

Eva J. Henry - District #1 Charles "Chaz" Tedesco - District #2 Erik Hansen - District #3 Steve O'Dorisio - District #4 Jan Pawlowski - District #5

#### STUDY SESSION AGENDA TUESDAY August 16, 2016

ALL TIMES LISTED ON THIS AGENDA ARE SUBJECT TO CHANGE.

11:00 A.M. ATTENDEE(S): Nancy Duncan / Pernell Olson / Raylene Taylor

ITEM: 2<sup>nd</sup> Quarter Budget / CIP Report

11:30 A.M. ATTENDEE(S): Jeanne Shreve

ITEM: Coordination and Participation in U.S. 36 Coalition

12:15 P.M. ATTENDEE(S): Todd Leopold

ITEM: Administrative Item Review / Commissioner

**Communications** 

12:45 P.M. ATTENDEE(S): Heidi Miller

ITEM: Executive Session Pursuant to C.R.S. 24-6-402(4)(b)

and (e) for the Purpose of Receiving Legal Advice and Negotiation Discussions Regarding Planning and

**Marketing Entity** 

1:45 P.M. ATTENDEE(S): Heidi Miller

ITEM: Executive Session Pursuant to C.R.S. 24-6-402(4)(b)

and (e) for the Purpose of Receiving Legal Advice and Instructing Negotiators Regarding Pending

**Land Use Litigation** 

2:15 P.M. ATTENDEE(S): Bryan Ostler / Cathy Greer

ITEM: Executive Session Pursuant to C.R.S. 24-6-402(4)(b)

for the Purpose of Receiving Legal Advice Regarding

**Personnel Matter** 



#### STUDY SESSION AGENDA ITEM

**DATE:** August 16, 2016

SUBJECT: Second Quarter 2016 Budget Report

FROM: Nancy Duncan, Budget Manager

AGENCY/DEPARTMENT: Budget Office

ATTENDEES: Budget Office Staff

PURPOSE OF ITEM: Present the Second Quarter 2016 Budget Report

STAFF RECOMMENDATION: Informational Only-No Action Required

#### **BACKGROUND:**

Budget Office staff would like to present the Second Quarter Budget Report for 2016 to the BoCC. To promote financial transparency, good fiscal practices, and keep the BoCC fully apprised of the County's financial position, budget vs. actual financial data will be analyzed and reported to the BoCC on a quarterly basis. As a companion piece, the Second Quarter Capital Improvement Plan Status Report will also be presented.

#### AGENCIES, DEPARTMENTS OR OTHER OFFICES INVOLVED:

County Manager and Budget Office

#### ATTACHED DOCUMENTS:

Second Quarter Budget Report for 2016 Second Quarter CIP Project Status Report

# **FISCAL IMPACT:**

Please check if there is no fiscal impact xx. If there is fiscal impact, please fully complete the section below.

Fund:	
Cost Center:	
	Object Subledger Amount Account
Current Budgeted Revenue:	
Additional Revenue not included in Current Budget:	
Total Revenues:	
	Object Subledger Amount
No. 4 minutes and the second s	Account
Current Budgeted Operating Expenditure:	
Add'l Operating Expenditure not included in Current Budg	et:
Current Budgeted Capital Expenditure:	
Add'l Capital Expenditure not included in Current Budget:	
Total Expenditures:	
	NO
Future Amendment Needed: YES	NO
Additional Note:	
There is no fiscal impact. This is an informational item on	y.
APPROVAL SIGNATURES: A	PPROVAL OF FISCAL IMPACT:
LOQ WRONG TO BUT	Many Dunco
Raymond H. Gonzales, Deputy County Manager	
Ed Finger, Deputy County Manager	



#### County Manager's Office

4430 South Adams County Parkway, 5th Floor, Suite C5300 Brighton, CO 80601 PHONE 720.523.6110 FAX 720.523.6045

## MEMORANDUM

DATE: August 16, 2016

TO: Adams County Board of Commissioners

FROM: Todd Leopold, County Manager

SUBJECT: 2016 Second Quarter Budget Report

#### **Background**

The Board of County Commissioners (BoCC) adopted the 2016 Annual Budget on December 17, 2015. The Annual Budget serves as a policy document, operations guide, financial plan, and communications device. As needs arise, the budget is amended periodically throughout the year. For 2016, there have been two amendments to the budget, the first adopted on April 19 and the second on June 7, 2016. Both are reflected in the following summary.

To promote financial transparency, good fiscal practices, and keep the BoCC apprised of the County's financial position, budget vs. actual financial data is analyzed on a quarterly basis. The Second Quarter 2016 Budget Report includes the following information:

- Prior Year Data Year-to-date actuals as of December 31, 2015.
- Current Year Data 2016 Amended Budget, year-to-date actuals as of June 30, 2016, the percent of budget consumed by those year-to-date actuals, and the dollar variance to 2015 actuals.
- Summary of key findings and discussion points.

More detailed information is presented for the property tax funds (General, Road & Bridge, Social Services, and Developmentally Disabled) and the sales tax funds (Capital Facilities and Open Space Sales Tax). Higher level revenue and expenditure information is presented for all other funds. Please see the following pages for information presented by fund.

Attached to this report is the 2016 Second Quarter Capital Improvement Plan (CIP) Summary. This summary contains the 2016 Amended Budget, encumbrances, year-to-date actuals as of June 30, 2016, remaining budget, and percent of budget completed. This summary includes items carried forward from 2015 to 2016 that were part of the first amendment adopted in April.

#### **General Fund**

General	
Fund	
Revenues	
Taxes	
Licenses & Permits	
Intergovernmental	
Charges for Services	
Fines & Forfeitures	
Interest & Investments	
Miscellaneous	
Other Finance Sources	
Total Revenues	

	2015				
6/30/15 Actuals					
\$	104,803,314				
	1,084,576				
	4,807,362				
	12,800,976				
	432,836				
	1,991,318				
	1,500,910				
	5,864,688				
\$	133,285,981				

	2016									
	Amended Budget	6/30/16 Actuals	% of Amended Budget	\$ Variance to 2015 Actuals						
Ş	120,855,988	\$ 117,146,819	96.93%	\$ 12,343,505						
	1,840,136	1,163,940	63.25%	79,364						
	10,241,137	3,406,446	33.26%	(1,400,917)						
	27,283,284	13,305,042	48.77%	504,066						
	1,187,723	434,772	36.61%	1,936						
	1,429,941	1,398,090	97.77%	(593,228)						
	4,504,066	2,135,821	47.42%	634,911						
	3,127,500	-	0.00%	(5,864,688)						
\$	170,469,775	\$ 138,990,930	81.53%	\$ 5,704,949						

Expenditures	
Personnel	
Operating & Maintenance	
Charges for Services	
Debt Service	
Governmental Services	
Capital	
Other Finance Uses	
Total Expenditures	

6/	30/15 Actuals
\$	48,139,663
	2,463,828
	16,721,139
	-
	2,500,280
	1,650,749
	-
\$	71,475,660

,	Amended Budget	6/30/16 Actuals	% of Amended Budget	\$ Variance to 2015 Actuals
\$	106,400,754	\$ 51,108,137	48.03%	
	8,893,888	3,762,641	42.31%	1,298,813
	43,398,305	16,173,954	37.27%	(547,185)
	-	-	-	-
	7,636,172	3,230,692	42.31%	730,413
	10,378,104	1,870,115	18.02%	219,365
	1,485,943	1	0.00%	-
\$	178,193,166	\$ 76,145,540	42.73%	\$ 4,669,880

#### **General Fund Summary**

- 1. Revenues
  - **a.** Total General Fund revenues are a net \$5.7 million or 4.3% higher through the second quarter of 2016 compared to 2015.
  - **b.** Tax revenue is \$12.3 million or 11.8% higher.
    - i. Current Property Taxes are \$11.8 million or 11.3% higher. This is due to the higher property taxes expected as a result of the 2015 reassessment process and resulting property value increases.
    - **ii.** Marijuana Sales Taxes are a new General Fund revenue source beginning in mid-2015. \$489,930 was collected through the second quarter of 2016.
  - **c.** Licenses & Permits revenues are \$79,364 or 7.3% higher. The bulk of this is due to increased Building Permit and Electrical Inspection revenue, which is \$179,625 or 50.9% higher. Growth within the county is a key driver of this increase.
  - **d.** Intergovernmental revenue is \$1.4 million or 29.1% lower. This decrease is due to reduced Community Corrections program funding.
  - e. Charges for Services are \$504,066 or 3.9% higher.
    - i. Real Estate and Recording Fees are \$148,265 or 9.4% higher.
    - ii. Treasurer's Fees are \$375,574 or 15.9% higher.
    - **iii.** Broomfield revenue for DA's Office services is \$258,377 higher. This is due to additional 2015 year-end true-up and April 2016 revenue received and included during the first quarter of 2016.
  - **f.** Interest & Investments are \$593,228 or 29.8% lower. The market to market adjustment at the end of the year will bring these actuals closer in alignment.
  - **g.** Miscellaneous revenue is \$634,911 or 42.3% higher. The two key areas driving this increase are Miscellaneous and Building Rent & Usage Charges.
  - **h.** Other Finance Sources are related to interfund transfers and are \$5.9 million or 100.0% lower. Planned interfund transfers will occur later in the year.

#### 2. Expenditures

- **a.** Total General Fund expenditures are a net \$4.5 million or 6.4% higher through the second quarter of 2016 compared to 2015.
- **b.** Personnel expenditures are \$2.9 million or 6.2% higher. This is due to new FTEs included in the 2016 budget and first amendment and the implementation of the pay plan that now occurs on annually on April 1.
- **c.** Operating & Maintenance expenditures are \$1.3 million or 52.7% higher. This increase is primarily due to increased expenditures related to minor equipment purchases, software and licensing, and computers.
- **d.** Charges for Services expenditures are \$666,553 or 4.0% lower. This decrease is primarily due to decreased expenditures related to maintenance contracts, other professional services, and inmate medical needs.
- **e.** Governmental Services expenditures are \$730,413 or 29.2% higher. This is due to increased expenditures related to the Tri-County Health contribution and the timing of the Adams County Economic Development contribution.
- **f.** Capital expenditures are \$219,365 or 13.3% higher. This is due to the implementation of some park and transportation projects.
- **g.** Other Financing Uses expenditures are related to interfund transfers, which do not occur until later in the year.

#### 3. FTE Changes

**a.** 3.0 FTEs were added as part of the 1<sup>st</sup> Amendment in April 2016.

#### Road & Bridge Fund

Road & Bridge	2015		2016								
Fund	6/30/15		6/30/15		6/30/15		Amended	6/30/16	% of Amended	\$ Variance to	
Revenues	Actuals		Budget	Actuals	Budget	2015 Actuals					
Taxes	\$ 15,040,647		\$ 30,613,753	\$ 16,143,182	52.73%	\$ 1,102,535					
Licenses & Permits	109,329		100,000	127,405	127.40%	18,076					
Intergovernmental	6,434,901		12,766,006	5,130,248	40.19%	(1,304,653)					
Charges for Services	711,751		1,615,834	1,004,087	62.14%	292,336					
Fines & Forfeitures	8,753		17,509	8,753	49.99%	-					
Interest & Investments	721		1,200	3,555	296.29%	2,834					
Miscellaneous	21,299		16,000	2,915	18.22%	(18,385)					
Total Revenues	\$ 22,327,402		\$ 45,130,302	\$ 22,420,145	49.68%	\$ 92,743					

Expenditures		6/30/15 Actuals								Amended Budget		6/30/16 Actuals	% of Amended Budget	\$ Variance to 2015 Actuals	
Personnel	\$	2,462,156		\$ 5,848,914	\$	2,666,186	45.58%	\$	204,030						
Operating & Maintenance		378,335		1,294,325		363,338	28.07%		(14,997)						
Charges for Services		3,615,028		16,910,442		2,863,269	16.93%		(751,759)						
Governmental Services		3,793,380	Г	13,603,159		3,876,870	28.50%		83,490						
Capital		2,969,646	Г	17,826,626		916,079	5.14%		(2,053,567)						
Total Expenditures	\$	13,218,546		\$ 55,483,466	\$	10,685,743	19.26%	\$	(2,532,803)						

#### **Road & Bridge Fund Summary**

#### 1. Revenues

- **a.** Road & Bridge Fund revenues are \$92,743 or 0.4% higher through the first quarter of 2016 compared to 2015.
- **b.** Tax revenue is a net \$1.1 million or 7.3% higher.
  - i. Property Taxes are \$728,510 or 12.4% higher. This is due to the higher property taxes expected as a result of the 2015 reassessment process and resulting property value increases.
  - ii. Specific Ownership Tax revenue is \$416,389 or 7.6% higher.
- **c.** Licenses & Permits revenue is \$18,076 or 16.5% higher. This is due to increased construction and oversize permit revenue collected in 2016 compared to 2015.
- d. Intergovernmental revenue is \$1,304,653 or 20.3% lower.
  - i. Highway Users Tax revenue is \$73,567 or 2.1% higher, however, other local revenues, mainly grants received in previous years, are \$1,378,220 or 46.0% lower.
- **e.** Charges for Services revenue is \$292,336 or 41.1% higher. This is due mainly to an increase in PIF revenue.

#### 2. Expenditures

- **a.** Road & Bridge Fund expenditures are trending lower for the second quarter of 2016. This is primarily due to the timing of capital project related expenditures.
- **b.** Charges for Services, which includes Utility Expenses and Operating and Maintenance of Road and Bridge Vehicles, is trending lower for the second quarter of 2016.

#### 3. FTE Changes

**a.** No FTE changes occurred during the second quarter of 2016.

#### **Social Services Fund**

Social Services				
Fund				
Revenues				
Taxes				
Intergovernmental				
Total Revenues				

2015				
	6/30/15 Actuals			
\$	10,658,851			
	41,453,456			
\$	52,112,307			

2016								
Amended Budget		6/30/16 Actuals	% of Amended Budget		Variance to 015 Actuals			
\$ 12,320,874	\$	11,977,433	97.21%	\$	1,318,582			
90,330,162		40,572,553	44.92%		(880,903)			
\$ 102,651,036	\$	52,550,719	51.19%	\$	438,412			

Expenditures	
Personnel	
Operating & Maintenance	
Charges for Services	
Total Expenditures	

6/30/15
Actuals
\$ 17,679,800
539,682
28,214,472
\$ 46,490,174

Amended	ŀ	6/30/16	% of Amended	\$ Variance to
Budget		Actuals	Budget	2015 Actuals
\$ 41,426,6	05	\$ 19,495,084	47.06%	\$ 1,815,284
2,096,9	55	681,850	32.52%	142,168
59,978,7	99	26,150,903	43.60%	(2,063,569)
\$ 103,502,3	59 5	\$ 46,328,518	44.76%	\$ (161,657)

#### **Social Services Fund Summary**

#### 1. Revenues

- a. Tax revenues are higher in 2016 compared to 2015 by 12.4% as a result of the 2015 reassessment process and resulting property value increases.
- b. Intergovernmental revenues are lower due to timing of June receipts. This will be an issue each month as Human Services closes their books approximately 3 weeks after normal close.

#### 2. Expenditures

- a. Personnel expenses are higher in 2016 due to additional FTEs added during the amendments that were approved in April and June.
- b. Charges for Services are lower due to timing of June close. This will be an issue each month as Human Services closes their books approximately 3 weeks after normal close.

### 3. FTE Changes

- a. 5.0 FTEs were added as part of the 1<sup>st</sup> Amendment in April 2016.
  b. 20.0 FTEs were added as part of the 2<sup>nd</sup> Amendment in June 2016.

### **Developmentally Disabled Fund**

Developmentally Disabled		
Fund		
Revenues		
Taxes		
Total Revenues		

2015
6/30/15 Actuals
\$ 1,164,182
\$ 1,164,182

2016							
Amended Budget		6/30/16 Actuals	% of Amended Budget		Variance to 015 Actuals		
\$ 1,346,622	\$	1,308,205	97.15%	\$	144,023		
\$ 1,346,622	\$	1,308,205	97.15%	\$	144,023		

Expenditures	
Charges for Services	
Governmental Services	
Total Expenditures	

6/30/15 Actuals					
\$	17,450				
	713,397				
\$	730,847				

Amended		6/30/16	% of Amended	\$	Variance to
Budget		Actuals	Budget	2	015 Actuals
\$	18,040	\$ 19,609	108.70%	\$	2,159
	1,201,538	725,525	60.38%		12,128
\$	1,219,578	\$ 745,134	61.10%	\$	14,287

#### **Developmentally Disabled Fund Summary**

- 1. Revenues
  - **a.** Developmentally Disabled Fund revenues are higher in 2016 compared to 2015 by 12.4% as a result of the 2015 reassessment process and resulting property value increases.
- 2. FTE Changes
  - a. No FTE changes occurred during the second quarter of 2016.

### **Capital Facilities Fund**

Capital Facilities		2015		
Fund		6/30/15		
Revenues			Actuals	
Taxes		\$	5,506,851	
Interest & Investments			6,852	
Miscellaneous			200,822	
Total Revenues		\$	5,714,525	

	2016						
Amended Budget			6/30/16 Actuals	% of Amended Budget		Variance to 015 Actuals	
\$	17,921,224	\$	5,448,443	30.40%	\$	(58,409)	
	20,000		164,090	820.45%		157,238	
	-		-	-		(200,822)	
\$	17,941,224	\$	5,612,533	31.28%	\$	(101,992)	

Expenditures	6/30/15 Actuals
Operating & Maintenance	9,350
Charges for Services	9,858
Debt Service	-
Capital	720,817
Other Finance Uses	5,864,688
Total Expenditures	\$ 6,604,713

Amended	6/30/16	% of Amended	\$ Variance to
Budget	Actuals	Budget	2015 Actuals
189,132	13,789	7.29%	4,439
-	39,818	-	29,960
15,014,566	-	0.00%	-
87,744,327	12,698,860	14.47%	11,978,043
-	-	-	(5,864,688)
\$ 102,948,025	\$ 12,752,467	12.39%	\$ 6,147,754

#### **Capital Facilities Fund Summary**

- 1. Expenditures
  - **a.** Capital expenditures are \$6.1 million higher 2016 compared to 2015. This is due to expenses related to the Human Services Center and also the purchases related to the Community Corrections facility.
  - **b.** Other Finance Uses expenditures are lower by \$5.8 million due to a change in process related to the COP payments. Payments are no longer transferred to the General Fund for payment. COP payments are now paid directly from the Capital Facilities Fund.
- 2. FTE Changes
  - a. No FTE changes occurred during the second quarter of 2016.

#### **Open Space Sales Tax Fund**

Open Space Sales Tax	2015	2016							
Fund	6/30/15 Actuals		Amended	6/30/16		% of Amended	\$ Variance to 2015 Actuals		
Revenues			Budget		Actuals	Budget			
Taxes	\$ 4,427,378		\$ 14,785,010	\$	4,562,317	30.86%	\$	134,939	
Interest & Investments	42,052		30,000		91,896	306.32%		49,844	
Total Revenues	\$ 4,481,288		\$ 14,815,010	\$	4,654,213	31.42%	\$	172,925	

Expenditures		6/30/15 Actuals		Amended Budget		6/30/16 Actuals	% of Amended Budget	\$ Variance to 2015 Actuals	
Personnel	\$	66,972	Ţ:	\$ 145,001	\$	71,315	49.18%	\$	4,343
Operating & Maintenance		2,469	Г	9,800		1,807	18.44%		(662)
Charges for Services		2,658		86,582		2,682	3.10%		23
Governmental Services		4,059,070	Г	19,146,793		6,323,504	33.03%		2,264,434
Other Finance Uses		554,418		2,119,359		1,741,030	82.15%		1,186,612
Total Expenditures	\$	4,685,587	:	\$ 21,507,535	\$	8,140,338	37.85%	\$	3,454,750

#### **Open Space Sales Tax Fund Summary**

- 1. Revenues
  - **a.** Open Space Sales Tax Fund revenues are higher in 2016 compared to 2015 due to an increase of 3.1% in sales tax collections.
- 2. Expenditures
  - **a.** Governmental Services expenditures are higher compared to 2015 due to grants payable to cities for completed projects.
  - **b.** Other Finance Uses expenditures are higher also due to the timing of distributions.
- 3. FTE Changes
  - a. No FTE changes occurred during the second quarter of 2016.

#### Other Non-Proprietary Funds

Со	nservation Trust
	Fund
Revenues	
Expenditures	

2015					
6/30/15 Actuals					
\$	355,941				
\$	432,255				

	2016							
Amended			6/30/16	% of Amended	\$	Variance to		
	Budget		Actuals	Budget	2	015 Actuals		
\$	715,661	\$	447,574	62.54%	\$	91,632		
\$	939,415	\$	373,150	39.72%	\$	(59,106)		

Оре	n Space Project	s
	Fund	
Revenues		
Expenditures		

2015					
6/30/15 Actuals					
\$ 792,529					
\$ 401,918					

	2016							
Amended		6/30/16		% of Amended	\$ Variance to			
	Budget		Actuals	Budget	20	015 Actuals		
\$	3,775,609	\$	1,766,224	46.78%	\$	973,696		
\$	5,392,800	\$	3,003,751	55.70%	\$	2,601,833		

Was	te Management	
	Fund	
Revenues		
Expenditures		

2015						
6/30/15 Actuals						
\$ 154,179						
\$ 128,305						

	2016						
Amended			6/30/16	% of Amended	\$ Variance to		
	Budget		Actuals	Budget	20	015 Actuals	
\$	400,000	\$	406,072	101.52%	\$	251,892	
\$	746,031	\$	202,070	27.09%	\$	73,765	

DIA	Noise Mitigation
	Fund
Revenues	
Expenditures	

	2015
	6/30/15 Actuals
\$	880
\$	-

2016								
	Amended 6/30/16 % of Amended \$ Variance to Budget Actuals Budget 2015 Actuals							
\$	10,001,600	\$	10,007,259	100.06%	\$	10,006,379		
\$	10,045,000	\$	6,219,920	61.92%	\$	6,219,920		

#### **Other Non-Proprietary Funds Summary**

- 1. Revenues
  - **a.** Open Space Projects Fund revenues are higher than 2015 mainly due to revenue received for completion of projects.
  - **b.** Waste Management Fund revenues are higher due to increased hazardous waste disposal fees collected in 2016 compared to 2015.
  - **c.** DIA Noise Mitigation revenue increase is due to a payment from Denver for the passing of ballot issue 1A.
- 2. Expenditures
  - **a.** Open Space Projects Fund expenditures are higher due to the purchase of the Van Scoyk Open Space.
  - **b.** Waste Management Fund expenditures are higher due to the Old Shooting Range Remediation project.
  - **c.** DIA Noise Mitigation expenditures are related to payments made to cities for their portion of revenue associated with the passing of ballot issue 1A.
- 3. FTE Changes
  - **a.** No FTE changes occurred during the second quarter of 2016.

### **Grant Funds**

Community [	Development				
Block Grant	(CDBG) Fund				
Revenues					
Expenditures					

2015
6/30/15 Actuals
\$ 1,223,770
\$ 3,216,268

2016						
Amended 6/30/16 % of Amended \$ Variance to						
Budget		Actuals	Budget	2	015 Actuals	
\$ 5,341,295	\$	663,175	12.42%	\$	(560,595)	
\$ 5,620,874	\$	832,950	14.82%	\$	(2,383,318)	

	Head Start	
	Fund	
Revenues		
Expenditures		

2015				
6/30/15 Actuals				
\$ 1,697,063				
\$ 2,077,717				

2016						
Amended 6/30/16 % of Amended \$ Variance to						
Budget		Actuals	Budget	20	015 Actuals	
\$ 4,889,534	\$	1,890,215	38.66%	\$	193,152	
\$ 4,966,170	\$	2,184,279	43.98%	\$	106,562	

	Community Services
	Block Grant (CSBG) Fund
Rever	nues
Exper	nditures

	2015
	6/30/15 Actuals
\$	15,020
\$	206,672

2016						
Amended 6/30/16 % of Amended \$ Variance to						
Budget		Actuals	Budget	2	015 Actuals	
\$ 827,260	\$	275,409	33.29%	\$	260,389	
\$ 438,521	\$	111,275	25.37%	\$	(95,397)	

V	Workforce & Business Center
	Fund
Reve	nues
Expe	nditures

2015					
6/30/15					
Actuals					
\$ 2,281,455					
\$ 2,831,892					

	2016						
,	Amended Budget		6/30/16 Actuals	% of Amended Budget		Variance to 015 Actuals	
\$	7,229,775	\$	2,381,678	32.94%	\$	100,222	
\$	7,267,499	\$	2,823,905	38.86%	\$	(7,987)	

#### **Grant Funds Summary**

- 1. Revenues
  - **a.** CDBG revenues are 45.8% lower in 2016 due to lower Neighborhood Stabilization Program (NSP) revenue.
  - **b.** Head Start revenue is 11.4% higher in 2016 due to increased grant revenues.
  - **c.** CSBG revenue is higher in 2016 due to the timing of revenue receipts.
- 2. Expenditures
  - a. CDBG expenditures are 74.1% lower due to fewer HUD payments.
  - **b.** CSBG expenditures are 46.2% lower due to timing of payments and fewer grants being processed.
- 3. FTE Changes
  - a. No FTE changes occurred during the second quarter of 2016.

#### **Proprietary Funds**

	Golf Course	
	Fund	
Revenues		
Expenditures		

2015
6/30/15 Actuals
\$ 1,319,291
\$ 1,724,484

2016					
Amended 6/30/16 % of Amended \$ Variance to					
Budget		Actuals	Budget	2	015 Actuals
\$ 3,046,500	\$	1,319,470	43.31%	\$	179
\$ 2,680,072	\$	1,377,809	51.41%	\$	(346,675)

Fle	et Management	
	Fund	
Revenues		
Expenditures		

2015
6/30/15 Actuals
\$ 3,141,188
\$ 3,545,509

2016						
Amended 6/30/16 % of Amended \$ Variance to					Variance to	
Budget		Actuals	Budget	20	015 Actuals	
\$ 9,531,448	\$	3,382,525	35.49%	\$	241,337	
\$ 12,617,466	\$	5,348,492	42.39%	\$	1,802,982	

Sto	rmwater Utility	
	Fund	
Revenues		
Expenditures		

2015
6/30/15
Actuals
\$ 2,291,630
\$ 156,591

	2016					
,	Amended Budget		6/30/16 Actuals	% of Amended Budget		Variance to 015 Actuals
\$	2,215,200	\$	2,260,256	102.03%	\$	(31,374)
\$	4,006,805	\$	262,086	6.54%	\$	105,494

	Insurance	
	Fund	
Revenues		
Expenditures		

	2015
	6/30/15 Actuals
\$	8,095,595
Ś	8.630.157

2016									
					Variance to				
\$	22,705,787	\$	8,993,418	39.61%	\$	897,823			
\$	22,061,048	\$	9,240,507	41.89%	\$	610,350			

Front Range Airport	
Fund	
Revenues	
Expenditures	

2015						
6/30/15						
Actuals						
\$ 979,699						
\$ 1,670,142						

	2016								
Amended		6/30/16		% of Amended	\$ Variance to				
Budget		Actuals		Budget	2015 Actuals				
\$	2,601,682	\$	1,241,118	47.70%	\$	261,419			
\$	3,369,748	\$	1,960,078	58.17%	\$	289,935			

Water & Wastewater Treatment
Fund
Revenues
Expenditures

2015							
6/30/15 Actuals							
\$ 8,141							
\$ 79,562							

2016								
Amended 6/30/16 % of Amended \$ V					Variance to			
	Budget		Actuals	Budget	20	015 Actuals		
\$	350,252	\$	5,681	1.62%	\$	(2,460)		
\$	338,365	\$	76,814	22.70%	\$	(2,749)		

#### **Proprietary Funds Summary**

#### 1. Revenues

- a. Golf Course Fund revenues are almost identical to the 2015 revenues.
- **b.** Fleet Fund revenue is up 10.9% mainly due to the sale of vehicles in 2016 and none in 2015.
- **c.** Stormwater Utility Fund revenues for 2016 have been collected and are in line with the expected revenues.
- **d.** Insurance Fund revenues are 11.1% higher primarily due to increased premium payments for the United Healthcare EPO Medical Plan. There is also an increase in the revenue associated with the Workers Compensation premium.
- **e.** Front Range Airport Fund revenues are up 26.7% due mainly to customer service needs, including fuel, tie down fees, and hangar rent.
- f. Water & Wastewater Treatment Fund revenues reflect the WWTP Billing.

#### 2. Expenditures

- **a.** Golf Course Fund expenditures are 20.1% lower in 2016 compared to 2015 due to expenses related to Brantner Gulch construction and golf cart purchases in 2015.
- **b.** Fleet Fund expenditures are 50.9% higher in 2016. This is due to planned fleet purchases including snow removal trucks and equipment.
- **c.** Stormwater Fund expenditures are 67.4% higher. This is due to the repair and maintenance needs in the first half of 2016.
- **d.** Insurance Fund expenditures are slightly higher than 2015. This is due to increased claim and insurance premium costs offset by decreased medical service costs and administration fees as UHC and Kaiser.

- **e.** Front Range Airport Fund expenditures are 17.4% higher due mainly to the purchase of fuel and maintenance repairs.
- **f.** Water & Wastewater Treatment Fund expenditures are \$2,749 lower. This is due mainly to lower utility costs.

#### 3. FTE Changes

a. No FTE changes occurred during the second quarter of 2016.



General Fund			2016					
Department	Projects	Starting Year	Budget	Encumbrances	06/30/16 Actuals	Remaining Budget	% of Budget Completed	Anticipated Completion Date
Finance	JDE Licenses	2016	22,000	-	-	22,000	0%	12/31/2016
CLK Recording	Large Instrument Map Scanner	2016	16,000	-	15,995	5	100%	complete
CLK Recording	Digitizing Historical data	2016	100,200	97,615	698	99,502	1%	12/31/2016
CLK Recording	Redaction of public records	2016	83,000	-	-	83,000	0%	no longer needed
CLK Recording	Lobby Management System	2016	56,221	33,754	1,014	55,207	2%	8/31/2016
CLK Elections	Voting Equipment Replacement	2016	800,000	8,384	104,659	695,341	13%	complete
CLK Elections	Voter Notification App	2016	30,000	30,000	-	30,000	0%	9/15/2016
CLK Motor Vehicle	Lobby Management System for MV	2014	18,072	7,697	-	18,072	0%	12/31/2016
Community Transit	Transit Vehicle Purchases	2016	30,000	-	-	30,000	0%	12/31/2016
District Attorney	Multi-Server VM hosts	2016	34,320	-	33,769	551	98%	complete
IT Help Desk & Servers	Infrastructure	2016	422,800	-	99,108	323,692	23%	11/30/2016
IT Network/Telecom	2016 Network/VoIP Upgrades	2016	400,000	7,957	11,890	388,110	3%	12/31/2016
FO-Animal Shelter Maintenance	Animal Shelter	2016	1,000,000	-	-	1,000,000	0%	12/31/2017
FO - Government Center	Grounds Equipment	2016	50,000	-	49,393	607	99%	complete
Parks Facilities	Parks HVAC Upgrades	2016	50,000	-	8,078	41,922	16%	12/31/2016
Parks Facilities	HVAC Equipment Upgrades	2016	55,000	-	-	55,000	0%	no longer needed
Parks Facilities	Parks Sewer Line Repair	2016	66,000	-	-	66,000	0%	8/31/2016
FO - District Attorney Bldg.	HVAC Upgrade	2016	23,800	23,800	-	23,800	0%	11/30/2016
SHF- MIS Unit	JMS Replacement	2016	385,131	-	-	385,131	0%	8/1/2017
SHF- MIS Unit	DataWorks LiveScan Replacement	2016	18,012	15,635	-	18,012	0%	90/30/2016
SHF- Detention Facility	Digital Video Recording Equip	2015	169,570	1	187,253	(17,683)	110%	complete-accounting to i/e
SHF- Detention Facility	Mental Health Unit	2016	3,246,945	_	-	3,246,945	0%	7/1/2017
Utah junction- Clay St Outfall	Clay St Community Trail	2012	1,636,395	615,431	843,977	792,418	52%	12/31/2016
PKS- Fair & Special Events	Regional Park WiFi System	2014	3,570	-	3,598	(28)	101%	complete
PKS- Fair & Special Events	Reservation and Event Software	2016	57,500	9,014	48,490	9,010	84%	12/31/2016
PKS- Fair & Special Events	Portable Stock Panels	2016	100,000	-	83,400	16,600	83%	12/31/2016
PKS- Regional Complex	Recondition South Parking Lot	2015	82,548	(16,290)	-	82,548	0%	10/31/2016
PKS- Grounds Maintenance	Clean fill Debetz pit	2009	121,300	40,000	-	121,300	0%	5/1/2017
PKS- Grounds Maintenance	Mann Nyholt Lake Equipment	2015	74,825	6,444	1,176	73,649	2%	11/1/2016
PKS- Grounds Maintenance	Decommission Sewer Lagoon @ RP	2016	20,000	-	-	20,000	0%	12/31/2016
PKS- Grounds Maintenance	Demolition Indoor Arena	2016	160,000	40,248	6,625	153,375	4%	12/31/2016
GF- Admin/Org Support	JAC Land Purchase	2016	685,000	-	-	685,000	0%	12/31/2016
Office of Cultural Affairs	Rotella Park Art	2016	120,000	60,000	30,000	90,000	25%	12/31/2016
CMC- Administration	Community Corrections Database	2015	296,995	-	119	296,876	0%	on hold
	Subtotal		10,435,204	979,691	1,529,243	8,905,961	15%	

Road & Bridge Fund								
					2016			Anticipated Completion
Department	Projects	Starting Year	Budget	Encumbrances	06/30/16 Actuals	Remaining Budget	% of Budget Completed	Date
Transportation CID	Lawall Blad Class Calus Coast	2045	2 567 625	116 201	1 100	2.500.440	00/	42/24/2047
Transportation CIP Transportation CIP	Lowell Blvd Clear Crk to 62nd Welby Rd Ext. (Steele St)	2015 2015	3,567,635 1,303,899	116,391 76,670	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	3,566,446 1,298,760	0% 0%	12/31/2017 7/31/2017
Transportation CIP	York Street Hwy 224 to 88th Av	2015	329,095	95,329		222,671	32%	12/31/2016
Transportation CIP	W 60th Ave-Realignment	2015	2,962,000	1,692,137		2,172,984	27%	10/31/2016
Transportation CIP	56th Ave Federal to Zuni	2015	2,394,317	2,095,781	2,035	2,392,283	0%	12/31/2016
Transportation CIP	Kenwood-Dahlia Outfall	2015	2,994,101	45,000	1,681	2,992,420	0%	5/31/2017
Transportation CIP	Pecos St I-76 to 52nd Ave.	2015	275,579	40,304	76,725	198,854	28%	10/31/2016
Transportation CIP	York Street Hwy 224 to 78th	2016	2,000,000	-	-	2,000,000	0%	7/31/2017
Transportation CIP	58th Ave Washington to York	2016	500,000	311,115	-	500,000	0%	5/31/2017
Transportation CIP	Dahlia St Asph SW SH 224 I-76	2016	500,000	443,873	-	500,000	0%	5/31/2017
Transportation CIP	ADA Transition Plan Implement	2016	1,000,000	30,000	-	1,000,000	0%	5/31/2017
	Subtotal		17,826,626	4,946,599	982,209	16,844,417	6%	

Capital Facilities Fund								
					2016			Anticipated Completion Date
Department	Projects	Starting Year	Budget	Encumbrances	06/30/16 Actuals	Remaining Budget	% of Budget Completed	
	1		1		1	1	.1	
Capital Facialities	Miscellaneous	Ongoing	1,000,000	-	-	1,000,000	0%	ongoing
Justice Center Addition	Phase II Build Out A&E	2015	8,446,077	168,988	32,839	8,413,238	0%	7/1/2017
General Capital Improvements	Assessor Remodel	2016	110,000	8,090	-	110,000	0%	12/31/2016
General Capital Improvements	2016 /fiber HSC WSC	2016	250,000	77,443	71,950	178,050	29%	12/31/2016
General Capital Improvements	Upsize Flat Plate	2016	149,850	-	5,896	143,954	4%	9/30/2016
Park 1200-HS	Park 1200-HS	2014	72,288,400	54,275,691	7,484,504	64,803,896	10%	12/31/2017
Community Corrections Facility	Community Corrections Facility	2016	5,500,000	-	4,905,744	594,256	89%	12/31/2017
_	Subtotal		87,744,327	54,530,212	12,500,933	75,243,394	14%	

Conservation Trust Fund								
					Anticipated Completion			
Department	Projects	Starting Year	Budget	Encumbrances	06/30/16 Actuals	Remaining Budget	% of Budget	Date
			2		,,	88	Completed	5010
CT- Trails- Plan/Design Const	Rotella Shelters & Parking Lot	2010	78,028	50,632	-	78,028	0%	12/1/2016
CT- Trails- Plan/Design Const	Twin Lakes Park Renovations	2013	250,000	69,060	21,285	228,715	9%	10/1/2018
CT- Trails- Plan/Design Const	Self Contained Spray Unit	2015	35,961	(16,434)	16,994	18,967	47%	12/1/2016
CT- Trails- Plan/Design Const	Tree Chipper	2016	70,000	-	69,201	800	99%	12/1/2016
CT- Trails- Plan/Design Const	Two 72" Commercial Mowers	2016	40,000	(0)	37,624	2,376	94%	12/1/2016
	Subtotal		473,989	103,258	145,104	328,885	31%	



<b>Open Space Projects Fund</b>								
					Anticipated Completion			
Department	Projects	Starting Year	Budget	Encumbrances	06/30/16 Actuals	Remaining Budget	% of Budget Completed	Date
Open Space Projects	120th Ave Pedestrian Bridge	2009	226,007	91,482	42,605	183,402	19%	12/1/2016
Open Space Projects	Clear Creek Trailhead (Welby)	2015	400,000	•	-	400,000	0%	4/1/2017
Open Space Projects	Active Rec Component Reg. Park	2015	186,178	9,806	2,414	183,764	1%	ongoing
Open Space Projects	Open Space Projects	2016	3,500,000	ı	2,788,005	711,995	80%	complete
Open Space Projects	Clear Creek Trail Replacement	2016	450,000	-	-	450,000	0%	6/1/2018
Open Space Projects	Gateway Park at Federal Blvd.	2016	300,000	79,175	14,687	285,313	5%	10/1/2017
	Subtotal		5,062,185	180,464	2,847,711	2,214,474	56%	

Golf Course Fund								
					2016			Anticipated Completion
Department	Department Projects Starting Year		Budget	Encumbrances	06/30/16 Actuals	Remaining Budget	% of Budget Completed	Date
Facilities Club House Maint.	Heating Boiler Replacement	2016	139,000	-	-	139,000	0%	12/31/2016
Facilities Club House Maint.	MAU Replacement	2016	20,000	-	1,426	18,574	7%	11/30/2016
Golf Course- CIP	Bunker Renovation - Phase 1	2015	13,761	-	-	13,761	0%	12/31/2016
Golf Course- CIP	Clubhouse Restroom Renovation	2016	40,000	-	-	40,000	0%	12/31/2016
Golf Course- CIP	HP Utility Tractor	2016	70,000	1	69,678	322	100%	12/31/2016
Golf Course- CIP	Native Improvement	2016	25,000	-	-	25,000	0%	12/31/2016
	Subtotal		307,761	1	71,104	236,657	23%	. ,



Fleet Fund								
					2016			Anticipated Completion
Department	Projects	Starting Year	Budget	Encumbrances	06/30/16 Actuals	Remaining Budget	% of Budget Completed	Date
	•				•			
Transportation Fleet- Admin	Transportation Road & Bridge	2015	865,400	-	714,704	150,696	83%	complete
Transportation Fleet- Admin	Fleet Facility Design and Eng	2015	759,500	-	-	759,500	0%	12/31/2017
Fransportation Fleet- Admin	Assessor's Office SUV	2016	32,000	-	30,543	1,457	95%	complete
Fransportation Fleet- Admin	Assessor's Staff Sedan	2016	88,000	-	87,016	984	99%	complete
ransportation Fleet- Admin	District Attorney	2016	44,000	-	41,230	2,770	94%	complete
ransportation Fleet- Admin	Facilities - Justice Center	2016	50,000	36,687	-	50,000	0%	10/1/2016
Fransportation Fleet- Admin	Facilities Honnen Building	2016	6,000	-	-	6,000	0%	8/1/2016
ransportation Fleet- Admin	Human Services	2016	76,000	-	65,494	10,506	86%	07/06/206
ransportation Fleet- Admin	Information Technology	2016	32,000	-	22,194	9,806	69%	8/1/2016
ransportation Fleet- Admin	Neighborhood Serv Code Comply	2016	64,000	-	54,650	9,350	85%	complete
ransportation Fleet- Admin	Neighborhood Serv Storm Water	2016	32,000	0	28,101	3,899	88%	complete
ransportation Fleet- Admin	Parks	2016	435,000	191,979	_	435,000	0%	11/1/2016
ransportation Fleet- Admin	Parks Grounds Maintenance	2016	32,000	0	28,676	3,324	90%	complete
ransportation Fleet- Admin	Parks Ranger Patrol	2016	87,000	36,820	-	87,000	0%	10/1/2016
ransportation Fleet- Admin	Transportation Engineering Adm	2016	35,000	32,501	-	35,000	0%	10/1/2016
ransportation Fleet- Admin	Sheriff Administration	2016	105,000	-	75,550	29.450	72%	9/1/2016
ransportation Fleet- Admin	Sheriff Civil	2016	42,000	10,326	28,295	13,705	67%	9/1/2016
ransportation Fleet- Admin	Sherif Detectives	2016	521,000	31,527	363,121	157,879	70%	9/1/2016
ransportation Fleet- Admin	Sheriff Jail	2016	244,000	12,011	78,531	165,469	32%	11/1/2016
ransportation Fleet- Admin	Sheriff Patrol	2016	798,000	225,853	509,310	288,690	64%	10/1/2016
ransportation Fleet- Admin	Sheriff Patrol	2016	42,000	6,495	30,543	11,457	73%	9/1/2016
ransportation Fleet- Admin	Sheriff Patrol	2016	220,000	201,216	-	220,000	0%	9/1/2016
ransportation Fleet- Admin	Sheriff Patrol	2016	32,000	-	26,693	5,307	83%	complete
ransportation Fleet- Admin	Coroners Office	2016	160.000	30.242	-	160.000	0%	11/1/2016
ransportation Fleet- Admin	Highway 1/2 Ton 4X4	2016	245.000	214,934	_	245,000	0%	10/1/2016
ransportation Fleet- Admin	Highway 3/4 Ton 4X4 truck	2016	35,000	29,434	-	35,000	0%	10/1/2016
ransportation Fleet- Admin	Highway 2 Ton 4X4	2016	75,000	25,454	_	75,000	0%	11/1/2016
ransportation Fleet- Admin	Highway Crane Truck	2016	175,000	59,319	45,896	129,104	26%	11/1/2016
ransportation Fleet- Admin	Highway Tandem Trucks	2016	660,000	552,783	-	660,000	0%	11/1/2016
ransportation Fleet- Admin	Highway Motor Grader	2016	600,000	562,218		600,000	0%	11/1/2016
ransportation Fleet- Admin	Highway Front Loader	2016	300,000	222,725	_	300,000	0%	11/1/2016
ransportation Fleet- Admin	Highway Pavers	2016	150.000		-	150.000	0%	11/1/2016
ransportation Fleet- Admin	Highway Shoulder Machine	2016	120,000	<u> </u>	-	120,000	0%	, ,
	Animal Shelter	2016	50.000	47.734	-	50.000	0%	11/1/2016 9/1/2016
ransportation Fleet- Admin	<u> </u>	2016	/	290.000		290.000		
ransportation Fleet- Admin	Transportation Road Reclaimer		290,000		-	/	0%	10/1/2016
ransportation Fleet- Admin	Parks Wheel Front Loader	2016	185,000	-	-	185,000	0%	11/1/2016
ransportation Fleet- Admin	Parks Skid Steer Loader	2016	60,000	-	-	60,000	0%	11/1/2016
ransportation Fleet- Admin	Parks Bobcat Utilities (2)	2016	50,000		44,905	5,095	90%	complete
ransportation Fleet- Admin	Sheriff Inmate Passenger Van	2016	50,000	28,333		50,000	0%	10/1/2016
ransportation Fleet- Commerce	A/C Recycler	2016	7,500	-	3,999	3,501	53%	complete
ransportation Fleet- Commerce	Mobile Column Lift HD	2016	75,000	-	-	75,000	0%	10/1/2016
ransportation Fleet- Commerce	Diesel Opacity Meter	2016	10,000	-	-	10,000	0%	9/1/2016
ransportation Fleet- Commerce	55 Ton Press	2016	10,000	=	-	10,000	0%	9/1/2016
ransportation Fleet- Commerce	Aqueous Parts Washer	2016	25,000	=	11,866	13,134	47%	complete
ransportation Fleet- Commerce	Column Lifts MD	2016	55,000	-	-	55,000	0%	10/1/2016
ransportation Fleet- Strasbrg	A/C Recycler	2016	7,500	-	3,999	3,501	53%	complete
ransportation Fleet- Strasbrg	Aqueous Parts Washer	2016	25,000	-	11,866	13,134	47%	complete
ransportation Fleet- Strasbrg	55 Ton press	2016	10,000	-	-	10,000	0%	9/1/2016
	Subtotal		8,071,900	2,823,137	2,307,182	5,764,718	29%	



Stormwater Utility Fund								
					Anticipated Completion			
Department	Projects	Starting Year	Budget	Encumbrances	06/30/16 Actuals	Remaining Budget	% of Budget Completed	Date
							Completed	
Stormwater CIP	Kenwood-Dahlia Outfall	2015	524,615	2,795	-	524,615	0%	5/31/2017
Stormwater CIP	Neighborhood Curb and Gutter	2016	900,000	15,000	4,100	895,900	0%	12/31/2016
Stormwater CIP	Hoffman Drainage Improvements	2016	2,033,000	45,000	-	2,033,000	0%	12/31/2017
	Subtotal		3,457,615	62,795	4,100	3,453,515	0%	

Front Range Airport Fund								
	Projects	Starting Year			2016			Anticipated Completion
Department			Budget	Encumbrances	06/30/16 Actuals	Remaining Budget	% of Budget Completed	Date
Airport Operations/Maintenance	Install Diesel fuel tank	2016	20,000	43,116	-	20,000	0%	9/1/2016
Airport Operations/Maintenance	Re-roof FBO hangar	2016	100,000	40,159	=	100,000	0%	10/1/2016
	Subtotal		120,000	83,275	-	120,000	0%	
	TOTAL	] [	133,499,607	63,709,431	20,387,587	113,112,020	15%	



#### STUDY SESSION AGENDA ITEM

DATE:

August 16, 2016

SUBJECT:

Coordination and Participation in U.S. 36 Coalition

FROM:

Jeanne M. Shreve

AGENCY/DEPARTMENT: County Manager's Office

ATTENDEES: Jeanne M. Shreve

PURPOSE OF ITEM: Discuss participation in the U.S. 36 Coalition, including the Coalition's policy agenda for 2017 and coordination between the Adams County regional priorities and the Coalition's priorities.

STAFF RECOMMENDATION:

### **BACKGROUND:**

Adams County started actively participating in the U.S. 36 Coalition in 2016, paying \$1115.50 to cover annual membership dues and a portion of the legislative leave behind created for the Group's annual Washington D.C. trip.

2016 was viewed by the Coaltion as the time frame for which Adams County would evaluate the merits of the group, particularly as it relates to helping fund the state and federal lobby contracts in 2017.

In anticipation of this board conversation, staff submitted a \$16,780 budget request for 2017 to cover annual dues, share of costs for leave behind, and a proportionate share of the Coalition's state and federal lobby services.

Study session discussion points include:

- Review of 2017 policy agenda, highlighting any potential problem areas. One crucial area for discussion is the section on Managed Lanes, where the Coalition's stance is that any significant new highway capacity, using state and federal funding, be required to be managed. This postion may preclude the Coalition's support for county priorities in the future where general purpose capacity is identified.
- Coalition priority projects, particularly where they align with Adams County's regional initiatives. This would include S.H. 7 BRT, 120<sup>th</sup> BRT and Northwest Rail to the county border at Sheridan Boulevard.
- 3. Pros and cons of the county proportionately funding state and federal lobbyists' contracts for Coalition priorities.

# AGENCIES, DEPARTMENTS OR OTHER OFFICES INVOLVED:

County Manager's Office, Finance Department

# **ATTACHED DOCUMENTS:**

Draft U.S. 36 Coalition Policy Agenda for 2017, including Coalition priority projects.

FISCAL IMPACT:					
Please check if there is no fisc section below.	al impact □. If	there is fisc	eal impact, p	olease fully con	aplete the
Fund:					
Cost Center:					
			Object Account	Subledger	Amount
Current Budgeted Revenue:					
Additional Revenue not included	in Current Budget	i:			
			Object Account	Subledger	Amount
Current Budgeted Operating Exp					
Add'l Operating Expenditure not		nt Budget:			
Current Budgeted Capital Expend		_			
Add'l Capital Expenditure not inc	luded in Current F	Budget:			16,780
Total Expenditures:					
New FTEs requested:	☐ YES	⊠ NO			
Future Amendment Needed:	YES	NO		•	

## **Additional Note:**

A	PPR	$\mathbf{ov}$	AT	SIGN	ΑT	ʻUR	ES:

# APPROVAL OF FISCAL IMPACT:

Lalen	Leon
Todd Leopold, County	Manager

Budget / Finance

Raymond H. Gonzales, Deputy County Manager

Ed Finger, Deputy County Manager

#### **POLICY AGENDA**

# US36 Mayors and Commissioner Coalition 36 Commuting Solutions

# Proposed Monday, August 8, 2016

The U.S. 36 Mayors and Commissioners ("MCC") supports federal, state and regional policy that is consistent with the positions identified in this Policy Agenda. These positions are mostly informed by the 2014 consensus achieved during the Northwest Area Mobility Study (NAMS) which was considered a realistic and equitable approach to furthering the will of the voters that in 2004 approved the FasTracks ballot measure. The agreement was captured in an April 7, 2014 "NAMS Local Stakeholder Consensus Document" (Attachment A) which should be read in conjunction with this Policy Agenda in order to understand the specifics on funding sources, projects and the timing and order of priority in which they are each supported.

The Policy Agenda provides representatives of the MCC with the authority to advocate on behalf of the coalition for the stated positions as opportunities arise be they before legislative, regulatory or administrative bodies and individual leaders. Any potentially controversial or high-profile policy communication made on behalf of the MCC should receive prior-approval from the full MCC, when possible. Regardless, all such communications should subsequently be brought to the attention of the full MCC at the earliest opportunity.

The Policy Agenda is approved by each of the individual governing bodies of the members that make up the MCC. It may be revisited and revised at any time to reflect changing circumstances or to provide specific interpretation of these positions as they apply to any one policy question.

- Arterial Bus Rapid Transit (BRT)/ Enhanced Bus Service Projects Seek non-FasTracks funding and support for capital and operating improvements necessary to implement an arterial BRT/Enhanced Bus Service network, including supportive multimodal system enhancements. State Highway 119 from Longmont to Boulder is the highest priority arterial BRT corridor. The remaining corridors, listed below, should be implemented based on further refinement of regional priorities, project scopes funding availability and leveraging opportunities:
  - o State Highway 7 connecting North I-25/North Metro Park-n-Ride/Northglenn, Broomfield, Erie, Lafayette and Boulder
  - State Highway 287 connecting Longmont, Lafayette, Erie and Broomfield to the US 36 Corridor
  - o South Boulder Road connecting Lafayette and Louisville to Boulder
  - 28<sup>th</sup> Street/Broadway (connecting US 36 BRT and South Boulder Road BRT to Boulder Junction/14<sup>th</sup> & Walnut)
  - Improved transit connection from Louisville/Lafayette/Superior/Broomfield to US 36 via SH 42/95<sup>th</sup> Street
  - o 120th Avenue between Broomfield Park-n-Ride and Adams County Government Center

#### **POLICY AGENDA**

# US36 Mayors and Commissioner Coalition 36 Commuting Solutions

### Proposed Monday, August 8, 2016

- I-25 Bi-Directional Managed Lanes Seek funding and support for the construction of additional managed lanes between US 36 and downtown Denver to facilitate bi-directional service to benefit the broader region (both North I-25 and US36 connections to/from Denver) and interim measures, including bus on shoulder service.
- Managed Lanes Support managed lanes as a practical solution for improving mobility by providing viable travel options in congested corridors. Managed lanes should result in regulation of demand to ensure choices for the traveler beyond the single occupancy vehicle by providing for the option of travel by bus and free or discounted access to high occupancy vehicles ("HOVs"), as well as allow pricing to help manage corridor performance, such as dynamic, variable-priced tolls linked to congestion.
  - Support the free-flowing operation of managed lanes while opposing the imposition of arbitrary deadlines for converting from HOV-2 to HOV-3 not tied to either protecting performance of these lanes or to previously-executed agreements.
  - Support funding for education and incentives to promote full utilization of the HOV lanes.
  - o Support increased transparency and public involvement in decisions to create future managed lanes, especially those involving private partners.
  - O As a general rule, support requirement that any significant new highway (freeway/expressway) lane-capacity (public or private) built with state or federal funds be required to be managed (priced/tolled) to maximize the person-carrying capacity of the facility and to encourage free HOV and transit usage unless proven financially infeasible or a non-HOV alternative has been identified as the preferred alternative in a previously-approved NEPA or PEL document.
- Northwest Rail Support full completion of the Northwest Commuter Rail Project to Longmont.
  - Support creative and alternative rail implementation strategies (including level of service phasing) as circumstances effecting feasibility, such as change in BNSF position, costs, ridership, and funding sources, evolve.
- Rail/Transit Stations Support funding and implementation of station investments and First and Final Mile infrastructure and programs that serve both BRT and future rail.
- Railroad Crossing Quiet Zones Support flexibility in, and funding for implementation of, quiet zones along the length of the Northwest Corridor, with a priority on crossings that benefit the greatest number of residents in the most cost effective manner.

### **POLICY AGENDA**

# US36 Mayors and Commissioner Coalition 36 Commuting Solutions

### Proposed Monday, August 8, 2016

- Transportation Funding Support state or regional transportation funding that includes a commitment for a substantial percentage of multimodal (i.e., transit, bicycle and pedestrian) investment (e.g., MCC supported MPACT 64's previous proposal to allocate 33 percent of new statewide transportation funding for transit purposes).
  - O Support new bonding or other borrowing for transportation projects only if there are new or existing designated sources of funding identified to pay off those obligations.
- US 36 Bus Rapid Transit System Seek funding and support for the full implementation of the US 36 BRT system as committed to in the 2004 FasTracks ballot measure, the US 36 Environmental Impact Statement and Record of Decision, the TIGER and TIFIA funding applications and additional elements approved by the RTD Board on September 17, 2013, including relocation of the Church Ranch boarding platforms, improvements to the Westminster Center pedestrian bridge and structured parking in Broomfield.
  - Support Flatiron Flyer BRT service improvements and station area enhancements to more fully serve existing and new Transit Oriented Development in each of the US36 MCC communities.
  - Seek funding for implementation of the US 36 First and Final Mile study recommendations that provide a tangible benefit to residents, employees and commuters in the corridor.
  - O Support RTD authority to authorize bus-on-shoulder use on limited corridors to expedite local bus service.

#### Attachment A

#### NAMS LOCAL STAKEHOLDER CONSENSUS DOCUMENT

# US36 Mayors and Commissioner Coalition 36 Commuting Solutions

#### April 7, 2014

The local stakeholders thank RTD and our other regional partners for working with us through Northwest Area Mobility Study (NAMS) on this challenging consensus process. Collectively, we believe that the priorities reached through this consensus approach are realistic and equitable, while respecting the will of the voters in 2004.

Local stakeholders actively participating in the NAMS have spent significant effort working together to develop a path forward on transit investments in the Northwest Corridor. Following much discussion, debate and deliberation we have come to a consensus predicated on the information received to date through the NAMS that regional transit operating and infrastructure improvements in the Northwest region should include the following elements.

- Completion of the US 36 Bus Rapid Transit (BRT) System: Completion of the US 36 BRT system as committed in the 2004 FasTracks, US 36 Environmental Impact Statement and Record of Decision, TIGER and TIFIA funding applications and additional elements approved by the RTD Board on September 17, 2013, including relocation of the Church Ranch boarding platforms, improvements to the Westminster Center pedestrian bridge and structured parking in Broomfield. Local stakeholders also support implementation of the US 36First and Final Mile study recommendations that provide a tangible benefit to residents, employees and commuters in the corridor. In order to leverage these capital improvements and show a true net FasTracks benefit to the corridor, service enhancements and a robust operating plan that includes increased bus frequencies must be implemented.
- Arterial BRT/Enhanced Bus Service Projects: Arterial BRT/Enhanced Bus Service system capital and operating improvements should be implemented as soon as feasible. No FasTracks funds should be utilized for these arterial BRT investments.
  - State Highway 119 from Longmont to Boulder is the highest priority arterial BRT corridor.
  - o The remaining corridors should be implemented based on further refinement of regional priorities, project scopes funding availability and leveraging opportunities.
  - o State Highway 7 connecting North I-25/North Metro Park-n-Ride/Northglenn, Broomfield, Erie, Lafayette and Boulder
  - State Highway 287 connecting Longmont, Lafayette and Broomfield to the US 36 Corridor
  - o South Boulder Road connecting Lafayette and Louisville to Boulder
  - 28<sup>th</sup> Street/Broadway (connecting US 36 BRT and South Boulder Road BRT to Boulder Junction/14<sup>th</sup> & Walnut)
  - o Improved transit connection from Louisville/Lafayette/Superior/Broomfield to US 36 via SH 42/95<sup>th</sup> Street.
  - o 120th Avenue between Broomfield Park-n-Ride and Adams County Government Center

#### Attachment A

- I-25 Bi-Directional Managed Lanes: Construction of two additional managed lanes between US 36 and downtown Denver to facilitate bi-directional service that will benefit the broader region (both North I-25 and US36 connections to Denver). Identified interim measures should be implemented as quickly as possible, including bus on shoulder service and downtown Denver circulation improvements, with long term measures to follow.
- Railroad crossing quiet zones should be implemented along the length of the Northwest Corridor, with a priority on crossings that benefit the greatest number of residents in the most cost effective manner.
- Early Action Rail/Transit Stations: Station investments and US 36 First and Final Mile infrastructure and programs that serve both BRT and future rail should be implemented. \$17 million has already been identified from EAGLE P3 savings for the Downtown Longmont station that will serve both BRT and future rail. Similar investments should be made at other stations that will serve both future rail and BRT/Enhanced Bus Service such as Boulder Transit Village, Gunbarrel, East Arapahoe, Downtown Louisville, Broomfield at Flatirons Crossing and 116th, and Westminster at 104th/Church Ranch and at 88th Avenue.
- Northwest Rail: The local stakeholders recognize the commitment made to voters in the 2004 FasTracks election and the ongoing public expectation that rail will be built in the corridor from FasTracks revenue. Local stakeholders support full completion of the Northwest Commuter Rail Project to Longmont. Considering costs, lack of revenues, ridership projections, uncertainty with Burlington Northern Santa Fe (BNSF) and other challenges, completion of Northwest Rail is a longer term goal. Local stakeholders support periodically exploring creative and alternative rail implementation strategies (including phasing) as circumstances effecting feasibility, such as change in BNSF position, costs, ridership, and funding sources, evolve.

<u>Re-evaluation of Priorities</u>: We believe that the public expects and deserves visible cost effective mobility improvements in the short term that form the foundation of our long term transportation system while honoring the vision of rail connecting the corridor communities to each other and the Denver region expressed in the 2004 FasTracks plan approved by the voters.

#### To that end:

- We support regular monitoring of the factors influencing the costs, revenue and feasibility of the implementation options identified above, including phasing, and, should they significantly change, the reconsideration of investments priorities.
- We recognize that FasTracks funding should be targeted towards those Northwest corridor improvements identified in the FasTracks system approved by the voters in 2004. FasTracks funding should therefore be used to build and operate the US 36 BRT system as well as those improvements that are consistent with implementation of Northwest Rail from Westminster to Longmont and other, nonFasTracks funding sources should be targeted toward those improvements that are not consistent with the FasTracks plan.
- We also firmly believe that the RTD should focus any further FasTracks investments in the Northwest Corridor prior to using FasTracks funds for improvements, or equipment replacement, in any other corridor.