regulation 3 outlines the applicability and requirements for stationary source permits and APENs. Certain facilities and emissions sources are exempt from APEN and permit requirements based on their purpose, agency-determined emissions impact, and size.

Should an emission source not fall into one of the 84 listed exempted sources, APEN and permit applicability depends on emissions from criteria and non-criteria reportable pollutants such as:

- Nitrogen Oxides $\left(\mathrm{NO}_{x}\right)$ - criteria pollutant
- Carbon Monoxide (CO) - criteria pollutant
- Volatile Organic Compounds (VOC) - criteria pollutant
- Particulate Matter less than 10 micrometers and less than 2.5 micrometers ( $\mathrm{PM}_{10}$ and $\mathrm{PM}_{2.5}$ ) - criteria pollutant
- Total Suspended Particulate (TSP) - criteria pollutant
- Sulfur Dioxide $\left(\mathrm{SO}_{2}\right)$ - criteria pollutant
- Lead - criteria pollutant
- Non-criteria reportable pollutants including, but not limited to sulfuric acid $\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}\right)$, nitric acid $\left(\mathrm{HNO}_{3}\right)$, and hydrochloric acid $(\mathrm{HCl})$.

Regulation 3 Section II.B. 3 states that APENs are required if any of the following conditions are met:

- For nonattainment areas, each individual emission point with uncontrolled actual emissions of one ton per year or more of any individual criteria pollutant for which the area is nonattainment
- For attainment areas, each individual emission point in an attainment or attainment/maintenance area with uncontrolled actual emissions of two tons per year or more of any individual criteria pollutant
- Each individual emission point with uncontrolled actual emissions of lead greater than 100 pounds per year
- Each individual emission point with uncontrolled actual emissions of 250 pounds or more per year of any non-criteria reportable pollutant

Regulation 3 Section II.D. 2 and Section II.D. 3 states that construction permits are required if total facility uncontrolled actual emissions equal or exceed the following thresholds depending on if the facility is located in a nonattainment or attainment/maintenance area:

| Pollutant | Nonattainment Area Permit <br> Threshold | Attainment Area Permit <br> Threshold |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{NO}_{\mathrm{x}}$ | 5 tons per year | 10 tons per year |
| CO | 5 tons per year | 10 tons per year |
| VOC | 2 tons per year | 5 tons per year |
| $\mathrm{PM}_{10}$ | I ton per year | 5 tons per year |
| $\mathrm{PM}_{2.5}$ | 1 ton per year | 5 tons per year |
| $\mathrm{TSP}^{\mathrm{SO}_{2}}$ | 5 tons per year | 10 tons per year |
| Lead | 5 tons per year | 10 tons per year |
|  | 200 pounds per year | 200 pounds per year |

## Facility Description

The Facility will be located in Denver, Colorado within Denver County. Denver County is a nonattainment area for ozone which is formed by $\mathrm{NO}_{x}$ and VOC emissions in the atmosphere. The Site will be purposed as a construction and demolition material recycling and sorting facility.

Based on Pinyon's preliminary analysis of the proposed operations, the following processes and equipment will be evaluated for APEN submittals.

- Two (2) Caterpillar 3406B Generator Engines (Engines - compression ignition APEN: Form APCD-233)
- Crushing Operations Emissions (Crusher/Screen APEN: Form APCD-22I)
- Unpaved Road Emissions (General APEN - Form APCD-200)
- Two (2) Diesel Generator Fuel Tanks (Insignificant Source)
- One (I) Heavy Machinery Fuel Tank (Insignificant Source)


## Site Analysis to APEN and Permit Requirements

Based on the above CDPHE guidelines, the Facility and its associated equipment will be evaluated to determine the potential applicability to APEN and/or permit requirements. The following four-step approach will be taken:

- Determine if the Facility and its sources fall into the APEN/permit exempt categories
- Calculate uncontrolled actual emissions for criteria and non-criteria reportable pollutants
- Compare uncontrolled actual emissions against APEN thresholds and permit thresholds
- Submit and secure CDPHE approval on APENs and air permits if required

In accordance with CDPHE permitting requirements, the facility will not undergo unapproved construction nor startup until the above approach is completed and the facility is in compliance with all applicable CDPHE regulations.
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## Pinyen

## I. Introduction

In accordance with Adams County Community and Economic Development (ADCO) requirements, Pinyon Environmental, Inc. (Pinyon), has completed a Nuisance Control Plan (NCP) for the planned High Plains Disposal facility (Figure I). Pinyon understands that 301 West $60^{\text {th }}$ Place LLC plans to open a Construction and Demolition (C\&D) operation located at 30I West 60 Avenue, Denver, Colorado (site; Figure 2). This NCP will be incorporated into a Facility Operations Plan, which will be completed at a later date by 30 I West $60^{\text {th }}$ Place LLC or their designated preparer.

The Facility Operations Plan will contain additional information regarding the operator, the purpose of the planned development, and more detailed operational information.

## I.I Site Information

The site is located in Adams County, Colorado. 301 West $60^{\text {th }}$ Place LLC owns three adjoining parcels, however only two of these parcels (Parcels J and K) will be utilized for the C\&D operation. Information regarding the site parcels is presented in the table below (Figure 3).

Table I-I. Site Information

| Parcel/Address | Owner | Zoning | Parcel Size | Development |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0182510200048 <br> 301 West $60^{\text {th }}$ Place | 301 W. $60{ }^{\text {th }}$ Place LLC | 1-3 | 2.491 acres | None |
| 01825I0200040 <br> 301 West $60^{\text {th }}$ Place | Mail: 500 E $62^{\text {nd }}$ Avenue Denver, CO 80216 | 1-3 | 11.118 acres | None |

Source: Adams County Assessor Online Website, 2021

The Fisher Ditch runs adjacent to the south of the site and a retention pond is located on the northwest corner of the site. Copeland Lake is located approximately I,500 feet to the east of the site. The site is located at approximately 5,200 feet above mean sea level and slopes slightly to the east.

## I. 2 Regulatory Oversight

Recycling activities will be conducted under the Colorado Department of Public Health and Environment (CDPHE) Hazardous Materials and Waste Management Division 6 CCR 1007-2, Part I Regulations Pertaining to Solid Waste Sites and Facilities Section 8 Recycling and Beneficial Use regulations, as well as Adams County Development Standards and Regulations applicable to development of recycling facilities. More specifically, Pinyon has assumed that the site will be regulated under 6 CCR 1007-2 Section 8.5 Industrial Recycling Operations, which includes the recycling of construction and demolition debris. Section 8.5 requires the following:

- Prior to receiving recyclable materials, the owner/operator of this industrial recycling operation will submit, for CDPHE review and approval, an Industrial Material Recycling Facility Initial Registration Form.
- Submittal to the CDPHE and ADCO, for review and approval, an Industrial Recycling Facility Operations Plan (IRFO) detailing how the facility will operate in accordance with §30-20-I02(5), C.R.S., prior to the importation of recyclable materials. This requires maintenance of documentation that proves recyclable


## Nuisance Control Plan

High Plains Disposal
301 West 60 ${ }^{\text {th }}$ Place, Denver, CO
materials are being recycled at the site at a rate that approximately equals the rate at which recyclable materials are being collected. An Industrial Recycling Facility Design and Operations Plan will not be required as liquid or leachable materials are not planned to be accepted. The IRFO will include:
o A physical description of the facility and the types of recyclable materials managed.
o Methods to prevent unauthorized vehicle traffic and illegal dumping by adequate fencing or other security means.
o Procedures for preventing receipt of unauthorized waste and procedures for safely managing and properly disposing of unauthorized waste.
o An initial accumulation plan that includes a time frame for the initial accumulation of recyclable materials and the maximum volume and weight of the recyclable materials to be received during the initial accumulation period. This time frame may differ for individual recyclable materials as approved by the Department.
o A closure plan including a plan for the disposition of collected materials on-site at the time of closure.

- Facility operation in accordance with accumulation requirements and the approved IFRO.
- Completion of the Recycling Facility Annual Reporting Form for submission to the CDPHE by March $1^{\text {st }}$ of each year for the previous calendar year.
- Records must be maintained onsite for at least three years.


## 2. Operations

High Plains Disposal plans to develop the site with a portable C\&D material recycling and sorting facility. The site improvements would include multiple industrial machines required for processing material, truck scales, processing yards, a modular office, and portable toilets. Concrete pads will be proposed for machinery to rest on. Traffic is expected to enter the site from West 60th Place to the east and exit the site via Huron Street to the west. A combination of paved and gravel drive aisles are proposed to circulate truck traffic throughout the site.

Electrical service is planned to be provided by onsite generators with generator fuel stored in above ground tanks. No sewer services are planned due to the expected portable toilet usage. Water is planned to be accessed from a water line along West 60th Place.

The general planned order of operations for the site is as follows (Figure 3):

- Trucks are expected to enter the site from West 60th Place onto the east side of the site, where they will travel to the south and east along a paved road.
- Trucks will stop at a guard booth and scale, which will be manned by an asbestos-trained individual. The individual will inspect and weigh the load; the load will then either be directed to the tipping floor for unloading, directly to the yard if sorting/handling is not required, or rejected.
- If directed to the tipping floor, trucks will unload onto the floor, where the load will be sorted and/or shredded, as appropriate. A low velocity shredder will be used to minimize material movement; material will then be automatically sorted by fines, 2D and 3D materials. Materials will then be further sorted; sorting can be accomplished both manually and through a robotic process. After shredding and sorting, materials will be stored in concrete-walled bunkers prior to being loaded onto trucks and being moved off-site.
- If directed to the yard, materials will be unloaded into short-term stockpiles prior to being moved off-site.


## 2.I Waste Streams

Recyclable materials accepted at the site will include typical materials generated during construction and demolition activities including concrete, wood, and asphalt. Liquid, leachable and non-solid materials will not be accepted. Recyclable materials will be accepted in accordance with CDPHE guidelines.

## 2.I.I Waste Stream Management

Waste streams will arrive onsite in a covered and secured manner. The goal of the system is to move materials through quickly. Trucks will be directed to the tipping floor or yard as they arrive on-site; storage of trucks or bins is not planned. Expected turnaround time of materials is planned to be less than three business days.

## 2.I. 2 Solid Waste

It is expected that only de minimis amounts of solid waste (non-recyclable) will be received onsite, as loads will be screened prior to acceptance. Solid waste will be separated out into a dumpster and removed to a permitted landfill daily. The landfill to be used had not been selected as of the date of this Plan.

## Nuisance Control Plan

### 2.2 Security

The site will accept loads during normal business hours. To enter the site, loads must pass through a manned guard booth. Public roadways do not pass by the site and it is expected this will minimize the likelihood of illegal dumping; West $60^{\text {th }}$ Place terminates on the east side of the site and Huron Street terminates on the west side of the site.

Operating hours and the facility name will be posted at the facility entrance.

### 2.3 Equipment

Additional equipment located at the site will include loaders to push materials onto the tipping floor, a truck scale, and two 250 -kilowatt generators. At the time of completion of this Plan, the following information regarding oil storage at the site is planned:

- Three aboveground storage tanks (ASTs) will be located at the Site. Two diesel ASTs will be 5,000 gallons or less and be located adjacent to the two 250 -kilowatt generators. The ASTs will be double-walled, and aboveground piping will transfer fuel from the ASTs to the generators. One off road diesel AST of less than 2,000 gallons in size will be located at the Site and will be used for fueling on-site equipment.
- The location of the ASTs has not been finalized at the time of this memorandum, but based on previous communications from ADCO, Pinyon understands that it is preferred that the ASTs and generators are not located adjacent to the retention pond.


### 2.4 Closure Plan

High Plains Disposal understands that a Closure Plan is required for recycling facilities regulated under 6 CCR 1007-2, Part I Section 8. In accordance with the Closure Requirements in section 8.5 Industrial Recycling Operations, the following actions will be taken:

- The CDPHE will be notified of the closure in writing at least sixty (60) calendar days in advance of the closure date.
- Prior to completing the closure activities, all recyclable materials and solid waste will be processed, reclaimed, or recycled so that potential off-site odors, groundwater contamination, and nuisance conditions shall be addressed. It is understood that any material remaining on-site following closure renders the site a solid waste disposal site.
- Closure shall be completed within one hundred eighty (I80) calendar days of initiating closure activities.
- A final report will be submitted to the CDPHE within ninety (90) calendar days of completing closure.


## Nuisance Control Plan

## 3. Nuisance Control

It is expected that nuisances including windblown debris, noise, odor, dust, and vectors will be managed in accordance with applicable Adams County regulations for nuisance control, including those stated in Chapter 4 Design Requirements and Performance Standards Section 4-14 Operational Standards and Section 4-II-02-04-07 Heavy Industry - Recycling Facilities, including Scrap Tire. Details regarding control of these nuisances are presented in the following report sections.

## 3.I Windblown Debris

Based on the methodology planned for recycling operations, it is not expected that windblown debris will be generated by site activities. If windblown debris is generated by site activities, these issues will be addressed by site management personnel.

### 3.2 Noise, Odor and Dust Control

High Plains Disposal plans to control noise, odor, and dust in accordance with Adams County regulations and to minimize impacts to properties in the site vicinity.

- The facility plans to accept loads during normal business hours. Robotic sorting operations may occur up to 22 hours per day. Industrial or vacant properties are located adjacent to the site and noise impacts to these properties are not expected.
- Facility interior roadways will be paved from the entrance on the east side of the site until the tipping floor. If dust is generated by trucks, the affected areas will be sprayed as necessary by a water truck and hose. It is not expected that dust will be generated by recycling operations; however, if dust is generated by recycling operations, this issue will be addressed by site management personnel.
- Based on the type of waste to be accepted at the site, it is not expected that odors will be generated by site operations.


### 3.3 Vector Control

Based on the types of materials expected to be received and managed at the site, as well as the relatively short timeframe that materials will be stored at the site, it is not expected that vectors will be an issue at the facility.

### 3.4 Fire Protection

Fire protection requirements will be evaluated for the site. At a minimum, fire extinguishers with a facilityappropriate rating will be located at multiple points throughout the facility.

## Nuisance Control Plan

## Pinyen
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HOLDINGS COMPANY

## 500 E. $62^{\text {nd }}$ Avenue

## Denver, CO 80216

2/15/2021
Adams County Colorado
Planning \& Development
4430 South Adams County Parkway
Suite W2000
Brighton, CO 80601-8204
Re: Conceptual Review Application, High Plains Disposal, Racee and Patrick Blair
To Whom It Concerns:
M-L Holdings Company, its wholly owned real estate holding of $301 \mathrm{~W} 60^{\text {th }}$ Place LLC, it subsidiary companies of Komptech Americas and the ML Environmental Group wish to join High Plains Disposal in exploring the use of vacant I-3 land owned by $301 \mathrm{~W} 60^{\text {th }}$ Place LLC at the physical address of $301 \mathrm{~W} 60^{\text {th }}$ Place, Denver, Colorado, 80216, within the boundary of Unincorporated Adams County Colorado. Our real estate holding at this location encompasses a total of 22.7710 acres and four parcels.

High Plains Disposal desires to submit a Conceptual Review Application to explore a proposed portable construction and demolition material recycling and sorting operation.

The parcel under use consideration is 0182510200040, 11.2649 acres in size.


Attached hereto is a copy of the Special Warranty Deed, Adams County Property Profile, and Adams County Treasurer Receipts of Tax Payments respective to proof of ownership. It is our pleasure to work with High Plains Disposal in exploring this effort. Please accept this letter as the Owner Authorization.

Respectfully submitted,

## William Plessman

William "Willie" Plessman
V.P. Risk Management \& Real Estate

When recorded return to:
Robinson Waters O'Dorisio, P.C.
1099 18th Street, Suite 2600
Denver, CO 80202
Attn: Juli E. Lapin
juli@rwolaw.com


SPECIAL WARRANTY DEED
[Statutory Form - C.R.S. § 38-30-115]

Rocky Mountain Prestress, LLC, a Colorado limited liability company ("Grantor"), whose street address is 5801 Pecos Street, Denver, CO 80221, for Ten and 00/100 Dollars (\$10.00) and other good and valuable consideration, the receipt and sufficiency of which are hereby acknowledged, hereby sells and conveys to 301 West 60th Place, LLC, a Colorado limited liability company, whose address is 500 E. 62 nd Avenue, Denver, CO 80216, Attn.: David Matz, the real property in the County of Adams and State of Colorado described on Exhibit A attached hereto and made a part hereof (the "Property"), with all its appurtenances, so long as, for a period of twenty (20) years after the date hereof, the Property or any portion thereof is not used for the manufacture, fabrication, assembly, storage, sale or distribution of prestressed concrete products, including, without limitation, all concrete products created by pre-tensioning, post-tensioning and bonded post-tensioning construction methods. Grantor warrants the title to the Property against all persons claiming under Grantor, subject to the matters set forth on Exhibit B attached hereto and made a part hereof.

The street address for the foregoing property is: 301 W. 60th Place, Denver, Colorado 80216.
Signed as of this $13^{\text {Hh }}$ day of March, 2019

## SIGNATURES ON FOLLOWING PAGE



Rocky Mountain Prestress, LLC, a Colorado limited liability company

By:


Name: V. David Holsteen
Title: General Manager

## STATE OF COLORADO

CITY AND COUNTY OF DENVER
)
) ss.
)

The foregoing instrument was acknowledged before me this _d day of March, 2019, by V. David Holsteen, as Gencral Manager of Rocky Mountain Prestress, LLC, a Colorado limited liability company.

Witness my hand and official seal.
My commission expires:

## 

NOTARY PBELE


5TME DFORORAOO
WWTARY 10 20134033197
Ay Cormuission Expires May 2i, 2021

## EXHIBIT A <br> TO SPECIAL WARRANTY DEED

PARCELJ:
A PARCEL OF LAND LOCATED IN THE SOUTH $1 / 2$ OF THE SOUTHWEST $1 / 4$ OF THE NORTHWEST $1 / 4$ OF SECTION 10, TOWNSHIP 3 SOUTH, RANGE 68 WEST OF THE GTH P.M., COUNTY OF ADAMS, STATE OF COLORADO, BEING MORE PARTICULARLY DESCRIBED AS FOLLOWS:

BEGINNING AT THE POINT QF INTERSECTION OF THE SOUTH LINE OF THE NORTHWEST 1/4 OF SAID SECTION 10, AND THE EAST LNE OF HURON ST. WHICH PONT IS 20.0 FEET EAST OF THE WEST ONEQUAFTEA CORNER OF SAID SECTION 10;
THENCE NORTH, ALONG THE EAST LINE OF HURON ST. A DISTANCE OF 347. O FEET;
THENCE EAST, PAFALEL WITH THE NORTH LINE OF THE N $1 / 2$ SW $1 / 4$ SW $1 / 4 \mathrm{NW} 1 / 4$, A DISTANCE OF 487.09 FEET;

THENCE NORTH, PARALIFL WITH THE EAST LINE OF SAID N1/2 SW $1 / 4$ SW1/4 NW1/4, A DISTANCE OF 313.0 FEET TO A POINT ON THE NORTH LINE OF SADD N1/2 SW $1 / 4$ SW $1 / 4$ NW1/4;

THENCE EAST, ALONG THE NORTH LINE OF SAID N $1 / 2$ SW $1 / 4$ SW $1 / 4$ NW $1 / 4$, A DISTANCE OF 154.06 FEET TO THE NORTHEAST CORNER OF SAID N1/2 SW $1 / 4$ SW $1 / 4$ NW $1 / 4 ;$
THENCE SOUTH, ALONG THE EAST LINE OF SAID N1/2 SW1/4 SW1/4 NW1/4, A DISTANCE OF 330.0 FEET TO THE SOUTHEAST CORNER OF SAID N1/2 SW $1 / 4$ SW1/4 NW $1 / 4$;
THENCE EAST, ALONG THE NORTH LINE OF SAID S $1 / 2$ S $1 / 2$ SW $1 / 4$ NW $1 / 4$ OF SAID SECTION 10 , A DISTANCE OF 660.3 FEET TO THE NORTHEAST CORNER OF SAID S $1 / 2$ S $1 / 2$ SW $1 / 4$ NW1/4; THENCE SOUTH, ALONG THE EAST LINE OF SAID S $1 / 2 \mathrm{~S} 1 / 2 \mathrm{SW} 1 / 4 \mathrm{NW} 1 / 4$, A DISTANCE OF 255.62 FEET TO A POINT ON THE NORTHWESTERLY RIGHT-OF-WAY LINE OF THE DENVER \& RIO GRANDE WESTERN RAILROAD COMPANY;
THENCE SOUTH $58^{\circ} 58^{\circ}$ WEST ALONG SAID NORTHWESTERLY RIGHT-OF-WAY LINE, A DISTANCE OF 144.17 FEET TO A POINT ON THE SOUTH LINE OF THE SW1/4 NW1/4 OF SAID SECTION $10 ;$ THENCE WEST, ALONG THE SOUTH LINE OF THE SW1/4 NW1/4 OF SAID SECTION 10, A DISTANCE OF 1199.55 FEET, MORE OR LESS, TO THE POINT OF BEGINNING.

PARCELK:
THE SOUTH ONE-HALF (S1/2) OF THE NORTH ONE-HALF (N1/2) OF THE SOUTHEAST ONE OUARTER (SE1:4) OF THE SOUTHWEST ONE-QUARTEA (SW1/4) OF THE NORTHWEST ONE-QUARTER (NW1/4) OF SECTION 1O. TOWNSHP 3 SOUTH. RANGE 68 WEST OF THE GTH P.M. COUNTY OF ADANS. STATE OF COLORADO.

PARCELL:
A TRACT OR PARCEL OF LAND WITHIN THE SOUTHEAST $1 / 4$ OF THE NORTHNEST ONE QUARTER OF SECTION 10, TOWNSHIP S SOUTH, AANGE GSWEST OF THE GTH P.M. COUNTY OF ADAMS, STATE OF COLORADO, MORE PARTICULARLY DESCRIBED AS FOLLOWS:

EEGINNIWG AT A POINT IN THE WEST LHE OF SAD SEIA NWU4 LOCATEO 74.33FEET NORTH FROM THE SW CORNEA THEREOF:
THETVCE NORTH ALONG SAID WEST LNE 209.92 FEET:
THENCE EAST 3O FEET, MORE OR LESS TO A POINT 10 FEET EASTERLY AT RIGHT ANGLES FRON THE CENTERLINE OF THE DENVER AND FIO GPANDE WESTERN RALLROAD COMPANVSIC. TRACK NO. 31C:
THENCE SOUTHERLY PARALEL WITH SAD TRACK 200 FEET. MORE OR LESS. TO A POINT IN SAID RAILROAD COMPANY'S NORTHERLY RFGHT-OF WAY LINE:
THENCE SOUTHWESTERLY ALONG SAD RIGHT OF WAY LNE 23 FEET. MOAE OR LESS, TO THE POINT OF EEGINHING.

PARCEL M:
LOT 1. BLOCK 1. PHELPS-TOINTON SOTH PLACE MINOR SUBDIVISION, COUNTY OF ADAMS, STATE OF COLORADO.

## EXHIBIT B <br> TO <br> SPECIAL WARRANTY DEED

1. TAXES FOR THE YEAR 2019, A LIEN NOT YET DUE AND PAYABLE.
2. THAT CERTAIN UNRECORDED LEASE AGREEMENT BETWEEN 301 WEST 60TH PLACE LLC, AS LANDLORD, AND LAN COLORADO, LLC, AS TENANT.
3. EASEMENT GRANTED TO PUBLIC SERVICE COMPANY OF COLORADO, FOR ELECTRIC TRANSMISSION LINES, AND INCIDENTAL PURPOSES, BY INSTRUMENT RECORDED JANUARY 28, 1955, IN BOOK 533 AT PAGE 570.
4. RESERVATION OF RIGHTS-OF-WAY OR EASEMENTS FOR THE CONTINUED USE OF EXISTING SEWER, GAS, WATER OR SIMILAR PIPE LINES AND APPURTENANCES AND FOR ELECTRIC, TELEPHONE AND SIMILAR LINES AND APPURTENANCES WITHIN THE VACATED RIGHTS OF WAY OF A PORTION OF WEST 60TH PLACE, BY THE BOARD OF COUNTY COMMISSIONERS, COUNTY OF ADAMS, AS SET FORTH IN RESOLUTION RECORDED JULY 18, 1967 IN BOOK 1375 AT PAGE 351.
5. NOTICE OF UNDERGROUND FACILITIES INFORMATION FTLING PURSUANT TO SECTION 9-1.5-103 COLORADO REVISED STATUTES, 1973 AS AMENDED, BY THE NORTH PECOS WATER AND SANITATION DISTRICT AS OPERATOR OF UNDERGROUND WATER AND SANITATION FACILITIES, IN INSTRUMENT RECORDED MARCH 15, 1993 IN BOOK 4038 AT PAGE 10 I.
6. TERMS, PROVISIONS AND CONDITIONS OF RESERVATION OF ALL MINERALS AND ALL MINERAL RIGHTS OF EVERY KIND AND CHARACTER NOW KNOWN TO EXIST OR HEREAFTER DISCOVERED UNDERLYING THE PROPERTY, INCLUDING WITHOUT LIMITING THE GENERALITY OF THE FOREGOING, OIL, AND GAS AND RIGHTS THERETO, AS RESERVED IN DEED RECORDED MAY 17, 2001 UNDER RECEPTION NO. C0801890.
7. TERMS, CONDITIONS, PROVISIONS, BURDENS, OBLIGATIONS AND EASEMENTS AS SET FORTH AND GRANTED IN EASEMENT DEED RECORDED MAY 02, 2004 UNDER RECEPTION NO. 20040525000402230.
8. CERTIFICATION OF NOTICE TO MINERAL ESTATE OWNERS, RECORDED DECEMBER 20, 2012, UNDER RECEPTION NO. 2012000096676.
9. EASEMENTS, CONDITIONS, COVENANTS, RESTRICTIONS, RESERVATIONS AND NOTES ON THE PLAT OF PHELPS-TOINTON 60TH PLACE MINOR SUBDIVISION RECORDED MAY 07, 2013 UNDER RECEPTION NO. 2013000038822.
10. TERMS, CONDITIONS, PROVISIONS, BURDENS AND OBLIGATIONS AS SET FORTH IN RESOLUTION FOR ZONING HEARING DECISION - CASE PLT2012-

00024 PHELPS TOINTON, INC. BY THE BOARD OF COUNTY COMMISSIONERS FOR ADAMS COUNTY RECORDED JUNE 04, 2013 UNDER RECEPTION NO. 2013000047739.
11. ANY BOUNDARY DISCREPANCY DUE TO THE LACK OF AN EXACT LEGAL DESCRIPTION FOR THAT PART OF THE UNION PACIFIC RAILROAD COMPANY'S (FORMERLY THE DENVER AND RIO GRANDE WESTERN RAILROAD COMPANY) TRACK, AND RIGHT, TITLE OR INTEREST WHICH MAY BE CLAIMED BY SAID RAILROAD IF IT IS DETERMINED THERE IS A DISCREPANCY, AS DESCRIBED IN DEED RECORDED MAY 17, 2001 UNDER RECEPTION NO. C0801890.
12. ANY FACTS, RIGHTS, INTERESTS OR CLAIMS WHICH MAY EXIST OR ARISE BY REASON OF THE FOLLOWING FACTS SHOWN ON ALTANSPS LAND TITLE SURVEY DATED NOVEMBER 16, 2018 PREPARED BY NV5, JOB \#2235180000060.00:
A. THE FENCE LOCATED IN GENERAL ALONG THE BOUNDARIES OF PARCELS J AND K DOES NOT COINCIDE WITH SAID BOUNDARY LINES.
B. THE NORTHWESTERLY CORNER OF PARCEL J IS BEING USED FOR ACCESS TO AND FROM THE LAND ADJACENT TO THE NORTH.
C. OVERHEAD UTILITY LINES AND SUPPORTS LOCATED ALONG THE NORTHERLY LINE OF PARCEL M, BUT NOT WITHIN A RECORDED EASEMENT FOR SUCH PURPOSE.
D. POSSIBLE ENCROACHMENT OF A BUILDING ONTO PARCEL L AND ANY ASSOCIATES RIGHTS WHICH MAY EXIST TO MAINTAIN SAID BUILDING.

## ADAMS COUNTY ASSESSOR PROPERTY PROFILE

| Account \#: R | 0181461 |  | Local \#: |  |  | rcel \#: 018251020 | 203004 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Tax Year: | 2020 | Levy: | 99.96 | \# of Imps: | 1 | Created On: | 09/30/2013 |
| Tax Dist: | 038 | Map \#: |  | LEA: | 497WA | Active On: | 03/15/2017 |
| PUC: |  | Initials: | SMUNOZ | Acct Type: | Industrial | Inactive On: |  |
| Assign To: | SWHEE |  |  |  |  | Last Updated | 07/24/2020 |
| Owner's Name and Address |  |  |  | Property Address |  |  |  |
| 301 W 60TH PLACE LLC |  |  |  | Street: 301 W 60TH PL |  |  |  |
| 500 E 62ND AVE |  |  |  | City: |  |  |  |
| DENVER, CO 80216-1133 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |



Land Valuation Summary

| Land Type | Abst Cd | Value By | Net SF | Measure | \# of Units | Value/Unit | Actual Val | Asmt \% | Assessed Val |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Industrial | 3112 | Market | 385,770 | Square Feet | $\begin{gathered} 385,770 . \\ 000000 \end{gathered}$ | \$7.00 | \$2,700,390 | 29.00\% | \$783,113 |
| Class |  |  |  | Sub Class |  |  |  |  |  |
| Land Subtotal: |  |  |  |  | 8.86 |  | \$2,700,390 |  | \$783,113 |
| Land Attributes |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Attribute |  |  | Description |  |  |  |  |  | Adjustment |
| Improvement Valuation Summary |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\begin{array}{ll}\text { Imp \# } & \text { Pr } \\ 1 & \text { Co }\end{array}$ | Property Type | Abst Code | Occupancy |  |  | ClassMasonry | Actual Value | Asmt \% | Assessed Val* |
|  | Commercial | 3212 | Distributio | W Warehouse |  |  | \$3,830,462 | 29.00\% | \$1,110,834 |
| Improvement Subtotal: |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$3,830,462 |  | \$1,110,834 |

Total Property Value

| Total Value: | $\$ 6,530,852$ | $\$ 1,893,940$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |

*Approximate Assessed Value

## ADAMS COUNTY ASSESSOR <br> PROPERTY PROFILE



## ADAMS COUNTY ASSESSOR PROPERTY PROFILE



| Sales Summary |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Sale Date | Sale Price | Deed Type | Reception \# Book Page \# | Grantor |
| 03/14/2019 | \$13,000,000 | Special Warranty Deed | 2019000018494 | ROCKY MOUNTAIN PRESTRESS INC; ROCKY MOUNTAIN PRESTRESS LLC |
| 04/21/2017 | \$585,500 | Blanket Deed | 2017000035300 | PHELPS-TOINTON INC |
| Legal |  |  |  |  |
| SECT,TWN,RNG:10-3-68 DESC: BEG 20 FT E OF W4 COR SEC 10 TH N 347 FT TH E $487 / 09$ FT TH N 313 FT TH E $154 / 06$ FT TH S 330 FT TH E 660/3 FT TH S $255 / 62$ FT TO PT ON NWLY ROW LN OF DRGW RR CO THS 58D 58 |  |  |  |  |
| Section | Township | Range Qtr | QtrQtr Government Lot | Government Tract |
| 10 | 3 | 68 |  |  |
| Subdivision Information |  |  |  |  |

Land Valuation Summary

| Land Valuation Summary |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Land Type | Abst Cd | Value By | Net SF | Measure | \# of Units | Value/Unit | Actual Val | Asmt \% | Assessed Val |
| Commercial | 0200 | Market | 490,701 | Square Feet | $\begin{gathered} 490,700 . \\ 530000 \end{gathered}$ | \$1.75 | \$858,726 | 29.00\% | \$249,031 |
| Class |  |  |  | Sub Class |  |  |  |  |  |
| Land Subtot |  |  |  |  | 11.26 |  | \$858,726 |  | \$249,031 |

## ADAMS COUNTY ASSESSOR PROPERTY PROFILE

| Account \#: R0103486 | Local \#: | Parcel \#: 0182510200040 |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | ---: |
|  |  | Land Attributes |  |
| Attribute | Description <br> Location |  |  |
| Size | Excess |  |  |

## ADAMS COUNTY ASSESSOR PROPERTY PROFILE



| Sale Date | Sale Price | Deed Type | Reception \# | Book Page \# | Grantor |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 03/14/2019 | \$13,000,000 | Special Warranty Deed | 2019000018494 |  | ROCKY MOUNTAIN PRESTRESS INC; ROCKY MOUNTAIN PRESTRESS LLC |
| 04/21/2017 | \$585,500 | Blanket Deed | 2017000035300 |  | PHELPS-TOINTON INC |
| Legal |  |  |  |  |  |
| SECT,TWN,RNG:10-3-68 DESC: S2 N2 SE4 SW4 NW4 2/50A |  |  |  |  |  |
| Section | Township | Range Qtr | QtrQtr | Government Lot | Government Tract |
| 10 | 3 | 68 |  |  |  |
| Subdivision Information |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Name | Block Lot | Tract |  |  |

Land Valuation Summary


Improvement Valuation Summary

| Imp \# Property Type Abst Code | Occupancy | Class | Actual Value Asmt $\%$ | Assessed Val* |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Improvement Subtotal: |  | $\$ 0$ | $\$ 0$ |  |


|  | Total Property Value |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Total Value: |  | $\$ 381,150$ |
| *Approximate Assessed Value | $\$ 110,530$ |  |

## ADAMS COUNTY ASSESSOR PROPERTY PROFILE




Land Valuation Summary


Total Property Value

| Total Value: | $\$ 500$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| *Approximate Assessed Value | $\$ 150$ |

Adams County Treasurer Receipt of Tax Payment

| Account | Parcel Number | Receipt Date | Effective Date | Receipt Number |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| R0181461 | 0182510203004 | Jul 28, 2020 | Apr 30, 2020 | 2020-07-24-MG- |
|  |  |  |  | 12838 |

ROCKY MOUNTAIN PRESTRESS LLC
5801 PECOS ST
DENVER, CO 80221-6644

| Situs Address | Payor |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 301 W 60TH PL 000000000 | ROCKY MOUNTAIN PRESTRESS LLC <br> 5801 PECOS ST <br> DENVER, CO 80221-6644 |  |  |  |  |
| Legal Description |  |  |  |  |  |
| PHELPS TOINTON 60TH PLACE MINOR SUBD BLK 1 LOT 1 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Property Code | Actual | Assessed | Year | Area | Mill Levy |
| IND LND CTRCT/SERV - 3112 | 2,700,390 | 783,110 | 2019 | 038 | 99.96 |
| CONTRACTING/SER - 3212 | 3,830,462 | 1,110,830 | 2019 | 038 | 99.96 |
| Payments Received |  |  |  |  |  |
| Check \$189,318.24 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Check Number 5578 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Payor M-L HOLDINGS COM |  |  |  |  |  |

## Payments Applied

| Year | Charges | Billed | Prior Payments | New Payments | Balance |
| :--- | :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 2019 | Tax Charge | $\$ 189,318.24$ | $\$ 0.00$ | $\$ 189,318.24$ | $\$ 0.00$ |
|  |  | $\$ 189,318.24$ | $\$ 0.00$ |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | $\$ 0.00$ |  |

## WE ARE EXPANDING TO SERVE YOU BETTER! WATCH FOR NEW LOCATIONS ON OUR WEBSITE!

4430 S ADAMS COUNTY PKWY C2436
BRIGHTON CO 80601
[Stay Safe! Please use website services www.adcotax.com]
Email: treasurer@adcogov.org
Telephone: 720-523-6160
ALL CHECKS ARE SUBJECT TO FINAL COLLECTION. THANK YOU FOR YOUR PAYMENT!

Adams County Treasurer
Receipt of Tax Payment

| Account | Parcel Number | Receipt Date | Receipt Number |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| R0103486 | 0182510200040 | Jul 23, 2020 | 2020-07-23-WEB-31499 |

301 W 60TH PLACE LLC
ATTN: DAVID MATZ
500 E 62ND AVE
DENVER, CO 80216-1133


WE ARE EXPANDING TO SERVE YOU BETTER! WATCH FOR NEW LOCATIONS ON OUR WEBSITE!
4430 S ADAMS COUNTY PKWY C2436
BRIGHTON CO 80601
[Stay Safe! Please use website services www.adcotax.com]
Email: treasurer@adcogov.org
Telephone: 720-523-6160
ALL CHECKS ARE SUBJECT TO FINAL COLLECTION. THANK YOU FOR YOUR PAYMENT!

Adams County Treasurer Receipt of Tax Payment

| Account | Parcel Number | Receipt Date | Receipt Number |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| R0103491 | 0182510200048 | Jul 23, 2020 | 2020-07-23-WEB-31507 |

301 W 60TH PLACE LLC
ATTN: DAVID MATZ
500 E 62ND AVE
DENVER, CO 80216-1133


WE ARE EXPANDING TO SERVE YOU BETTER! WATCH FOR NEW LOCATIONS ON OUR WEBSITE!
4430 S ADAMS COUNTY PKWY C2436
BRIGHTON CO 80601
[Stay Safe! Please use website services www.adcotax.com]
Email: treasurer@adcogov.org
Telephone: 720-523-6160
ALL CHECKS ARE SUBJECT TO FINAL COLLECTION.' THANK YOU FOR YOUR PAYMENT!

# Adams County Treasurer Receipt of Tax Payment 

| Account | Parcel Number | Receipt Date | Receipt Number |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| R0122428 | 0182510200042 | Feb 26, 2019 | 2019-02-26-GMA-4606 |

ROCKY MOUNTAIN PRESTRESS LLC
5801 PECOS ST
DENVER, CO 80221-6644

| Situs Address | Payor |
| :--- | :--- |
| 0000000000 | ROCKY MOUNTAIN PRESTRESS |

## Legal Description

SECT,TWN,RNG:10-3-68 DESC: BEG 74/33 FT N OF SW COR SE4 NW4 SEC 10 TH N 209/92 FT TH E 30 FT M/L TO PT 10 FT ELY AT R/A FROM THE C/L OF THE DRGW RR CO ICC TRACK NO 31C TH SLY // WITH SD TRACK 200 FT M/L TO PT IN SD RR CO NLY ROW LN THE SWLY ALG SD ROW LN 23 FT M/L TO POB 0/15A

| Property Code | Actual | Assessed | Year | Area | Mill Levy |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| $0800-0800$ | 500 | 150 | 2018 | 075 | 105.86 |
| Payments Received |  |  |  |  |  |

Check Multi-Account Payment
Check Number 18343

## Payments Applied

| Year | Charges | Billed | Prior Payments | New Payments | Balance |
| :--- | :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 2018 | Tax Charge | $\$ 15.88$ | $\$ 0.00$ | $\$ 15.88$ | $\$ 0.00$ |
| 2018 | Refund | $\$ 0.00$ | $\$ 0.00$ | $\$ 15.88$ | $(\$ 15.88)$ |
|  |  |  | $\$ 31.76$ | $(\$ 15.88)$ |  |
|  |  |  |  | $\$ 0.00$ |  |

WE ARE EXPANDING TO SERVE YOU BETTER!

4430 S ADAMS COUNTY PKWY C2436
BRIGHTON CO 80601
MON - FRI 7 AM - 5 PM

11860 PECOS STREET
WESTMINSTER CO 80234
MON - THUR 7:30 AM - 5 PM

ALL CHECKS ARE SUBJECT TO FINAL COLLECTION. THANK YOU FOR YOUR PAYMENT!

December 3, 2021
Adams County
Planning \& Development
4430 South Adams County Parkway
First Floor, Suite W2000A
Brighton, CO 80601

Re: Will Serve
301 West $60^{\text {th }}$ Place, Denver, Colorado 80221- Parcel J

To: Adams County Planning Commission
North Pecos Water \& Sanitation District has the capacity to serve the property known as 301 West $60^{\text {th }}$ Place, Denver, Colorado, 80221 - Parcel J, as it is currently platted (as is shown in the attached exhibit), with:

| $x x x$ | Water |
| :--- | :--- |
| $x x x$ | Sanitary Sewer |

It is understood that, at the present time, the occupant of Parcel J, is planning on using a completely portable operation and will not have an immediate need to tie into North Pecos Water \& Sanitation District facilities. It is also understood that there may be a need in the future to connect to our facilities. Connection to North Pecos Water \& Sanitation District facilities will require main extensions or upsizing of mains; the property owner and/or developer will be responsible for any and all contracting fees, consultant fees and/or material costs to supply such services. Any main extension must adhere to all North Pecos Water \& Sanitation District standards. Any alterations or additions to the District's existing lines must be approved by the North Pecos Water \& Sanitation District prior to construction. The landowner/developer will be required to install and/or upsize any water and sanitary sewer mains in accordance with any approved plans; any deviation from the approved plans must be approved prior to the work taking place. Should any taps, upgrades to existing or new, be required the property owner is responsible for all charges and fees applicable for such development. All fees and charges must be paid before review and/or construction can begin. Also, if necessary, all easements must be conveyed to the District and recorded before construction can begin.

Note: future subdivisions may require additional review and individual will serve letters).
If you have any questions or concerning this matter, please contact the District office.

Sincerely,

## Construe folazo

## Courtney Salazar

North Pecos Water \& Sanitation District


## WILL SERVE LETTER

301 W. 60th Place
Adams County
Colorado

Re: Will Serve
Dear William,
This letter is to confirm that Xcel Energy is your utility provider for natural gas and electric service. In accordance with our tariffs, on file with and approved by the Colorado Public Utilities Commission, gas and electric facilities can be made available to serve the project at 301 W . 60th Place Adams County. The cost, and whether any reinforcements or extensions are required, for the Company to provide those facilities will be determined by your designer upon receipt of application and project plans.

Your utility service(s) will be provided after the following steps are completed:

- Application submitted to Xcel Energy's "Builders Call Line (BCL)" - once your application is accepted you will be assigned a design department representative who will be your primary point of contact
- Utility design is completed - you must provide your design representative with the site plan, the one line diagrams, and panel schedules for electric and gas loads if applicable
- All documents provided by design representative are signed and returned
- Payment is received (Residential Service Laterals if applicable)
- Required easements are granted - you must sign and return applicable easement documents to your Right-of-Way agent
- Site is ready for utility construction - the site ready information can be found on our website at may be viewed at Construction and Inspection | Xcel Energy.

An estimated scheduled in-service date will be provided once these requirements have been met. It is important to keep in mind that the terms and conditions of utility service, per our tariffs, require that you provide adequate space and an easement on your property for all gas and electric facilities required to serve your project, including but not limited to gas and electrical lines and meters, transformers, and pedestals. General guidelines for requirements can be found on our website at xcelenergy.com/InstallAndConnect.

Xcel Energy looks forward to working with you on your project and if I can be of further assistance, please contact me at the phone number or email listed below.

Sincerely,
Tony Pietras
Xcel Energy Builder and Developer Representative
Mailing address: Xcel Energy
1123 W 3rd Ave
Denver, CO 80231

## PARCEL J:

A parcel of land located in the South $1 / 2$ of the Southwest $1 / 4$ of the Northwest $1 / 4$ of Section 10, Township 3 South, Range 68 West of the 6th P.M., County of Adams, State of Colorado, being more particularly described as follows:
Beginning at the point of intersection of the South line of the Northwest $1 / 4$ of said Section 10, and the East line of Huron St. which point is 20.0 feet East of the West One-quarter corner of said Section 10; thence North, along the East line of Huron St. a distance of 347.0 feet; thence East, parallel with the North line of the N1/2 SW1/4 SW1/4 NW1/4, a distance of 487.09 feet; thence North, parallel with the East line of said N1/2 SW1/4 SW1/4 NW1/4, a distance of 313.0 feet to a point on the North line of said N1/2 SW1/4 SW1/4 NW1/4; thence East, along the North line of said N1/2 SW1/4 SW1/4 NW1/4, a distance of 154.06 feet to the Northeast corner of said N1/2 SW1/4 SW1/4 NW1/4; thence South, along the East line of said N1/2 SW1/4 SW1/4 NW1/4, a distance of 330.0 feet to the Southeast corner of said N1/2 SW1/4 SW1/4 NW1/4; thence East, along the North line of said S1/2 S1/2 SW1/4 NW1/4 of said Section 10, a distance of 660.3 feet to the Northeast corner of said S1/2 S1/2 SW1/4 NW1/4; thence South, along the East line of said S1/2 S1/2 SW1/4 NW1/4, a distance of 255.62 feet to a point on the northwesterly Right-of-Way line of the Denver \& Rio Grande Western Railroad Company; thence South $58^{\circ} 58^{\prime}$ West along said northwesterly Right-of-Way line, a distance of 144.17 feet to a point on the South line of the SW1/4 NW1/4 of said Section 10; thence West, along the South line of the SW1/4 NW1/4 of said Section 10, a distance of 1199.55 feet, more or less, to the point of beginning.

EXCEPT that part described in Deed recorded May 25, 2004 under Reception No. 20040525000402220.

## PARCEL K:

The South One-half ( $\mathrm{S} 1 / 2$ ) of the North One-half ( $\mathrm{N} 1 / 2$ ) of the Southeast One-quarter (SE1/4) of the Southwest One-quarter (SW1/4) of the Northwest One-quarter (NW1/4) of Section 10, Township 3 South, Range 68 West of the 6 th p.m., County of Adams, State of Colorado.

## PARCEL L:

A tract or parcel of land within the Southeast $1 / 4$ of the Northwest One-quarter of Section 10, Township 3 South, Range 68 West of the 6th P.M., County of Adams, State of Colorado, more particularly described as follows:
Beginning at a point in the West line of said SE1/4 NW1/4 located 74.33 feet North from the SW corner thereof; thence North along said West line 209.92 feet; thence East 30 feet, more or less to a point 10 feet easterly at right angles from the centerline of the Denver and Rio Grande Western Railroad Company's I.C.C. Track No. 31C; thence southerly parallel with said Track 200 feet, more or less, to a point in said railroad company's northerly Right-of-Way line; thence southwesterly along said Right-of-Way line 23 feet, more or less, to the point of beginning.

## CERTIFICATION OF NOTICE TO MINERAL ESTATE OWNERS

I/We, 301 W 60TH PLACE, LLC
(the "Applicant") by signing below, hereby declare and certify as follows:
With respect to the property located at:
Physical Address:
301 W 60th Place, Denver, CO, 80216
Legal Description: See attached Warranty Deed for complete description
Parcel \#(s):
0182510200040
(PLEASE CHECK ONE):
$\qquad$ On the $\qquad$ day of $\qquad$ , 20 $\qquad$ , which is not less than thirty days before the initial public hearing, notice of application for surface development was provided to mineral estate owners pursuant to section 24-65.5-103 of the Colorado Revised Statutes;
or
$\qquad$ I/We have searched the records of the Adams County Tax Assessor and the Adams County Clerk and Recorder for the above identified parcel and have found that no mineral estate owner is identified therein.

Date: 12/9/2021

|  | By: | Willian Pessoman |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Print Name: <br> Address: | William Plessman |  |
|  |  | 500 East 62nd Avenue |  |
|  |  | Denver, CO 80216 |  |
| STATE OF COLORADO | , |  |  |
|  | ) |  |  |
| COUNTY OF ADAMS | ) |  |  |

Subscribed and sworn to before me this 9 day of December, 2021, by William Plessman.

Witness my hand and official seal.
My Commission expires: $11 \cdot 6 \cdot 2023$


After Recording Return To:
Name and Address of Person Preparing Legal Description:

A recorded copy of this Certification shall be submitted to the Adams County Community and Economic Development Department with all applicable land use applications.

## APPLICANT'S CERTIFICATION CONCERNING QUALIFYING SURFACE DEVELOPMENT, PURSUANT TO C.R.S. §24-65.5-103.3 (1)(b) <br> I/We, <br> 301 W 60TH PLACE, LLC , (the "Applicant") by signing below, hereby declare and certify as follows:

Concerning the property located at:

| Physical Address: |
| :--- | :--- |
| Legal Description: |
| Parcel \#(s): $\quad 0182510200040$ |

With respect to qualifying surface developments, that (PLEASE CHECK ONE):
$x$ No mineral estate owner has entered an appearance or filed an objection to the proposed application for development within thirty days after the initial public hearing on the application; or
$\qquad$ The Applicant and any mineral estate owners who have filed an objection to the proposed application for development or have otherwise filed an entry of appearance in the initial public hearing regarding such application no later than thirty days following the initial public hearing on the application have executed a surface use agreement related to the property included in the application for development, the provisions of which have been incorporated into the application for development or are evidenced by a memorandum or otherwise recorded in the records of the clerk and recorder of the county in which the property is located so as to provide notice to transferees of the Applicant, who shall be bound by such surface use agreements; or

The application for development provides:
(i) Access to mineral operations, surface facilities, flowlines, and pipelines in support of such operations existing when the final public hearing on the application for development is held by means of public roads sufficient to withstand trucks and drilling equipment or thirty-foot-wide access easements;
(ii) An oil and gas operations area and existing well site locations in accordance with section 24-65.5-103.5 of the Colorado Revised Statutes; and
(iii) That the deposit for incremental drilling costs described in section 24-65.5-103.7 of the Colorado Revised Statutes has been made.

Date: 12/9/2021

After Recording Return To:

| Applicant: | 301 W 60TH PLACE, LLC |
| :--- | :--- |
| By: | Willion Plesemon |
| Print Name: | William Plessman |
| Address: | 500 East 62 nd Avenue |
|  | Denver, CO 80216 |
|  |  |


| STATE OF COLORADO | ) |
| :--- | :--- |
|  | AMANDA BEAMAN |
| COUNTY OF ADAMS | ) | | NOTARY PUBLIC. STATE OF COLORADO |
| :---: |
| Notary ID \#20194041959 |
| My Commission Expires 11/6/2023 |

Subscribed and sworn to before me this 9 day of December, 2021, by William Plessman.

Witness my hand and official seal.


Name and Address of Person Preparing Legal Description:

A recorded copy of this Certification shall be submitted to the Adams County Community and Economic Development Department within thirty days after the initial public hearing on all applicable land use applications.

When recorded return to:
Robinson Waters O'Dorisio, P.C. 1099 18th Street, Suite 2600
Denver, CO 80202
Attn: Juli E. Lapin
juli@rwolaw.com


## SPECIAL WARRANTY DEED

[Statutory Form - C.R.S. § 38-30-115]

Rocky Mountain Prestress, LLC, a Colorado limited liability company ("Grantor"), whose street address is 5801 Pecos Street, Denver, CO 80221, for Ten and 00/100 Dollars ( $\$ 10.00$ ) and other good and valuable consideration, the receipt and sufficiency of which are hereby acknowledged, hereby sells and conveys to 301 West 60th Place, LLC, a Colorado limited liability company, whose address is 500 E. 62 nd Avenue, Denver, CO 80216, Attn.: David Matz, the real property in the County of Adams and State of Colorado described on Exhibit A attached hereto and made a part hereof (the "Property"), with all its appurtenances, so long as, for a period of twenty (20) years after the date hereof, the Property or any portion thereof is not used for the manufacture, fabrication, assembly, storage, sale or distribution of prestressed concrete products, including, without limitation, all concrete products created by pre-tensioning, post-tensioning and bonded post-tensioning construction methods. Grantor warrants the title to the Property against all persons claiming under Grantor, subject to the matters set forth on Exhibit B attached hereto and made a part hereof.

The street address for the foregoing property is: 301 W. 60th Place, Denver, Colorado 80216.
Signed as of this $13^{\text {たh }}$ day of March, 2019

## SIGNATURES ON FOLLOWING PAGE



Electronically Recorded RECEPTION\#: 2019000018494, 3/14/2019 at 1:14 PM, 3 OF 6,
TD Pgs: 3 Josh Zygielbaum, Adams County, CO.

## EXHIBIT A <br> TO <br> SPECIAL WARRANTY DEED

## PARCELJ:

A PARCEL OF LAND LOCATED IN THE SOUTH $1 / 2$ OF THE SOUTHWEST $1 / 4$ OF THE NORTHWEST $1 / 4$ OF SECTION 10, TOWNSHIP 3 SOUTH, RANGE 68 WEST OF THE 6 TH P.M., COUNTY OF ADAMS, STATE OF COLORADO, BEING MORE PARTICULARLY DESCRIBED AS FOLLOWS:

BEGINNING AT THE POINT OF INTERSECTION OF THE SOUTH LINE OF THE NORTHWEST $1 / 4$ OF SAID SECTION 10, AND THE EAST LINE OF HURON ST. WHICH POINT IS 20.0 FEET EAST OF THE WEST ONEQUARTER CORNER OF SAID SECTION 10;
THENCE NORTH, ALONG THE EAST LINE OF HURON ST. A DISTANCE OF 347.0 FEET;
THENCE EAST, PARALEL WITH THE NORTH LINE OF THE N1/2 SW1/4 SW1/4 NW1/4, A DISTANCE OF 487.09 FEET;

THENCE NORTH, PARALEL WITH THE EAST LINE OF SAID N1/2 SW1/4 SW1/4 NW1/4, A DISTANCE OF 313.0 FEET TO A POINT ON THE NORTH LINE OF SAID N1/2 SW1/4 SW1/4 NW1/4;

THENCE EAST, ALONG THE NORTH LINE OF SAID N1/2 SW1/4 SW1/4 NW1/4, A DISTANCE OF 154.06 FEET TO THE NORTHEAST CORNER OF SAID N1/2 SW1/4 SW1/4 NW1/4;
THENCE SOUTH, ALONG THE EAST LINE OF SAID N1/2 SW1/4 SW1/4 NW1/4, A DISTANCE OF 330.0 FEET TO THE SOUTHEAST CORNER OF SAID N1/2 SW1/4 SW $1 / 4$ NW $1 / 4$;
THENCE EAST, ALONG THE NORTH LINE OF SAID S $1 / 2$ S $1 / 2$ SW $1 / 4$ NW $1 / 4$ OF SAID SECTION 10, A DISTANCE OF 660.3 FEET TO THE NORTHEAST CORNER OF SAID S $1 / 2$ S $1 / 2$ SW $1 / 4$ NW $1 / 4 ;$ THENCE SOUTH, ALONG THE EAST LINE OF SAID S $1 / 2$ S $1 / 2$ SW $1 / 4$ NW $1 / 4$, A DISTANCE OF 255.62 FEET TO A POINT ON THE NORTHWESTERLY RIGHT-OF-WAY LINE OF THE DENVER \& RIO GRANDE WESTERN RAILROAD COMPANY;
THENCE SOUTH $58^{\circ} 58^{\prime}$ WEST ALONG SAID NORTHWESTERLY RIGHT-OF-WAY LINE, A DISTANCE OF 144.17 FEET TO A POINT ON THE SOUTH LINE OF THE SW1/4 NW1/4 OF SAID SECTION 10 ; THENCE WEST, ALONG THE SOUTH LINE OF THE SW $1 / 4$ NW $1 / 4$ OF SAID SECTION 10 , A DISTANCE OF 1199.55 FEET, MORE OR LESS, TO THE POINT OF BEGINNING.

Electronically Recorded RECEPTION\#: 2019000018494, 3/14/2019 at 1:14 PM, 4 OF 6,
TD Pgs: 3 Josh Zygielbaum, Adams County, CO.

PARCELK:

THE SOUTH ONE-HALF (S12) OF THE NORTH ONE-HALF (N1/2) OF THE SQUTHEAST ONEQUARTER (SE1/4) OF THE SOUTHWEST ONE-QUARTER (SWI/4) OF THE NORTHWEST ONE-OUARTER (NW $1 / 4$ ) OF SECTION 10. TOWNSHIP 3 SOUTH. RANGE 68 WEST OF THE $6 T H$ P.M. COUNTY OF ADAMS. STATE OF COLORADO.

## PARCELL:

A TRACT OR PARCEL OF LAND WITHIN THE SOUTHEAST $1 / 4$ OF THE NORTHWEST ONE-QUARTER OF SECTION 10, TOWNSHIP 3 SOUTH, RANGE 68 WEST OF THE $6 T H$ P. R., COUNTY OF ADAMS, STATE OF COLORADO. MORE PARTICULARLY DESCRIBED AS FOLLOWS:

BEGINNING AT A POINT IN THE WEST LHE OF SAIO SEI\& NWV4 LOCATED 74.33 FEET NORTH FROM THE SW CORNEA THEREOF:
THENCE NORTH ALONG SAID WEST LINE 209.92 FEET;
THENCE EAST 30 FEET, MORE OR LESS TO A POINT 10 FEET EASTERLY AT RIGHT ANGLES FROM THE CENTERLNE OF THE DENVER AND AIO GPANDE WESTERN RAILROAD COMPANYS IC.C. TFACK NO. 31C:
THENCE SOUTHERLY PARALLEL WITH SAID TRACK 200 FEET, MORE OR LESS. TO A POINT IN SAID RAILROAD COMPANY'S NORTHERLY RIGHT-OF.WAY LINE;
THENCE SOUTHWESTEREY ALONG SAID RIGHT.OF.WAY LINE 23 FEET. MORE OR LESS, TO THE POINT OF BEGINNING.

PARCEL M:

LOT \{. BLOCK 1. PHELPS-TOINTON 6OTH PLACE MINOR SUBDIVISION, COUNTY OF ADAMS, STATE OF COLORADO.
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## I. Introduction

## Project Overview

This traffic impact study addresses the capacity, geometric, and control requirements associated with the development entitled High Plains Disposal.

This proposed industrial development consists of a construction and demolition disposal and recycling center facility. The development is located between Huron Street and Broadway, west of the intersection of W 60 th Place and Broadway, in Adams County, Colorado.

## Study Area Boundaries

The study area to be examined in this analysis encompasses the Broadway and $W 62^{\text {nd }}$ Avenue intersection west to the W 62nd Parkway and Huron Street intersection, south to the Broadway and W $60^{\text {th }}$ Place intersection, and proposed site access.

Figure 1 illustrates location of the site and study intersections.

## Site Description

Land for the development is currently occupied by storage materials for the adjacent Winslow Crane Service Company and surrounded by a mix of industrial and commercial land uses.

The proposed development is understood to entail the new build of a construction and demolition disposal and recycling center facility supporting one operations bay.

Proposed access to the development is provided at the following locations: one full-movement access on Broadway via W 60th Place serving as the development's enter-only access, and one full-movement access onto Huron Street (referred to as Site Access) serving as the development's exit-only access.

For purposes of this study, it is anticipated that development construction would be completed by end of Year 2023.

A conceptual site plan, as prepared by R\&R Engineers \& Surveyors, Inc., is shown on Figure 2. This plan is provided for illustrative purposes only.



## Existing and Committed Surface Transportation Network

Within the study area, Broadway is the primary roadway that will accommodate traffic to and from the proposed development. Secondary roadways include W 62 ${ }^{\text {nd }}$ Avenue, W 62nd Parkway, W 60 ${ }^{\text {th }}$ Place, and Huron Street. A brief description of each roadway, based on the County's Transportation Plan ${ }^{1}$ and the County's Development Standards and Regulations², is provided below:

Broadway is a north-south Major Collector roadway having two through lanes (one lane in each direction) with a combination of shared and exclusive turn lanes at the intersections within the study area. The Colorado Department of Transportation (CDOT) categorizes the adjacent segment of Broadway (State Highway 53) as a Non-Rural Arterial (NR-B) and provides a posted speed limit of 35 MPH .

W 62 ${ }^{\text {nd }}$ Avenue is an east-west Minor Collector roadway having two through lanes (one lane in each direction) with a combination of shared and exclusive turn lanes at the intersections within the study area. W 62nd ${ }^{\text {nd }}$ Avenue provides a posted speed limit of 30 MPH .

W62nd Parkway is an east-west roadway having two through lanes (one lane in each direction) with shared turn lanes at the intersection within the study area. W $62^{\text {nd }}$ Parkway is unclassified in County's transportation plan. However, per Section 7-01-03, Table 7.2 of the County's standards and regulations versus the roadway's estimated right-of-way (ROW) width, similarities to W $62^{\text {nd }}$ Avenue, and 30 MPH posted speed limit, W 62 ${ }^{\text {nd }}$ Parkway is assumed to be classified as a Minor Collector roadway.

W 60 ${ }^{\text {th }}$ Place is an east-west roadway having two through lanes (one lane in each direction) with shared turn lanes at the intersection within the study area. W 60th Place provides a posted speed limit of 10 MPH . W 60th Place is unclassified in County's transportation plan. However, per Section 7-01-03, Table 7.2 of the County's standards and regulations versus the roadway's estimated ROW width and connection to Broadway, W 60 ${ }^{\text {th }}$ Place is assumed to be classified as a Local - Industrial/Commercial roadway.

Huron Street is a north-south roadway having two through lanes (one lane in each direction) with shared turn lanes at the intersection within the study area. Huron Street is unclassified in County's transportation plan. However, per Section 7-01-03, Table 7.2 of the County's standards and regulations versus the roadway's connection to W 62nd Parkway and access to various industrial and commercial land uses, Huron Street is assumed to be classified as a Local - Industrial/Commercial roadway with a design speed limit of 30 MPH .

The study intersection of Broadway and W 62 ${ }^{\text {nd }}$ Avenue is signalized. All other study intersections operate under a stop-controlled condition. A stop-controlled intersection is defined as a roadway intersection where vehicle rights-of-way are controlled by one or more "STOP" signs.

No regional or specific improvements for the above-described roadways are known to be planned or committed at this time. The study area roadways appear to be built to their ultimate cross-sections.

[^0]
## II. Existing Traffic Conditions

Morning (AM) and afternoon (PM) peak hour traffic counts were collected at the intersections of Broadway with W $62^{\text {nd }}$ Avenue and W 60th Place, as well as the intersections of W 62nd Parkway with W 62nd Avenue and Huron Street. Average daily traffic (ADT) volumes were collected over a 24 -hour period on Broadway and on Huron Street. Counts were collected on Tuesday, July 29, 2021, with AM peak hour counts being collected during the period of 7:00 a.m. to 9:00 a.m. and PM peak hour counts being collected during the period of 4:00 p.m. to 6:00 p.m.

These counts and intersection geometry are shown on Figure 3. Traffic count data is included for reference in Appendix A.

Existing signal timing parameters for Broadway and W 62nd Avenue were obtained from CDOT and used throughout this study to the best extent possible in order to remain consistent with existing signal coordination plans. CDOT signal timing information received is included for reference in Appendix A.


The Signalized and Unsignalized Intersection Analysis techniques, as published in the Highway Capacity Manual (HCM) by the Transportation Research Board and as incorporated into the SYNCHRO computer program, were used to analyze the study intersections for existing traffic conditions. These nationally accepted techniques allow for the determination of intersection level of service (LOS) based on the congestion and delay of each traffic movement.

Level of service is a method of measurement used by transportation professionals to quantify a driver's perception of travel conditions that include travel time, number of stops, and total amount of stopped delay experienced on a roadway network. The HCM categorizes level of service into a range from "A" which indicates little, if any, vehicle delay, to " $F$ " which indicates a level of operation considered unacceptable to most drivers. These levels of service grades with brief descriptions of the operating condition, for unsignalized and signalized intersections, are included for reference in Appendix B and have been used throughout this study.

The level of service analyses results for existing conditions are summarized in Table 1.
Intersection capacity worksheets developed for this study are provided in Appendix C.

Table 1 - Intersection Capacity Analysis Summary - Existing Traffic

| INTERSECTION <br> LANE GROUPS | LEVEL OF SERVICE |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
|  | AM PEAK HOUR | PM PEAK HOUR |
| Broadway / W 62nd Avenue (Signalized) | C (24.1) | C (27.8) |
| Broadway / W60th Place (Stop-Controlled) |  |  |
| Eastbound Left and Right | B | B |
| Northbound Left and Through | A | A |
| W62nd Avenue / W 62nd Parkway (Stop-Controlled) |  | A |
| Westbound Left and Through | B | B |
| Northbound Left and Right |  | A |
| W62nd Parkway / Huron Street (Stop-Controlled) | A | A |
| Westbound Left and Through | B |  |
| Northbound Left and Right |  |  |

Key: Signalized Intersection: Level of Service (Control Delay in sec/veh)
Stop-Controlled Intersection: Lev el of Service

## Existing Traffic Analysis Results

Under existing conditions, operational analysis shows that the signalized intersection of Broadway with W 62nd Avenue has overall operations at LOS C during both the morning and afternoon peak traffic hours.

The stop-controlled intersections within the study area have turning movement operations at or better than LOS B during both the morning and afternoon peak traffic hours.

## III. Future Traffic Conditions Without Proposed Development

Background traffic is the traffic projected to be on area roadways without consideration of the proposed development. Background traffic includes traffic generated by development of vacant parcels in the area.

To account for projected increases in background traffic for Years 2023 and 2041, a compounded annual growth rate was determined using traffic data provided by CDOT's Online Transportation Information System (OTIS) along the adjacent segment of Broadway (State Highway 53), which anticipates a 20 -year growth rate less than one percent. Therefore, in order to provide for a conservative analysis, a growth rate of approximately two percent was applied to existing traffic volumes.

Pursuant to the non-committed area roadway improvements discussed in Section I, Year 2023 and Year 2041 background traffic conditions assume no roadway improvements to accommodate regional transportation demands. Year 2041 assumes existing signal timing parameters for Broadway and W $62{ }^{\text {nd }}$ Avenue with optimized intersection splits in effort to better long-term intersection performance. This assumption provides for a conservative analysis.

Projected background traffic volumes and intersection geometry for Years 2023 and 2041 are shown on Figure 4 and Figure 5, respectively.




As with existing traffic conditions, the operations of study intersections were analyzed under background conditions, without the proposed development, using the SYNCHRO computer program.

Background traffic level of service analysis results for Year 2023 are listed in Table 2. Year 2041 operational results are summarized in Table 3.

Definitions of levels of service are given in Appendix B. Intersection capacity worksheets are provided in Appendix C.

Table 2 - Intersection Capacity Analysis Summary - Background Traffic - Year 2023

| INTERSECTION <br> LANE GROUPS | LEVEL OF SERVICE |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
|  | AM PEAK HOUR | PM PEAK HOUR |
| Broadway / W 62nd Avenue (Signalized) | $\mathrm{C} \mathrm{(24.6)}$ | $\mathrm{C}(28.4)$ |
| Broadway / W 60th Place (Stop-Controlled) |  |  |
| Eastbound Left and Right | B | B |
| Northbound Left and Through | A | A |
| W62nd Avenue / W62nd Parkway (Stop-Controlled) |  |  |
| Westbound Left and Through | A | A |
| Northbound Left and Right | B | B |
| W62nd Parkway / Huron Street (Stop-Controlled) | A | A |
| Westbound Left and Through | B | B |
| Northbound Left and Right |  |  |

Key: Signalized Intersection: Level of Service (Control Delay in sec/veh)
Stop-Controlled Intersection: Lev el of Service

## Background Traffic Analysis Results - Year 2023

Year 2023 background traffic analysis indicates that the signalized intersection of Broadway with W $62^{\text {nd }}$ Avenue has overall operations at LOS C during both the morning and afternoon peak traffic hours.

All unsignalized intersections within the study area continue to show LOS B or better turning movement operations during both the morning and afternoon peak traffic hours.

Table 3 - Intersection Capacity Analysis Summary - Background Traffic - Year 2041

| INTERSECTION <br> LANE GROUPS | LEVEL OF SERVICE |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
|  | AM PEAK HOUR | PM PEAK HOUR |
| Broadway / W62nd Avenue (Signalized) | $\mathrm{C}(34.4)$ | $\mathrm{D}(36.2)$ |
| Broadway / W60th Place (Stop-Controlled) |  |  |
| Eastbound Left and Right | C | C |
| Northbound Left and Through | A | A |
| W62nd Avenue / W 62nd Parkway (Stop-Controlled) | A | A |
| Westbound Left and Through | B | B |
| Northbound Left and Right |  | A |
| W62nd Parkway / Huron Street (Stop-Controlled) | A | B |
| Westbound Left and Through | B |  |
| Northbound Left and Right |  |  |

Key: Signalized Intersection: Lev el of Service (Control Delay in sec/veh)
Stop-Controlled Intersection: Lev el of Service

## Background Traffic Analysis Results - Year 2041

By Year 2041 and without the proposed development, the study intersection of Broadway with W 62 nd Avenue experiences LOS C operations during the morning peak traffic hour and LOS D operations during the afternoon peak traffic hour.

The stop-controlled intersection of Broadway with W 60th Place projects turning movement operations at or better than LOS C during both the morning and afternoon peak traffic hours.

The stop-controlled intersection of $\mathrm{W} 62^{\text {nd }}$ Avenue with $\mathrm{W} 60^{\text {th }}$ Place anticipates turning movement operations at or better than LOS B during both peak traffic hours.

The stop-controlled intersection of W 62nd Parkway with Huron Street expects turning movement operations at or better than LOS B during both the morning and afternoon peak traffic hours.

## IV. Proposed Project Traffic

## Trip Generation

Standard traffic generation characteristics compiled by the Institute of Transportation Engineers (ITE) in their report entitled Trip Generation Manual, 10th Edition, were applied to the proposed land use in order to estimate average daily traffic (ADT), AM Peak Hour, and PM Peak Hour vehicle trips. A vehicle trip is defined as a one-way vehicle movement from a point of origin to a point of destination.

However, ITE's Trip Generation Manual does not provide traffic generation information for this particular land use or similar land use. As such, proposed facility operations, as described by the developer, were evaluated to estimate average daily and weekday peak hour trip information.

Summarized facility operation information is as follows:

- One dumping station
- 5 to 6 operating days per week
- Maximum 700 tons of construction and demolition materials per day
- 5 to10 tons per truck
- 1 truck per 10 minute cycle length
- 3 to 4 office staff
- 10 to 15 yard staff

Using the above information, the number of daily and peak hour trips likely generated by High Plains Disposal development was then calculated.

While the development's employee vehicle trips are expected to operate outside of peak traffic hours of adjacent street traffic, employee vehicle trips were included within daily and peak hour trip calculations in order to provide for a conservative analysis.

Table 4 illustrates projected ADT, AM Peak Hour, and PM Peak Hour traffic volumes likely generated by the proposed development upon build-out.

Table 4 - Trip Generation Summary

|  |  | TOTAL TRIPS GENERATED |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | 24 | AM PEAK HOUR |  |  | PM PEAK HOUR |  |  |
|  | LAND USE | HOURE | HNTER | EXIT | TOTAL | ENTER | EXIT | TOTAL |
| Trucks | 88 | 175 | 7 | 6 | 13 | 7 | 6 | 13 |
| Employees (On-Site) | 15 | 30 | 3 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 3 | 3 |
|  | Total: | 205 | 10 | 6 | 16 | 7 | 9 | 16 |

Note: All data and calculations above are subject to being rounded to nearest value.

Upon build-out, Table 4 illustrates that the proposed development has the potential to generate approximately 205 daily trips with 16 of those occurring during either peak hour peak hour.

## Adjustments to Trip Generation Rates

A development of this type is not likely to attract trips from within area land uses nor pass-by or diverted link trips from the adjacent roadway system, therefore no trip reduction was taken in this analysis.

## Trip Distribution

The overall directional distribution of site-generated traffic was determined based on the location of development site within the County, proposed and existing area land uses, allowed turning movements, available roadway network, and in reference to distribution patterns of existing traffic count data.

Overall trip distribution patterns for the development are shown on Figure 6.

## Trip Assignment

Traffic assignment is how generated and distributed vehicle trips are expected to be loaded onto the available roadway network.

Applying trip distribution patterns to site-generated traffic provides the overall site-generated trip assignments shown on Figure 6. $\xrightarrow{(\% O Z)}$


## V. Future Traffic Conditions With Proposed Developments

Site-generated traffic was added to background traffic projections for Years 2023 and 2041 to develop total traffic projections. For analysis purposes, it was assumed that development construction would be completed by end of Year 2023.

Pursuant to area roadway improvement discussions provided in Section III, Year 2023 and Year 2041 total traffic conditions assume no roadway improvements to accommodate regional transportation demands. Roadway improvements associated with site development are expected to be limited to site access and frontage as required by the governing agency.

Projected Year 2023 total traffic volumes and intersection geometry are shown in Figure 7.
Figure 8 shows projected total traffic volumes and intersection geometry for Year 2041.
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Huron Street


## VI. Project Impacts

The analyses and procedures described in this study were performed in accordance with the Highway Capacity Manual (HCM) and are based upon the worst-case conditions that occur during a typical weekday upon build-out of site development and analyzed land uses. Therefore, study intersections are likely to operate with traffic conditions better than those described within this study, which represent the peak hours of weekday operations only.

## Peak Hour Intersection Levels of Service

As with background traffic, the operations of the study intersections were analyzed under projected total traffic conditions using the SYNCHRO computer program. Total traffic level of service analysis results for Years 2023 and 2041 are summarized in Table 5 and Table 6, respectively.

Definitions of levels of service are given in Appendix B. Intersection capacity worksheets are provided in Appendix C.

Table 5 - Intersection Capacity Analysis Summary - Total Traffic - Year 2023

| INTERSECTION LANE GROUPS | LEVEL OF SERVICE |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | AM PEAK HOUR | PM PEAK HOUR |
| Broadway / W 62nd Avenue (Signalized) | C (25.0) | C (28.7) |
| Broadway / W 60th Place (Stop-Controlled) <br> Eastbound Left and Right <br> Northbound Left and Through | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{B} \\ & \mathrm{~A} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{B} \\ & \mathrm{~A} \end{aligned}$ |
| W62nd Avenue / W 62nd Parkway (Stop-Controlled) <br> Westbound Left and Through <br> Northbound Left and Right | $\begin{aligned} & \text { A } \\ & \text { B } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { A } \\ & \text { B } \end{aligned}$ |
| W62nd Parkway / Huron Street (Stop-Controlled) <br> Westbound Left and Through <br> Northbound Left and Right | $\begin{aligned} & \text { A } \\ & \text { B } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { A } \\ & \text { B } \end{aligned}$ |
| Huron Street / Site Access (Stop-Controlled) Westbound Left and Right | A | A |

[^1]Table 6 - Intersection Capacity Analysis Summary - Total Traffic - Year 2041

| INTERSECTION <br> LANE GROUPS | LEVEL OF SERVICE |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | AM PEAK HOUR | PM PEAK HOUR |
| Broadway / W 62nd Avenue (Signalized) | D (35.4) | D (36.7) |
| Broadway / W 60th Place (Stop-Controlled) Eastbound Left and Right Northbound Left and Through | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{C} \\ & \mathrm{~A} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{C} \\ & \mathrm{~A} \end{aligned}$ |
| W62nd Avenue / W62nd Parkway (Stop-Controlled) <br> Westbound Left and Through Northbound Left and Right | $\begin{aligned} & \text { A } \\ & \text { B } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { A } \\ & \text { B } \end{aligned}$ |
| W62nd Parkway / Huron Street (Stop-Controlled) Westbound Left and Through Northbound Left and Right | $\begin{aligned} & \text { A } \\ & \text { B } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { A } \\ & \text { B } \end{aligned}$ |
| Huron Street / Site Access (Stop-Controlled) Westbound Left and Right | A | A |

Key: Signalized Intersection: Level of Service (Control Delay in sec/veh)
Stop-Controlled Intersection: Lev el of Service

## Total Traffic Analysis Results Upon Development Build-Out

Table 6 illustrates how, by Year 2041 and upon development build-out, the signalized intersection of Broadway with W 62nd Avenue shows an overall LOS D operation during both the morning and afternoon peak traffic hours. Compared to the background traffic analysis, the traffic generated by the proposed development is not expected to significantly change the operations of the study intersection.

The stop-controlled intersection of Broadway with W 60 th Place continue to project turning movement operations at or better than LOS C during both the morning and afternoon peak traffic hours.

The stop-controlled intersection of W 62nd Avenue with W 60 ${ }^{\text {th }}$ Place still anticipate turning movement operations at or better than LOS B during both peak traffic hours.

The stop-controlled intersection of W 62 ${ }^{\text {nd }}$ Parkway with Huron Street experiences turning movement operations at or better than LOS B during both the morning and afternoon peak traffic hours.

The stop-controlled intersection of Huron Street with the exit-only Site Access is projected to have turning movement operations at LOS A during both the morning and afternoon peak traffic hours.

## Queue Length Analysis

Queue lengths for study intersections were analyzed using Year 2041 total traffic conditions. The analysis yields estimate of $95^{\text {th }}$ percentile queue lengths, which have only a five percent probability of being exceeded during the analysis time period. Queue lengths were modeled and are included with the Synchro worksheets in Appendix C.

No significant vehicle queues due to site-generated trips at the study intersections were indicated. The greatest queue length anticipated for stop-controlled intersections within the study area occurs during the morning peak hour at the intersection of $\mathrm{W} 62^{\text {nd }}$ Parkway with $\mathrm{W} 62^{\text {nd }}$ Avenue. The queue length is approximately three vehicles for the northbound left and right turn movement. Queue lengths at the signalized intersection of Broadway with W 62nd Avenue are comparable to Year 2041 background traffic conditions and are not shown to exceed the existing turn lane storage lengths available.

## Auxiliary Lane Analysis

Auxiliary lanes for site development enter-only access along Broadway (State Highway 53) are to be based on CDOT's State Highway Access Code (SHAC) ${ }^{3}$.

Since site-generated trips are expected to be primarily heavy vehicles, a passenger car equivalent (PCE) was applied. Per CDOT's SHAC, a PCE of two (2) shall be used for each vehicle or combination at or greater than 20 feet in length but less than 40 feet, and a PCE of three (3) shall be used for each bus and all trucks and combinations at or greater than 40 feet in length.

For purposes of this auxiliary lane analysis, all ingress vehicle trips along Broadway at W 60th Place are assumed to be 40 feet in length or longer, providing a PCE of three vehicles.

By Year 2041, considering development build-out and passenger car equivalents, the northbound left turn volume is anticipated to be 15 and 18 PCE vehicles during the morning and afternoon peak traffic hours, respectively. As such, an evaluation of auxiliary lane requirements, pursuant to Section 3.11(4)(a) of CDOT's SHAC, reveals that a northbound left turn deceleration lane along Broadway at W 60th Avenue is not required since the projected PCE left turn ingress volume does not exceed the State's threshold of 50 vehicles per hour during peak traffic periods.

Considering development build-out and passenger car equivalents, the southbound right turn volume at $\mathrm{W} 60^{\text {th }}$ Avenue is anticipated to be 19 and 27 PCE vehicles during the morning and afternoon peak traffic hours, respectively. Pursuant to Section 3.11 (4)(b) of CDOT's SHAC, an evaluation of auxiliary lane requirements reveals that a southbound right turn deceleration lane along Broadway at $\mathrm{W} 60^{\text {th }}$ Place is not required since the projected PCE right turn ingress volume does not exceed the State's threshold of 50 vehicles per hour during peak traffic periods.

[^2]
## VII. Conclusion

This traffic impact study addressed the capacity, geometric, and control requirements associated with the development entitled High Plains Disposal. This proposed industrial development consists of a construction and demolition disposal and recycling center facility. The development is located between Huron Street and Broadway, west of the intersection of W 60th Place and Broadway, in Adams County, Colorado.

The study area examined in this analysis encompassed the Broadway and W 62 ${ }^{\text {nd }}$ Avenue intersection west to the W 62nd Parkway and Huron Street intersection, south to the Broadway and W 60th Place intersection, and proposed site access.

Analysis was conducted for critical AM Peak Hour and PM Peak Hour traffic operations for existing traffic conditions, Year 2023 and Year 2041 background traffic conditions, and Year 2023 and Year 2041 total traffic conditions.

Analysis of existing traffic conditions indicates that the signalized intersection of Broadway with W 62 ${ }^{\text {nd }}$ Avenue has overall operations at LOS C during both the morning and afternoon peak traffic hours. The stop-controlled intersections within the study area have turning movement operations at or better than LOS B during both the morning and afternoon peak traffic hours.

Without the proposed development, Year 2023 background operational analysis shows that the signalized intersection of Broadway with W 62nd Avenue has overall operations at LOS C during both the morning and afternoon peak traffic hours. All unsignalized intersections within the study area continue to show LOS B or better turning movement operations during both the morning and afternoon peak traffic hours.

By Year 2041 and without the proposed development, the study intersection of Broadway with W 62nd Avenue experiences LOS C operations during the morning peak traffic hour and LOS D operations during the afternoon peak traffic hour. All unsignalized intersections within the study area expect turning movement operations at or better than LOS C during both the morning and afternoon peak traffic hours.

Analysis of future traffic conditions indicates that the addition of site-generated traffic is expected to create minimal negative impact to traffic operations for the existing and surrounding roadway system. With all conservative assumptions defined in this analysis, the study intersections is projected to operate at future levels of service comparable to Year 2041 background traffic conditions. Proposed site accesses have long-term operations at LOS B or better during peak traffic periods and upon buildout.

APPENDIX A

Traffic Count Data

Location: 1 BROADWAY \& 60TH PL AM
Date: Thursday, July 29, 2021
Peak Hour: 07:00 AM - 08:00 AM
(303) 216-2439 www.alltrafficdata.net

Peak 15-Minutes: 07:15 AM - 07:30 AM

Peak Hour - All Vehicles


Peak Hour - Pedestrians/Bicycles on Crosswalk


Note: Total study counts contained in parentheses.
Traffic Counts

| Interval | 60TH PL <br> Eastbound |  |  |  | 60TH PL <br> Westbound |  |  |  |  |  | BROADWAY <br> Northbound |  |  |  | BROADWAY <br> Southbound |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Rolling Hour | Pedestrian Crossings |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Start Time | U-Turn | Left | Thru | Right | U-Turn | Left |  | Thru R | Right |  | U-Turn | Left | Thru | Right |  | urn | Left |  | Thru | Right |  |  |  | West | East | South |  |
| 7:00 AM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 2 | 57 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 |  | 89 | 0 |  | 149 | 614 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 7:15 AM | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 68 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 |  | 90 | 0 |  | 160 | 596 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 7:30 AM | 0 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 55 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 |  | 90 | 0 |  | 148 | 575 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 7:45 AM | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 1 | 52 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 |  | 102 | 0 |  | 157 | 561 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 8:00 AM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 |  | 1 | 1 | 53 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 |  | 72 | 0 |  | 131 | 547 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 8:15 AM | 0 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 3 | 58 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 |  | 75 | 0 |  | 139 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 8:30 AM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 2 | 62 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 |  | 67 | 0 |  | 134 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 8:45 AM | 0 | 1 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 5 | 54 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 |  | 72 | 6 |  | 143 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Count Total | 0 | 5 | 0 | 19 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 |  | 1 | 14 | 459 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 |  | 657 | 6 | 6 | 1,161 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Peak Hour | 0 | 3 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 232 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 371 |  | 0 | 614 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |

Location: 1 BROADWAY \& 60TH PL PM
Date: Thursday, July 29, 2021
Peak Hour: 04:00 PM - 05:00 PM
(303) 216-2439 www.alltrafficdata.net

Peak 15-Minutes: 04:00 PM - 04:15 PM

Peak Hour - All Vehicles


Peak Hour - Pedestrians/Bicycles on Crosswalk


Note: Total study counts contained in parentheses.
Traffic Counts

| Interval | 60TH PL <br> Eastbound |  |  |  | 60TH PL <br> Westbound |  |  |  |  |  | BROADWAY <br> Northbound |  |  |  |  | BROADWAY <br> Southbound |  |  |  |  | Total | Rolling Hour | Pedestrian Crossings |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Start Time | U-Turn | Left | Thru | Right | U-Turn | Left |  | Thru R | Right |  | U-Turn | Left | Thru | Right |  | U-Turn | Left |  | Thru | Right |  |  | West | East | South |  |
| 4:00 PM | 0 | 3 | 0 | 6 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 1 | 125 | 0 | O | 0 | 0 |  | 87 | 3 | 225 | 755 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 4:15 PM | 0 | 4 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 95 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 57 | 4 | 167 | 709 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 4:30 PM | 0 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 121 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 52 | 2 | 178 | 722 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 4:45 PM | 0 | 3 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 1 | 92 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 |  | 86 | 0 | 185 | 680 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 5:00 PM | 0 | 2 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 4 | 103 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 64 | 1 | 179 | 617 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 5:15 PM | 0 | 4 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 113 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 58 | 0 | 180 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 5:30 PM | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 2 | 63 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 67 | 2 | 136 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 5:45 PM | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | O | 0 | 0 | 57 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 64 | 0 | 122 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Count Total | 0 | 20 | 0 | 24 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 11 | 769 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 |  | 535 | 12 | 1,372 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Peak Hour | 0 | 11 | 0 | 14 | 0 | 0 | ) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 433 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 282 |  | - 755 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |

Location: 2 BROADWAY \& 62ND AVE AM
Date: Thursday, July 29, 2021
Peak Hour: 07:00 AM - 08:00 AM
(303) 216-2439 www.alltrafficdata.net

Peak 15-Minutes: 07:45 AM - 08:00 AM


Peak Hour - Pedestrians/Bicycles on Crosswalk


Note: Total study counts contained in parentheses.

## Traffic Counts

| Interval Start Time | 62ND AVE <br> Eastbound |  |  |  | 62ND AVE <br> Westbound |  |  |  | BROADWAY <br> Northbound |  |  |  | BROADWAY <br> Southbound |  |  |  | Total | Rolling Hour | Pedestrian Crossings |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | U-Turn | Left | Thru | Right | U-Turn | Left | Thru R |  | U-Turn | Left | Thru | Right | U-Turn | Left | Thru | Right |  |  | West | East | South |  |
| 7:00 AM | 0 | 6 | 30 | 24 | 0 | 3 | 10 | 5 | 0 | 11 | 28 | 11 | 0 | 20 | 61 | 16 | 225 | 959 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 7:15 AM | 0 | 6 | 38 | 23 | 0 | 11 | 21 | 8 | 0 | 15 | 23 | 11 | 0 | 17 | 47 | 16 | 236 | 931 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 7:30 AM | 0 | 8 | 35 | 25 | 0 | 9 | 14 | 8 | 0 | 22 | 27 | 17 | 0 | 20 | 54 | 6 | 245 | 905 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 7:45 AM | 0 | 11 | 39 | 19 | 0 | 7 | 17 | 4 | 0 | 16 | 22 | 10 | 0 | 24 | 68 | 16 | 253 | 848 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 8:00 AM | 0 | 8 | 24 | 20 | 0 | 14 | 12 | 8 | 0 | 15 | 20 | 10 | 0 | 11 | 43 | 12 | 197 | 783 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 8:15 AM | 0 | 4 | 26 | 23 | 0 | 10 | 14 | 4 | 0 | 16 | 37 | 10 | 0 | 15 | 43 | 8 | 210 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 8:30 AM | 0 | 4 | 22 | 21 | 0 | 14 | 12 | 6 | 0 | 15 | 35 | 10 | 0 | 8 | 31 | 10 | 188 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 8:45 AM | 0 | 7 | 27 | 24 | 0 | 8 | 17 | 6 | 0 | 14 | 16 | 16 | 0 | 5 | 42 | 6 | 188 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Count Total | 0 | 54 | 241 | 179 | 0 | 76 | 117 | 49 | 0 | 124 | 208 | 95 | 0 | 120 | 389 | 90 | 1,742 |  | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Peak Hour | 0 | 31 | 142 | 91 | 0 | 30 | 62 | 25 | 0 | 64 | 100 | 49 | 0 | 81 | 230 | 54 | 959 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |

Location: 2 BROADWAY \& 62ND AVE PM
Date: Thursday, July 29, 2021
Peak Hour: 04:00 PM - 05:00 PM
(303) 216-2439 www.alltrafficdata.net

Peak 15-Minutes: 04:00 PM - 04:15 PM

Peak Hour - All Vehicles


Peak Hour - Pedestrians/Bicycles on Crosswalk


Note: Total study counts contained in parentheses.

## Traffic Counts

| Interval | 62ND AVE <br> Eastbound |  |  |  | 62ND AVE <br> Westbound |  |  |  | BROADWAY <br> Northbound |  |  |  | BROADWAY Southbound |  |  |  | Total | Rolling Hour | Pedestrian Crossings |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Start Time | U-Turn | Left | Thru | Right | U-Turn | Left | Thru R | Right | U-Turn | Left | Thru | Right | U-Turn | Left | Thru | Right |  |  | West | East | South |  |
| 4:00 PM | 0 | 11 | 29 | 21 | 0 | 16 | 45 | 13 | 0 | 30 | 80 | 16 | 0 | 10 | 47 | 9 | 327 | 1,189 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 4:15 PM | 0 | 17 | 25 | 15 | 0 | 11 | 27 | 21 | 0 | 16 | 63 | 16 | 0 | 13 | 38 | 10 | 272 | 1,162 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 4:30 PM | 0 | 9 | 25 | 17 | 0 | 7 | 42 | 22 | 0 | 27 | 75 | 16 | 0 | 4 | 33 | 8 | 285 | 1,138 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 4:45 PM | 0 | 10 | 29 | 21 | 0 | 14 | 39 | 27 | 0 | 34 | 65 | 6 | 0 | 6 | 47 | 7 | 305 | 1,072 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 5:00 PM | 0 | 13 | 33 | 14 | 0 | 9 | 46 | 22 | 0 | 22 | 76 | 11 | 0 | 11 | 35 | 8 | 300 | 953 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 5:15 PM | 0 | 12 | 30 | 12 | 0 | 5 | 27 | 7 | 0 | 22 | 85 | 6 | 0 | 4 | 32 | 6 | 248 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 5:30 PM | 0 | 12 | 23 | 22 | 0 | 4 | 20 | 18 | 0 | 14 | 51 | 5 | 0 | 4 | 35 | 11 | 219 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 5:45 PM | 0 | 8 | 20 | 15 | 0 | 9 | 21 | 13 | 0 | 9 | 36 | 7 | 0 | 5 | 39 | 4 | 186 |  | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Count Total | 0 | 92 | 214 | 137 | 0 | 75 | 267 | 143 | 0 | 174 | 531 | 83 | 0 | 57 | 306 | 63 | 2,142 |  | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Peak Hour | 0 | 47 | 108 | 74 | 0 | 48 | 153 | 83 | 0 | 107 | 283 | 54 | 0 | 33 | 165 | 34 | 1,189 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |

(303) 216-2439 www.alltrafficdata.net

Peak Hour - All Vehicles


Peak Hour - Pedestrians/Bicycles on Crosswalk


Note: Total study counts contained in parentheses.
Traffic Counts

| Interval | 62ND AVE <br> Eastbound |  |  |  | 62ND AVE <br> Westbound |  |  |  |  | 62ND PKWY <br> Northbound |  |  |  | 62ND PKWY Southbound |  |  |  |  | Total | Rolling Hour | Pedestrian Crossings |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Start Time | U-Turn | Left | Thru | Right | U-Turn | eft | Thru | Right |  | U-Turn | Left | Thru | Right | U-Turn | Left |  | Thru | Right |  |  | West | East | South |  |
| 7:00 AM | 0 | 4 | 0 | 44 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 37 | 17 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 30 | 4 | 136 | 510 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 7:15 AM | 0 | 2 | 0 | 53 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 38 | 14 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 26 | 3 | 136 | 478 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 7:30 AM | 0 | 2 | 0 | 35 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 25 | 15 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 26 | 5 | 108 | 453 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 7:45 AM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 48 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 36 | 10 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 32 | 4 | 130 | 434 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 8:00 AM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 42 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 23 | 13 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 23 | 3 | 104 | 417 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 2 |
| 8:15 AM | 0 | 1 | 0 | 41 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 27 | 16 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 25 | 1 | 111 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 8:30 AM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 24 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 24 | 14 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 21 | 6 | 89 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 8:45 AM | 0 | 3 | 0 | 38 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 17 | 20 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 33 | 2 | 113 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Count Total | 0 | 12 | 0 | 325 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 227 | 119 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 216 | 28 | 927 |  | 0 | 2 | 0 | 2 |
| Peak Hour | 0 | 8 | 0 | 180 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 136 | 56 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 114 | 16 | 510 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |

Location: 3 62ND PKWY \& 62ND AVE PM
Date: Thursday, July 29, 2021
Peak Hour: 04:30 PM - 05:30 PM
Peak 15-Minutes: 05:00 PM - 05:15 PM

Peak Hour - All Vehicles


Peak Hour - Pedestrians/Bicycles on Crosswalk


Note: Total study counts contained in parentheses.
Traffic Counts



Note: Total study counts contained in parentheses.
Traffic Counts

| Interval <br> Start Time | 62ND AVE <br> Eastbound |  |  |  | 62ND AVE <br> Westbound |  |  |  | HURON ST <br> Northbound |  |  |  | HURON ST <br> Southbound |  |  |  |  |  | Rolling Hour | Pedestrian Crossings |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | U-Turn | Left | Thru | Right | U-Turn | eft | Thru R |  | U-Turn | Left | Thru | Right | U-Turn | Left | Thru | Right |  |  |  | West | East | South |  |
| 7:00 AM | 0 | 0 | 46 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 40 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 91 | 360 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 7:15 AM | 0 | 0 | 54 | 3 | 0 | 4 | 37 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 101 | 342 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| 7:30 AM | 0 | 0 | 35 | 4 | 0 | 2 | 28 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 74 | 312 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 7:45 AM | 0 | 0 | 46 | 3 | 0 | 4 | 36 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 94 | 297 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 8:00 AM | 0 | 0 | 39 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 26 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 73 | 266 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 8:15 AM | 0 | 0 | 40 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 27 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 71 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 8:30 AM | 0 | 0 | 19 | 2 | 0 | 8 | 22 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 59 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 8:45 AM | 0 | 0 | 37 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 18 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 63 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Count Total | 0 | 0 | 316 | 16 | 0 | 21 | 234 | 0 | 0 | 18 | 0 | 21 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 626 |  | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| Peak Hour | 0 | 0 | 181 | 11 | 0 | 11 | 141 | 0 | 0 | 9 | 0 | 7 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 360 |  | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 |

Location: 4 HURON ST \& 62ND AVE PM
Date: Thursday, July 29, 2021
Peak Hour: 04:30 PM - 05:30 PM
(303) 216-2439 www.alltrafficdata.net

Peak 15-Minutes: 05:00 PM - 05:15 PM

Peak Hour - All Vehicles


Peak Hour - Pedestrians/Bicycles on Crosswalk


Note: Total study counts contained in parentheses.
Traffic Counts

| Interval Start Time | 62ND AVE <br> Eastbound |  |  |  | 62ND AVE <br> Westbound |  |  |  | HURON ST <br> Northbound |  |  |  | HURON ST <br> Southbound |  |  |  |  | Total | Rolling Hour | Pedestrian Crossings |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | U-Turn | Left | Thru | Right | U-Turn | eft | Thru R |  | U-Turn | Left | Thru |  | U-Turn | Left | Thru |  |  |  |  | West | East | South |  |
| 4:00 PM | 0 | 0 | 18 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 44 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 70 | 261 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 4:15 PM | 0 | 0 | 21 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 30 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 55 | 276 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 4:30 PM | 0 | 0 | 20 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 44 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 72 | 286 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 4:45 PM | 0 | 0 | 21 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 38 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 64 | 261 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 5:00 PM | 0 | 0 | 37 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 42 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 85 | 242 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| 5:15 PM | 0 | 0 | 33 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 29 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 65 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 5:30 PM | 0 | 0 | 30 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 15 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 47 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 5:45 PM | 0 | 0 | 22 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 21 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 45 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Count Total | 0 | 0 | 202 | 8 | 0 | 4 | 263 | 0 | 0 | 12 | 0 | 14 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 503 |  | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| Peak Hour | 0 | 0 | 111 | 5 | 0 | 1 | 153 | 0 | 0 | 10 | 0 | 6 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 |  | - 286 |  | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 |

All Traffic Data Services


All Traffic Data Services


| Start | 29-Jul-21 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Time | Thu | NB | SB |  |  |  |  |  |  | Total |
| 12:00 AM |  | 0 | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 |
| 01:00 |  | 0 | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 |
| 02:00 |  | 0 | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 |
| 03:00 |  | 5 | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 5 |
| 04:00 |  | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 2 |
| 05:00 |  | 7 | 12 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 19 |
| 06:00 |  | 36 | 13 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 49 |
| 07:00 |  | 17 | 22 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 39 |
| 08:00 |  | 14 | 13 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 27 |
| 09:00 |  | 19 | 15 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 34 |
| 10:00 |  | 17 | 14 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 31 |
| 11:00 |  | 28 | 24 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 52 |
| 12:00 PM |  | 28 | 23 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 51 |
| 01:00 |  | 15 | 17 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 32 |
| 02:00 |  | 20 | 14 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 34 |
| 03:00 |  | 25 | 22 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 47 |
| 04:00 |  | 16 | 9 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 25 |
| 05:00 |  | 10 | 4 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 14 |
| 06:00 |  | 2 | 4 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 6 |
| 07:00 |  | 12 | 17 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 29 |
| 08:00 |  | 12 | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 12 |
| 09:00 |  | 2 | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 3 |
| 10:00 |  | 0 | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 |
| 11:00 |  | 0 | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 |
| Total |  | 286 | 226 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 512 |
| Percent |  | 55.9\% | 44.1\% |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| AM Peak |  | 06:00 | 11:00 | - | - | - | - | - | - | 11:00 |
| Vol. |  | 36 | 24 | - | - | - | - | - | - | 52 |
| PM Peak |  | 12:00 | 12:00 | - | - | - | - | - | - | 12:00 |
| Vol. |  | 28 | 23 | - | - | - | - | - | - | 51 |
| Grand Total |  | 286 | 226 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 512 |
| Percent |  | 55.9\% | 44.1\% |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| ADT |  | ADT 512 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

## APPENDIX B

Level of Service Definitions

The following information can be found in the Highway Capacity Manual, Transportation Research Board, 2016: Chapter 19 - Signalized Intersections and Chapter 20 - Two-Way Stop Controlled Intersections.

## Automobile Level of Service (LOS) for Signalized Intersections

Levels of service are defined to represent reasonable ranges in control delay.

## LOS A

Describes operations with a control delay of $10 \mathrm{~s} / \mathrm{veh}$ or less and a volume-to-capacity ratio no greater than 1.0. This level is typically assigned when the volume-to-capacity ratio is low and either progression is exceptionally favorable or the cycle length is very short. If it is due to favorable progression, most vehicles arrive during the green indication and travel through the intersection without stopping.

## LOS B

Describes operations with control delay between 10 and 20 s/veh and a volume-to-capacity ratio no greater than 1.0. This level is typically assigned when the volume-to-capacity ratio is low and either progression is highly favorable or the cycle length is short. More vehicles stop than with LOS A.

## LOS C

Describes operations with control delay between 20 and $35 \mathrm{~s} /$ veh and a volume-to-capacity ratio no greater than 1.0. This level is typically assigned when progression is favorable or the cycle length is moderate. Individual cycle failures (i.e., one or more queued vehicles are not able to depart as a result of insufficient capacity during the cycle) may begin to appear at this level. The number of vehicles stopping is significant, although many vehicles still pass through the intersection without stopping.

## LOS D

Describes operations with control delay between 35 and $55 \mathrm{~s} / \mathrm{veh}$ and a volume-to-capacity ratio no greater than 1.0. This level is typically assigned when the volume-to-capacity ratio is high and either progression is ineffective or the cycle length is long. Many vehicles stop and individual cycle failures are noticeable.

## LOS E

Describes operations with control delay between 55 and 80 s/veh and a volume-to-capacity ratio no greater than 1.0. This level is typically assigned when the volume-to-capacity ratio is high, progression is unfavorable, and the cycle length is long. Individual cycle failures are frequent.

LOS F
Describes operations with control delay exceeding $80 \mathrm{~s} / \mathrm{veh}$ or a volume-to-capacity ratio greater than 1.0 . This level is typically assigned when the volume-to-capacity ratio is very high, progression is very poor, and the cycle length is long. Most cycles fail to clear the queue.

## Level of Service (LOS) for Unsignalized TWSC Intersections

| Level of Service $(\mathrm{v} / \mathrm{c} \leq 1.0)$ | Average Control Delay (s/veh) |
| :---: | :---: |
| A | $0-10$ |
| B | $>10-15$ |
| C | $>15-25$ |
| D | $>25-35$ |
| E | $>35-50$ |
| F | $>50$ |

## APPENDIX C

## Capacity Worksheets

|  | $\Rightarrow$ |  |  |  |  |  | 4 | $\uparrow$ |  |  | $\downarrow$ | $\checkmark$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane Group | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| Lane Configurations |  | $\uparrow$ | F |  | $\uparrow$ | 「 | * | 4 | 「 | \% | $\uparrow$ |  |
| Traffic Volume (vph) | 31 | 142 | 91 | 30 | 62 | 25 | 94 | 100 | 49 | 81 | 230 | 54 |
| Future Volume (vph) | 31 | 142 | 91 | 30 | 62 | 25 | 94 | 100 | 49 | 81 | 230 | 54 |
| Satd. Flow (prot) | 0 | 1776 | 1137 | 0 | 1332 | 1346 | 1367 | 1681 | 1417 | 1641 | 1632 | 0 |
| Flt Permitted |  | 0.991 |  |  | 0.984 |  | 0.464 |  |  | 0.687 |  |  |
| Satd. Flow (perm) | 0 | 1776 | 1137 | 0 | 1332 | 1346 | 668 | 1681 | 1417 | 1187 | 1632 | 0 |
| Satd. Flow (RTOR) |  |  | 170 |  |  | 170 |  |  | 158 |  | 15 |  |
| Peak Hour Factor | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 |
| Heavy Vehicles (\%) | 6\% | 6\% | 42\% | 27\% | 47\% | 20\% | 32\% | 13\% | 14\% | 10\% | 10\% | 26\% |
| Shared Lane Traffic (\%) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lane Group Flow (vph) | 0 | 188 | 99 | 0 | 100 | 27 | 102 | 109 | 53 | 88 | 309 | 0 |
| Turn Type | Split | NA | Perm | Split | NA | Perm | pm+pt | NA | Perm | pm+pt | NA |  |
| Protected Phases | 4 | 4 |  | 8 | 8 |  | 5 | 2 |  | 1 | 6 |  |
| Permitted Phases |  |  | 4 |  |  | 8 | 2 |  | 2 | 6 |  |  |
| Detector Phase | 4 | 4 | 4 | 8 | 8 | 8 | 5 | 2 | 2 | 1 | 6 |  |
| Switch Phase |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Minimum Initial (s) | 5.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 | 10.0 | 10.0 | 3.0 | 10.0 |  |
| Minimum Split (s) | 10.0 | 10.0 | 10.0 | 10.0 | 10.0 | 10.0 | 11.0 | 16.0 | 16.0 | 8.0 | 15.0 |  |
| Total Split (s) | 22.0 | 22.0 | 22.0 | 17.0 | 17.0 | 17.0 | 11.0 | 40.0 | 40.0 | 11.0 | 40.0 |  |
| Total Split (\%) | 24.4\% | 24.4\% | 24.4\% | 18.9\% | 18.9\% | 18.9\% | 12.2\% | 44.4\% | 44.4\% | 12.2\% | 44.4\% |  |
| Yellow Time (s) | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 |  |
| All-Red Time (s) | 2.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 |  |
| Lost Time Adjust (s) |  | 0.0 | 0.0 |  | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |  |
| Total Lost Time (s) |  | 5.0 | 5.0 |  | 5.0 | 5.0 | 6.0 | 6.0 | 6.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 |  |
| Lead/Lag |  |  |  |  |  |  | Lead | Lag | Lag | Lead | Lag |  |
| Lead-Lag Optimize? |  |  |  |  |  |  | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes |  |
| Recall Mode | None | None | None | None | None | None | None | C-Min | C-Min | None | C-Min |  |
| Act Effct Green (s) |  | 14.6 | 14.6 |  | 11.4 | 11.4 | 46.8 | 40.4 | 40.4 | 46.0 | 40.1 |  |
| Actuated g/C Ratio |  | 0.16 | 0.16 |  | 0.13 | 0.13 | 0.52 | 0.45 | 0.45 | 0.51 | 0.45 |  |
| v/c Ratio |  | 0.65 | 0.30 |  | 0.59 | 0.08 | 0.25 | 0.14 | 0.07 | 0.14 | 0.42 |  |
| Control Delay |  | 45.5 | 2.9 |  | 51.0 | 0.5 | 15.3 | 20.5 | 0.2 | 12.8 | 22.9 |  |
| Queue Delay |  | 0.0 | 0.0 |  | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |  |
| Total Delay |  | 45.5 | 2.9 |  | 51.0 | 0.5 | 15.3 | 20.5 | 0.2 | 12.8 | 22.9 |  |
| LOS |  | D | A |  | D | A | B | C | A | B | C |  |
| Approach Delay |  | 30.8 |  |  | 40.3 |  |  | 14.4 |  |  | 20.6 |  |
| Approach LOS |  | C |  |  | D |  |  | B |  |  | C |  |
| Queue Length 50th (tt) |  | 101 | 0 |  | 54 | 0 | 28 | 40 | 0 | 23 | 127 |  |
| Queue Length 95th (tt) |  | 159 | 6 |  | 103 | 0 | 66 | 85 | 0 | 56 | 220 |  |
| Internal Link Dist (tt) |  | 2492 |  |  | 463 |  |  | 887 |  |  | 458 |  |
| Turn Bay Length ( t ) |  |  | 95 |  |  | 60 | 120 |  | 120 | 150 |  |  |
| Base Capacity (vph) |  | 347 | 358 |  | 190 | 338 | 406 | 792 | 751 | 642 | 787 |  |
| Starvation Cap Reductn |  | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |
| Spillback Cap Reductn |  | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |
| Storage Cap Reductn |  | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |
| Reduced v/c Ratio |  | 0.54 | 0.28 |  | 0.53 | 0.08 | 0.25 | 0.14 | 0.07 | 0.14 | 0.39 |  |
| Intersection Summary |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Cycle Length: 90
Actuated Cycle Length: 90

Offset: 55 ( $61 \%$ ), Referenced to phase 2:NBTL and 6:SBTL, Start of Yellow
Natural Cycle: 60
Control Type: Actuated-Coordinated
Maximum v/c Ratio: 0.65
Intersection Signal Delay: 24.1 Intersection LOS: C
Intersection Capacity Utilization 52.2\% ICU Level of Service A
Analysis Period (min) 15
Splits and Phases: 1: Broadway \& W 62nd Avenue



| Major/Minor | Minor2 |  | Major1 | Major2 |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Conflicting Flow All | 661 | 403 | 403 | 0 | - | 0 |  |
| Stage 1 | 403 | - | - | - | - | - |  |
| Stage 2 | 258 | - | - | - | - | - |  |
| Critical Hdwy | 6.4 | 6.6 | 4.43 | - | - | - |  |
| Critical Hdwy Stg 1 | 5.4 | - | - | - | - | - |  |
| Critical Hdwy Stg 2 | 5.4 | - | - | - | - | - |  |
| Follow-up Hdwy | 3.5 | 3.66 | 2.497 | - | - | - |  |
| Pot Cap-1 Maneuver | 431 | 573 | 1006 | - | - | - |  |
| Stage 1 | 679 | - | - | - | - | - |  |
| Stage 2 | 790 | - | - | - | - | - |  |
| Platoon blocked, \% |  |  |  | - | - | - |  |
| Mov Cap-1 Maneuver | 430 | 573 | 1006 | - | - | - |  |
| Mov Cap-2 Maneuver | 430 | - | - | - | - | - |  |
| Stage 1 | 677 | - | - | - | - | - |  |
| Stage 2 | 790 | - | - | - | - | - |  |


| Approach | EB | NB | SB |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| HCM Control Delay, s | 12.2 | 0.1 | 0 |
| HCM LOS | B |  |  |


| Minor Lane/Major Mvmt | NBL | NBT EBLn1 | SBT | SBR |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Capacity (veh/h) | 1006 | -509 | - | - |  |
| HCM Lane V/C Ratio | 0.003 | -0.017 | - | - |  |
| HCM Control Delay (s) | 8.6 | 0 | 12.2 | - | - |
| HCM Lane LOS | A | A | B | - | - |
| HCM 95th \%tile Q(veh) | 0 | - | 0.1 | - | - |


| Intersection |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Int Delay, s/veh | 6.2 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Movement | SET | SER | NWL | NWT | NEL | NER |
| Lane Configurations | $\boldsymbol{F}$ |  |  | $\mathbf{-}$ | Mr |  |
| Traffic Vol, veh/h | 114 | 16 | 136 | 56 | 8 | 180 |
| Future Vol, veh/h | 114 | 16 | 136 | 56 | 8 | 180 |
| Conflicting Peds, \#/hr | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Sign Control | Free | Free | Free | Free | Stop | Stop |
| RT Channelized | - | None | - | None | - | None |
| Storage Length | - | - | - | - | 0 | - |
| Veh in Median Storage, \# | 0 | - | - | 0 | 0 | - |
| Grade, \% | 0 | - | - | 0 | 0 | - |
| Peak Hour Factor | 92 | 92 | 92 | 92 | 92 | 92 |
| Heavy Vehicles, \% | 33 | 62 | 57 | 43 | 25 | 23 |
| Mvmt Flow | 124 | 17 | 148 | 61 | 9 | 196 |



| Intersection |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Int Delay, s/veh | 0.7 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Movement | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | NBL | NBR |
| Lane Configurations | $\uparrow$ |  |  | $\mathbf{1}$ | MF |  |
| Traffic Vol, veh/h | 181 | 11 | 11 | 141 | 9 | 7 |
| Future Vol, veh/h | 181 | 11 | 11 | 141 | 9 | 7 |
| Conflicting Peds, \#/hr | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Sign Control | Free | Free | Free | Free | Stop | Stop |
| RT Channelized | - | None | - | None | - | None |
| Storage Length | - | - | - | - | 0 | - |
| Veh in Median Storage, $\#$ | 0 | - | - | 0 | 0 | - |
| Grade, \% | 0 | - | - | 0 | 0 | - |
| Peak Hour Factor | 92 | 92 | 92 | 92 | 92 | 92 |
| Heavy Vehicles, \% | 24 | 0 | 18 | 61 | 44 | 0 |
| Mvmt Flow | 197 | 12 | 12 | 153 | 10 | 8 |


| Major/Minor | Major1 | Major2 |  |  | Minor1 |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | :---: |
| Conflicting Flow All | 0 | 0 | 209 | 0 | 380 | 203 |  |
| Stage 1 | - | - | - | - | 203 | - |  |
| Stage 2 | - | - | - | - | 177 | - |  |
| Critical Hdwy | - | - | 4.28 | - | 6.84 | 6.2 |  |
| Critical Hdwy Stg 1 | - | - | - | - | 5.84 | - |  |
| Critical Hdwy Stg 2 | - | - | - | - | 5.84 | - |  |
| Follow-up Hdwy | - | -2.362 | -3.896 | 3.3 |  |  |  |
| Pot Cap-1 Maneuver | - | - | 1272 | - | 547 | 843 |  |
| $\quad$ Stage 1 | - | - | - | - | 740 | - |  |
| Stage 2 | - | - | - | - | 762 | - |  |
| Platoon blocked, \% | - | - |  | - |  |  |  |
| Mov Cap-1 Maneuver | - | - | 1272 | - | 542 | 843 |  |
| Mov Cap-2 Maneuver | - | - | - | - | 542 | - |  |
| Stage 1 | - | - | - | - | 740 | - |  |
| Stage 2 | - | - | - | - | 754 | - |  |


| Approach | EB | WB | NB |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| HCM Control Delay, s | 0 | 0.6 | 10.8 |
| HCM LOS |  |  | B |


| Minor Lane/Major Mvmt | NBLn1 | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | :---: |
| Capacity (veh/h) | 642 | - | -1272 | - |  |
| HCM Lane V/C Ratio | 0.027 | - | -0.009 | - |  |
| HCM Control Delay (s) | 10.8 | - | - | 7.9 | 0 |
| HCM Lane LOS | B | - | - | A | A |
| HCM 95th \%tile Q(veh) | 0.1 | - | - | 0 | - |


|  | 4 |  |  |  |  |  | 4 | $\uparrow$ |  |  | $\downarrow$ | $\downarrow$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane Group | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| Lane Configurations |  | $\uparrow$ | 7 |  | $\uparrow$ | F | \% | 4 | F | \% | $\dagger$ |  |
| Traffic Volume (vph) | 47 | 108 | 74 | 48 | 153 | 83 | 107 | 283 | 54 | 33 | 165 | 34 |
| Future Volume (vph) | 47 | 108 | 74 | 48 | 153 | 83 | 107 | 283 | 54 | 33 | 165 | 34 |
| Satd. Flow (prot) | 0 | 1647 | 1404 | 0 | 1792 | 1538 | 1517 | 1792 | 1179 | 1570 | 1695 | 0 |
| Flt Permitted |  | 0.985 |  |  | 0.988 |  | 0.500 |  |  | 0.560 |  |  |
| Satd. Flow (perm) | 0 | 1647 | 1404 | 0 | 1792 | 1538 | 798 | 1792 | 1179 | 925 | 1695 | 0 |
| Satd. Flow (RTOR) |  |  | 153 |  |  | 153 |  |  | 142 |  | 12 |  |
| Peak Hour Factor | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 |
| Heavy Vehicles (\%) | 6\% | 17\% | 15\% | 4\% | 5\% | 5\% | 19\% | 6\% | 37\% | 15\% | 8\% | 15\% |
| Shared Lane Traffic (\%) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lane Group Flow (vph) | 0 | 168 | 80 | 0 | 218 | 90 | 116 | 308 | 59 | 36 | 216 | 0 |
| Turn Type | Split | NA | Perm | Split | NA | Perm | pm+pt | NA | Perm | pm+pt | NA |  |
| Protected Phases | 4 | 4 |  | 8 | 8 |  | 5 | 2 |  | 1 | 6 |  |
| Permitted Phases |  |  | 4 |  |  | 8 | 2 |  | 2 | 6 |  |  |
| Detector Phase | 4 | 4 | 4 | 8 | 8 | 8 | 5 | 2 | 2 | 1 | 6 |  |
| Switch Phase |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Minimum Initial (s) | 5.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 | 10.0 | 10.0 | 3.0 | 10.0 |  |
| Minimum Split (s) | 10.0 | 10.0 | 10.0 | 10.0 | 10.0 | 10.0 | 11.0 | 16.0 | 16.0 | 8.0 | 15.0 |  |
| Total Split (s) | 20.0 | 20.0 | 20.0 | 23.0 | 23.0 | 23.0 | 12.0 | 45.0 | 45.0 | 12.0 | 45.0 |  |
| Total Split (\%) | 20.0\% | 20.0\% | 20.0\% | 23.0\% | 23.0\% | 23.0\% | 12.0\% | 45.0\% | 45.0\% | 12.0\% | 45.0\% |  |
| Yellow Time (s) | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 |  |
| All-Red Time (s) | 2.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 |  |
| Lost Time Adjust (s) |  | 0.0 | 0.0 |  | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |  |
| Total Lost Time (s) |  | 5.0 | 5.0 |  | 5.0 | 5.0 | 6.0 | 6.0 | 6.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 |  |
| Lead/Lag |  |  |  |  |  |  | Lead | Lag | Lag | Lead | Lag |  |
| Lead-Lag Optimize? |  |  |  |  |  |  | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes |  |
| Recall Mode | None | None | None | None | None | None | None | C-Min | C-Min | None | C-Min |  |
| Act Effct Green (s) |  | 15.6 | 15.6 |  | 16.8 | 16.8 | 49.8 | 44.5 | 44.5 | 44.9 | 38.4 |  |
| Actuated g/C Ratio |  | 0.16 | 0.16 |  | 0.17 | 0.17 | 0.50 | 0.44 | 0.44 | 0.45 | 0.38 |  |
| $\mathrm{V} / \mathrm{c}$ Ratio |  | 0.66 | 0.23 |  | 0.73 | 0.23 | 0.25 | 0.39 | 0.10 | 0.08 | 0.33 |  |
| Control Delay |  | 51.4 | 1.5 |  | 53.2 | 2.1 | 17.2 | 24.3 | 0.3 | 15.2 | 24.5 |  |
| Queue Delay |  | 0.0 | 0.0 |  | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |  |
| Total Delay |  | 51.4 | 1.5 |  | 53.2 | 2.1 | 17.2 | 24.3 | 0.3 | 15.2 | 24.5 |  |
| LOS |  | D | A |  | D | A | B | C | A | B | C |  |
| Approach Delay |  | 35.3 |  |  | 38.3 |  |  | 19.7 |  |  | 23.2 |  |
| Approach LOS |  | D |  |  | D |  |  | B |  |  | C |  |
| Queue Length 50th (tt) |  | 102 | 0 |  | 132 | 0 | 38 | 141 | 0 | 11 | 93 |  |
| Queue Length 95th (tt) |  | 161 | 0 |  | 205 | 7 | 82 | 252 | 0 | 32 | 168 |  |
| Internal Link Dist (t) |  | 2492 |  |  | 463 |  |  | 887 |  |  | 458 |  |
| Turn Bay Length ( t ) |  |  | 95 |  |  | 60 | 120 |  | 120 | 150 |  |  |
| Base Capacity (vph) |  | 279 | 364 |  | 338 | 414 | 456 | 845 | 631 | 466 | 734 |  |
| Starvation Cap Reductn |  | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |
| Spillback Cap Reductn |  | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |
| Storage Cap Reductn |  | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |
| Reduced v/c Ratio |  | 0.60 | 0.22 |  | 0.64 | 0.22 | 0.25 | 0.36 | 0.09 | 0.08 | 0.29 |  |
| Intersection Summary |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Cycle Length: 100
Actuated Cycle Length: 100

Offset: 27 (27\%), Referenced to phase 2:NBTL and 6:SBTL, Start of Yellow
Natural Cycle: 60
Control Type: Actuated-Coordinated
Maximum v/c Ratio: 0.73
Intersection Signal Delay: $27.8 \quad$ Intersection LOS: C
Intersection Capacity Utilization 54.7\% ICU Level of Service A
Analysis Period (min) 15
Splits and Phases: 1: Broadway \& W 62nd Avenue


| Intersection |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |



| Intersection |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Int Delay, s/veh | 4.5 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Movement | SET | SER | NWL | NWT | NEL | NER |
| Lane Configurations | $\mathbf{7}$ |  |  | $\mathbf{T}$ | M |  |
| Traffic Vol, veh/h | 88 | 4 | 150 | 167 | 13 | 104 |
| Future Vol, veh/h | 88 | 4 | 150 | 167 | 13 | 104 |
| Conflicting Peds, \#/hr | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Sign Control | Free | Free | Free | Free | Stop | Stop |
| RT Channelized | - | None | - | None | - | None |
| Storage Length | - | - | - | - | 0 | - |
| Veh in Median Storage, \# | 0 | - | - | 0 | 0 | - |
| Grade, \% | 0 | - | - | 0 | 0 | - |
| Peak Hour Factor | 92 | 92 | 92 | 92 | 92 | 92 |
| Heavy Vehicles, \% | 24 | 25 | 16 | 4 | 8 | 17 |
| Mvmt Flow | 96 | 4 | 163 | 182 | 14 | 113 |




| Major/Minor M | Major1 |  | Major2 |  | Minor1 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Conflicting Flow All | 0 | 0 | 126 | 0 | 292 | 124 |
| Stage 1 | - | - | - | - | 124 | - |
| Stage 2 | - | - | - | - | 168 | - |
| Critical Hdwy | - | - | 4.1 | - | 6.4 | 6.53 |
| Critical Hdwy Stg 1 | - | - | - | - | 5.4 | - |
| Critical Hdwy Stg 2 | - | - | - | - | 5.4 | - |
| Follow-up Hdwy | - | - | 2.2 | - | 3.5 | 3.597 |
| Pot Cap-1 Maneuver | - | - | 1473 | - | 703 | 850 |
| Stage 1 | - | - | - | - | 907 | - |
| Stage 2 | - | - | - | - | 867 | - |
| Platoon blocked, \% | - | - |  | - |  |  |
| Mov Cap-1 Maneuver | - | - | 1473 | - | 702 | 850 |
| Mov Cap-2 Maneuver | - | - | - | - | 702 | - |
| Stage 1 | - | - | - | - | 907 | - |
| Stage 2 | - | - | - | - | 866 | - |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Approach | EB |  | WB |  | NB |  |
| HCM Control Delay, s | 0 |  | 0 |  | 9.9 |  |
| HCM LOS |  |  |  |  | A |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Minor Lane/Major Mvmt |  | NBLn1 EBT EBR WBL WBT |  |  |  |  |
| Capacity (veh/h) |  | 751 | - | - | 1473 | - |
| HCM Lane V/C Ratio |  | 0.023 | - | - | 0.001 | - |
| HCM Control Delay (s) |  | 9.9 | - | - | 7.4 | 0 |
| HCM Lane LOS |  | A | - | - | A | A |
| HCM 95th \%tile Q(veh) |  | 0.1 | - | - | 0 | - |


|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 4 |  |  |  |  | $\downarrow$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane Group | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| Lane Configurations |  | $\uparrow$ | 「 |  | $\uparrow$ | 「 | \％ | $\uparrow$ | 「 | \％ | $\uparrow$ |  |
| Traffic Volume（vph） | 32 | 148 | 95 | 31 | 65 | 26 | 98 | 104 | 51 | 84 | 239 | 56 |
| Future Volume（vph） | 32 | 148 | 95 | 31 | 65 | 26 | 98 | 104 | 51 | 84 | 239 | 56 |
| Satd．Flow（prot） | 0 | 1776 | 1137 | 0 | 1330 | 1346 | 1367 | 1681 | 1417 | 1641 | 1632 | 0 |
| Flt Permitted |  | 0.991 |  |  | 0.984 |  | 0.446 |  |  | 0.684 |  |  |
| Satd．Flow（perm） | 0 | 1776 | 1137 | 0 | 1330 | 1346 | 642 | 1681 | 1417 | 1181 | 1632 | 0 |
| Satd．Flow（RTOR） |  |  | 170 |  |  | 170 |  |  | 158 |  | 15 |  |
| Peak Hour Factor | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 |
| Heavy Vehicles（\％） | 6\％ | 6\％ | 42\％ | 27\％ | 47\％ | 20\％ | 32\％ | 13\％ | 14\％ | 10\％ | 10\％ | 26\％ |
| Shared Lane Traffic（\％） |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lane Group Flow（vph） | 0 | 196 | 103 | 0 | 105 | 28 | 107 | 113 | 55 | 91 | 321 | 0 |
| Turn Type | Split | NA | Perm | Split | NA | Perm | pm＋pt | NA | Perm | pm＋pt | NA |  |
| Protected Phases | 4 | 4 |  | 8 | 8 |  | 5 | 2 |  | 1 | 6 |  |
| Permitted Phases |  |  | 4 |  |  | 8 | 2 |  | 2 | 6 |  |  |
| Detector Phase | 4 | 4 | 4 | 8 | 8 | 8 | 5 | 2 | 2 | 1 | 6 |  |
| Switch Phase |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Minimum Initial（s） | 5.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 | 10.0 | 10.0 | 3.0 | 10.0 |  |
| Minimum Split（s） | 10.0 | 10.0 | 10.0 | 10.0 | 10.0 | 10.0 | 11.0 | 16.0 | 16.0 | 8.0 | 15.0 |  |
| Total Split（s） | 22.0 | 22.0 | 22.0 | 17.0 | 17.0 | 17.0 | 11.0 | 40.0 | 40.0 | 11.0 | 40.0 |  |
| Total Split（\％） | 24．4\％ | 24．4\％ | 24．4\％ | 18．9\％ | 18．9\％ | 18．9\％ | 12．2\％ | 44．4\％ | 44．4\％ | 12．2\％ | 44．4\％ |  |
| Yellow Time（s） | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 |  |
| All－Red Time（s） | 2.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 |  |
| Lost Time Adjust（s） |  | 0.0 | 0.0 |  | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |  |
| Total Lost Time（s） |  | 5.0 | 5.0 |  | 5.0 | 5.0 | 6.0 | 6.0 | 6.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 |  |
| Lead／Lag |  |  |  |  |  |  | Lead | Lag | Lag | Lead | Lag |  |
| Lead－Lag Optimize？ |  |  |  |  |  |  | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes |  |
| Recall Mode | None | None | None | None | None | None | None | C－Min | C－Min | None | C－Min |  |
| Act Effct Green（s） |  | 15.0 | 15.0 |  | 11.5 | 11.5 | 46.4 | 39.8 | 39.8 | 45.5 | 39.5 |  |
| Actuated g／C Ratio |  | 0.17 | 0.17 |  | 0.13 | 0.13 | 0.52 | 0.44 | 0.44 | 0.51 | 0.44 |  |
| V／C Ratio |  | 0.66 | 0.31 |  | 0.62 | 0.09 | 0.27 | 0.15 | 0.08 | 0.14 | 0.44 |  |
| Control Delay |  | 45.4 | 3.2 |  | 52.7 | 0.5 | 15.7 | 20.8 | 0.2 | 13.0 | 23.6 |  |
| Queue Delay |  | 0.0 | 0.0 |  | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |  |
| Total Delay |  | 45.4 | 3.2 |  | 52.7 | 0.5 | 15.7 | 20.8 | 0.2 | 13.0 | 23.6 |  |
| LOS |  | D | A |  | D | A | B | C | A | B | C |  |
| Approach Delay |  | 30.9 |  |  | 41.7 |  |  | 14.7 |  |  | 21.3 |  |
| Approach LOS |  | C |  |  | D |  |  | B |  |  | C |  |
| Queue Length 50th（tt） |  | 105 | 0 |  | 57 | 0 | 30 | 43 | 0 | 25 | 136 |  |
| Queue Length 95th（tt） |  | 164 | 8 |  | 110 | 0 | 68 | 87 | 0 | 56 | 227 |  |
| Internal Link Dist（tt） |  | 2492 |  |  | 463 |  |  | 887 |  |  | 458 |  |
| Turn Bay Length（ t ） |  |  | 95 |  |  | 60 | 120 |  | 120 | 150 |  |  |
| Base Capacity（vph） |  | 350 | 360 |  | 189 | 337 | 393 | 786 | 746 | 634 | 782 |  |
| Starvation Cap Reductn |  | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |
| Spillback Cap Reductn |  | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |
| Storage Cap Reductn |  | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |
| Reduced v／c Ratio |  | 0.56 | 0.29 |  | 0.56 | 0.08 | 0.27 | 0.14 | 0.07 | 0.14 | 0.41 |  |
| Intersection Summary |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Cycle Length： 90
Actuated Cycle Length： 90

Offset: 55 ( $61 \%$ ), Referenced to phase 2:NBTL and 6:SBTL, Start of Yellow
Natural Cycle: 60
Control Type: Actuated-Coordinated
Maximum v/c Ratio: 0.66
Intersection Signal Delay: 24.6 Intersection LOS: C
Intersection Capacity Utilization 53.6\% ICU Level of Service A
Analysis Period (min) 15
Splits and Phases: 1: Broadway \& W 62nd Avenue



| Major/Minor M | Minor2 |  | Major1 |  | Major2 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Conflicting Flow All | 688 | 420 | 420 | 0 | - | 0 |
| Stage 1 | 420 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Stage 2 | 268 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Critical Hdwy | 6.4 | 6.6 | 4.43 | - | - | - |
| Critical Hdwy Stg 1 | 5.4 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Critical Hdwy Stg 2 | 5.4 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Follow-up Hdwy | 3.5 | 3.66 | 2.497 | - | - | - |
| Pot Cap-1 Maneuver | 415 | 560 | 991 | - | - | - |
| Stage 1 | 667 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Stage 2 | 782 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Platoon blocked, \% |  |  |  | - | - | - |
| Mov Cap-1 Maneuver | 413 | 560 | 991 | - | - | - |
| Mov Cap-2 Maneuver | 413 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Stage 1 | 664 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Stage 2 | 782 | - | - | - | - | - |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Approach | EB |  | NB |  | SB |  |
| HCM Control Delay, s | 12.4 |  | 0.1 |  | 0 |  |
| HCM LOS | B |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Minor Lane/Major Mvmt |  | NBL | NBT | EBLn1 | SBT |  |
| Capacity (veh/h) |  | 991 | - | 494 | - | - |
| HCM Lane V/C Ratio |  | 0.003 | - | 0.018 | - | - |
| HCM Control Delay (s) |  | 8.6 | 0 | 12.4 | - | - |
| HCM Lane LOS |  | A | A | B | - | - |
| HCM 95th \%tile Q(veh) |  | 0 | - | 0.1 | - | - |




| Intersection |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Int Delay, s/veh | 0.7 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Movement | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | NBL | NBR |  |
| Lane Configurations | $\uparrow$ |  |  | $\uparrow$ | * |  |  |
| Traffic Vol, veh/h | 188 | 11 | 11 | 147 | 9 | 7 |  |
| Future Vol, veh/h | 188 | 11 | 11 | 147 | 9 | 7 |  |
| Conflicting Peds, \#/hr | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |
| Sign Control F | Free | Free | Free | Free | Stop | Stop |  |
| RT Channelized | - | None | - | None | - | None |  |
| Storage Length | - | - | - | - | 0 | - |  |
| Veh in Median Storage, \# | \# 0 | - | - | 0 | 0 | - |  |
| Grade, \% | 0 | - | - | 0 | 0 | - |  |
| Peak Hour Factor | 92 | 92 | 92 | 92 | 92 | 92 |  |
| Heavy Vehicles, \% | 24 | 0 | 18 | 61 | 44 | 0 |  |
| Mvmt Flow | 204 | 12 | 12 | 160 | 10 | 8 |  |


| Major/Minor M | Major1 |  | Major2 |  | Minor1 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Conflicting Flow All | 0 | 0 | 216 | 0 | 394 | 210 |
| Stage 1 | - | - | - | - | 210 | - |
| Stage 2 | - | - | - | - | 184 | - |
| Critical Hdwy | - | - | 4.28 | - | 6.84 | 6.2 |
| Critical Hdwy Stg 1 | - | - | - | - | 5.84 | - |
| Critical Hdwy Stg 2 | - | - | - | - | 5.84 | - |
| Follow-up Hdwy | - | - | 2.362 | - | 3.896 | 3.3 |
| Pot Cap-1 Maneuver | - | - | 1264 | - | 537 | 835 |
| Stage 1 | - | - | - | - | 735 | - |
| Stage 2 | - | - | - | - | 756 | - |
| Platoon blocked, \% | - | - |  | - |  |  |
| Mov Cap-1 Maneuver | - | - | 1264 | - | 532 | 835 |
| Mov Cap-2 Maneuver | - | - | - | - | 532 | - |
| Stage 1 | - | - | - | - | 735 | - |
| Stage 2 | - | - | - | - | 748 | - |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Approach | EB |  | WB |  | NB |  |
| HCM Control Delay, s | 0 |  | 0.5 |  | 10.9 |  |
| HCM LOS |  |  |  |  | B |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Minor Lane/Major Mvmt |  | NBLn1 | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT |
| Capacity (veh/h) |  | 632 | - | - | 1264 | - |
| HCM Lane V/C Ratio |  | 0.028 | - | - | 0.009 | - |
| HCM Control Delay (s) |  | 10.9 | - | - | 7.9 | 0 |
| HCM Lane LOS |  | B | - | - | A | A |
| HCM 95th \%tile Q(veh) |  | 0.1 | - | - | 0 | - |


|  | 4 |  |  |  |  |  | 4 | $\uparrow$ |  |  | $\dagger$ | $\checkmark$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane Group | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| Lane Configurations |  | $\uparrow$ | 7 |  | $\uparrow$ | F | \% | 4 | F | \% | $\dagger$ |  |
| Traffic Volume (vph) | 49 | 112 | 77 | 50 | 159 | 86 | 111 | 294 | 56 | 34 | 172 | 35 |
| Future Volume (vph) | 49 | 112 | 77 | 50 | 159 | 86 | 111 | 294 | 56 | 34 | 172 | 35 |
| Satd. Flow (prot) | 0 | 1646 | 1404 | 0 | 1792 | 1538 | 1517 | 1792 | 1179 | 1570 | 1697 | 0 |
| Flt Permitted |  | 0.985 |  |  | 0.988 |  | 0.483 |  |  | 0.545 |  |  |
| Satd. Flow (perm) | 0 | 1646 | 1404 | 0 | 1792 | 1538 | 771 | 1792 | 1179 | 900 | 1697 | 0 |
| Satd. Flow (RTOR) |  |  | 153 |  |  | 153 |  |  | 142 |  | 12 |  |
| Peak Hour Factor | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 |
| Heavy Vehicles (\%) | 6\% | 17\% | 15\% | 4\% | 5\% | 5\% | 19\% | 6\% | 37\% | 15\% | 8\% | 15\% |
| Shared Lane Traffic (\%) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lane Group Flow (vph) | 0 | 175 | 84 | 0 | 227 | 93 | 121 | 320 | 61 | 37 | 225 | 0 |
| Turn Type | Split | NA | Perm | Split | NA | Perm | pm+pt | NA | Perm | pm+pt | NA |  |
| Protected Phases | 4 | 4 |  | 8 | 8 |  | 5 | 2 |  | 1 | 6 |  |
| Permitted Phases |  |  | 4 |  |  | 8 | 2 |  | 2 | 6 |  |  |
| Detector Phase | 4 | 4 | 4 | 8 | 8 | 8 | 5 | 2 | 2 | 1 | 6 |  |
| Switch Phase |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Minimum Initial (s) | 5.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 | 10.0 | 10.0 | 3.0 | 10.0 |  |
| Minimum Split (s) | 10.0 | 10.0 | 10.0 | 10.0 | 10.0 | 10.0 | 11.0 | 16.0 | 16.0 | 8.0 | 15.0 |  |
| Total Split (s) | 20.0 | 20.0 | 20.0 | 23.0 | 23.0 | 23.0 | 12.0 | 45.0 | 45.0 | 12.0 | 45.0 |  |
| Total Split (\%) | 20.0\% | 20.0\% | 20.0\% | 23.0\% | 23.0\% | 23.0\% | 12.0\% | 45.0\% | 45.0\% | 12.0\% | 45.0\% |  |
| Yellow Time (s) | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 |  |
| All-Red Time (s) | 2.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 |  |
| Lost Time Adjust (s) |  | 0.0 | 0.0 |  | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |  |
| Total Lost Time (s) |  | 5.0 | 5.0 |  | 5.0 | 5.0 | 6.0 | 6.0 | 6.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 |  |
| Lead/Lag |  |  |  |  |  |  | Lead | Lag | Lag | Lead | Lag |  |
| Lead-Lag Optimize? |  |  |  |  |  |  | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes |  |
| Recall Mode | None | None | None | None | None | None | None | C-Min | C-Min | None | C-Min |  |
| Act Effct Green (s) |  | 16.1 | 16.1 |  | 17.0 | 17.0 | 49.2 | 43.7 | 43.7 | 44.0 | 37.5 |  |
| Actuated g/C Ratio |  | 0.16 | 0.16 |  | 0.17 | 0.17 | 0.49 | 0.44 | 0.44 | 0.44 | 0.38 |  |
| $\mathrm{V} / \mathrm{c}$ Ratio |  | 0.66 | 0.24 |  | 0.75 | 0.24 | 0.27 | 0.41 | 0.10 | 0.08 | 0.35 |  |
| Control Delay |  | 51.1 | 1.7 |  | 54.6 | 2.5 | 17.7 | 25.0 | 0.3 | 15.4 | 25.3 |  |
| Queue Delay |  | 0.0 | 0.0 |  | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |  |
| Total Delay |  | 51.1 | 1.7 |  | 54.6 | 2.5 | 17.7 | 25.0 | 0.3 | 15.4 | 25.3 |  |
| LOS |  | D | A |  | D | A | B | C | A | B | C |  |
| Approach Delay |  | 35.1 |  |  | 39.4 |  |  | 20.2 |  |  | 23.9 |  |
| Approach LOS |  | D |  |  | D |  |  | C |  |  | C |  |
| Queue Length 50th (tt) |  | 106 | 0 |  | 137 | 0 | 40 | 151 | 0 | 11 | 100 |  |
| Queue Length 95th (tt) |  | 166 | 2 |  | 216 | 8 | 84 | 259 | 0 | 32 | 173 |  |
| Internal Link Dist (t) |  | 2492 |  |  | 463 |  |  | 887 |  |  | 458 |  |
| Turn Bay Length ( t ) |  |  | 95 |  |  | 60 | 120 |  | 120 | 150 |  |  |
| Base Capacity (vph) |  | 283 | 368 |  | 335 | 412 | 442 | 837 | 626 | 448 | 727 |  |
| Starvation Cap Reductn |  | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |
| Spillback Cap Reductn |  | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |
| Storage Cap Reductn |  | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |
| Reduced v/c Ratio |  | 0.62 | 0.23 |  | 0.68 | 0.23 | 0.27 | 0.38 | 0.10 | 0.08 | 0.31 |  |
| Intersection Summary |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Cycle Length: 100
Actuated Cycle Length: 100

Offset: 27 (27\%), Referenced to phase 2:NBTL and 6:SBTL, Start of Yellow
Natural Cycle: 60
Control Type: Actuated-Coordinated
Maximum v/c Ratio: 0.75
Intersection Signal Delay: $28.4 \quad$ Intersection LOS: C
Intersection Capacity Utilization 56.0\% ICU Level of Service B
Analysis Period (min) 15
Splits and Phases: 1: Broadway \& W 62nd Avenue


| Intersection |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |


| Major/Minor | Minor2 | Major1 Major2 |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Conflicting Flow All | 824 | 325 | 330 | 0 | - | 0 |  |
| Stage 1 | 325 | - | - | - | - | - |  |
| Stage 2 | 499 | - | - | - | - | - |  |
| Critical Hdwy | 6.4 | 6.2 | 4.5 | - | - | - |  |
| Critical Hdwy Stg 1 | 5.4 | - | - | - | - | - |  |
| Critical Hdwy Stg 2 | 5.4 | - | - | - | - | - |  |
| Follow-up Hdwy | 3.5 | 3.3 | 2.56 | - | - | - |  |
| Pot Cap-1 Maneuver | 346 | 721 | 1044 | - | - | - |  |
| Stage 1 | 737 | - | - | - | - | - |  |
| Stage 2 | 614 | - | - | - | - | - |  |
| Platoon blocked, \% |  |  |  | - | - | - |  |
| Mov Cap-1 Maneuver | 344 | 721 | 1044 | - | - | - |  |
| Mov Cap-2 Maneuver | 344 | - | - | - | - | - |  |
| Stage 1 | 732 | - | - | - | - | - |  |
| Stage 2 | 614 | - | - | - | - | - |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Approach | EB |  | NB |  | SB |  |  |
| HCM Control Delay, s | 12.7 |  | 0.1 |  | 0 |  |  |
| HCM LOS | B |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Minor Lane/Major Mvmt |  | NBL | NBT | BLn1 | SBT | SBR |  |
| Capacity (veh/h) |  | 1044 | - | 493 | - | - |  |
| HCM Lane V/C Ratio |  | 0.005 |  | 0.057 | - | - |  |
| HCM Control Delay (s) |  | 8.5 | 0 | 12.7 |  | - |  |
| HCM Lane LOS |  | A | A | B | - | - |  |
| HCM 95th \%tile Q(veh) |  | 0 | - | 0.2 | - | - |  |


| Intersection |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Int Delay, s/veh | 4.6 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Movement | SET | SER | NWL | NWT | NEL | NER |
| Lane Configurations | $\boldsymbol{\beta}$ |  |  | $\mathbf{e}$ | Mr |  |
| Traffic Vol, veh/h | 92 | 4 | 156 | 174 | 14 | 108 |
| Future Vol, veh/h | 92 | 4 | 156 | 174 | 14 | 108 |
| Conflicting Peds, \#/hr | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Sign Control | Free | Free | Free | Free | Stop | Stop |
| RT Channelized | - | None | - | None | - | None |
| Storage Length | - | - | - | - | 0 | - |
| Veh in Median Storage, \# | 0 | - | - | 0 | 0 | - |
| Grade, \% | 0 | - | - | 0 | 0 | - |
| Peak Hour Factor | 92 | 92 | 92 | 92 | 92 | 92 |
| Heavy Vehicles, \% | 24 | 25 | 16 | 4 | 8 | 17 |
| Mvmt Flow | 100 | 4 | 170 | 189 | 15 | 117 |





|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 4 |  |  |  |  | $\downarrow$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane Group | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| Lane Configurations |  | $\uparrow$ | 「 |  | $\uparrow$ | 「 | ${ }^{7}$ | $\uparrow$ | 「 | \％ | $\uparrow$ |  |
| Traffic Volume（vph） | 46 | 211 | 135 | 45 | 92 | 37 | 140 | 149 | 73 | 120 | 342 | 80 |
| Future Volume（vph） | 46 | 211 | 135 | 45 | 92 | 37 | 140 | 149 | 73 | 120 | 342 | 80 |
| Satd．Flow（prot） | 0 | 1776 | 1137 | 0 | 1331 | 1346 | 1367 | 1681 | 1417 | 1641 | 1634 | 0 |
| Flt Permitted |  | 0.991 |  |  | 0.984 |  | 0.234 |  |  | 0.654 |  |  |
| Satd．Flow（perm） | 0 | 1776 | 1137 | 0 | 1331 | 1346 | 337 | 1681 | 1417 | 1130 | 1634 | 0 |
| Satd．Flow（RTOR） |  |  | 158 |  |  | 158 |  |  | 145 |  | 14 |  |
| Peak Hour Factor | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 |
| Heavy Vehicles（\％） | 6\％ | 6\％ | 42\％ | 27\％ | 47\％ | 20\％ | 32\％ | 13\％ | 14\％ | 10\％ | 10\％ | 26\％ |
| Shared Lane Traffic（\％） |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lane Group Flow（vph） | 0 | 279 | 147 | 0 | 149 | 40 | 152 | 162 | 79 | 130 | 459 | 0 |
| Turn Type | Split | NA | Perm | Split | NA | Perm | pm＋pt | NA | Perm | pm＋pt | NA |  |
| Protected Phases | 4 | 4 |  | 8 | 8 |  | 5 | 2 |  | 1 | 6 |  |
| Permitted Phases |  |  | 4 |  |  | 8 | 2 |  | 2 | 6 |  |  |
| Detector Phase | 4 | 4 | 4 | 8 | 8 | 8 | 5 | 2 | 2 | 1 | 6 |  |
| Switch Phase |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Minimum Initial（s） | 5.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 | 10.0 | 10.0 | 3.0 | 10.0 |  |
| Minimum Split（s） | 10.0 | 10.0 | 10.0 | 10.0 | 10.0 | 10.0 | 11.0 | 16.0 | 16.0 | 8.0 | 15.0 |  |
| Total Split（s） | 22.0 | 22.0 | 22.0 | 18.0 | 18.0 | 18.0 | 14.0 | 39.0 | 39.0 | 11.0 | 36.0 |  |
| Total Split（\％） | 24．4\％ | 24．4\％ | 24．4\％ | 20．0\％ | 20．0\％ | 20．0\％ | 15．6\％ | 43．3\％ | 43．3\％ | 12．2\％ | 40．0\％ |  |
| Yellow Time（s） | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 |  |
| All－Red Time（s） | 2.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 |  |
| Lost Time Adjust（s） |  | 0.0 | 0.0 |  | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |  |
| Total Lost Time（s） |  | 5.0 | 5.0 |  | 5.0 | 5.0 | 6.0 | 6.0 | 6.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 |  |
| Lead／Lag |  |  |  |  |  |  | Lead | Lag | Lag | Lead | Lag |  |
| Lead－Lag Optimize？ |  |  |  |  |  |  | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes |  |
| Recall Mode | None | None | None | None | None | None | None | C－Min | C－Min | None | C－Min |  |
| Act Effct Green（s） |  | 16.7 | 16.7 |  | 12.5 | 12.5 | 41.5 | 33.3 | 33.3 | 38.1 | 31.6 |  |
| Actuated g／C Ratio |  | 0.19 | 0.19 |  | 0.14 | 0.14 | 0.46 | 0.37 | 0.37 | 0.42 | 0.35 |  |
| V／C Ratio |  | 0.85 | 0.43 |  | 0.81 | 0.12 | 0.61 | 0.26 | 0.13 | 0.25 | 0.79 |  |
| Control Delay |  | 59.8 | 9.1 |  | 69.4 | 0.8 | 25.5 | 21.6 | 0.7 | 14.3 | 37.5 |  |
| Queue Delay |  | 0.0 | 0.0 |  | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |  |
| Total Delay |  | 59.8 | 9.1 |  | 69.4 | 0.8 | 25.5 | 21.6 | 0.7 | 14.3 | 37.5 |  |
| LOS |  | E | A |  | E | A | C | C | A | B | D |  |
| Approach Delay |  | 42.3 |  |  | 54.8 |  |  | 18.9 |  |  | 32.4 |  |
| Approach LOS |  | D |  |  | D |  |  | B |  |  | C |  |
| Queue Length 50th（tt） |  | 152 | 0 |  | 83 | 0 | 48 | 64 | 0 | 39 | 230 |  |
| Queue Length 95th（tt） |  | \＃287 | 44 |  | \＃182 | 0 | \＃90 | 111 | 3 | 70 | \＃387 |  |
| Internal Link Dist（tt） |  | 2492 |  |  | 463 |  |  | 887 |  |  | 458 |  |
| Turn Bay Length（ t ） |  |  | 95 |  |  | 60 | 120 |  | 120 | 150 |  |  |
| Base Capacity（vph） |  | 341 | 345 |  | 194 | 331 | 252 | 638 | 627 | 515 | 597 |  |
| Starvation Cap Reductn |  | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |
| Spillback Cap Reductn |  | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |
| Storage Cap Reductn |  | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |
| Reduced v／c Ratio |  | 0.82 | 0.43 |  | 0.77 | 0.12 | 0.60 | 0.25 | 0.13 | 0.25 | 0.77 |  |
| Intersection Summary |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Cycle Length： 90
Actuated Cycle Length： 90

Offset: 55 ( $61 \%$ ), Referenced to phase 2:NBTL and 6:SBTL, Start of Yellow
Natural Cycle: 75
Control Type: Actuated-Coordinated
Maximum v/c Ratio: 0.85
Intersection Signal Delay: $34.4 \quad$ Intersection LOS: C
Intersection Capacity Utilization 69.1\% ICU Level of Service C
Analysis Period (min) 15
\# 95th percentile volume exceeds capacity, queue may be longer.
Queue shown is maximum after two cycles.
Splits and Phases: 1: Broadway \& W 62nd Avenue


| Intersection |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Int Delay, s/veh | 0.2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Movement | EBL | EBR | NBL | NBT | SBT | SBR |  |
| Lane Configurations | * |  |  | $\uparrow$ | $\dagger$ |  |  |
| Traffic Vol, veh/h | 4 | 7 | 4 | 345 | 551 | 0 |  |
| Future Vol, veh/h | 4 | 7 | 4 | 345 | 551 | 0 |  |
| Conflicting Peds, \#/hr | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |
| Sign Control | Stop | Stop | Free | Free | Free | Free |  |
| RT Channelized | - | None | - | None | - | None |  |
| Storage Length | 0 | - | - | - | - | - |  |
| Veh in Median Storage, \# | 0 | - | - | 0 | 0 | - |  |
| Grade, \% | 0 | - | - | 0 | 0 | - |  |
| Peak Hour Factor | 92 | 92 | 92 | 92 | 92 | 92 |  |
| Heavy Vehicles, \% | 0 | 40 | 33 | 19 | 25 | 0 |  |
| Mvmt Flow | 4 | 8 | 4 | 375 | 599 | 0 |  |



| Intersection |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Int Delay, s/veh | 7.3 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Movement | SET | SER | NWL | NWT | NEL | NER |
| Lane Configurations | $\mathbf{F}$ |  |  | $\mathbf{4}$ | Mr |  |
| Traffic Vol, veh/h | 169 | 24 | 202 | 83 | 12 | 267 |
| Future Vol, veh/h | 169 | 24 | 202 | 83 | 12 | 267 |
| Conflicting Peds, \#/hr | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Sign Control | Free | Free | Free | Free | Stop | Stop |
| RT Channelized | - | None | - | None | - | None |
| Storage Length | - | - | - | - | 0 | - |
| Veh in Median Storage, \# | 0 | - | - | 0 | 0 | - |
| Grade, \% | 0 | - | - | 0 | 0 | - |
| Peak Hour Factor | 92 | 92 | 92 | 92 | 92 | 92 |
| Heavy Vehicles, $\%$ | 33 | 62 | 57 | 43 | 25 | 23 |
| Mvmt Flow | 184 | 26 | 220 | 90 | 13 | 290 |



| Intersection |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Int Delay, s/veh | 0.8 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Movement | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | NBL | NBR |
| Lane Configurations | $\boldsymbol{\beta}$ |  |  | $\mathbf{e}$ | Mr |  |
| Traffic Vol, veh/h | 269 | 16 | 16 | 210 | 13 | 10 |
| Future Vol, veh/h | 269 | 16 | 16 | 210 | 13 | 10 |
| Conflicting Peds, \#/hr | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Sign Control | Free | Free | Free | Free | Stop | Stop |
| RT Channelized | - | None | - | None | - | None |
| Storage Length | - | - | - | - | 0 | - |
| Veh in Median Storage, \# | 0 | - | - | 0 | 0 | - |
| Grade, \% | 0 | - | - | 0 | 0 | - |
| Peak Hour Factor | 92 | 92 | 92 | 92 | 92 | 92 |
| Heavy Vehicles, \% | 24 | 0 | 18 | 61 | 44 | 0 |
| Mvmt Flow | 292 | 17 | 17 | 228 | 14 | 11 |



|  | 4 |  |  |  |  |  | 4 | $\uparrow$ |  |  | $\dagger$ | $\checkmark$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane Group | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| Lane Configurations |  | $\uparrow$ | 7 |  | $\uparrow$ | F | \% | 4 | 7 | ${ }^{7}$ | ¢ |  |
| Traffic Volume (vph) | 70 | 160 | 110 | 71 | 227 | 123 | 159 | 421 | 80 | 49 | 245 | 51 |
| Future Volume (vph) | 70 | 160 | 110 | 71 | 227 | 123 | 159 | 421 | 80 | 49 | 245 | 51 |
| Satd. Flow (prot) | 0 | 1647 | 1404 | 0 | 1792 | 1538 | 1517 | 1792 | 1179 | 1570 | 1695 | 0 |
| Flt Permitted |  | 0.985 |  |  | 0.988 |  | 0.315 |  |  | 0.349 |  |  |
| Satd. Flow (perm) | 0 | 1647 | 1404 | 0 | 1792 | 1538 | 503 | 1792 | 1179 | 577 | 1695 | 0 |
| Satd. Flow (RTOR) |  |  | 142 |  |  | 142 |  |  | 131 |  | 11 |  |
| Peak Hour Factor | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 |
| Heavy Vehicles (\%) | 6\% | 17\% | 15\% | 4\% | 5\% | 5\% | 19\% | 6\% | 37\% | 15\% | 8\% | 15\% |
| Shared Lane Traffic (\%) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lane Group Flow (vph) | 0 | 250 | 120 | 0 | 324 | 134 | 173 | 458 | 87 | 53 | 321 | 0 |
| Turn Type | Split | NA | Perm | Split | NA | Perm | pm+pt | NA | Perm | pm+pt | NA |  |
| Protected Phases | 4 | 4 |  | 8 | 8 |  | 5 | 2 |  | 1 | 6 |  |
| Permitted Phases |  |  | 4 |  |  | 8 | 2 |  | 2 | 6 |  |  |
| Detector Phase | 4 | 4 | 4 | 8 | 8 | 8 | 5 | 2 | 2 | 1 | 6 |  |
| Switch Phase |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Minimum Initial (s) | 5.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 | 10.0 | 10.0 | 3.0 | 10.0 |  |
| Minimum Split (s) | 10.0 | 10.0 | 10.0 | 10.0 | 10.0 | 10.0 | 11.0 | 16.0 | 16.0 | 8.0 | 15.0 |  |
| Total Split (s) | 24.0 | 24.0 | 24.0 | 27.0 | 27.0 | 27.0 | 14.0 | 41.0 | 41.0 | 8.0 | 35.0 |  |
| Total Split (\%) | 24.0\% | 24.0\% | 24.0\% | 27.0\% | 27.0\% | 27.0\% | 14.0\% | 41.0\% | 41.0\% | 8.0\% | 35.0\% |  |
| Yellow Time (s) | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 |  |
| All-Red Time (s) | 2.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 |  |
| Lost Time Adjust (s) |  | 0.0 | 0.0 |  | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |  |
| Total Lost Time (s) |  | 5.0 | 5.0 |  | 5.0 | 5.0 | 6.0 | 6.0 | 6.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 |  |
| Lead/Lag |  |  |  |  |  |  | Lead | Lag | Lag | Lead | Lag |  |
| Lead-Lag Optimize? |  |  |  |  |  |  | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes |  |
| Recall Mode | None | None | None | None | None | None | None | C-Min | C-Min | None | C-Min |  |
| Act Effct Green (s) |  | 18.4 | 18.4 |  | 21.1 | 21.1 | 44.3 | 37.3 | 37.3 | 35.2 | 30.9 |  |
| Actuated g/C Ratio |  | 0.18 | 0.18 |  | 0.21 | 0.21 | 0.44 | 0.37 | 0.37 | 0.35 | 0.31 |  |
| v/c Ratio |  | 0.83 | 0.32 |  | 0.86 | 0.31 | 0.56 | 0.69 | 0.17 | 0.22 | 0.60 |  |
| Control Delay |  | 61.8 | 6.5 |  | 60.1 | 7.0 | 26.7 | 34.4 | 2.1 | 20.7 | 34.9 |  |
| Queue Delay |  | 0.0 | 0.0 |  | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |  |
| Total Delay |  | 61.8 | 6.5 |  | 60.1 | 7.0 | 26.7 | 34.4 | 2.1 | 20.7 | 34.9 |  |
| LOS |  | E | A |  | E | A | C | C | A | C | C |  |
| Approach Delay |  | 43.9 |  |  | 44.6 |  |  | 28.6 |  |  | 32.9 |  |
| Approach LOS |  | D |  |  | D |  |  | C |  |  | C |  |
| Queue Length 50th (tt) |  | 149 | 0 |  | 193 | 0 | 75 | 270 | 0 | 21 | 181 |  |
| Queue Length 95th (tt) |  | \#278 | 34 |  | \#340 | 43 | 118 | 371 | 13 | 42 | 263 |  |
| Internal Link Dist (t) |  | 2492 |  |  | 463 |  |  | 887 |  |  | 458 |  |
| Turn Bay Length ( t ) |  |  | 95 |  |  | 60 | 120 |  | 120 | 150 |  |  |
| Base Capacity (vph) |  | 322 | 389 |  | 401 | 454 | 309 | 693 | 536 | 245 | 552 |  |
| Starvation Cap Reductn |  | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |
| Spillback Cap Reductn |  | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |
| Storage Cap Reductn |  | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |
| Reduced v/c Ratio |  | 0.78 | 0.31 |  | 0.81 | 0.30 | 0.56 | 0.66 | 0.16 | 0.22 | 0.58 |  |
| Intersection Summary |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Cycle Length: 100
Actuated Cycle Length: 100

Offset: 27 (27\%), Referenced to phase 2:NBTL and 6:SBTL, Start of Yellow
Natural Cycle: 75
Control Type: Actuated-Coordinated
Maximum v/c Ratio: 0.86
Intersection Signal Delay: 36.2 Intersection LOS: D
Intersection Capacity Utilization 71.2\% ICU Level of Service C
Analysis Period (min) 15
\# 95th percentile volume exceeds capacity, queue may be longer.
Queue shown is maximum after two cycles.
Splits and Phases: 1: Broadway \& W 62nd Avenue



| Major/Minor M | Minor2 |  | Major1 |  | Major2 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Conflicting Flow All | 1180 | 465 | 472 | 0 | - | 0 |
| Stage 1 | 465 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Stage 2 | 715 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Critical Hdwy | 6.4 | 6.2 | 4.5 | - | - | - |
| Critical Hdwy Stg 1 | 5.4 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Critical Hdwy Stg 2 | 5.4 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Follow-up Hdwy | 3.5 | 3.3 | 2.56 | - | - | - |
| Pot Cap-1 Maneuver | 212 | 602 | 918 | - | - | - |
| Stage 1 | 636 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Stage 2 | 488 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Platoon blocked, \% |  |  |  | - | - | - |
| Mov Cap-1 Maneuver | 209 | 602 | 918 | - | - | - |
| Mov Cap-2 Maneuver | 209 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Stage 1 | 627 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Stage 2 | 488 | - | - | - | - | - |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Approach | EB |  | NB |  | SB |  |
| HCM Control Delay, s | 17.3 |  | 0.1 |  | 0 |  |
| HCM LOS | C |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Minor Lane/Major Mvmt |  | NBL | NBT | EBLn1 | SBT |  |
| Capacity (veh/h) |  | 918 | - | 332 | - | - |
| HCM Lane V/C Ratio |  | 0.008 | - | 0.121 | - | - |
| HCM Control Delay (s) |  | 9 | 0 | 17.3 | - | - |
| HCM Lane LOS |  | A | A | C | - | - |
| HCM 95th \%tile Q(veh) |  | 0 | - | 0.4 | - | - |


| Intersection |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Int Delay, s/veh | 5.1 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Movement | SET | SER | NWL | NWT | NEL | NER |
| Lane Configurations | $\boldsymbol{\beta}$ |  |  | $\mathbf{4}$ | Mr |  |
| Traffic Vol, veh/h | 131 | 6 | 223 | 248 | 19 | 155 |
| Future Vol, veh/h | 131 | 6 | 223 | 248 | 19 | 155 |
| Conflicting Peds, \#/hr | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Sign Control | Free | Free | Free | Free | Stop | Stop |
| RT Channelized | - | None | - | None | - | None |
| Storage Length | - | - | - | - | 0 | - |
| Veh in Median Storage, \# | 0 | - | - | 0 | 0 | - |
| Grade, \% | 0 | - | - | 0 | 0 | - |
| Peak Hour Factor | 92 | 92 | 92 | 92 | 92 | 92 |
| Heavy Vehicles, \% | 24 | 25 | 16 | 4 | 8 | 17 |
| Mvmt Flow | 142 | 7 | 242 | 270 | 21 | 168 |



| Intersection |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Int Delay, s/veh | 0.6 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Movement | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | NBL | NBR |
| Lane Configurations | $\boldsymbol{\beta}$ |  |  | $\mathbf{e}$ | Mr |  |
| Traffic Vol, veh/h | 165 | 7 | 1 | 227 | 15 | 9 |
| Future Vol, veh/h | 165 | 7 | 1 | 227 | 15 | 9 |
| Conflicting Peds, \#/hr | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Sign Control | Free | Free | Free | Free | Stop | Stop |
| RT Channelized | - | None | - | None | - | None |
| Storage Length | - | - | - | - | 0 | - |
| Veh in Median Storage, $\#$ | 0 | - | - | 0 | 0 | - |
| Grade, \% | 0 | - | - | 0 | 0 | - |
| Peak Hour Factor | 92 | 92 | 92 | 92 | 92 | 92 |
| Heavy Vehicles, \% | 15 | 20 | 0 | 16 | 0 | 33 |
| Mvmt Flow | 179 | 8 | 1 | 247 | 16 | 10 |


| Major/Minor | Major1 | Major2 |  |  | Minor1 |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | :---: |
| Conflicting Flow All | 0 | 0 | 187 | 0 | 432 | 183 |  |
| Stage 1 | - | - | - | - | 183 | - |  |
| Stage 2 | - | - | - | - | 249 | - |  |
| Critical Hdwy | - | - | 4.1 | - | 6.4 | 6.53 |  |
| Critical Hdwy Stg 1 | - | - | - | - | 5.4 | - |  |
| Critical Hdwy Stg 2 | - | - | - | - | 5.4 | - |  |
| Follow-up Hdwy | - | - | 2.2 | - | 3.5 | 3.597 |  |
| Pot Cap-1 Maneuver | - | - | 1399 | - | 584 | 786 |  |
| $\quad$ Stage 1 | - | - | - | - | 853 | - |  |
| Stage 2 | - | - | - | - | 797 | - |  |
| Platoon blocked, \% | - | - |  | - |  |  |  |
| Mov Cap-1 Maneuver | - | - | 1399 | - | 583 | 786 |  |
| Mov Cap-2 Maneuver | - | - | - | - | 583 | - |  |
| Stage 1 | - | - | - | - | 853 | - |  |
| Stage 2 | - | - | - | - | 796 | - |  |


| Approach | EB | WB | NB |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| HCM Control Delay, s | 0 | 0 | 10.8 |
| HCM LOS |  | $B$ |  |


| Minor Lane/Major Mvmt | NBLn1 | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | :---: |
| Capacity (veh/h) | 646 | - | - | 1399 | - |
| HCM Lane V/C Ratio | 0.04 | - | -0.001 | - |  |
| HCM Control Delay (s) | 10.8 | - | - | 7.6 | 0 |
| HCM Lane LOS | B | - | - | A | A |
| HCM 95th \%tile Q(veh) | 0.1 | - | - | 0 | - |


|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 4 |  |  |  |  | $\downarrow$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane Group | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| Lane Configurations |  | $\uparrow$ | 「 |  | $\uparrow$ | 「 | \％ | $\uparrow$ | 「 | \％ | $\uparrow$ |  |
| Traffic Volume（vph） | 34 | 149 | 99 | 33 | 65 | 26 | 98 | 104 | 51 | 84 | 242 | 56 |
| Future Volume（vph） | 34 | 149 | 99 | 33 | 65 | 26 | 98 | 104 | 51 | 84 | 242 | 56 |
| Satd．Flow（prot） | 0 | 1748 | 1129 | 0 | 1322 | 1346 | 1367 | 1681 | 1417 | 1641 | 1623 | 0 |
| Flt Permitted |  | 0.991 |  |  | 0.983 |  | 0.441 |  |  | 0.684 |  |  |
| Satd．Flow（perm） | 0 | 1748 | 1129 | 0 | 1322 | 1346 | 635 | 1681 | 1417 | 1181 | 1623 | 0 |
| Satd．Flow（RTOR） |  |  | 170 |  |  | 170 |  |  | 158 |  | 15 |  |
| Peak Hour Factor | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 |
| Heavy Vehicles（\％） | 11\％ | 7\％ | 43\％ | 30\％ | 47\％ | 20\％ | 32\％ | 13\％ | 14\％ | 10\％ | 11\％ | 26\％ |
| Shared Lane Traffic（\％） |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lane Group Flow（vph） | 0 | 199 | 108 | 0 | 107 | 28 | 107 | 113 | 55 | 91 | 324 | 0 |
| Turn Type | Split | NA | Perm | Split | NA | Perm | pm＋pt | NA | Perm | pm＋pt | NA |  |
| Protected Phases | 4 | 4 |  | 8 | 8 |  | 5 | 2 |  | 1 | 6 |  |
| Permitted Phases |  |  | 4 |  |  | 8 | 2 |  | 2 | 6 |  |  |
| Detector Phase | 4 | 4 | 4 | 8 | 8 | 8 | 5 | 2 | 2 | 1 | 6 |  |
| Switch Phase |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Minimum Initial（s） | 5.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 | 10.0 | 10.0 | 3.0 | 10.0 |  |
| Minimum Split（s） | 10.0 | 10.0 | 10.0 | 10.0 | 10.0 | 10.0 | 11.0 | 16.0 | 16.0 | 8.0 | 15.0 |  |
| Total Split（s） | 22.0 | 22.0 | 22.0 | 17.0 | 17.0 | 17.0 | 11.0 | 40.0 | 40.0 | 11.0 | 40.0 |  |
| Total Split（\％） | 24．4\％ | 24．4\％ | 24．4\％ | 18．9\％ | 18．9\％ | 18．9\％ | 12．2\％ | 44．4\％ | 44．4\％ | 12．2\％ | 44．4\％ |  |
| Yellow Time（s） | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 |  |
| All－Red Time（s） | 2.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 |  |
| Lost Time Adjust（s） |  | 0.0 | 0.0 |  | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |  |
| Total Lost Time（s） |  | 5.0 | 5.0 |  | 5.0 | 5.0 | 6.0 | 6.0 | 6.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 |  |
| Lead／Lag |  |  |  |  |  |  | Lead | Lag | Lag | Lead | Lag |  |
| Lead－Lag Optimize？ |  |  |  |  |  |  | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes |  |
| Recall Mode | None | None | None | None | None | None | None | C－Min | C－Min | None | C－Min |  |
| Act Effct Green（s） |  | 15.3 | 15.3 |  | 11.5 | 11.5 | 46.1 | 39.5 | 39.5 | 45.2 | 39.2 |  |
| Actuated g／C Ratio |  | 0.17 | 0.17 |  | 0.13 | 0.13 | 0.51 | 0.44 | 0.44 | 0.50 | 0.44 |  |
| V／C Ratio |  | 0.67 | 0.32 |  | 0.63 | 0.09 | 0.28 | 0.15 | 0.08 | 0.14 | 0.45 |  |
| Control Delay |  | 45.6 | 3.7 |  | 54.2 | 0.5 | 15.8 | 20.9 | 0.2 | 13.1 | 24.0 |  |
| Queue Delay |  | 0.0 | 0.0 |  | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |  |
| Total Delay |  | 45.6 | 3.7 |  | 54.2 | 0.5 | 15.8 | 20.9 | 0.2 | 13.1 | 24.0 |  |
| LOS |  | D | A |  | D | A | B | C | A | B | C |  |
| Approach Delay |  | 30.8 |  |  | 43.0 |  |  | 14.8 |  |  | 21.6 |  |
| Approach LOS |  | C |  |  | D |  |  | B |  |  | C |  |
| Queue Length 50th（tt） |  | 107 | 0 |  | 58 | 0 | 31 | 43 | 0 | 25 | 139 |  |
| Queue Length 95th（tt） |  | 166 | 11 |  | \＃124 | 0 | 68 | 86 | 0 | 56 | 229 |  |
| Internal Link Dist（tt） |  | 2492 |  |  | 463 |  |  | 887 |  |  | 458 |  |
| Turn Bay Length（ t ） |  |  | 95 |  |  | 60 | 120 |  | 120 | 150 |  |  |
| Base Capacity（vph） |  | 347 | 360 |  | 188 | 337 | 388 | 784 | 744 | 630 | 776 |  |
| Starvation Cap Reductn |  | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |
| Spillback Cap Reductn |  | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |
| Storage Cap Reductn |  | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |
| Reduced v／c Ratio |  | 0.57 | 0.30 |  | 0.57 | 0.08 | 0.28 | 0.14 | 0.07 | 0.14 | 0.42 |  |
| Intersection Summary |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Cycle Length： 90
Actuated Cycle Length： 90

Offset: 55 (61\%), Referenced to phase 2:NBTL and 6:SBTL, Start of Yellow
Natural Cycle: 60
Control Type: Actuated-Coordinated
Maximum v/c Ratio: 0.67
Intersection Signal Delay: 25.0 Intersection LOS: C
Intersection Capacity Utilization 54.0\% ICU Level of Service A
Analysis Period (min) 15
\# 95th percentile volume exceeds capacity, queue may be longer.
Queue shown is maximum after two cycles.
Splits and Phases: 1: Broadway \& W 62nd Avenue


| Intersection |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |


| Major/Minor | Minor2 | Major1 |  |  |  |  |  | Major2 |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Conflicting Flow All | 702 | 426 | 430 | 0 | - |  |  |  |  |
| $\quad$ Stage 1 | 426 | - | - | - | 0 |  |  |  |  |
| $\quad$ Stage 2 | 276 | - | - | - | - |  |  |  |  |


| Approach | EB | NB | SB |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| HCM Control Delay, s | 12.5 | 0.2 | 0 |
| HCM LOS | B |  |  |


| Minor Lane/Major Mvmt | NBL | NBT EBLn1 | SBT | SBR |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Capacity (veh/h) | 886 | -486 | - | - |  |
| HCM Lane V/C Ratio | 0.007 | -0.018 | - | - |  |
| HCM Control Delay (s) | 9.1 | 0 | 12.5 | - | - |
| HCM Lane LOS | A | A | B | - | - |
| HCM 95th \%tile Q(veh) | 0 | - | 0.1 | - | - |




| Intersection |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Int Delay, s/veh | 0.8 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Movement | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | NBL | NBR |
| Lane Configurations | $\uparrow$ |  |  | $\mathbf{7}$ | Mr |  |
| Traffic Vol, veh/h | 189 | 11 | 11 | 147 | 10 | 12 |
| Future Vol, veh/h | 189 | 11 | 11 | 147 | 10 | 12 |
| Conflicting Peds, \#/hr | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Sign Control | Free | Free | Free | Free | Stop | Stop |
| RT Channelized | - | None | - | None | - | None |
| Storage Length | - | - | - | - | 0 | - |
| Veh in Median Storage, $\#$ | 0 | - | - | 0 | 0 | - |
| Grade, \% | 0 | - | - | 0 | 0 | - |
| Peak Hour Factor | 92 | 92 | 92 | 92 | 92 | 92 |
| Heavy Vehicles, \% | 24 | 0 | 18 | 61 | 48 | 34 |
| Mvmt Flow | 205 | 12 | 12 | 160 | 11 | 13 |


| Major/Minor | Major1 |  | Major2 |  | Minor1 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Conflicting Flow All | 0 | 0 | 217 | 0 | 395 | 211 |
| Stage 1 | - | - | - | - | 211 | - |
| Stage 2 | - | - | - | - | 184 | - |
| Critical Hdwy | - | - | 4.28 |  | 6.88 | 6.54 |
| Critical Hdwy Stg 1 | - | - | - | - | 5.88 | - |
| Critical Hdwy Stg 2 | - | - | - | - | 5.88 | - |
| Follow-up Hdwy | - | - | 2.362 | - | 3.932 | 3.606 |
| Pot Cap-1 Maneuver | - | - | 1263 | - | 530 | 755 |
| Stage 1 | - | - | - | - | 726 | - |
| Stage 2 | - | - | - | - | 748 | - |
| Platoon blocked, \% | - | - |  | - |  |  |
| Mov Cap-1 Maneuver | - | - | 1263 | - | 525 | 755 |
| Mov Cap-2 Maneuver | - | - | - | - | 525 | - |
| Stage 1 | - | - | - | - | 726 | - |
| Stage 2 | - | - | - | - | 741 | - |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Approach | EB |  | WB |  | NB |  |
| HCM Control Delay, s | 0 |  | 0.5 |  | 10.9 |  |
| HCM LOS |  |  |  |  | B |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Minor Lane/Major Mvmt |  | NBLn1 | EBT | EBR | WBL WBT |  |
| Capacity (veh/h) |  | 630 | - | - | 1263 | - |
| HCM Lane V/C Ratio |  | 0.038 | - |  | 0.009 | - |
| HCM Control Delay (s) |  | 10.9 | - | - | 7.9 | 0 |
| HCM Lane LOS |  | B | - | - | A | A |
| HCM 95th \%tile Q(veh) |  | 0.1 | - | - | 0 | - |


| Intersection |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Int Delay, s/veh | 1.3 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Movement | WBL | WBR | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT |
| Lane Configurations | Mr |  | $\mathbf{4}$ |  |  | 4 |
| Traffic Vol, veh/h | 0 | 6 | 16 | 0 | 0 | 22 |
| Future Vol, veh/h | 0 | 6 | 16 | 0 | 0 | 22 |
| Conflicting Peds, \#/hr | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Sign Control | Stop | Stop | Free | Free | Free | Free |
| RT Channelized | - | None | - | None | - | None |
| Storage Length | 0 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Veh in Median Storage, $\#$ | 0 | - | 0 | - | - | 0 |
| Grade, \% | 0 | - | 0 | - | - | 0 |
| Peak Hour Factor | 92 | 92 | 92 | 92 | 92 | 92 |
| Heavy Vehicles, $\%$ | 2 | 82 | 25 | 2 | 2 | 9 |
| Mvmt Flow | 0 | 7 | 17 | 0 | 0 | 24 |



|  | 4 |  |  |  |  |  | 4 | $\uparrow$ |  |  | $\dagger$ | $\checkmark$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane Group | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| Lane Configurations |  | $\uparrow$ | 7 |  | $\uparrow$ | F | \% | 4 | F | \% | $\uparrow$ |  |
| Traffic Volume (vph) | 51 | 114 | 82 | 51 | 159 | 86 | 111 | 294 | 56 | 34 | 174 | 35 |
| Future Volume (vph) | 51 | 114 | 82 | 51 | 159 | 86 | 111 | 294 | 56 | 34 | 174 | 35 |
| Satd. Flow (prot) | 0 | 1624 | 1357 | 0 | 1784 | 1538 | 1517 | 1792 | 1179 | 1570 | 1684 | 0 |
| Flt Permitted |  | 0.985 |  |  | 0.988 |  | 0.477 |  |  | 0.545 |  |  |
| Satd. Flow (perm) | 0 | 1624 | 1357 | 0 | 1784 | 1538 | 762 | 1792 | 1179 | 900 | 1684 | 0 |
| Satd. Flow (RTOR) |  |  | 153 |  |  | 153 |  |  | 142 |  | 12 |  |
| Peak Hour Factor | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 |
| Heavy Vehicles (\%) | 9\% | 18\% | 19\% | 6\% | 5\% | 5\% | 19\% | 6\% | 37\% | 15\% | 9\% | 15\% |
| Shared Lane Traffic (\%) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lane Group Flow (vph) | 0 | 179 | 89 | 0 | 228 | 93 | 121 | 320 | 61 | 37 | 227 | 0 |
| Turn Type | Split | NA | Perm | Split | NA | Perm | pm+pt | NA | Perm | pm+pt | NA |  |
| Protected Phases | 4 | 4 |  | 8 | 8 |  | 5 | 2 |  | 1 | 6 |  |
| Permitted Phases |  |  | 4 |  |  | 8 | 2 |  | 2 | 6 |  |  |
| Detector Phase | 4 | 4 | 4 | 8 | 8 | 8 | 5 | 2 | 2 | 1 | 6 |  |
| Switch Phase |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Minimum Initial (s) | 5.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 | 10.0 | 10.0 | 3.0 | 10.0 |  |
| Minimum Split (s) | 10.0 | 10.0 | 10.0 | 10.0 | 10.0 | 10.0 | 11.0 | 16.0 | 16.0 | 8.0 | 15.0 |  |
| Total Split (s) | 20.0 | 20.0 | 20.0 | 23.0 | 23.0 | 23.0 | 12.0 | 45.0 | 45.0 | 12.0 | 45.0 |  |
| Total Split (\%) | 20.0\% | 20.0\% | 20.0\% | 23.0\% | 23.0\% | 23.0\% | 12.0\% | 45.0\% | 45.0\% | 12.0\% | 45.0\% |  |
| Yellow Time (s) | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 |  |
| All-Red Time (s) | 2.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 |  |
| Lost Time Adjust (s) |  | 0.0 | 0.0 |  | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |  |
| Total Lost Time (s) |  | 5.0 | 5.0 |  | 5.0 | 5.0 | 6.0 | 6.0 | 6.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 |  |
| Lead/Lag |  |  |  |  |  |  | Lead | Lag | Lag | Lead | Lag |  |
| Lead-Lag Optimize? |  |  |  |  |  |  | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes |  |
| Recall Mode | None | None | None | None | None | None | None | C-Min | C-Min | None | C-Min |  |
| Act Effct Green (s) |  | 16.5 | 16.5 |  | 17.0 | 17.0 | 48.8 | 43.2 | 43.2 | 43.5 | 37.0 |  |
| Actuated g/C Ratio |  | 0.16 | 0.16 |  | 0.17 | 0.17 | 0.49 | 0.43 | 0.43 | 0.44 | 0.37 |  |
| $\mathrm{V} / \mathrm{c}$ Ratio |  | 0.67 | 0.25 |  | 0.75 | 0.24 | 0.28 | 0.41 | 0.10 | 0.09 | 0.36 |  |
| Control Delay |  | 50.9 | 2.3 |  | 55.2 | 2.5 | 18.0 | 25.4 | 0.4 | 15.6 | 25.9 |  |
| Queue Delay |  | 0.0 | 0.0 |  | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |  |
| Total Delay |  | 50.9 | 2.3 |  | 55.2 | 2.5 | 18.0 | 25.4 | 0.4 | 15.6 | 25.9 |  |
| LOS |  | D | A |  | E | A | B | C | A | B | C |  |
| Approach Delay |  | 34.7 |  |  | 39.9 |  |  | 20.6 |  |  | 24.5 |  |
| Approach LOS |  | C |  |  | D |  |  | C |  |  | C |  |
| Queue Length 50th (tt) |  | 108 | 0 |  | 138 | 0 | 41 | 153 | 0 | 12 | 103 |  |
| Queue Length 95th (tt) |  | 169 | 6 |  | \#223 | 8 | 84 | 259 | 0 | 32 | 174 |  |
| Internal Link Dist (t) |  | 2492 |  |  | 463 |  |  | 887 |  |  | 458 |  |
| Turn Bay Length ( t ) |  |  | 95 |  |  | 60 | 120 |  | 120 | 150 |  |  |
| Base Capacity (vph) |  | 285 | 364 |  | 334 | 412 | 435 | 833 | 624 | 443 | 717 |  |
| Starvation Cap Reductn |  | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |
| Spillback Cap Reductn |  | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |
| Storage Cap Reductn |  | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |
| Reduced v/c Ratio |  | 0.63 | 0.24 |  | 0.68 | 0.23 | 0.28 | 0.38 | 0.10 | 0.08 | 0.32 |  |
| Intersection Summary |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Cycle Length: 100
Actuated Cycle Length: 100

Offset: 27 (27\%), Referenced to phase 2:NBTL and 6:SBTL, Start of Yellow
Natural Cycle: 60
Control Type: Actuated-Coordinated
Maximum v/c Ratio: 0.75
Intersection Signal Delay: 28.7 Intersection LOS: C
Intersection Capacity Utilization 56.3\% ICU Level of Service B
Analysis Period (min) 15
\# 95th percentile volume exceeds capacity, queue may be longer.
Queue shown is maximum after two cycles.
Splits and Phases: 1: Broadway \& W 62nd Avenue


| Intersection |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |



| Intersection |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Int Delay, s/veh | 4.7 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Movement | SET | SER | NWL | NWT | NEL | NER |
| Lane Configurations | $\boldsymbol{F}$ |  |  | $\mathbf{e}$ | Mr |  |
| Traffic Vol, veh/h | 93 | 4 | 156 | 174 | 15 | 116 |
| Future Vol, veh/h | 93 | 4 | 156 | 174 | 15 | 116 |
| Conflicting Peds, \#/hr | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Sign Control | Free | Free | Free | Free | Stop | Stop |
| RT Channelized | - | None | - | None | - | None |
| Storage Length | - | - | - | - | 0 | - |
| Veh in Median Storage, \# | 0 | - | - | 0 | 0 | - |
| Grade, \% | 0 | - | - | 0 | 0 | - |
| Peak Hour Factor | 92 | 92 | 92 | 92 | 92 | 92 |
| Heavy Vehicles, \% | 24 | 25 | 16 | 4 | 13 | 22 |
| Mvmt Flow | 101 | 4 | 170 | 189 | 16 | 126 |


| Major/Minor | Major1 | Major2 |  | Minor1 |  |  |
| :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Conflicting Flow All | 0 | 0 | 105 | 0 | 632 | 103 |
| Stage 1 | - | - | - | - | 103 | - |
| Stage 2 | - | - | - | - | 529 | - |
| Critical Hdwy | - | - | 4.26 | - | 6.53 | 6.42 |
| Critical Hdwy Stg 1 | - | - | - | - | 5.53 | - |
| Critical Hdwy Stg 2 | - | - | - | - | 5.53 | - |
| Follow-up Hdwy | - | -2.344 | -3.617 | 3.498 |  |  |
| Pot Cap-1 Maneuver | - | - | 1403 | - | 427 | 900 |
| $\quad$ Stage 1 | - | - | - | - | 894 | - |
| Stage 2 | - | - | - | - | 569 | - |
| Platoon blocked, \% | - | - |  | - |  |  |
| Mov Cap-1 Maneuver | - | - | 1403 | - | 369 | 900 |
| Mov Cap-2 Maneuver | - | - | - | - | 369 | - |
| Stage 1 | - | - | - | - | 894 | - |
| Stage 2 | - | - | - | - | 492 | - |


| Approach | SE | NW | NE |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| HCM Control Delay, s | 0 | 3.7 | 10.7 |
| HCM LOS |  |  | B |


| Minor Lane/Major Mvmt | NELn1 | NWL | NWT | SET | SER |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | :---: |
| Capacity (veh/h) | 773 | 1403 | - | - | - |
| HCM Lane V/C Ratio | 0.184 | 0.121 | - | - | - |
| HCM Control Delay (s) | 10.7 | 7.9 | 0 | - | - |
| HCM Lane LOS | B | A | A | - | - |
| HCM 95th \%tile Q(veh) | 0.7 | 0.4 | - | - | - |



| Major/Minor M | Major1 |  | Major2 |  | Minor1 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Conflicting Flow All | 0 | 0 | 131 | 0 | 304 | 129 |
| Stage 1 | - | - | - | - | 129 | - |
| Stage 2 | - | - | - | - | 175 | - |
| Critical Hdwy | - | - | 4.1 | - | 6.48 | 6.81 |
| Critical Hdwy Stg 1 | - | - | - | - | 5.48 | - |
| Critical Hdwy Stg 2 | - | - | - | - | 5.48 | - |
| Follow-up Hdwy | - | - | 2.2 | - | 3.572 | 3.849 |
| Pot Cap-1 Maneuver | - | - | 1467 | - | 675 | 784 |
| Stage 1 | - | - | - | - | 882 | - |
| Stage 2 | - | - | - | - | 841 | - |
| Platoon blocked, \% | - | - |  | - |  |  |
| Mov Cap-1 Maneuver | - | - | 1467 | - | 674 | 784 |
| Mov Cap-2 Maneuver | - | - | - | - | 674 | - |
| Stage 1 | - | - | - | - | 882 | - |
| Stage 2 | - | - | - | - | 840 | - |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Approach | EB |  | WB |  | NB |  |
| HCM Control Delay, s | 0 |  | 0 |  | 10.1 |  |
| HCM LOS |  |  |  |  | B |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Minor Lane/Major Mvmt |  | NBLn1 EBT EBR WBL WBT |  |  |  |  |
| Capacity (veh/h) |  | 731 | - | - | 1467 | - |
| HCM Lane V/C Ratio |  | 0.037 | - | - | 0.001 | - |
| HCM Control Delay (s) |  | 10.1 | - | - | 7.5 | 0 |
| HCM Lane LOS |  | B | - | - | A | A |
| HCM 95th \%tile Q(veh) |  | 0.1 | - | - | 0 | - |


| Intersection |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Int Delay, s/veh | 2.7 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Movement | WBL | WBR | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT |
| Lane Configurations | KF |  | 个 |  |  | 4 |
| Traffic Vol, veh/h | 0 | 9 | 16 | 0 | 0 | 6 |
| Future Vol, veh/h | 0 | 9 | 16 | 0 | 0 | 6 |
| Conflicting Peds, \#/hr | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Sign Control | Stop | Stop | Free | Free | Free | Free |
| RT Channelized | - | None | - | None | - | None |
| Storage Length | 0 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Veh in Median Storage, $\#$ | 0 | - | 0 | - | - | 0 |
| Grade, \% | 0 | - | 0 | - | - | 0 |
| Peak Hour Factor | 92 | 92 | 92 | 92 | 92 | 92 |
| Heavy Vehicles, \% | 2 | 82 | 12 | 2 | 2 | 17 |
| Mvmt Flow | 0 | 10 | 17 | 0 | 0 | 7 |



|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 4 |  |  |  |  | $\downarrow$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane Group | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| Lane Configurations |  | $\uparrow$ | 「 |  | $\uparrow$ | 「 | ${ }^{7}$ | $\uparrow$ | 「 | \％ | $\uparrow$ |  |
| Traffic Volume（vph） | 48 | 212 | 139 | 47 | 92 | 37 | 140 | 149 | 73 | 120 | 345 | 80 |
| Future Volume（vph） | 48 | 212 | 139 | 47 | 92 | 37 | 140 | 149 | 73 | 120 | 345 | 80 |
| Satd．Flow（prot） | 0 | 1751 | 1129 | 0 | 1325 | 1346 | 1367 | 1681 | 1417 | 1641 | 1622 | 0 |
| Flt Permitted |  | 0.991 |  |  | 0.983 |  | 0.228 |  |  | 0.654 |  |  |
| Satd．Flow（perm） | 0 | 1751 | 1129 | 0 | 1325 | 1346 | 328 | 1681 | 1417 | 1130 | 1622 | 0 |
| Satd．Flow（RTOR） |  |  | 158 |  |  | 158 |  |  | 145 |  | 14 |  |
| Peak Hour Factor | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 |
| Heavy Vehicles（\％） | 10\％ | 7\％ | 43\％ | 29\％ | 47\％ | 20\％ | 32\％ | 13\％ | 14\％ | 10\％ | 11\％ | 26\％ |
| Shared Lane Traffic（\％） |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lane Group Flow（vph） | 0 | 282 | 151 | 0 | 151 | 40 | 152 | 162 | 79 | 130 | 462 | 0 |
| Turn Type | Split | NA | Perm | Split | NA | Perm | pm＋pt | NA | Perm | pm＋pt | NA |  |
| Protected Phases | 4 | 4 |  | 8 | 8 |  | 5 | 2 |  | 1 | 6 |  |
| Permitted Phases |  |  | 4 |  |  | 8 | 2 |  | 2 | 6 |  |  |
| Detector Phase | 4 | 4 | 4 | 8 | 8 | 8 | 5 | 2 | 2 | 1 | 6 |  |
| Switch Phase |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Minimum Initial（s） | 5.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 | 10.0 | 10.0 | 3.0 | 10.0 |  |
| Minimum Split（s） | 10.0 | 10.0 | 10.0 | 10.0 | 10.0 | 10.0 | 11.0 | 16.0 | 16.0 | 8.0 | 15.0 |  |
| Total Split（s） | 22.0 | 22.0 | 22.0 | 18.0 | 18.0 | 18.0 | 14.0 | 39.0 | 39.0 | 11.0 | 36.0 |  |
| Total Split（\％） | 24．4\％ | 24．4\％ | 24．4\％ | 20．0\％ | 20．0\％ | 20．0\％ | 15．6\％ | 43．3\％ | 43．3\％ | 12．2\％ | 40．0\％ |  |
| Yellow Time（s） | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 |  |
| All－Red Time（s） | 2.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 |  |
| Lost Time Adjust（s） |  | 0.0 | 0.0 |  | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |  |
| Total Lost Time（s） |  | 5.0 | 5.0 |  | 5.0 | 5.0 | 6.0 | 6.0 | 6.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 |  |
| Lead／Lag |  |  |  |  |  |  | Lead | Lag | Lag | Lead | Lag |  |
| Lead－Lag Optimize？ |  |  |  |  |  |  | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes |  |
| Recall Mode | None | None | None | None | None | None | None | C－Min | C－Min | None | C－Min |  |
| Act Effct Green（s） |  | 16.8 | 16.8 |  | 12.6 | 12.6 | 41.3 | 33.1 | 33.1 | 37.8 | 31.3 |  |
| Actuated g／C Ratio |  | 0.19 | 0.19 |  | 0.14 | 0.14 | 0.46 | 0.37 | 0.37 | 0.42 | 0.35 |  |
| V／C Ratio |  | 0.87 | 0.45 |  | 0.81 | 0.12 | 0.62 | 0.26 | 0.13 | 0.25 | 0.81 |  |
| Control Delay |  | 61.9 | 9.6 |  | 70.1 | 0.8 | 26.3 | 21.7 | 0.7 | 14.4 | 39.0 |  |
| Queue Delay |  | 0.0 | 0.0 |  | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |  |
| Total Delay |  | 61.9 | 9.6 |  | 70.1 | 0.8 | 26.3 | 21.7 | 0.7 | 14.4 | 39.0 |  |
| LOS |  | E | A |  | E | A | C | C | A | B | D |  |
| Approach Delay |  | 43.7 |  |  | 55.6 |  |  | 19.3 |  |  | 33.6 |  |
| Approach LOS |  | D |  |  | E |  |  | B |  |  | C |  |
| Queue Length 50th（tt） |  | 156 | 0 |  | 84 | 0 | 48 | 64 | 0 | 39 | 231 |  |
| Queue Length 95th（tt） |  | \＃294 | 47 |  | \＃186 | 0 | \＃93 | 111 | 3 | 70 | \＃394 |  |
| Internal Link Dist（tt） |  | 2492 |  |  | 463 |  |  | 887 |  |  | 458 |  |
| Turn Bay Length（ t ） |  |  | 95 |  |  | 60 | 120 |  | 120 | 150 |  |  |
| Base Capacity（vph） |  | 336 | 344 |  | 194 | 332 | 247 | 635 | 625 | 510 | 589 |  |
| Starvation Cap Reductn |  | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |
| Spillback Cap Reductn |  | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |
| Storage Cap Reductn |  | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |
| Reduced v／c Ratio |  | 0.84 | 0.44 |  | 0.78 | 0.12 | 0.62 | 0.26 | 0.13 | 0.25 | 0.78 |  |
| Intersection Summary |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Cycle Length： 90
Actuated Cycle Length： 90

Offset: 55 (61\%), Referenced to phase 2:NBTL and 6:SBTL, Start of Yellow
Natural Cycle: 80
Control Type: Actuated-Coordinated
Maximum v/c Ratio: 0.87
Intersection Signal Delay: $35.4 \quad$ Intersection LOS: D
Intersection Capacity Utilization 69.5\% ICU Level of Service C
Analysis Period (min) 15
\# 95th percentile volume exceeds capacity, queue may be longer.
Queue shown is maximum after two cycles.
Splits and Phases: 1: Broadway \& W 62nd Avenue




| Intersection |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Int Delay, s/veh | 7.4 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Movement | SET | SER | NWL | NWT | NEL | NER |
| Lane Configurations | $\boldsymbol{F}$ |  |  | $\mathbf{A}$ | Mr |  |
| Traffic Vol, veh/h | 170 | 24 | 202 | 83 | 12 | 273 |
| Future Vol, veh/h | 170 | 24 | 202 | 83 | 12 | 273 |
| Conflicting Peds, \#/hr | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Sign Control | Free | Free | Free | Free | Stop | Stop |
| RT Channelized | - | None | - | None | - | None |
| Storage Length | - | - | - | - | 0 | - |
| Veh in Median Storage, \# | 0 | - | - | 0 | 0 | - |
| Grade, \% | 0 | - | - | 0 | 0 | - |
| Peak Hour Factor | 92 | 92 | 92 | 92 | 92 | 92 |
| Heavy Vehicles, $\%$ | 34 | 62 | 57 | 43 | 25 | 24 |
| Mvmt Flow | 185 | 26 | 220 | 90 | 13 | 297 |



| Intersection |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Int Delay, s/veh | 0.9 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Movement | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | NBL | NBR |
| Lane Configurations | $\boldsymbol{\beta}$ |  |  | $\mathbf{e}$ | Mr |  |
| Traffic Vol, veh/h | 270 | 16 | 16 | 210 | 14 | 15 |
| Future Vol, veh/h | 270 | 16 | 16 | 210 | 14 | 15 |
| Conflicting Peds, \#/hr | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Sign Control | Free | Free | Free | Free | Stop | Stop |
| RT Channelized | - | None | - | None | - | None |
| Storage Length | - | - | - | - | 0 | - |
| Veh in Median Storage, \# | 0 | - | - | 0 | 0 | - |
| Grade, \% | 0 | - | - | 0 | 0 | - |
| Peak Hour Factor | 92 | 92 | 92 | 92 | 92 | 92 |
| Heavy Vehicles, \% | 24 | 0 | 18 | 61 | 47 | 28 |
| Mvmt Flow | 293 | 17 | 17 | 228 | 15 | 16 |


| Major/Minor | Major1 | Major2 |  |  | Minor1 |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | :---: |
| Conflicting Flow All | 0 | 0 | 310 | 0 | 564 | 302 |  |
| Stage 1 | - | - | - | - | 302 | - |  |
| Stage 2 | - | - | - | - | 262 | - |  |
| Critical Hdwy | - | - | 4.28 | - | 6.87 | 6.48 |  |
| Critical Hdwy Stg 1 | - | - | - | - | 5.87 | - |  |
| Critical Hdwy Stg 2 | - | - | - | - | 5.87 | - |  |
| Follow-up Hdwy | - | -2.362 | -3.923 | 3.552 |  |  |  |
| Pot Cap-1 Maneuver | - | - | 1165 | - | 419 | 681 |  |
| $\quad$ Stage 1 | - | - | - | - | 658 | - |  |
| Stage 2 | - | - | - | - | 688 | - |  |
| Platoon blocked, \% | - | - |  | - |  |  |  |
| Mov Cap-1 Maneuver | - | - | 1165 | - | 412 | 681 |  |
| Mov Cap-2 Maneuver | - | - | - | - | 412 | - |  |
| Stage 1 | - | - | - | - | 658 | - |  |
| Stage 2 | - | - | - | - | 676 | - |  |


| Approach | EB | WB | NB |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| HCM Control Delay, s | 0 | 0.6 | 12.4 |
| HCM LOS |  |  | B |


| Minor Lane/Major Mvmt | NBLn1 | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Capacity (veh/h) | 518 | - | -1165 | - |  |
| HCM Lane V/C Ratio | 0.061 | - | -0.015 | - |  |
| HCM Control Delay (s) | 12.4 | - | - | 8.1 | 0 |
| HCM Lane LOS | B | - | - | A | A |
| HCM 95th \%tile Q(veh) | 0.2 | - | - | 0 | - |


| Intersection |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Int Delay, s/veh | 0.8 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Movement | WBL | WBR | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT |
| Lane Configurations | MF |  | 个 |  |  | 4 |
| Traffic Vol, veh/h | 0 | 6 | 29 | 0 | 0 | 32 |
| Future Vol, veh/h | 0 | 6 | 29 | 0 | 0 | 32 |
| Conflicting Peds, \#/hr | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Sign Control | Stop | Stop | Free | Free | Free | Free |
| RT Channelized | - | None | - | None | - | None |
| Storage Length | 0 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Veh in Median Storage, $\#$ | 0 | - | 0 | - | - | 0 |
| Grade, \% | 0 | - | 0 | - | - | 0 |
| Peak Hour Factor | 92 | 92 | 92 | 92 | 92 | 92 |
| Heavy Vehicles, \% | 2 | 82 | 25 | 2 | 2 | 9 |
| Mvmt Flow | 0 | 7 | 32 | 0 | 0 | 35 |



|  | 4 |  |  |  |  |  | 4 | $\uparrow$ |  |  | $\dagger$ | $\checkmark$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane Group | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| Lane Configurations |  | $\uparrow$ | F |  | $\uparrow$ | F | \% | 4 | 7 | ${ }^{7}$ | ¢ |  |
| Traffic Volume (vph) | 72 | 162 | 115 | 72 | 227 | 123 | 159 | 421 | 80 | 49 | 247 | 51 |
| Future Volume (vph) | 72 | 162 | 115 | 72 | 227 | 123 | 159 | 421 | 80 | 49 | 247 | 51 |
| Satd. Flow (prot) | 0 | 1628 | 1369 | 0 | 1788 | 1538 | 1517 | 1792 | 1179 | 1570 | 1695 | 0 |
| Flt Permitted |  | 0.985 |  |  | 0.988 |  | 0.309 |  |  | 0.346 |  |  |
| Satd. Flow (perm) | 0 | 1628 | 1369 | 0 | 1788 | 1538 | 493 | 1792 | 1179 | 572 | 1695 | 0 |
| Satd. Flow (RTOR) |  |  | 142 |  |  | 142 |  |  | 131 |  | 11 |  |
| Peak Hour Factor | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 |
| Heavy Vehicles (\%) | 8\% | 18\% | 18\% | 5\% | 5\% | 5\% | 19\% | 6\% | 37\% | 15\% | 8\% | 15\% |
| Shared Lane Traffic (\%) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lane Group Flow (vph) | 0 | 254 | 125 | 0 | 325 | 134 | 173 | 458 | 87 | 53 | 323 | 0 |
| Turn Type | Split | NA | Perm | Split | NA | Perm | pm+pt | NA | Perm | pm+pt | NA |  |
| Protected Phases | 4 | 4 |  | 8 | 8 |  | 5 | 2 |  | 1 | 6 |  |
| Permitted Phases |  |  | 4 |  |  | 8 | 2 |  | 2 | 6 |  |  |
| Detector Phase | 4 | 4 | 4 | 8 | 8 | 8 | 5 | 2 | 2 | 1 | 6 |  |
| Switch Phase |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Minimum Initial (s) | 5.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 | 10.0 | 10.0 | 3.0 | 10.0 |  |
| Minimum Split (s) | 10.0 | 10.0 | 10.0 | 10.0 | 10.0 | 10.0 | 11.0 | 16.0 | 16.0 | 8.0 | 15.0 |  |
| Total Split (s) | 24.0 | 24.0 | 24.0 | 27.0 | 27.0 | 27.0 | 14.0 | 41.0 | 41.0 | 8.0 | 35.0 |  |
| Total Split (\%) | 24.0\% | 24.0\% | 24.0\% | 27.0\% | 27.0\% | 27.0\% | 14.0\% | 41.0\% | 41.0\% | 8.0\% | 35.0\% |  |
| Yellow Time (s) | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 |  |
| All-Red Time (s) | 2.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 |  |
| Lost Time Adjust (s) |  | 0.0 | 0.0 |  | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |  |
| Total Lost Time (s) |  | 5.0 | 5.0 |  | 5.0 | 5.0 | 6.0 | 6.0 | 6.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 |  |
| Lead/Lag |  |  |  |  |  |  | Lead | Lag | Lag | Lead | Lag |  |
| Lead-Lag Optimize? |  |  |  |  |  |  | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes |  |
| Recall Mode | None | None | None | None | None | None | None | C-Min | C-Min | None | C-Min |  |
| Act Effct Green (s) |  | 18.8 | 18.8 |  | 21.0 | 21.0 | 44.0 | 37.0 | 37.0 | 34.8 | 30.6 |  |
| Actuated g/C Ratio |  | 0.19 | 0.19 |  | 0.21 | 0.21 | 0.44 | 0.37 | 0.37 | 0.35 | 0.31 |  |
| $\mathrm{V} / \mathrm{c}$ Ratio |  | 0.83 | 0.34 |  | 0.86 | 0.31 | 0.57 | 0.69 | 0.17 | 0.22 | 0.61 |  |
| Control Delay |  | 62.1 | 7.2 |  | 61.2 | 7.0 | 27.3 | 34.8 | 2.1 | 20.9 | 35.4 |  |
| Queue Delay |  | 0.0 | 0.0 |  | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |  |
| Total Delay |  | 62.1 | 7.2 |  | 61.2 | 7.0 | 27.3 | 34.8 | 2.1 | 20.9 | 35.4 |  |
| LOS |  | E | A |  | E | A | C | C | A | C | D |  |
| Approach Delay |  | 44.0 |  |  | 45.4 |  |  | 29.0 |  |  | 33.4 |  |
| Approach LOS |  | D |  |  | D |  |  | C |  |  | C |  |
| Queue Length 50th (tt) |  | 151 | 0 |  | 195 | 0 | 75 | 270 | 0 | 21 | 182 |  |
| Queue Length 95th (tt) |  | \#287 | 38 |  | \#342 | 43 | 118 | 371 | 13 | 42 | 265 |  |
| Internal Link Dist (t) |  | 2492 |  |  | 463 |  |  | 887 |  |  | 458 |  |
| Turn Bay Length ( t ) |  |  | 95 |  |  | 60 | 120 |  | 120 | 150 |  |  |
| Base Capacity (vph) |  | 321 | 384 |  | 398 | 453 | 304 | 690 | 534 | 240 | 549 |  |
| Starvation Cap Reductn |  | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |
| Spillback Cap Reductn |  | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |
| Storage Cap Reductn |  | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |
| Reduced v/c Ratio |  | 0.79 | 0.33 |  | 0.82 | 0.30 | 0.57 | 0.66 | 0.16 | 0.22 | 0.59 |  |
| Intersection Summary |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Cycle Length: 100
Actuated Cycle Length: 100

Offset: 27 (27\%), Referenced to phase 2:NBTL and 6:SBTL, Start of Yellow
Natural Cycle: 75
Control Type: Actuated-Coordinated
Maximum v/c Ratio: 0.86
Intersection Signal Delay: $36.7 \quad$ Intersection LOS: D
Intersection Capacity Utilization 71.4\% ICU Level of Service C
Analysis Period (min) 15
\# 95th percentile volume exceeds capacity, queue may be longer.
Queue shown is maximum after two cycles.
Splits and Phases: 1: Broadway \& W 62nd Avenue


| Intersection |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Int Delay, s/veh | 0.6 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Movement | EBL | EBR | NBL | NBT | SBT | SBR |
| Lane Configurations | Mr |  |  | $\mathbf{A}$ | $\mathbf{F}$ |  |
| Traffic Vol, veh/h | 16 | 21 | 9 | 643 | 424 | 18 |
| Future Vol, veh/h | 16 | 21 | 9 | 643 | 424 | 18 |
| Conflicting Peds, \#/hr | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Sign Control | Stop | Stop | Free | Free | Free | Free |
| RT Channelized | - | None | - | None | - | None |
| Storage Length | 0 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Veh in Median Storage, \# | 0 | - | - | 0 | 0 | - |
| Grade, \% | 0 | - | - | 0 | 0 | - |
| Peak Hour Factor | 92 | 92 | 92 | 92 | 92 | 92 |
| Heavy Vehicles, \% | 0 | 0 | 49 | 14 | 10 | 23 |
| Mvmt Flow | 17 | 23 | 10 | 699 | 461 | 20 |



| Intersection |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Int Delay, s/veh | 5.3 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Movement | SET | SER | NWL | NWT | NEL | NER |
| Lane Configurations | $\boldsymbol{\beta}$ |  |  | $\mathbf{4}$ | MF |  |
| Traffic Vol, veh/h | 132 | 6 | 223 | 248 | 20 | 163 |
| Future Vol, veh/h | 132 | 6 | 223 | 248 | 20 | 163 |
| Conflicting Peds, \#/hr | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Sign Control | Free | Free | Free | Free | Stop | Stop |
| RT Channelized | - | None | - | None | - | None |
| Storage Length | - | - | - | - | 0 | - |
| Veh in Median Storage, \# | 0 | - | - | 0 | 0 | - |
| Grade, \% | 0 | - | - | 0 | 0 | - |
| Peak Hour Factor | 92 | 92 | 92 | 92 | 92 | 92 |
| Heavy Vehicles, \% | 24 | 25 | 16 | 4 | 11 | 20 |
| Mvmt Flow | 143 | 7 | 242 | 270 | 22 | 177 |


| Major/Minor M | Major1 |  | Major2 |  | Minor1 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Conflicting Flow All | 0 | 0 | 150 | 0 | 901 | 147 |
| Stage 1 | - | - | - | - | 147 | - |
| Stage 2 | - | - | - | - | 754 | - |
| Critical Hdwy | - | - | 4.26 | - | 6.51 | 6.4 |
| Critical Hdwy Stg 1 | - | - | - | - | 5.51 | - |
| Critical Hdwy Stg 2 | - | - | - | - | 5.51 | - |
| Follow-up Hdwy | - | - | 2.344 | - | 3.599 | 3.48 |
| Pot Cap-1 Maneuver | - | - | 1350 | - | 298 | 855 |
| Stage 1 | - | - | - | - | 859 | - |
| Stage 2 | - | - | - | - | 449 | - |
| Platoon blocked, \% | - | - |  | - |  |  |
| Mov Cap-1 Maneuver | - | - | 1350 | - | 235 | 855 |
| Mov Cap-2 Maneuver | - | - | - | - | 235 | - |
| Stage 1 | - | - | - | - | 859 | - |
| Stage 2 | - | - | - | - | 354 | - |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Approach | SE |  | NW |  | NE |  |
| HCM Control Delay, s | 0 |  | 3.9 |  | 12.7 |  |
| HCM LOS |  |  |  |  | B |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Minor Lane/Major Mvmt |  | NELn1 | NWL | NWT | SET | SER |
| Capacity (veh/h) |  | 664 | 1350 | - | - | - |
| HCM Lane V/C Ratio |  | 0.3 | 0.18 | - | - | - |
| HCM Control Delay (s) |  | 12.7 | 8.2 | 0 | - | - |
| HCM Lane LOS |  | B | A | A | - | - |
| HCM 95th \%tile Q(veh) |  | 1.3 | 0.7 | - | - | - |


| Intersection |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Int Delay, s/veh | 0.8 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Movement | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | NBL | NBR |
| Lane Configurations | $\boldsymbol{\beta}$ |  |  | $\mathbf{e}$ | Mr |  |
| Traffic Vol, veh/h | 166 | 7 | 1 | 227 | 16 | 17 |
| Future Vol, veh/h | 166 | 7 | 1 | 227 | 16 | 17 |
| Conflicting Peds, \#/hr | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Sign Control | Free | Free | Free | Free | Stop | Stop |
| RT Channelized | - | None | - | None | - | None |
| Storage Length | - | - | - | - | 0 | - |
| Veh in Median Storage, $\#$ | 0 | - | - | 0 | 0 | - |
| Grade, \% | 0 | - | - | 0 | 0 | - |
| Peak Hour Factor | 92 | 92 | 92 | 92 | 92 | 92 |
| Heavy Vehicles, \% | 16 | 20 | 0 | 16 | 5 | 56 |
| Mvmt Flow | 180 | 8 | 1 | 247 | 17 | 18 |



| Intersection |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Int Delay, s/veh | 1.7 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Movement | WBL | WBR | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT |
| Lane Configurations | KF |  | 个 |  |  | 4 |
| Traffic Vol, veh/h | 0 | 9 | 33 | 0 | 0 | 8 |
| Future Vol, veh/h | 0 | 9 | 33 | 0 | 0 | 8 |
| Conflicting Peds, \#/hr | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Sign Control | Stop | Stop | Free | Free | Free | Free |
| RT Channelized | - | None | - | None | - | None |
| Storage Length | 0 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Veh in Median Storage, $\#$ | 0 | - | 0 | - | - | 0 |
| Grade, \% | 0 | - | 0 | - | - | 0 |
| Peak Hour Factor | 92 | 92 | 92 | 92 | 92 | 92 |
| Heavy Vehicles, \% | 2 | 82 | 12 | 2 | 2 | 17 |
| Mvmt Flow | 0 | 10 | 36 | 0 | 0 | 9 |




[^0]:    ${ }^{1}$ Imagine Adams County Transportation Plan, Adams County Public Works, December 2012.
    ${ }^{2}$ Adams County Development Standards and Regulations, Adams County, July 2021.

[^1]:    Key: Signalized Intersection: Lev el of Service (Control Delay in sec/veh) Stop-Controlled Intersection: Lev el of Service

[^2]:    ${ }^{3}$ State Highway Access Code, The Transportation Commission of Colorado, March 2002.

