## REZONING (Zoning Map Amendment)

Application submittals must include all documents on this checklist as well as this page. Please use the reference guide (pg. 2) included in this packet for more information on each submittal item.
All applications shall be submitted electronically to epermitcenter@adcogov.org. If the submittal is too large to email as an attachment, the application may be sent as an unlocked OneDrive link. Alternatively, the application may be delivered on a flash drive to the One-Stop Customer Service Center. All documents should be combined in a single PDF. Once a complete application has been received, fees will be invoiced and payable online at https://permits.adcogov.org/CitizenAccess/.

1. Development Application Form (pg. 4)
2. Application Fees (see table)
3. Written Explanation of the Project
4. Site Plan Showing Proposed Development, including:
a. Proposed Building Envelope
b. Parking Areas
c. Site Access
d. Landscape Areas
$\checkmark$ 5. Trip Generation Letter
5. Preliminary Drainage Analysis

## 7. Neighborhood Meeting Summary

8. Proof of Ownership (warranty deed or title policy)
9. Proof of Water and Sewer Services
10. Legal Description
11. Certificate of Taxes Paid
12.Certificate of Notice to Mineral Estate Owners/and Lessees (pg. 6)
13.Certificate of Surface Development (pg. 7)

| Applications Fees | Amount | Due |
| :--- | :---: | :--- |
| Application | $\$ 1,600$ | After complete application <br> received |

## Rezoning Guide to Development Application Submittal

All development application submittals shall comprise of one (1) electronic copy (emailed or delivered on a USB). Application submittals that do not conform to these guidelines shall not be accepted.

## 3. Written Explanation of the Project:

- A clear and concise, yet thorough, description of the proposal. Please include, if applicable, timeframe, purpose of project, and improvements that will be made to the site

4. Site Plan Showing Proposed Development:

- A detailed drawing of existing and proposed improvements
- Including:
- Streets, roads, and intersections
- Driveways, access points, and parking areas
- Existing and proposed structures, wells, and septic systems,
- Easements, utility lines, and no build or hazardous areas
- Scale, north arrow, and date of preparation
- An Improvement Location Certificate or Survey may be required during the official review


## 5. Trip Generation Letter:

- Shall be determined based upon the methodologies of the most current, Institute of Transportation Engineers (ITE) Trip Generation Manual for the weekday AM peak hour and weekday PM peak hour


## 6. Preliminary Drainage Analysis:

- A general narrative discussing the pertinent drainage characteristics and problems, and proposed drainage characteristics if the subdivision is approved


## 7. Neighborhood Meeting Summary:

- Please refer to Section 2-01-02 of the Adams County Development Standards and Regulations for the specific requirements regarding time, location, and notice
- A written summary shall be prepared including the materials submittal presented at the meeting, any issues identified at the meeting, and how those issues have been addressed


## 8. Proof of Ownership:

- A deed may be found in the Office of the Clerk and Recorder
- A title commitment is prepared by a professional title company


## 9. Proof of Water:

- Public utilities-A written statement from the appropriate water district indicating that they will provide service to the property OR a copy of a current bill from the service provider
- Private utilities- Well permit(s) information can be obtained from the Colorado State Division of Water Resources at (303) 8663587


## Proof of Sewer:

- Public utilities-A written statement from the appropriate sanitation district indicating that they will provide service to the property OR a copy of a current bill from the service provider
- Private utilities-A written statement from Adams County Health indicating the viability of obtaining Onsite Wastewater Treatment Systems


## 10. Legal Description:

- Geographical description used to locate and identify a property
- Visit http://gisapp.adcogov.org/quicksearch/ to find the legal description for your property


## 11. Certificate of Taxes Paid:

- All taxes on the subject property must be paid in full. Please contact the Adams County Treasurer's Office
- Or http://adcogov.org/index.aspx?NID=812


## 12. and 13. Certificate of Notice to Mineral

 Estate Owners/ Certificate of Surface Development:- The State of Colorado requires notification to mineral rights owners of applications for surface development (i.e. zoning, plats, etc.)
- Mineral or Surface right owners may be found in the title commitment for the subject property
- You may also search the Office of the Clerk and Recorder for any recorded deeds, easements, or other documents.

Brighton, CO 80601-8204

## Application Type:

| $\square$ Conceptual Review | $\square$ Preliminary PUD | $\square$ Temporary Use |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $\square$ Subdivision, Preliminary | $\square$ Final PUD | $\square$ Variance |
| $\square$ Subdivision, Final | $\boxed{\text { Renene }}$ | $\square$ Conditional Use |
| $\square$ Plat Correction/ Vacation | $\square$ Special Use | $\square$ Other: |

## PROJECT NAME: 1661 E 77th Ave

## APPLICANT

| Name(s): | Stephanie O'neil | Phone \#: | 303.567 .5743 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Address: | 1800 Wazee St |  |  |
| City, State, Zip: | Denver, CO 80202 |  |  |
| 2nd Phone \#: |  | Email: | sonei@ @prologis.com |

## OWNER

| Name(s): | Terrace Enterprises | Phone \#: |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Address: | 1661 E 77th Ave |  |
| City, State, Zip: | Denver, CO 80229 |  |
| 2nd Phone \#: |  | Email: |

TECHNICAL REPRESENTATIVE (Consultant, Engineer, Surveyor, Architect, etc.)

Name:
Brian Muller 900 S Broadway \#320
Address:
City, State, Zip:
Denver, CO 80209
2nd Phone \#: $\square$ Email:

## DESCRIPTION OF SITE

## Address:

City, State, Zip: Adams County, co 80229
Area (acres or square feet):

## 9.6

Tax Assessor
Parcel Number

## 0171935100032

Existing
Zoning:
Al

Existing Land Use:

## Greenhouse

Proposed Land Use:

## Light Industrial

Have you attended a Conceptual Review? YES $\mathrm{x} \quad \mathrm{NO} \square$
If Yes, please list PRE\#: 2023-00001

I hereby certify that I am making this application as owner of the above described property or acting under the authority of the owner (attached authorization, if not owner). I am familiar with all pertinent requirements, procedures, and fees of the County. I understand that the Application Review Fee is non-refundable. All statements made on this form and additional application materials are true to the best of my knowledge and belief.

Name:

$\square$
Owner's Printed Name
Name:


Owner's Signature

| ARCHITECTURE | CIVIL ENGINEERING |
| :--- | :--- |
| PLANNING |  |
| INTERIORS | BRANDING |
| BUILDING MEASUREMENT |  |

April 4, 2023
RE: 1661 E. $77^{\text {th }}$ Ave - Rezone Review Letter

To Whom It May Concern,
The 10.05 -acre 1661 E. $77^{\text {th }}$ Ave development by Prologis consists of a proposed mixed-use parcel that fronts on East $77^{\text {th }}$ Ave with two light industrial warehouse/distribution buildings. The two industrial buildings total 199,080 SF with undefined uses at this time. Access to the site is anticipated to be from East $77^{\text {th }}$ Ave. with internal circulation intended to separate car and truck traffic. The remainder of the industrial portion of the site is anticipated to be truck courts, driveways, parking, underground stormwater detention, water quality treatment and landscaped areas.

The site is currently zoned A 1 and primarily has existing agricultural use. We would like to request a rezone of the industrial area to I-1. We believe this rezoning is in line with the surrounding parcels and supports the desired goals of the County and Community for development in the area. East $77^{\text {th }}$ Ave is intended to be brought up to County standards with an Industrial half section for our property's frontage. Please see attached maps for clarification.

Please let us know if you have any questions and thank you for supporting this development!


Ted Swan, PE Ware Malcomb


## ALTA/NSPS LAND TITLE SURVEY

## A PORTION OF SOUTHWEST QUARTER OF THE NORTHEAST QUARTER OF SECTION 35,

 TOWNSHIP 2 SOUTH, RANGE 68 WEST OF THE SIXTH PRINCIPAL MERIDIAN,
## COUNTY OF ADAMS, STATE OF COLORADO

## LEGAL DESCRIPTION:

(PER FIRST AMERICAN TITLE INSURANCE COMPANY COMMITMENT NO. NCS-1150542-CO
EFFECTIVE DATE OCTOBER 12, 2022 AT 5:00)
parcel A
A TRACT OF LAND LOCATED IN THE EAST $1 / 2$ OF THE SOUTHWEST $1 / 4$ NORTHEAST $1 / 4$ OF SECTION
35 TOWNSHIP 2 SOUTH, RANGE 68 WEST OF THE 6 TH P.M. BEING MORE PARTICULARLY DESCRIBED 35, TOWNSHIP
AS FOLLOWS:
BEGINNING AT A POINT ON THE WEST BOUNDARY LINE OF THE ABANDONED UNION PACIFIC RALROAD RIGHT OF WAY ASIT WAS DESCRIBED INDEED RECORDED JULY 16,1908 IN BOOK 39 A MINUTES EAST, A DISTANCE OF 914.7 FEET;
THENCE NORTH 89 DEGREES 49 MINUTES WEST TO A POINT WHICH IS 612.3 FEET EAST OF THE
EAST BOUNDARY LINE OF THE RIGHT OF WAY FOR A DAINAGE DITCH AS CONVEYED TO THE COUNTY OF ADAMS IN DEED RECORDED OCTOBER 4 OF 612.30 FEET BEING MEASURED ALONG AN EXTENSION OF THE LAST DESCRIBED LINE AND SAID POINT BEING THE TRUE POINT OF BEGINNING;
EAST BOUNDARY LINE OF SAID DITCH RIGHT OF WAY; THENCE NORTH OO DEGREES O7 MINUTES EAST ALONG THE EAST BOUNDARY LINE OF SAID DITCH FOOT ROAD; THENCE SOUUTH 89 DEGREES 49 MINUTES EAST, ALONG THE SOUTH LINE OF SAID 30 FOOT ROAD, A ISTANCE OF 612.30 FEET
HENCE SOUTH OO DEGRE THENCE SOUTH OO DEGREES 10
THE TRUE POINT OF BEGINNING,
EXCEPTING THEREFROM ALL IMPROVEMENTS LOCATED THEREON
COUNTY OF ADAMS, STATE OF COLORADO.
PARCEL
the Infrastructure hereunder described below:
A TRACT OF LAND LOCATED ON THE EAST $1 / 2$ OF THE SOUTHWEST $1 / 4$ NORTHEAST 114 OF SECTION
35 TOWNSHIP 2 SOUTH, RANGE 68 WEST OF THE GTH PM. BEING MORE PARTICULARLY DESCRIBED 35, TOWNSHP
AS FOLLOWS:


## GENERAL NOTES:

1. NOTICE: ACCORDING TO COLORADO LAW YOU MUST COMMENCE ANY LEGAL ACTION BASED UPON ANY DEFECT IN THIS SURVEY WITHIN THREE YEARS AFTER YOU FIRST
DISCOVER SUCH DEFECT. IN NO EVENT MAY ANY ACTION BASED UPON ANY DEFECT IN TH DISCOVER SUCH DEFECT. IN NO TUEN TMAY ANY ACOM TASED OPON ANY DEFECT IN TM
SURVEY BE COMMENCE MORE THAN TEN YEARS FROM THE DATE OF THE CERTIFICATION SURVEY BEREMM
SHOWN HEREON.
2. ANY PERSON WHO KNOWINGLY REMOVES, ALTERS OR DEFACES ANY PUBLIC LAND SURVEY MONUMENT OR LAND BOUNDARY MONUMENT OR ACCESSORY, COMMITS A CLASS TWO (2)

THIS SURVEY DOES NOT CONSTITUTE A TITLE SEARCH BY WARE MALCOMB TO DETERMINE OWNERSHP OR EASEMLTS F RECORD WAR MALCOMB RELIED UPON THE FOLLOWENG FIRST AMERICAN ATTLE INSURANCE COMPANY COMMITMENT NO. NCS-1150542-CO, EFFECTIVE DATE OCTOBER 12, 2022 AT 5:00.
4. ALL LINEAL DISTANCE UNITS ARE REPRESENTED IN U.S. SURVEY FEET. THE UNITES STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE, NATIONAL INSTHUES
DEFINES THE U.S. SURVEY FOOT AS $1200 / 3937$ METERS
5. BASII OF BEARINGS: BEARINGS ARE BASED ON THE WEST LINE OF THE SOUTHWEST $00^{\circ} 0^{155 " ~ E A S T ~ W I T H ~ A L L ~ B E A R I N G S ~ S H O W N ~ H E R E O N ~ R E L A T V E ~ T H E R E T O, ~ A N D ~ I S ~}$ MONUMENTED AS SHOWN HEREON.
6. THE SUBJECT PROPERTY IS CONTIGUOUS WITH AND HAS DIRECT ACCESS TO EAST 77TH AVENUE, A PUBLICLY OWNED RIGHT-OF-WAY. MAINTAINED BY ADAMS COUNTY.

SURVEYOR'S CERTIFICATION:
TO: PROLOGIS L.P., A DELAWARE LIMITED PARTNERSHIP TERRACE ENTER
-
THIS IS TO CERTIFY THAT THIS MAP OR PLAT AND THE SURVEY ON WHICH IT IS BASED WERE
MADE IN ACCORDANCE WITH THE 2021 MINIMUM STANDARD DETALL REQUIREMENTS FOR ALTANSPS LAND TITLE SURVEYS ONTLY ESTABLSHED AN ADOPTED BY ATA ANOR NSPS ALTANSPS LAND TITLE SURVEYS, JOINTLY ESTABLISHED AND ADOPTED BY ALTA AND NSPS, AND
INCLUDES ITEMS $1,2,3,4,6 \mathrm{a}$, 6b, $11,13,14,16,18$, AND 19 OF TABLE A THEREOF. THE FIELD WORK
WAS COMPLETED ON DECEMBER 19,2022 .

DATE OF PLAT OR MAP: 0


## ALTA/NSPS LAND TITLE SURVEY <br> A PORTION OF SOUTHWEST QUARTER OF THE NORTHEAST QUARTER OF SECTION 35 TOWNSHIP 2 SOUTH, RANGE 68 WEST OF THE SIXTH PRINCIPAL MERIDIAN <br> COUNTY OF ADAMS, STATE OF COLORADO

## AITA TABLEA ITEM NOTES:

1. MONUMENTS WERE PLACED AT ALL MAJOR CORNERS OF THE BOUNDARY OF THE SURVEYED PROPERTY, UNLESS ALREADY MARKED M R REFERENCED BY EXISTING MONUMENTS OR WITNESSES IN CLOSE PROXIMITY TO THE CORNER.
2. ADDRESS OF THE SURVEYED PROPERTY AS OBTAINED BY THE SURVEYOR FROM THE ADAMS COUNTY ASSESSOR'S WEBSITE: 1661 E H. Avene
3. BASED ON A REVIEW OF FEMA FLOOD INSURANCE RATE MAPS, COMMUNITY PANEL NO. 08001 C0604H DATED 03/15/2007, THE SUBJECT PROPERTY FALLS ENTIRELY WITHIN FLOOD ZONE X. ZONE "X" IS DEFINED AS BEING "AREAS OUTSIDE THE $0.2 \%$ ANNUAL CHANC
4. THE SUBJECT PROPERTY CONTAINS A SURVEYED AREA OF 437,953 SQUARE FEET, OR 10.054 ACRES MORE OR LESS
5. PROJECT BENCHMARK: ADAMS COUNTY BENCHMARK RTD PARK-N-RIDE 4.070000 , BEING A 3.25" CAP STAMPED "4.070000 GPS 34 ZBS LS $11434^{\prime \prime}$ LOCA EDGE OF THE ASPHALT PARKING AREA. ELEVATION IS 5169.24 FEET, (NAVD 88 DATUM)
ITE BENCHMARK: SET NO. 5 REBAR, NO CAP. LOCATION: $5.5^{\prime}$ WEST AND $4.0^{\prime}$ SOUTH OF THE NORTHWEST CORNER OF THE SUBJECT PROPERTY. ELEVATION: 5,134.31 FEET, (NAVD 83 DATUM)
6. ZONING INFORMATION: ACCORDING TO THE PZR REPORT FOR 1661 EAST 77TH AVENUE, DENVER, ADAMS COUNTY, COLORADO 80229, TILED "ZONING AND SITE REQUUREMENTS SUMMARY" PREPARED B THE PLANNING \& ZONING RESOURCE COMPANY, PZR SI
date of existing ordinance: Current as updated by only the county
EXISTING ZONING dESIGNATION: A-1 DISTRICT
ADJACENT ZONING DESIGNATION: A-1 DISTRICT (S, E \& W), MH DISTRICT (N)
EXISTING LAND USE: GREENHOUSE
EXISTING USE IN LEGAL NONCONFORMANCE. REQUIRES A CONDITIONAL USE PERMIT WITHIN THE A-1 DISTRIC

REQUIRED BUILDING SETBACKS
FRONT/CORNER: 30 FEET
SIDE: 10 FEET OR 1 FOOT PER 2 FEET OF HEIGHT, WHICHEVER IS GREATER.
REAR: 20 FEET

## BUILDING SIZE

MAXIMUM: 70 FEET
EXISTING HEIGHT: 1 TO 2 STORIES / 17.9 FEET (PER SURVEY
BUILDING SITE AREA REQUIREMENTS: MINIMUM LOT SIZE: 2.5 ACRES. MINIMUM LOT WIDTH 150 FEE
EXISTING AREA: 10.075 ACRES (PER SURVEY)
DENSITY
bullding density formula: none specified
APPROXIMATE BUILDING FOOTPRINT: 291,615 SQUARE FEET (PER SURVEY
PARKING
ARKING SPACE FORMULA: WAREHOUSE. 1 SPACE FOR EACH 5,000 SQUARE FEET OF GROSS FLOOR AREA. ( $291,615 / 5,000=52$ )
PARKING SPACES REQUIRED: 58 TOTAL PARKING SPACES
Existing parking spaces: there are no marked parking spaces on the subject property (per survey) CONFORMANCE STATUS: LEGAL CONFORMING

THE ZONING LETTER ATTATCHED TO THE REPORT FROM ADAMS COUNTY COMMUNITY \& ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT DEPARTMENT HERE ARE NO CURRENT VIOLATIONS ON THE PROPERTY.

EXISTING
EAST 77TH: 27.2 FEET (PER SURVEY) EAST LOT LINE: 18.8 FEET (PER SURVEY) WEST LOT LINE: 17.0 FEET (PER SURVEY) SOUTH LIT LINE: 49.6 FEET (PER SURVEY

WER PROVDEE BY TOM RICHARDSON ULC ON 1211/2022 AND SAID OCATIONS TOGETHER WITH SURFACE EVIDENCE OF UTIITIIS WERE THEN LOCATED BY WARE MALCOMB ON 12/192022 AND ARE SHOWN HEREON W FOR THE COMPLETENESS OR ACCURACY OF THE POSITION OF THESE UNDERGROUND UTILITY LINES. THE CLIENT IS ADVISED THAT
EXCAVATION MAY BE REQURED TO OBTAIN MORE ACCURATE LOCATIONS.
3. OWNERSHIP INFORMATION SHOWN HEREON IS PER THE BROOMFIELD COUNTY ASSESSOR'S WEBSITE AS RESEARCHED ON JANUARY 09,2023 AND IS SUBJECT TO CHANGE.
4. DISTANCES TO NEAREST INTERSECTIONS SHOWN HEREON
6. THERE WAS NO EVIDENCE OF RECENT EARTHMOVING WORK, BUILDING CONSTRUCTION OR BUILDING ADDITIONS OBSERVED IN TH
8. ALL PLOTTABLE OFFSITE APPURTENANT EASEMENTS OR SERVITUDES DISCOVERED DURING THIS SURVEY ARE SHOWN HEREON
19. A CERTIFICATE OF PROFESSIONAL LIABIITYY INSURANCE WILL BE FURNISHED UPON REQUEST.

## SCHEDULE B-2 COMMENTS:

BASES ON A REVIEW OF FIRST AMERICAN TITLE INSURANCE COMPANY COMMITMENT FOR TITLE INSURANCE NO
CSS-115042 COMMITMENT DATE OCTOBER 12, 2022 AT 5:00 PM. THE FOULONG SURVEY COMMENTS TO THE SCHEDULE B, PART II EXCEPTIONS ARE NOTED:

EXCEPTIONS 1 THOUGH 8 ARE STANDARD EXCEPTONS AND ARE NOTADDRESSED By
9. AN EASEMENT FOR DRAINAGE DITCH AND INCIDENTAL PURPOSES GRANTED TO COUNTY OF ADAMS, AS SET FORTH IN AN NSTRUMENT RECORDED OCTOBER 4, 1938 IN BOOK 250 AT PAGE 111.
the easement is adjacent to the west line of the subject property as shown hereon.)
10. AN EASEMENT FOR WATER, SEWER LINES AND INCIDENTAL PURPOSES GRANTED TO NORTH WASHINGTON STREET WATER AND SANITATION DISTRICT, AS SET FORTH IN AN INSTRUMENT RECORDED SEPTEMBER 14, 1960 IN BOOK 866 AT PAGE 261 part of the document is illegible and it cannot be determined if the easement strip affects the subuec

1. AN EASEMENT FOR DRAINAGE DITCH AND INCIDENTAL PURPOSES AS RESERVED IN DEED RECORDED OCTOBER 3 , 1960 IN BOOK 870 AT PAGE 132.
THE DOCUMENT AFFECTS THE SUBIECT PROPERTY THE RIGHT-OF-WAY FOR THE DITCH IS SAID TO BE ALONG THE SOUTH (THE DOCUMENT AFFECTS THE SUBJECT PROPERTY. THE RIGHT-OF-WAY FOR THE DITCH IS SAID TO BE
PROPERTY LINE, BUT DOES NOT SPECIFY A WIDTH. THE APPROXIMATE LOCATION IS SHOWN HEREON.)
2. ANY TAX, LIEN, FEE OR ASSESSMENT BY REASON OF INCLUSION OF SUBJECT PROPERTY IN THE NORTH WASHINGTON
STRET WATER AND SANITATION DISTRICT, AS EVIDENCED BY INSTRUMENT RECORDED APRIL 13,1962 IN BOOK 978 AT PAGE 309.
(THE DOCUMENT AFFECTS THE SUBJECT PROPERTY. NOT A SURVEY MATTER, NOT ADDRESSED BY THIS SURVEY)
3. TERMS, CONDITIONS, PROVISIONS, OBLIGATIONS AND AGREEMENTS AS SET FORTH IN THE RESOLUTIONS RECORDED SEPTEMBER 24, 1974 IN BOOK 1955 AT PAGE 326, BOOK 1955, AT PAGE 332, AND BOOK 1955 AT PAGE 339.
(the canals and ditches in the document are not located within the subject property.
4. ANY CLAIM THAT THE TILE IS SUBJECT TO A TRUST OR LEN CREATED UNDER THE PERISHABLE AGRICULTURAL PACKERS AND STOCKYARDS AC
ZUSC S\$181 ET SEQ) OR UNDER SMMLAR STATE LAWS.
(NOT A SURVEY MATTER, NOT ADDRESSED BY THIS SURVEY.)







## ALTA/NSPS LAND TITLE SURVEY

A PORTION OF SOUTHWEST QUARTER OF THE NORTHEAST QUARTER OF SECTION 35,
TOWNSHIP 2 SOUTH, RANGE 68 WEST OF THE SIXTH PRINCIPAL MERIDIAN COUNTY OF ADAMS, STATE OF COLORADO


| $\checkmark$ | section corner as described | 2 | ELECTRIC Power Pole |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0 | Found monument as described | ¢ | Electric generator |
| $\bullet$ | SET $188^{\prime \prime}$ \#5 REBAR WITH $1.25^{"}$ GREEN PLASTIC CAP MARKED "PLS 38430" | \% | ELECTRIC Switch Cabinet |
| - | SITE benchmark as described | ® | SANTARY SEWER MANHOLE |
| - _ - | section line | ${ }^{*}$ | Orm cleanout |
|  | Stie boundary | $\ulcorner$ | STORM CULVERT <br> TELECOMMUNICATIONS PEDESTAL |
|  | Lot lines | ${ }^{\text {m }}$ | WATER meter |
| - | UNDERGROUND ELECTRIC LINE | 呙 | water valve |
| - 0 | gas line | \& | LLARD |
|  | overiea delecric |  | bulloing perimeter |
|  |  |  | ASPHALT |
| -wn-_wn- | WATERLINE |  | CONCRETE |
| - ${ }^{\text {- }}{ }^{\text {T }}$ | telephone line |  |  |
| - $\leftarrow$ | gur wre | 4x | SChedule b-2 ExCEPTIon items |

```
\[
\begin{array}{cl}
\text { sQ. FT. } & \text { SQuare fet } \\
\text { AC. } & \text { ACRES } \\
\text { RCP } & \text { REINFORCED CONCRETE PIPE } \\
\text { PVC } & \text { PoLYUINYL CHLORIDE PIPE } \\
\text { CMP } & \text { CORRUGATED METAL PIPE }
\end{array}
\]
```



## EXHIBIT A

## LEGAL DESCRIPTION:

A PARCEL OF LAND DESCRIBED AT RECEPTION NO. 20060628000654770 IN THE RECORDS OF THE ADAMS COUNTY CLERK AND RECORDER, LOCATED IN THE SOUTHWEST QUARTER OF THE NORTHEAST QUARTER OF SECTION 35, TOWNSHIP 2 SOUTH, RANGE 68 WEST OF THE SIXTH PRINCIPAL MERIDIAN, COUNTY OF ADAMS, STATE OF COLORADO, SUBORDINATELY DESCRIBED AS FOLLOWS:

COMMENCING AT THE CENTER OF SECTION 35 AND CONSIDERING THE WEST LINE OF SAID SOUTHWEST QUARTER OF THE NORTHEAST QUARTER OF OF SECTION 35 TO BEAR SOUTH $00^{\circ} 01^{\prime} 55^{\prime \prime}$ EAST, WITH ALL BEARINGS CONTAINED HEREIN RELATIVE THERETO;

THENCE NORTH 89043'59" EAST ALONG THE SOUTH LINE OF SAID SOUTHWEST QUARTER OF THE NORTHEAST QUARTER OF SECTION 35 A DISTANCE OF 686.03 FEET TO THE EAST LINE OF A 25 FOOT DRAINAGE EASEMENT RECORDED IN BOOK 250 AT PAGE 111 OF SAID RECORDS AND THE POINT OF BEGINNING;

THENCE NORTH $00^{\circ} 02^{\prime} 48{ }^{\prime \prime}$ EAST ALONG SAID EAST LINE A DISTANCE OF 715.27 FEET TO A POINT ON THE SOUTH RIGHT-OF-WAY OF EAST 77TH AVENUE;

THENCE NORTH $89^{\circ} 44^{\prime} 06$ " EAST ALONG SAID SOUTH RIGHT-OF-WAY A DISTANCE OF 612.30 FEET;
THENCE SOUTH 0002'48" WEST A DISTANCE OF 715.25 FEET TO A POINT ON SAID SOUTH LINE OF THE SOUTHWEST QUARTER OF THE NORTHEAST QUARTER OF SECTION 35;

THENCE SOUTH 89³3'59" WEST ALONG SAID SOUTH LINE A DISTANCE OF 612.30 FEET TO THE POINT OF BEGINNING;

SAID PARCEL CONTAINS AN AREA OF 437,947 SQUARE FEET, OR 10.053 ACRES, MORE OR LESS.
THE LINEAL DISTANCE UNIT USED IN THE PREPARATION OF THIS LEGAL DESCRIPTION IS THE UNITED STATES SURVEY FOOT. THE UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE, NATIONAL INSTITUTE OF STANDARDS AND TECHNOLOGY DEFINES THE UNITED STATES SURVEY FOOT AS 1200/3937 METERS.

I, JUSTIN C. SCHEITLER, A SURVEYOR LICENSED IN THE STATE OF COLORADO, DO HEREBY CERTIFY THAT THE ABOVE LEGAL DESCRIPTION AND ATTACHED EXHIBIT WERE PREPARED BY ME OR UNDER MY DIRECT SUPERVISION AND CHECKING, IS BASED ON MY KNOWLEDGE, INFORMATION AND BELIEF, IS IN ACCORDANCE WITH APPLICABLE STANDARDS OF PRACTICE, AND IS NOT A GUARANTY OR WARRANTY, EITHER EXPRESSED OR IMPLIED.

JUSTIN C. SCHEITLER, P.L.S. 38430 FOR AND ON BEHALF OF WARE MALCOMB 900 SOUTH BROADWAY SUITE 320
DENVER, COLORADO 80209
P 303.561.3333


| 900 south broadway suite 320 <br> denver, co 80209 p 303.561.3333 waremalcomb.com | PROJECT NAME: 1661 E. 77th Ave |  |  |  | SHEET |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | JOB NO.: DCS22-4067 |  | DATE : 03/28/2023 |  |  |
|  | DRAWN: CJD | PA/ | : JCS | SCALE: N/A |  |



## 1661 E 77 ${ }^{\text {th }}$ Ave Industrial Traffic Impact Study
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Submitted To:
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Submitted By:
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# 1661 E 77 ${ }^{\text {TH }}$ AVE INDUSTRIAL PROJECT TRAFFIC IMPACT STUDY 

### 1.0 Introduction

The Fox Tuttle Transportation Group has prepared this traffic impact study for the proposed redevelopment of property on the south side of $\mathrm{E} 77^{\text {th }}$ Avenue to the west of York Street. It is understood that the project is proposing to construct two new industrial warehouses on the property that is currently utilized as a greenhouse. The site, located in Adams County, is surrounded by various industrial businesses and single family homes. It is currently accessed exclusively from E $77^{\text {th }}$ Avenue. A vicinity map is shown on Figure 1.

The purpose of this study is to assist in identifying potential traffic impacts within the study area as a result of the $1661 \mathrm{E} 77^{\text {th }}$ Avenue industrial project. The traffic study addresses existing, short-term, and longterm peak hour intersection conditions in the study area with and without the project-generated traffic. The information contained in this study is anticipated to be used by Adams County staff in identifying any intersection or roadway deficiencies and potential improvements for the build-out condition and longterm future scenarios. This study focused on the weekday AM and PM peak hours which represent the periods of highest volumes on the adjacent streets.

### 2.0 Project Description

Currently, the project property is utilized for an industrial greenhouse. The subject property currently has exclusive access from E $77^{\text {th }}$ Avenue, along an unimproved street frontage. It is understood that the 1661 $\mathrm{E} 77^{\text {th }}$ Avenue industrial project proposes to redevelop the property and construct two approximately $100,000 \pm$ square feet industrial buildings. The redevelopment is consistent with other properties to the east on $\mathrm{E} 77^{\text {th }}$ Avenue that include industrial businesses. The project proposes to provide two (2) full movement, side-street stop-control accesses on E 77 ${ }^{\text {th }}$ Avenue. There will be internal connectivity between the two (2) accesses for circulation and accessibility. A 30 -foot right of way (ROW) dedication will be provided with redevelopment on the south side of the site for a potential future E $76^{\text {th }}$ Avenue. Figure $\mathbf{2}$ shows the site plan and proposed access locations.

### 3.0 Study Considerations

### 3.1 Data Collection

Intersection turning movement volumes were collected in March 2023 at two (2) existing intersections during the weekday AM and PM peak hours, including pedestrians and bicyclists. Daily traffic volumes were also collected on E $77^{\text {th }}$ Avenue west of York Street and on York Street north of E 77th Avenue. Existing and historic traffic volumes on the study roadways were gathered from Colorado Department of Transportation's (CDOT) Transportation Data Management System (TDMS) and CDOT's Online Transportation Information System (OTIS). Count data is provided in the Appendix.

### 3.2 Evaluation Methodology

The traffic operations analysis addressed the unsignalized and signalized intersection operations using the procedures and methodologies set forth by the Highway Capacity Manual (HCM) ${ }^{1}$. Existing peak hour factors were applied to the intersections for the existing and future scenarios. Study intersections were evaluated using Synchro software (v11).

### 3.3 Level of Service Capacity Analysis

A Level of Service analysis was conducted to determine the existing and future performance of the study area intersections and accesses to determine the most appropriate intersection traffic controls and auxiliary lanes for future conditions.

To measure and describe the operational status of the study intersections, transportation engineers and planners commonly use a grading system referred to as "Level of Service" (LOS) that is defined by the HCM. LOS characterizes the operational conditions of an intersections traffic flow, ranging from LOS A (indicating very good, free flow operations) and LOS F (indicating congested and sometimes oversaturated conditions). These grades represent the perspective of drivers and are an indication of the comfort and convenience associated with traveling through the intersections. The intersection LOS is represented as a delay in seconds per vehicle for the intersection as a whole and for each turning movement.
$1 \quad$ Highway Capacity Manual, Highway Research Board Special Report 209, Transportation Research Board, National Research Council, $6^{\text {th }}$ Edition (2016).

Typically, LOS A through C is considered to be acceptable for the overall intersection operations and LOS D overall during peak hours is acceptable. Individual movements may be allowed to fall to LOS E at intersections if the queuing is reasonable and mitigation is not warranted. Minor movements at unsignalized intersections, such as left turns onto a major arterial, may be allowed to fall below LOS D if mitigation is not feasible or necessary. Criteria contained in the HCM was applied for these analyses in order to determine peak hour LOS for each scenario. A more detailed discussion of LOS methodology is contained in the Appendix for reference.

### 4.0 Existing Conditions

### 4.1 Roadways

The study area boundaries are based on the amount of traffic to be generated by the project and potential impact to the existing roadway network. The primary public roadways that serve the project site are discussed in the following text and illustrated on Figure 1.

York Street is a north-south, arterial that travels through Adams County from E $88^{\text {th }}$ Avenue to the north and into Denver to the south, with direct access to CO-224 and I-270. This roadway provides two (2) general purpose lanes per direction with a concrete median and auxiliary left turn lanes from SH 224 to E 78 ${ }^{\text {th }}$ Avenue. Future phases of the York Street expansion project will expand York Street from E $78^{\text {th }}$ Avenue north to E $88^{\text {th }}$ Avenue and south from SH 224 to E $58^{\text {th }}$ Avenue. York Street currently serves approximately 12,000 vehicles per day (vpd) between E $77^{\text {th }}$ Avenue and E $78^{\text {th }}$ Avenue. The posted speed limit is 35 mph within the study area.

E 77 ${ }^{\text {th }}$ Avenue is an east-west roadway that extends west from York Street. This half-mile roadway provides access to industrial businesses, single-family homes and vacant properties. Most of the frontage to E $77^{\text {th }}$ Avenue lacks curb and gutter and sidewalk. E $77^{\text {th }}$ Avenue has a paved width of approximately 24 feet. E $77^{\text {th }}$ Avenue currently serves approximately 400 vpd west of York Street. The posted speed limit is 25 mph within the study area.

E 78 ${ }^{\text {th }}$ Avenue is an east-west, two-lane collector street that runs from east of Washington Street to York Street. This roadway provides access to industrial and commercial businesses in the area. The roadway width is about 24 feet with one (1) through lane per direction. There are gravel shoulders with intermittent sidewalks in the area. The posted speed limit is 35 mph within the vicinity of the study area.

### 4.2 Intersections

The study area includes four (2) existing intersections that are listed below with the current traffic control and were analyzed for existing and future year traffic operations:

1. $\mathrm{E} 77^{\text {th }}$ Avenue at York Street [side-street stop controlled]
2. $\mathrm{E} 78^{\text {th }}$ Avenue at York street [signalized]

The existing lane configuration at each of the study locations are illustrated on Figure 3.

### 4.3 Pedestrian and Bicycle

The project is located in an industrial/rural area that has limited pedestrian and bicycle connections. There are no sidewalks on most of $\mathrm{E} 77^{\text {th }}$ Avenue near the project site. $\mathrm{E} 78^{\text {th }}$ Avenue has sidewalk on the north side of the street between Washington Street and York Street, with no sidewalk on E $78{ }^{\text {th }}$ Avenue east of York street. The York Street reconstruction project is adding sidewalk on the west side of York Street and a multi-use path on the east side of York Street along with the phased street reconstruction. Cyclists are permitted to utilize the travel lanes on the local streets.

### 4.4 Transit

There are no transit stops within a half mile of the project site. The nearest transit stops are at SH 224 and York St for RTD bus route 72 and E $78{ }^{\text {th }}$ Avenue and Washington Street for RTD bus route 7.

### 4.5 Existing Intersection Capacity Analysis

The existing volumes, lane configuration, and traffic control are illustrated on Figure 3. The results of the LOS calculations for the intersections are summarized in Table 1. The $95^{\text {th }}$ percentile queues are summarized in Table 2. The intersection Level of Service worksheets are attached in the Appendix.

Currently, the study intersections operate overall at LOS B or better in both peak periods. All movements operate at LOS C or better in both peak hours. The $95^{\text {th }}$ percentile queues are maintained within the existing storage lengths.

### 5.0 Future Conditions

### 5.1 Annual Growth Factor and Future Volume Methodology

In order to forecast the future peak hour traffic volumes, the growth prediction from the 2050 DRCOG FOCUS transportation demand model (TDM) was used. Modeled volume on York Street was compared between the 2020 model and the 2050 model to determine an annual growth rate. The annual growth rate used was $1.93 \%$. The same growth rate was used for York Street and E $78^{\text {th }}$ Avenue because E $788^{\text {th }}$ Avenue is not included in the FOCUS model. Volume on E $77^{\text {th }}$ Avenue were not grown in the background condition because of the limited connectivity of the street and stable land use.
Per Adams County Development Standards and Regulations, short term buildout is defined as year 2030 and long term horizon is year 2050. The Year 2030 background volumes are summarized on Figure 4 and the Year 2050 background volumes are summarized on Figure 5.

### 5.2 Year 2030 Background Intersection Capacity Analysis

The study area intersections were evaluated to determine baseline operations for the Year 2030 background scenario and to identify any capacity constraints associated with background traffic. The background volumes, lane configuration, and traffic control are illustrated on Figure 4.

The Level of Service criteria discussed previously was applied to the study area intersections to determine the impacts with the short-term background volumes. The details of LOS for each movement are provided in Table 1. The $95^{\text {th }}$ percentile queues are summarized in Table 2. The intersection Level of Service worksheets are attached in the Appendix.

All of the study intersections were estimated to operate overall at LOS B or better in both peak periods in Year 2030 Background. All movements operate at LOS D or better in both peak hours. All of the $95^{\text {th }}$ percentiles queues are maintained within the existing storage lengths.

### 5.3 Year 2050 Background Intersection Capacity Analysis

The study area intersections were evaluated to determine baseline operations for the Year 2050 background scenario and to identify any capacity constraints associated with background traffic in the long-term scenario. The long-term background volumes, lane configuration, and traffic control are illustrated on Figure 5.

The Level of Service criteria discussed previously was applied to the study area intersections to determine the impacts with the long-term background volumes. The results of capacity analysis are shown in Table
$\mathbf{1}$ with the overall LOS and for each movement. The $95^{\text {th }}$ percentile queues are summarized in Table $\mathbf{2}$. The intersection Level of Service worksheets are attached in the Appendix.

All of the study intersections were estimated to operate overall at LOS B or better in both peak periods in Year 2050 Background. All of the $95^{\text {th }}$ percentiles queues are maintained within the existing storage lengths.

The following intersection has one (1) movement operating at LOS F in the AM and LOS E in the PM peak hours:

- \#1 - York Street at E 77 ${ }^{\text {th }}$ Avenue: The eastbound shared left and right turn movement on $\mathrm{E} 77^{\text {th }}$ Avenue at York Street operates at LOS F in the AM and LOS E in the PM peak hours in the longterm horizon. The side street delay is high due to increased volume on York Street reducing the number of gaps for turning vehicles to enter York Street. The $95^{\text {th }}$ percentile queues are 70 feet in the AM peak and 53 feet in the PM peak.

Recommendations: High delay on stop-controlled side streets is acceptable during peak times. $95^{\text {th }}$ percentile queues are less than three (3) vehicles. To improve operations, a second eastbound approach lane to the intersection could allow right-turning vehicles to turn while left-turning vehicles are waiting. This improvement still has high delay (LOS F) for eastbound left-turning vehicles but lowers eastbound right delay to LOS C in the AM peak and LOS B in the PM peak. Additional right-of-way dedication may be required if this improvement is considered.

### 6.0 Future Conditions with the 1661 E $7^{\text {th }}$ Avenue Industrial Project

### 6.1 Existing Trip Volume

The collected volumes include the existing traffic to the project site and adjacent single-family homes. Trips associated with the existing greenhouse use on the site were removed from the trip generation potential of the proposed redevelopment to accurately capture the impact of redevelopment.

### 6.2 Trip Generation

A trip generation estimate was performed to determine the traffic characteristics of the proposed redevelopment. The trip rates contained in the Institute of Transportation Engineers (ITE) Trip Generation

Handbook and Manual ${ }^{2}$ were applied to estimate the traffic. The exact type of industrial land use is not known but the project team is targeting industrial businesses and warehousing operations for the two proposed buildings. Therefore, this traffic study applied the trip rates for "Industrial Park" (ITE \#130). For the purpose of this analysis the project is assumed to open in 2025.

Table $\mathbf{3}$ provides the detailed trip generation estimates for the project. The proposed project is expected to experience mostly new trips, also known as 'primary trips', as discussed below:

Primary Trips. These trips are made specifically to visit the site and are considered "new" trips. Primary trips would not have been made if the proposed 1661 E $77^{\text {th }}$ Avenue Industrial project did not exist. Therefore, this is the only trip type that increases the total number of trips made on a regional basis.

Non-Auto Trips. These trips are those that are completed by walking, biking, or transit. It is likely that some employees will utilize the bus; however, for the purpose of providing a conservative analysis of site traffic impacts, a non-auto trip reduction was not applied.

The project was estimated to generate approximately 674 daily trips with 68 trips in the AM peak hour and 68 trips in the PM peak hour as detailed in Table 3.

Daily count data was collected to quantify the trips already being generated by the current greenhouse use on the project site. Existing trips associated with the greenhouse were subtracted from the expected trip generation of the industrial redevelopment of the project; only additional trips above what are occurring today were added to the network.

### 6.3 Trip Distribution and Assignment

The estimated trip volumes were distributed onto the study area street network based on existing traffic characteristics, land uses, and traffic patterns in the area, as well as regional growth and future roadway infrastructure. The existing volumes were utilized to determine where vehicles are coming from and going to within the study area, plus the route to get to major highways and anticipated destinations. The following distributions were assumed for this project and are shown on Figure 6:

- North on York Street north of E 78 ${ }^{\text {th }}$ Avenue: 5\%

2 Trip Generation Handbook and Manual, 11 $1^{\text {th }}$ Edition, Institute of Transportation Engineers, 2021.

- East on E 78 ${ }^{\text {th }}$ Avenue: $5 \%$
- West on E $78^{\text {th }}$ Avenue: $20 \%$
- South on York Street: 70\%

Using the distribution assumptions, the additional projected site traffic exceeding the existing greenhouse trips was assigned to the study area roadway network for the weekday AM and PM peak hour periods and shown on Figure 6.

### 6.4 Year 2030 Background + Project Intersection Capacity Analysis

This section discusses impacts associated with the $1661 \mathrm{E} 77^{\text {th }}$ Avenue Industrial project in the short-term scenario. The site-generated volumes were added to the Year 2030 background volumes and are illustrated on Figure 7. The details of the LOS for each movement are listed in Table 1. The $95^{\text {th }}$ percentile queues are summarized in Table 2. The intersection Level of Service worksheets are attached in the Appendix.

In summary, the project trips are anticipated to have minimal impact to the short-term operations of the study intersections. The overall and individual movement levels of service were calculated to remain the same as in Year 2030 background.

The two (2) project accesses on E $77^{\text {th }}$ Avenue are expected to operate at LOS A overall and on all movements.

### 6.5 Year 2050 Background + Project Intersection Capacity Analysis

This section discusses impacts associated with the 1661 E $77^{\text {th }}$ Avenue Industrial project in the long-term scenario. The site-generated volumes were added to the Year 2050 background volumes and are illustrated on Figure 8. The details of the LOS for each movement are listed in Table 1. The $95^{\text {th }}$ percentile queues are summarized in Table 2. The intersection Level of Service worksheets are attached in the Appendix.

In summary, the project trips are anticipated to have little to no impacts to the long-term operations at E $78^{\text {th }}$ Avenue and York Street and minor impact to E $77^{\text {th }}$ Avenue and York Street. Key operational differences with the added project trips in Year 2050 are summarized by intersection below:

- E 78 ${ }^{\text {th }}$ Avenue and York Street: Signal timing adjustments enable most movements to continue to operate at the same LOS with the project-added trips. The northbound left movement goes from LOS A to LOS C in the AM peak period with the project-added trips and signal timing changes to rebalance for demand.
- E 77 ${ }^{\text {th }}$ Avenue and York Street: The eastbound approach delay increases with the project-added trips. In the AM peak the eastbound delay increases though the overall intersection performance remains LOS A. The PM peak also has increased eastbound delay with the project-added trips but does not change LOS compared to background. The intersection was also analyzed with the proposed improved lane configuration (two eastbound lanes at the intersection) as discussed in Year 2050 Background. The improved lane configuration results in the same LOS with and without the project-added trips.

The $95^{\text {th }}$ percentile queues are within the existing storage space for all movements. The eastbound queue at E $77^{\text {th }}$ Avenue and York Street exceeds 100 feet in the AM peak with a single approach lane. If a second approach lane is added, the eastbound left turn queue is estimated to be 75 feet. Availability of right-ofway may limit the ability to construct two eastbound approach lanes. Even with the project-added traffic, peak hour volume on E $77^{\text {th }}$ Avenue at York Street is below the 100 vph threshold to meet the peak hour traffic signal warrant.

The two (2) accesses on E $77^{\text {th }}$ Avenue are expected to continue operating at LOS A for all movements.

### 7.0 Queuing Analysis

A queuing analysis was performed to determine if the $95^{\text {th }}$ percentile queues would be accommodated by the existing storage length and if any of the queues would impact an upstream intersection/access. Table $\mathbf{2}$ provides the existing storage lengths, as well as the $95^{\text {th }}$ percentile queues for each existing and future scenario as calculated by Synchro (assuming each vehicle utilizes 25 feet of space).

It should be noted that the $95^{\text {th }}$ percentile queue length is a theoretical queue that is 1.65 standard deviations above the average queue length. In theory, the $95^{\text {th }}$ percentile queue would be exceeded $5 \%$ of the time based on the average queue length, but it is also possible that a queue this long may not occur.

All of the estimated queues at the study intersections were calculated to be maintained within the existing storage lengths. As discussed in Section 6.5, if the eastbound approach at E $77^{\text {th }}$ Avenue and York Street is widened to two approach lanes at least 75 feet of storage should be provided to accommodate the maximum expected eastbound left turn queue.

### 8.0 Conclusions

The 1661 E $77^{\text {th }}$ Avenue Industrial project proposes to redevelop an existing greenhouse to construct an $200,000 \pm$ square feet of industrial space in two buildings. The site plan proposes to provide two (2) fullmovement accesses on E $77^{\text {th }}$ Avenue. The full buildout of this project was estimated to generate approximately 674 daily trips with 68 trips in the AM peak hour and 68 trips in the PM peak hour. The following mitigations measure should be considered to accommodate the existing/background traffic (non-project related):

- York Street at E 77 ${ }^{\text {th }}$ Avenue:
- Restripe the eastbound approach to include one left-turn lane and one right-turn lane. Existing pavement and right-of-way may not be wide enough to accommodate this improvement. A right turn only restriction for eastbound traffic could also be considered in the future as traffic on York Street increases.

The two (2) full movement accesses on E $77^{\text {th }}$ Avenue were determined to adequately serve the new industrial buildings.
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## Table 1-Peak Hour Intersection Level of Service Summary

| Intersection and Lanes Groups | 2023 Existing |  |  |  | 2030 Background |  |  |  | 2030 Bkgrd + Project |  |  |  | 2050 Background |  |  |  | 2050 Background (w/ Improvements) |  |  |  | 2050 Bkgrd + Project |  |  |  | 2050 Bkgrd + Project ( $\mathrm{w} /$ Improvements) |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | AM Peak |  | PM Peak |  | AM Peak |  | PM Peak |  | AM Peak |  | PM Peak |  | AM Peak |  | PM Peak |  | AM Peak |  | PM Peak |  | AM Peak |  | PM Peak |  | AM Peak |  | PM Peak |  |
|  | Delay | LOS | Delay | LOS | Delay | LOS | Delay | LOS | Delay | LOS | Delay | LOS | Delay | Los | Delay | LOS | Delay | LOS | Delay | Los | Delay | LOS | Delay | LOS | Delay | LOS | Delay | LOS |
| STOP SIGN CONTROL |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| E 77th Ave at York St | 1 | A | 1 | A | 1 | A | 1 | A | 2 | A | 2 | A | 3 | A | 2 | A | 3 | A | 1 | A | 5 | A | 2 | A | 4 | A | 2 | A |
| Eastbound Left+Right | 22 | C | 16 | C | 28 | D | 18 | C | 31 | D | 20 | C | 99 | F | 37 | E |  |  |  |  | >120 | F | 45 | E |  |  |  |  |
| Eastbound Left |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | >120 | F | 51 | F |  |  |  |  | >120 | F | 63 | F |
| Eastbound Right |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 17 | c | 11 | B |  |  |  |  | 17 | c | 11 | B |
| Northbound Left | 10 | B | 8 | A | 11 | B | 9 | A | 11 | B | 9 | A | 15 | C | 9 | A | 15 | c | 9 | A | 16 | c | 9 | A | 16 | c | 9 | A |
| Northbound Through | 0 | A | 0 | A | 0 | A | 0 | A | 0 | A | 0 | A | 0 | A | 0 | A | 0 | A | 0 | A | 0 | A | 0 | A | 0 | A | 0 | A |
| Southbound Through+Right | 0 | A | 0 | A | 0 | A | 0 | A | 0 | A | 0 | A | 0 | A | 0 | A | 0 | A | 0 | A | 0 | A | 0 | A | 0 | A | 0 | A |
| E 77th Ave and East Site Access |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 5 | A | 5 | A |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 5 | A | 5 | A |  |  |  |  |
| Eastbound Through+Right |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 | A | 0 | A |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 | A | 0 | A |  |  |  |  |
| Westbound Left+Through |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | A |  | A |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 7 | A | 7 | A |  |  |  |  |
| Northbound Left+Right |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | A |  | A |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 8 | A | 9 | A |  |  |  |  |
| E 77th Ave and West Site Access |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 5 | A | 6 | A |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 6 | A | 6 | A |  |  |  |  |
| Eastbound Through+Right |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 | A | 0 | A |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 | A | 0 | A |  |  |  |  |
| Westbound Left+Through |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | A |  | A |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 7 | A | 7 | A |  |  |  |  |
| Northbound Left+Right |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 8 | A | 8 | A |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 8 | A | 8 | A |  |  |  |  |
| SIGNAL CONTROL |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| E 78th Ave at York St | 13 | B | 8 | A | 15 | B | 10 | A | 15 | B | 9 | A | 18 | B | 17 | B |  |  |  |  | 18 | B | 17 | B |  |  |  |  |
| Eastbound Left | 10 | A | 12 | B | 10 | B | 13 | B | 10 | B | 12 | B | 21 | C | 26 | C |  |  |  |  | 21 | C | 26 | C |  |  |  |  |
| Eastbound Through+Right | 10 | A | 9 | A | 10 | B | 10 | A | 10 | B | 10 | A | 21 | c |  | B |  |  |  |  | 22 | c | 15 | B |  |  |  |  |
| Westbound Left | 12 | B | 11 | B | 12 | B | 11 | B | 12 | B | 11 | B | 31 | c |  | B |  |  |  |  | 32 | c | 20 | B |  |  |  |  |
| Westbound Through+Right | 10 | A | 9 | A | 10 | A |  | A | 10 | A |  | A | 20 | c | 15 | B |  |  |  |  | 20 | c | 15 | B |  |  |  |  |
| Northbound Left | 17 | B | 5 | A | 20 | B | 5 | A | 20 | B | 5 | A | 8 | A | 14 | B |  |  |  |  | 29 | c | 14 | B |  |  |  |  |
| Northbound Through+Right | 10 | A | 7 | A | 10 | $B$ | 9 | A | 10 | B | 8 | A | 8 | A | 18 | B |  |  |  |  | 8 | A | 18 | B |  |  |  |  |
| Southbound Left | 11 | B | 11 | B | 12 | B | 13 | B | 12 | B | 12 | B | 11 | B | 22 | c |  |  |  |  | 11 | B | 22 | C |  |  |  |  |
| Southbound Through+Right | 16 | B | 10 | A | 19 | B | 10 | A | 19 | B | 10 | A | 18 | B | 9 | A |  |  |  |  | 18 | B | 9 | A |  |  |  |  |

Note: Delay represented in average seconds per vehicle.

Table 2 - Peak Hour 95th Percentile Queue Summary

| Intersection and Lanes Groups | $\begin{gathered} \text { Existing } \\ \text { Storage } \\ \text { Length (Feet) } \end{gathered}$ | 2023 Existing |  | 2030 Background |  | 2030 Background + Project |  | 2050 Background |  | 2050 Background w/ Improvements |  | 2050 Background + Project |  | 2050 Background <br> + Project w/ Improvements |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| E 77th Ave at York St |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Eastbound Left+Right | - | 18' | $20^{\prime}$ | $23 '$ | $23^{\prime}$ | $33^{\prime}$ | $33^{\prime}$ | $70^{\prime}$ | $53^{\prime}$ |  |  | 103' | 80' |  |  |
| Eastbound Left | 150' (proposed) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 55' | 40' |  |  | $75^{\prime}$ | 55' |
| Eastbound Right | 150' (proposed) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $5 '$ | $5{ }^{\prime}$ |  |  | 8' | 8' |
| Northbound Left | 275 | $5^{\prime}$ | $0^{\prime}$ | $5^{\prime}$ | $3 '$ | $10^{\prime}$ | $3^{\prime}$ | $13^{\prime}$ | $3^{\prime}$ | $13^{\prime}$ | $3 '$ | $20^{\prime}$ | $3^{\prime}$ | $20^{\prime}$ | $3^{\prime}$ |
| Northbound Through | - | $0^{\prime}$ | $0^{\prime}$ | $0^{\prime}$ | $0^{\prime}$ | $0^{\prime}$ | $0^{\prime}$ | $0 '$ | $0^{\prime}$ | $0{ }^{\prime}$ | $0 '$ | $0^{\prime}$ | $0^{\prime}$ | $0^{\prime}$ | $0 '$ |
| Southbound Through+Right | - | $0^{\prime}$ | $0^{\prime}$ | $0^{\prime}$ | $0^{\prime}$ | $0^{\prime}$ | $0^{\prime}$ | $0^{\prime}$ | $0^{\prime}$ | $0^{\prime}$ | $0^{\prime}$ | $0^{\prime}$ | $0^{\prime}$ | $0^{\prime}$ | $0^{\prime}$ |
| E 77th Ave and East Site Access |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Eastbound Through+Right | - |  |  |  |  | $0^{\prime}$ | $0^{\prime}$ |  |  |  |  | $0{ }^{\prime}$ | $0{ }^{\prime}$ |  |  |
| Westbound Left+Through | - |  |  |  |  | $3 '$ | $0^{\prime}$ |  |  |  |  | $3 '$ | $0^{\prime}$ |  |  |
| Northbound Left+Right | - |  |  |  |  | $0^{\prime}$ | $3^{\prime}$ |  |  |  |  | $0^{\prime}$ | 3' |  |  |
| E 77th Ave and West Site Access |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Eastbound Through+Right | - |  |  |  |  | $0^{\prime}$ | $0^{\prime}$ |  |  |  |  | $0^{\prime}$ | $0^{\prime}$ |  |  |
| Westbound Left+Through | - |  |  |  |  | $0^{\prime}$ | $0^{\prime}$ |  |  |  |  | $0^{\prime}$ | $0^{\prime}$ |  |  |
| Northbound Left+Right | - |  |  |  |  | $0^{\prime}$ | $0^{\prime}$ |  |  |  |  | $0^{\prime}$ | $0^{\prime}$ |  |  |
| E 78th Ave at York St |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Eastbound Left | 200' | 11' | 50' | 11' | 57 | $15^{\prime}$ | $61^{\prime}$ | $23^{\prime}$ | $86^{\prime}$ |  |  | $23^{\prime}$ | 116' |  |  |
| Eastbound Through+Right | - | $35^{\prime}$ | $27^{\prime}$ | $46^{\prime}$ | $29^{\prime}$ | $54^{\prime}$ | $30^{\prime}$ | 115 | $37^{\prime}$ |  |  | $117{ }^{\prime}$ | 49' |  |  |
| Westbound Left | 200 | $28^{\prime}$ | $27^{\prime}$ | $31^{\prime}$ | $30^{\prime}$ | $40^{\prime}$ | $32^{\prime}$ | $72^{\prime}$ | $42^{\prime}$ |  |  | 73' | $58^{\prime}$ |  |  |
| Westbound Through+Right | - | 21' | $15^{\prime}$ | $24^{\prime}$ | $16^{\prime}$ | $28^{\prime}$ | $16^{\prime}$ | $59^{\prime}$ | $31^{\prime}$ |  |  | 59' | $36^{\prime}$ |  |  |
| Northbound Left | 225 | $15^{\prime}$ | $38^{\prime}$ | $17^{\prime}$ | 42' | 20' | $48^{\prime}$ | 32 | $66^{\prime}$ |  |  | $33^{\prime}$ | $65^{\prime}$ |  |  |
| Northbound Through+Right | - | $26^{\prime}$ | 121' | $29^{\prime}$ | $144{ }^{\prime}$ | $29^{\prime}$ | 158' | $35^{\prime}$ | 312' |  |  | $35^{\prime}$ | 255' |  |  |
| Southbound Left | 200 | $13^{\prime}$ | $14^{\prime}$ | $14^{\prime}$ | $15^{\prime}$ | $14^{\prime}$ | $17^{\prime}$ | $18^{\prime}$ | $21^{\prime}$ |  |  | $18^{\prime}$ | $23^{\prime}$ |  |  |
| Southbound Through+Right | - | 130' | $32^{\prime}$ | $158{ }^{\prime}$ | $36^{\prime}$ | 166 | 37' | $271{ }^{\prime}$ | $53^{\prime}$ |  |  | 272 | $51^{\prime}$ |  |  |

Table 3 - Trip Generation Summary

| Land Use | Size | Unit | Internal Capture | Non- <br> Auto <br> Factor | Average Daily Trips |  |  |  | AM Peak Hour Trips |  |  |  | PM Peak Hour Trips |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  | Rate | Total | In | Out | Rate | Total | In | Out | Rate | Total | In | Out |
| Site Trip Generation |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| ITE 130: Industrial Park | 200 | KSF | 1.00 | 1.00 | 3.37 | 674 | 337 | 337 | 0.34 | 68 | 55 | 13 | 0.34 | 68 | 15 | 53 |
| Existing Count Data on E 77th Avenue East of Site |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | 402 | 204 | 198 |  | 53 | 40 | 13 |  | 43 | 7 | 36 |
| Existing Residential Trips |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| ITE 210: Single-Family Destached Housing | 9 | DU | 1.00 | 1.00 | 9.44 | 85 | 43 | 42 | 0.74 | 7 | 2 | 5 | 0.99 | 9 | 6 | 3 |
| Existing Greenhouse Trips (Count Data minus Existing Residential) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | 317 | 161 | 156 |  | 46 | 38 | 8 |  | 34 | 1 | 33 |
| New Added Trips (Trip Generation minus Existing Greenhouse) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | 357 | 176 | 181 |  | 22 | 17 | 5 |  | 34 | 14 | 20 |

Source: ITE Trip Generation 10th Edition, 2017.


FロXTUTTLE

| TrAnspartation grour |  |  |  |  | 1 E 77TH A | TRAFFIC | CT S | - ADAMS | TY |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | VIC |  |
| FT Project \# | 23029 | Original Scale | NTS | Date | 04/05/2023 | Drawn by | SKK | Figure \# | 1 |



Note: This is a conceptual site plan that is used for the purpose of illustrating location and access. Refer to the design plans for most current design details.








| FT Project \# | 23029 | Original Scale | NTS | Date $04 / 11 / 2023$ | Drawn by | SKK | Figure \# |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

# Appendix: 

Level of Service Definitions

Existing Traffic Data
Intersection Capacity Worksheets

## Level of Service Definitions

## LEVEL OF SERVICE（LOS）DEFINITIONS

In rating roadway and intersection operating conditions with existing or future traffic volumes，＂Levels of Service＂（LOS）A through F are used，with LOS A indicating very good operation and LOS F indicating poor operation．Levels of service at signalized and unsignalized intersections are closely associated with vehicle delays experienced in seconds per vehicle．More complete level of service definitions and delay data for signal and stop sign controlled intersections are contained in the following table for reference．

| Level of Service Rating | Delay in seconds per vehicle＊ |  | Definition |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Signalized | Unsignalized |  |
| A | 0.0 to 10.0 | 0.0 to 10.0 | Low vehicular traffic volumes；primarily free flow operations． Density is low and vehicles can freely maneuver within the traffic stream．Drivers can maintain their desired speeds with little or no delay． |
| B | 10.1 to 20.0 | 10.1 to 15.0 | Stable vehicular traffic volume flow with potential for some restriction of operating speeds due to traffic conditions．Vehicle maneuvering is only slightly restricted．The stopped delays are not bothersome，and drivers are not subject to appreciable tension． |
| C | 20.1 to 35.0 | 15.1 to 25.0 | Stable traffic operations，however，the ability for vehicles to maneuver is more restricted by the increase in traffic volumes． Relatively satisfactory operating speeds prevail，but adverse signal coordination or longer vehicle queues cause delays along the corridor． |
| D | 35.1 to 55.0 | 25.1 to 35.0 | Approaching unstable vehicular traffic flow where small increases in volume could cause substantial delays．Most drivers are restricted in ability to maneuver and selection of travel speeds due to congestion．Driver comfort and convenience are low，but tolerable． |
| E | 55.1 to 80.0 | 35.1 to 50.0 | Traffic operations characterized by significant approach delays and average travel speeds of one－half to one－third the free flow speed．Vehicular flow is unstable and there is potential for stoppages of brief duration．High signal density，extensive vehicle queuing，or corridor signal progression／timing are the typical causes of vehicle delays at signalized corridors． |
| F | ＞ 80.0 | ＞ 50.0 | Forced vehicular traffic flow and operations with high approach delays at critical intersections．Vehicle speeds are reduced substantially and stoppages may occur for short or long periods of time because of downstream congestion． |
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## Existing Traffic Data

Location: E 77th Ave E/O Project Site
iゐx
DATA SOLUTIONS
Site Code: 01

| Time | Tuesday |  |  | Wednesday |  |  | Thursday |  |  | Friday |  |  | Saturday |  |  | Sunday |  |  | Monday |  |  | Mid-Week Average |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 3/21/2023 |  |  | 3/22/2023 |  |  | 3/23/2023 |  |  | 3/24/2023 |  |  | 3/25/2023 |  |  | 3/26/2023 |  |  | 3/27/2023 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | EB | WB | Total | EB | WB | Total | EB | WB | Total | EB | WB | Total | EB | WB | Total | EB | WB | Total | EB | WB | Total | EB | WB | Total |
| 12:00 AM | 0 | 0 | 0 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 1:00 AM | 0 | 0 | 0 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 2:00 AM | 0 | 0 | 0 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 3:00 AM | 0 | 0 | 0 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 4:00 AM | 0 | 0 | 0 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |  | - | - | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 5:00 AM | 0 | 1 | 1 | - | - | - | - | - | - | . | . | - | - | - | - | . | - | - | - | - | - | 0 | 1 | 1 |
| 6:00 AM | 3 | 9 | 12 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |  | - | - | 3 | 9 | 12 |
| 7:00 AM | 13 | 40 | 53 | . | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 13 | 40 | 53 |
| 8:00 AM | 16 | 15 | 31 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 16 | 15 | 31 |
| 9:00 AM | 5 | 10 | 15 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | . | - | - | - | - | - | 5 | 10 | 15 |
| 10:00 AM | 12 | 15 | 27 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 12 | 15 | 27 |
| 11:00 AM | 11 | 15 | 26 | - | - | - | $-$ | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 11 | 15 | 26 |
| 12:00 PM | 22 | 16 | 38 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 22 | 16 | 38 |
| 1:00 PM | 18 | 16 | 34 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 18 | 16 | 34 |
| 2:00 PM | 8 | 7 | 15 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |  |  |  |  | 8 | 7 | 15 |
| 3:00 PM | 11 | 12 | 23 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 11 | 12 | 23 |
| 4:00 PM | 15 | 16 | 31 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |  | - | - | 15 | 16 | 31 |
| 5:00 PM | 36 | 7 | 43 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 36 | 7 | 43 |
| 6:00 PM | 7 | 9 | 16 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |  | - | - | 7 | 9 | 16 |
| 7:00 PM | 13 | 7 | 20 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 13 | 7 | 20 |
| 8:00 PM | 2 | 2 | 4 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |  | - | - | 2 | 2 | 4 |
| 9:00 PM | 3 | 3 | 6 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 3 | 3 | 6 |
| 10:00 PM | 1 | 2 | 3 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |  | - | - | 1 | 2 | 3 |
| 11:00 PM | 2 | 2 | 4 | $-$ | - | - | - | - | - | $\checkmark$ | - | $-$ | - | - | - | $-$ | - | - | - | - | - | 2 | 2 | 4 |
| Total | 198 | 204 | 402 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 198 | 204 | 402 |
| Percent | 49\% | 51\% | - | - | $\cdots$ | - | $\cdots$ | $\cdots$ | $\cdots$ | $-$ | $\cdots$ | $\cdots$ | $-$ | - | - | $\square$ | $-$ | - | $-$ | - | $-$ | 49\% | 51\% | - |
| AM Peak | 08:00 | 07:00 | 07:00 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 08:00 | 07:00 | 07:00 |
| Vol. | 16 | 40 | 53 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | $\square$ | - | - | - | - | - | $-$ | - | - | - | - | 16 | 40 | 53 |
| PM Peak | 17:00 | 12:00 | 17:00 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 17:00 | 12:00 | 17:00 |
| Vol. | 36 | 16 | 43 | - | - | - | - | - | $\square$ | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | $-$ | 36 | 16 | 43 |

1. Mid-week average includes data between Tuesday and Thursday.

Location: York St BTWN E 77th Ave \& E 78th Ave
iみx
DATA SOLUTIONS

| Time | Tuesday |  |  | Wednesday |  |  | Thursday |  |  | Friday |  |  | Saturday |  |  | Sunday |  |  | Monday |  |  | Mid-Week Average |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 3/21/2023 |  |  | 3/22/2023 |  |  | 3/23/2023 |  |  | 3/24/2023 |  |  | 3/25/2023 |  |  | 3/26/2023 |  |  | 3/27/2023 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | NB | SB | Total | NB | SB | Total | NB | SB | Total | NB | SB | Total | NB | SB | Total | NB | SB | Total | NB | SB | Total | NB | SB | Total |
| 12:00 AM | 29 | 14 | 43 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 29 | 14 | 43 |
| 1:00 AM | 17 | 24 | 41 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 17 | 24 | 41 |
| 2:00 AM | 18 | 20 | 38 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 18 | 20 | 38 |
| 3:00 AM | 23 | 57 | 80 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 23 | 57 | 80 |
| 4:00 AM | 24 | 120 | 144 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 24 | 120 | 144 |
| 5:00 AM | 91 | 395 | 486 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 91 | 395 | 486 |
| 6:00 AM | 141 | 744 | 885 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 141 | 744 | 885 |
| 7:00 AM | 229 | 816 | 1,045 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 229 | 816 | 1,045 |
| 8:00 AM | 184 | 509 | 693 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 184 | 509 | 693 |
| 9:00 AM | 191 | 320 | 511 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 191 | 320 | 511 |
| 10:00 AM | 198 | 255 | 453 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 198 | 255 | 453 |
| 11:00 AM | 255 | 288 | 543 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 255 | 288 | 543 |
| 12:00 PM | 293 | 266 | 559 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 293 | 266 | 559 |
| 1:00 PM | 285 | 241 | 526 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 285 | 241 | 526 |
| 2:00 PM | 347 | 253 | 600 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |  | - | - | 347 | 253 | 600 |
| 3:00 PM | 621 | 311 | 932 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 621 | 311 | 932 |
| 4:00 PM | 696 | 312 | 1,008 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 696 | 312 | 1,008 |
| 5:00 PM | 812 | 321 | 1,133 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 812 | 321 | 1,133 |
| 6:00 PM | 494 | 268 | 762 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 494 | 268 | 762 |
| 7:00 PM | 308 | 227 | 535 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 308 | 227 | 535 |
| 8:00 PM | 238 | 155 | 393 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 238 | 155 | 393 |
| 9:00 PM | 197 | 106 | 303 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 197 | 106 | 303 |
| 10:00 PM | 97 | 62 | 159 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 97 | 62 | 159 |
| 11:00 PM | 59 | 41 | 100 | - | - | $-$ | $-$ | - | $-$ | - | $-$ | - | $-$ | - | - | - | $-$ | $-$ | - | - | $-$ | 59 | 41 | 100 |
| Total | 5,847 | 6,125 | 11,972 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 5,847 | 6,125 | 11,972 |
| Percent | 49\% | 51\% | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 49\% | 51\% | - |
| AM Peak | 11:00 | 07:00 | 07:00 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 11:00 | 07:00 | 07:00 |
| Vol. | 255 | 816 | 1,045 | - | - | $\sim$ | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | $-$ | - | $\checkmark$ | - | $\square$ | - | - | - | 255 | 816 | 1,045 |
| PM Peak | 17:00 | 17:00 | 17:00 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 17:00 | 17:00 | 17:00 |
| Vol. | 812 | 321 | 1,133 | - | - | - | - | - | $\square$ | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | $-$ | 812 | 321 | 1,133 |

1. Mid-week average includes data between Tuesday and Thursday.


| Two-Hour Count Summaries - Heavy Vehicles |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Interval Start | E 77th Ave |  |  |  | N/A |  |  |  | York St |  |  |  | York St |  |  |  | 15-min Total | Rolling One Hour |
|  | Eastbound |  |  |  | Westbound |  |  |  | Northbound |  |  |  | Southbound |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | UT | LT | TH | RT | UT | LT | TH | RT | UT | LT | TH | RT | UT | LT | TH | RT |  |  |
| 7:00 AM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 7 | 0 | 10 | 0 |
| 7:15 AM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 8 | 0 | 14 | 0 |
| 7:30 AM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 7 | 0 | 11 | 0 |
| 7:45 AM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 9 | 44 |
| 8:00 AM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 6 | 0 | 11 | 45 |
| 8:15 AM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 8 | 39 |
| 8:30 AM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 12 | 40 |
| 8:45 AM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 10 | 41 |
| Count Total | 0 | 0 | 0 | 7 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 30 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 46 | 0 | 85 | 0 |
| Peak Hour | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 15 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 27 | 0 | 44 | 0 |

Two-Hour Count Summaries - Bikes

| Interval Start | E 77th Ave |  |  | N/A |  |  | York St |  |  | York St |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 15-min } \\ & \text { Total } \end{aligned}$ | Rolling One Hour |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Eastbound |  |  | Westbound |  |  | Northbound |  |  | Southbound |  |  |  |  |
|  | LT | TH | RT | LT | TH | RT | LT | TH | RT | LT | TH | RT |  |  |
| 7:00 AM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 7:15 AM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 7:30 AM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 7:45 AM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 8:00 AM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 8:15 AM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 8:30 AM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 8:45 AM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Count Total | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Peak Hour | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |

Note: U-Turn volumes for bikes are included in Left-Turn, if any.


| Two-Hour Count Summaries - Heavy Vehicles |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Interval Start | E 77th Ave |  |  |  | N/A |  |  |  | York St |  |  |  | York St |  |  |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { 15-min } \\ \text { Total } \end{gathered}$ | Rolling One Hour |
|  | Eastbound |  |  |  | Westbound |  |  |  | Northbound |  |  |  | Southbound |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | UT | LT | TH | RT | UT | LT | TH | RT | UT | LT | TH | RT | UT | LT | TH | RT |  |  |
| 4:00 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 11 | 0 |
| 4:15 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 7 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 10 | 0 |
| 4:30 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 8 | 0 |
| 4:45 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 9 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 12 | 41 |
| 5:00 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 7 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 6 | 0 | 13 | 43 |
| 5:15 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 8 | 41 |
| 5:30 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 9 | 42 |
| 5:45 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 6 | 36 |
| Count Total | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 50 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 24 | 0 | 77 | 0 |
| Peak Hour | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 23 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 12 | 0 | 36 | 0 |

Two-Hour Count Summaries - Bikes

| Interval Start | E 77th Ave |  |  | N/A |  |  | York St |  |  | York St |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 15-min } \\ & \text { Total } \end{aligned}$ | Rolling One Hour |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Eastbound |  |  | Westbound |  |  | Northbound |  |  | Southbound |  |  |  |  |
|  | LT | TH | RT | LT | TH | RT | LT | TH | RT | LT | TH | RT |  |  |
| 4:00 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 4:15 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 4:30 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 4:45 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 5:00 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 5:15 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 5:30 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 5:45 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Count Total | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Peak Hour | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |

Note: U-Turn volumes for bikes are included in Left-Turn, if any.


Two-Hour Count Summaries - Heavy Vehicles

| Interval Start | E 78th Ave |  |  |  | E 78th Ave |  |  |  | York St |  |  |  | York St |  |  |  | 15-min Total | Rolling One Hour |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Eastbound |  |  |  | Westbound |  |  |  | Northbound |  |  |  | Southbound |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | UT | LT | TH | RT | UT | LT | TH | RT | UT | LT | TH | RT | UT | LT | TH | RT |  |  |
| 7:00 AM | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 11 | 0 |
| 7:15 AM | 0 | 0 | 2 | 2 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 16 | 0 |
| 7:30 AM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 3 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 14 | 0 |
| 7:45 AM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 11 | 52 |
| 8:00 AM | 0 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 0 | 12 | 53 |
| 8:15 AM | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 8 | 45 |
| 8:30 AM | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 11 | 42 |
| 8:45 AM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 11 | 42 |
| Count Total | 0 | 1 | 5 | 6 | 0 | 16 | 3 | 6 | 0 | 1 | 23 | 6 | 0 | 1 | 24 | 2 | 94 | 0 |
| Peak Hour | 0 | 0 | 3 | 3 | 0 | 9 | 3 | 4 | 0 | 1 | 12 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 15 | 0 | 52 | 0 |

Two-Hour Count Summaries - Bikes

| Interval Start | E 78th Ave |  |  | E 78th Ave |  |  | York St |  |  | York St |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 15-min } \\ & \text { Total } \end{aligned}$ | Rolling One Hour |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Eastbound |  |  | Westbound |  |  | Northbound |  |  | Southbound |  |  |  |  |
|  | LT | TH | RT | LT | TH | RT | LT | TH | RT | LT | TH | RT |  |  |
| 7:00 AM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 7:15 AM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 7:30 AM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 7:45 AM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 8:00 AM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 8:15 AM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 8:30 AM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 8:45 AM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Count Total | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Peak Hour | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |

Note: U-Turn volumes for bikes are included in Left-Turn, if any.


| Two-Hour Count Summaries - Heavy Vehicles |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Interval Start | E 78th Ave |  |  |  | E 78th Ave |  |  |  | York St |  |  |  |  | York St |  |  |  | 15-min Total | Rolling One Hour |
|  | Eastbound |  |  |  | Westbound |  |  |  | Northbound |  |  |  |  | Southbound |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | UT | LT | TH | RT | UT | LT | TH | RT | UT | LT |  | TH | RT | UT |  | TH | RT |  |  |
| 4:00 PM | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 4 | 1 | 0 |  | 3 | 0 | 12 | 0 |
| 4:15 PM | 0 | 0 | 3 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 2 | 6 | 0 |  | 2 | 0 | 14 | 0 |
| 4:30 PM | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 2 | 4 | 0 |  | 1 | 0 | 14 | 0 |
| 4:45 PM | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 3 | 4 | 0 |  | 1 | 0 | 12 | 52 |
| 5:00 PM | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 5 | 2 | 0 |  | 4 | 0 | 15 | 55 |
| 5:15 PM | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 |  | 2 | 3 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 11 | 52 |
| 5:30 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 2 | 2 | 0 |  | 4 | 0 | 8 | 46 |
| 5:45 PM | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |  | 2 | 3 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 7 | 41 |
| Count Total | 0 | 3 | 10 | 3 | 0 | 6 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 3 |  | 22 | 25 | 0 |  | 15 | 0 | 93 | 0 |
| Peak Hour | 0 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 0 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 3 |  | 11 | 10 | 0 |  | 8 | 0 | 41 | 0 |
| Two-Hour Count Summaries - Bikes |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Interval Start | E 78th Ave |  |  |  | E 78th Ave |  |  |  | York St |  |  |  |  | York St |  |  |  | 15-min Total | Rolling One Hour |
|  | Eastbound |  |  |  | Westbound |  |  |  | Northbound |  |  |  |  | Southbound |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | LT |  | TH | RT | LT |  | TH | RT | LT |  | TH |  | RT | LT | TH |  | RT |  |  |
| 4:00 PM | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 4:15 PM | 0 |  |  | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 4:30 PM | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 4:45 PM | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 5:00 PM | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 5:15 PM | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 5:30 PM | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 5:45 PM | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Count Total | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Peak Hour | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Note: U-Turn volu | umes | for bi | bikes are | included | in Lef | t-Turn | n, if any. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

## Intersection Capacity Worksheets: Existing

|  | $y$ | $\rightarrow$ | \% | 7 | $\square$ | 4 | 4 | 4 | $p$ |  | $\downarrow$ | $\downarrow$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Movement | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| Lane Configurations | ${ }^{7}$ | $\uparrow$ |  | \% | $\uparrow$ |  | \% | 中 ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  | ${ }^{7}$ | 个t |  |
| Traffic Volume (veh/h) | 15 | 43 | 84 | 67 | 51 | 26 | 27 | 123 | 80 | 29 | 677 | 64 |
| Future Volume (veh/h) | 15 | 43 | 84 | 67 | 51 | 26 | 27 | 123 | 80 | 29 | 677 | 64 |
| Initial $Q(Q b)$, veh | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Ped-Bike Adj(A_pbT) | 1.00 |  | 1.00 | 1.00 |  | 1.00 | 1.00 |  | 1.00 | 1.00 |  | 1.00 |
| Parking Bus, Adj | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Work Zone On Approach |  | No |  |  | No |  |  | No |  |  | No |  |
| Adj Sat Flow, veh/h/ln | 1900 | 1796 | 1841 | 1767 | 1856 | 1841 | 1885 | 1722 | 1870 | 1900 | 1678 | 1900 |
| Adj Flow Rate, veh/h | 16 | 47 | 104 | 100 | 84 | 63 | 44 | 145 | 136 | 72 | 744 | 88 |
| Peak Hour Factor | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.81 | 0.67 | 0.61 | 0.41 | 0.61 | 0.85 | 0.59 | 0.40 | 0.91 | 0.73 |
| Percent Heavy Veh, \% | 0 | 7 | 4 | 9 | 3 | 4 | 1 | 12 | 2 | 0 | 15 | 0 |
| Cap, veh/h | 594 | 199 | 440 | 554 | 394 | 295 | 286 | 664 | 576 | 536 | 1148 | 136 |
| Arrive On Green | 0.40 | 0.40 | 0.40 | 0.40 | 0.40 | 0.40 | 0.40 | 0.40 | 0.40 | 0.40 | 0.40 | 0.40 |
| Sat Flow, veh/h | 1260 | 497 | 1101 | 1168 | 984 | 738 | 665 | 1659 | 1440 | 1116 | 2871 | 339 |
| Grp Volume(v), veh/h | 16 | 0 | 151 | 100 | 0 | 147 | 44 | 143 | 138 | 72 | 413 | 419 |
| Grp Sat Flow(s),veh/h/n | 1260 | 0 | 1598 | 1168 | 0 | 1723 | 665 | 1636 | 1463 | 1116 | 1594 | 1617 |
| Q Serve(g_s), s | 0.4 | 0.0 | 2.8 | 2.8 | 0.0 | 2.5 | 2.6 | 2.6 | 2.8 | 2.1 | 9.4 | 9.4 |
| Cycle Q Clear(g_c), s | 2.9 | 0.0 | 2.8 | 5.6 | 0.0 | 2.5 | 12.0 | 2.6 | 2.8 | 4.9 | 9.4 | 9.4 |
| Prop In Lane | 1.00 |  | 0.69 | 1.00 |  | 0.43 | 1.00 |  | 0.98 | 1.00 |  | 0.21 |
| Lane Grp Cap (c), veh/h | 594 | 0 | 639 | 554 | 0 | 689 | 286 | 654 | 585 | 536 | 638 | 647 |
| V/C Ratio(X) | 0.03 | 0.00 | 0.24 | 0.18 | 0.00 | 0.21 | 0.15 | 0.22 | 0.24 | 0.13 | 0.65 | 0.65 |
| Avail Cap(c_a), veh/h | 594 | 0 | 639 | 554 | 0 | 689 | 286 | 654 | 585 | 536 | 638 | 647 |
| HCM Platoon Ratio | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Upstream Filter(1) | 1.00 | 0.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Uniform Delay (d), s/veh | 9.8 | 0.0 | 8.9 | 10.8 | 0.0 | 8.9 | 15.8 | 8.9 | 8.9 | 10.6 | 10.9 | 10.9 |
| Incr Delay (d2), s/veh | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.9 | 0.7 | 0.0 | 0.7 | 1.1 | 0.8 | 0.9 | 0.5 | 5.0 | 5.0 |
| Initial Q Delay(d3),s/veh | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| \%ile BackOfQ(50\%),veh/ln | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.9 | 0.7 | 0.0 | 0.8 | 0.4 | 0.8 | 0.8 | 0.5 | 3.3 | 3.4 |
| Unsig. Movement Delay, s/veh |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| LnGrp Delay(d),s/veh | 9.9 | 0.0 | 9.8 | 11.5 | 0.0 | 9.6 | 16.9 | 9.6 | 9.9 | 11.1 | 16.0 | 15.9 |
| LnGrp LOS | A | A | A | B | A | A | B | A | A | B | B | B |
| Approach Vol, veh/h |  | 167 |  |  | 247 |  |  | 325 |  |  | 904 |  |
| Approach Delay, s/veh |  | 9.8 |  |  | 10.4 |  |  | 10.7 |  |  | 15.5 |  |
| Approach LOS |  | A |  |  | B |  |  | B |  |  | B |  |
| Timer - Assigned Phs |  | 2 |  | 4 |  | 6 |  | 8 |  |  |  |  |
| Phs Duration ( $\mathrm{G}+\mathrm{Y}+\mathrm{Rc}$ ), s |  | 22.5 |  | 22.5 |  | 22.5 |  | 22.5 |  |  |  |  |
| Change Period ( $Y+R \mathrm{Rc}$ ), s |  | 4.5 |  | 4.5 |  | 4.5 |  | 4.5 |  |  |  |  |
| Max Green Setting (Gmax), s |  | 18.0 |  | 18.0 |  | 18.0 |  | 18.0 |  |  |  |  |
| Max Q Clear Time (g_c+11), s |  | 14.0 |  | 4.9 |  | 11.4 |  | 7.6 |  |  |  |  |
| Green Ext Time (p_c), s |  | 0.7 |  | 0.7 |  | 2.9 |  | 0.8 |  |  |  |  |
| Intersection Summary |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| HCM 6th Ctrl Delay |  |  | 13.2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| HCM 6th LOS |  |  | B |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |


| Intersection |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Int Delay, s/veh | 1.2 |  |  |  |  |  |




| Intersection |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |



## Intersection Capacity Worksheets: 2030 Background

|  | $\rangle$ | $\rightarrow$ | \% | 7 | $\checkmark$ | 4 | 4 | 4 | $p$ |  | $\dagger$ | $\downarrow$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Movement | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| Lane Configurations | ${ }^{7}$ | $\uparrow$ |  | ${ }^{7}$ | $\hat{\dagger}$ |  | ${ }^{7}$ | 个t |  | ${ }^{*}$ | 个t |  |
| Traffic Volume (veh/h) | 15 | 50 | 95 | 75 | 60 | 30 | 30 | 140 | 90 | 35 | 775 | 75 |
| Future Volume (veh/h) | 15 | 50 | 95 | 75 | 60 | 30 | 30 | 140 | 90 | 35 | 775 | 75 |
| Initial $Q(Q b)$, veh | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Ped-Bike Adj(A_pbT) | 1.00 |  | 1.00 | 1.00 |  | 1.00 | 1.00 |  | 1.00 | 1.00 |  | 1.00 |
| Parking Bus, Adj | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Work Zone On Approach |  | No |  |  | No |  |  | No |  |  | No |  |
| Adj Sat Flow, veh/h/ln | 1900 | 1796 | 1841 | 1767 | 1856 | 1841 | 1885 | 1722 | 1870 | 1900 | 1678 | 1900 |
| Adj Flow Rate, veh/h | 16 | 54 | 117 | 112 | 98 | 73 | 49 | 165 | 153 | 88 | 852 | 103 |
| Peak Hour Factor | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.81 | 0.67 | 0.61 | 0.41 | 0.61 | 0.85 | 0.59 | 0.40 | 0.91 | 0.73 |
| Percent Heavy Veh, \% | 0 | 7 | 4 | 9 | 3 | 4 | 1 | 12 | 2 | 0 | 15 | 0 |
| Cap, veh/h | 572 | 202 | 438 | 536 | 395 | 294 | 246 | 665 | 575 | 514 | 1145 | 138 |
| Arrive On Green | 0.40 | 0.40 | 0.40 | 0.40 | 0.40 | 0.40 | 0.40 | 0.40 | 0.40 | 0.40 | 0.40 | 0.40 |
| Sat Flow, veh/h | 1233 | 505 | 1094 | 1147 | 988 | 736 | 592 | 1662 | 1438 | 1078 | 2863 | 346 |
| Grp Volume(v), veh/h | 16 | 0 | 171 | 112 | 0 | 171 | 49 | 162 | 156 | 88 | 474 | 481 |
| Grp Sat Flow(s),veh/h/ln | 1233 | 0 | 1599 | 1147 | 0 | 1723 | 592 | 1636 | 1463 | 1078 | 1594 | 1615 |
| Q Serve(g_s), s | 0.4 | 0.0 | 3.2 | 3.3 | 0.0 | 3.0 | 3.5 | 3.0 | 3.2 | 2.7 | 11.4 | 11.4 |
| Cycle Q Clear (g_c), s | 3.4 | 0.0 | 3.2 | 6.5 | 0.0 | 3.0 | 14.9 | 3.0 | 3.2 | 5.9 | 11.4 | 11.4 |
| Prop In Lane | 1.00 |  | 0.68 | 1.00 |  | 0.43 | 1.00 |  | 0.98 | 1.00 |  | 0.21 |
| Lane Grp Cap (c), veh/h | 572 | 0 | 640 | 536 | 0 | 689 | 246 | 654 | 585 | 514 | 638 | 646 |
| V/C Ratio(X) | 0.03 | 0.00 | 0.27 | 0.21 | 0.00 | 0.25 | 0.20 | 0.25 | 0.27 | 0.17 | 0.74 | 0.74 |
| Avail Cap(c_a), veh/h | 572 | 0 | 640 | 536 | 0 | 689 | 246 | 654 | 585 | 514 | 638 | 646 |
| HCM Platoon Ratio | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Upstream Filter(l) | 1.00 | 0.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Uniform Delay (d), s/veh | 10.1 | 0.0 | 9.1 | 11.3 | 0.0 | 9.0 | 17.9 | 9.0 | 9.1 | 11.0 | 11.5 | 11.5 |
| Incr Delay (d2), s/veh | 0.1 | 0.0 | 1.0 | 0.9 | 0.0 | 0.9 | 1.8 | 0.9 | 1.1 | 0.7 | 7.7 | 7.6 |
| Initial Q Delay(d3),s/veh | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| \%ile BackOfQ(50\%),veh/ln | 0.1 | 0.0 | 1.0 | 0.8 | 0.0 | 1.0 | 0.5 | 1.0 | 0.9 | 0.6 | 4.3 | 4.4 |
| Unsig. Movement Delay, s/veh |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| LnGrp Delay(d),s/veh | 10.2 | 0.0 | 10.1 | 12.1 | 0.0 | 9.9 | 19.7 | 9.9 | 10.2 | 11.8 | 19.2 | 19.1 |
| LnGrp LOS | B | A | B | B | A | A | B | A | B | B | B | B |
| Approach Vol, veh/h |  | 187 |  |  | 283 |  |  | 367 |  |  | 1043 |  |
| Approach Delay, s/veh |  | 10.1 |  |  | 10.8 |  |  | 11.3 |  |  | 18.5 |  |
| Approach LOS |  | B |  |  | B |  |  | B |  |  | B |  |
| Timer - Assigned Phs |  | 2 |  | 4 |  | 6 |  | 8 |  |  |  |  |
| Phs Duration ( $\mathrm{G}+\mathrm{Y}+\mathrm{Rc}$ ), s |  | 22.5 |  | 22.5 |  | 22.5 |  | 22.5 |  |  |  |  |
| Change Period ( $\mathrm{Y}+\mathrm{Rc}$ ), s |  | 4.5 |  | 4.5 |  | 4.5 |  | 4.5 |  |  |  |  |
| Max Green Setting (Gmax), s |  | 18.0 |  | 18.0 |  | 18.0 |  | 18.0 |  |  |  |  |
| Max Q Clear Time (g_c+1), s |  | 16.9 |  | 5.4 |  | 13.4 |  | 8.5 |  |  |  |  |
| Green Ext Time (p_c), s |  | 0.3 |  | 0.7 |  | 2.5 |  | 0.9 |  |  |  |  |
| Intersection Summary |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| HCM 6th Ctrl Delay |  |  | 15.1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| HCM 6th LOS |  |  | B |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |




|  | 4 |  |  | 7 |  |  | 4 | 4 | $p$ |  | $\downarrow$ | $\checkmark$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Movement | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| Lane Configurations | \% | $\hat{1}$ |  | \% | $\uparrow$ |  | ${ }^{7}$ | 中t |  | ${ }^{*}$ | 个 ${ }^{2}$ |  |
| Traffic Volume (veh/h) | 145 | 40 | 75 | 70 | 35 | 25 | 95 | 735 | 115 | 20 | 220 | 30 |
| Future Volume (veh/h) | 145 | 40 | 75 | 70 | 35 | 25 | 95 | 735 | 115 | 20 | 220 | 30 |
| Initial $Q(Q b)$, veh | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Ped-Bike Adj(A_pbT) | 1.00 |  | 1.00 | 1.00 |  | 1.00 | 1.00 |  | 1.00 | 1.00 |  | 1.00 |
| Parking Bus, Adj | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Work Zone On Approach |  | No |  |  | No |  |  | No |  |  | No |  |
| Adj Sat Flow, veh/h/ln | 1870 | 1811 | 1856 | 1856 | 1856 | 1900 | 1841 | 1870 | 1752 | 1900 | 1841 | 1900 |
| Adj Flow Rate, veh/h | 193 | 43 | 82 | 97 | 60 | 52 | 120 | 808 | 135 | 22 | 289 | 56 |
| Peak Hour Factor | 0.75 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.72 | 0.58 | 0.48 | 0.79 | 0.91 | 0.85 | 0.92 | 0.76 | 0.54 |
| Percent Heavy Veh, \% | 2 | 6 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 0 | 4 | 2 | 10 | 0 | 4 | 0 |
| Cap, veh/h | 619 | 223 | 425 | 599 | 367 | 318 | 500 | 1219 | 204 | 333 | 1172 | 224 |
| Arrive On Green | 0.40 | 0.40 | 0.40 | 0.40 | 0.40 | 0.40 | 0.80 | 0.80 | 0.80 | 0.40 | 0.40 | 0.40 |
| Sat Flow, veh/h | 1281 | 557 | 1063 | 1256 | 917 | 795 | 1019 | 3047 | 509 | 604 | 2929 | 560 |
| Grp Volume(v), veh/h | 193 | 0 | 125 | 97 | 0 | 112 | 120 | 471 | 472 | 22 | 171 | 174 |
| Grp Sat Flow(s),veh/h/n | 1281 | 0 | 1620 | 1256 | 0 | 1712 | 1019 | 1777 | 1779 | 604 | 1749 | 1740 |
| Q Serve(g_s), s | 5.1 | 0.0 | 2.3 | 2.4 | 0.0 | 1.9 | 2.3 | 5.1 | 5.1 | 1.2 | 2.9 | 3.0 |
| Cycle Q Clear(g_c), s | 7.0 | 0.0 | 2.3 | 4.7 | 0.0 | 1.9 | 5.3 | 5.1 | 5.1 | 6.3 | 2.9 | 3.0 |
| Prop In Lane | 1.00 |  | 0.66 | 1.00 |  | 0.46 | 1.00 |  | 0.29 | 1.00 |  | 0.32 |
| Lane Grp Cap(c), veh/h | 619 | 0 | 648 | 599 | 0 | 685 | 500 | 711 | 711 | 333 | 699 | 696 |
| V/C Ratio(X) | 0.31 | 0.00 | 0.19 | 0.16 | 0.00 | 0.16 | 0.24 | 0.66 | 0.66 | 0.07 | 0.24 | 0.25 |
| Avail Cap(c_a), veh/h | 619 | 0 | 648 | 599 | 0 | 685 | 500 | 711 | 711 | 333 | 699 | 696 |
| HCM Platoon Ratio | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 2.00 | 2.00 | 2.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Upstream Filter(I) | 1.00 | 0.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Uniform Delay (d), s/veh | 10.9 | 0.0 | 8.8 | 10.3 | 0.0 | 8.7 | 3.9 | 3.2 | 3.2 | 11.9 | 9.0 | 9.0 |
| Incr Delay (d2), s/veh | 1.3 | 0.0 | 0.7 | 0.6 | 0.0 | 0.5 | 1.1 | 4.8 | 4.8 | 0.4 | 0.8 | 0.9 |
| Initial Q Delay(d3),s/veh | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| \%ile BackOfQ(50\%),veh/ln | 1.3 | 0.0 | 0.7 | 0.6 | 0.0 | 0.6 | 0.4 | 1.7 | 1.7 | 0.2 | 1.0 | 1.0 |
| Unsig. Movement Delay, s/veh |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| LnGrp Delay (d),s/veh | 12.2 | 0.0 | 9.4 | 10.9 | 0.0 | 9.2 | 5.0 | 8.0 | 8.0 | 12.3 | 9.8 | 9.9 |
| LnGrp LOS | B | A | A | B | A | A | A | A | A | B | A | A |
| Approach Vol, veh/h |  | 318 |  |  | 209 |  |  | 1063 |  |  | 367 |  |
| Approach Delay, s/veh |  | 11.1 |  |  | 10.0 |  |  | 7.7 |  |  | 10.0 |  |
| Approach LOS |  | B |  |  | A |  |  | A |  |  | A |  |
| Timer - Assigned Phs |  | 2 |  | 4 |  | 6 |  | 8 |  |  |  |  |
| Phs Duration ( $G+Y+R \mathrm{c}$ ), $s$ |  | 22.5 |  | 22.5 |  | 22.5 |  | 22.5 |  |  |  |  |
| Change Period ( $\mathrm{Y}+\mathrm{Rc}$ ), s |  | 4.5 |  | 4.5 |  | 4.5 |  | 4.5 |  |  |  |  |
| Max Green Setting (Gmax), s |  | 18.0 |  | 18.0 |  | 18.0 |  | 18.0 |  |  |  |  |
| Max Q Clear Time (g_c+11), s |  | 7.3 |  | 9.0 |  | 8.3 |  | 6.7 |  |  |  |  |
| Green Ext Time (p_c), s |  | 4.8 |  | 0.9 |  | 1.5 |  | 0.6 |  |  |  |  |
| Intersection Summary |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| HCM 6th Ctrr Delay |  |  | 8.9 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| HCM 6th LOS |  |  | A |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |


| Intersection |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Int Delay, s/veh | 1.2 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Movement | EBL | EBR | NBL | NBT | SBT | SBR |
| Lane Configurations | Mr |  | 1 | 体 | 作 |  |
| Traffic Vol, veh/h | 32 | 30 | 15 | 915 | 335 | 30 |
| Future Vol, veh/h | 32 | 30 | 15 | 915 | 335 | 30 |
| Conflicting Peds, \#/hr | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Sign Control | Stop | Stop | Free | Free | Free | Free |
| RT Channelized | - | None | - | None | - | None |
| Storage Length | 0 | - | 270 | - | - | - |
| Veh in Median Storage, \# | 0 | - | - | 0 | 0 | - |
| Grade, \% | 0 | - | - | 0 | 0 | - |
| Peak Hour Factor | 67 | 75 | 75 | 91 | 80 | 84 |
| Heavy Vehicles, \% | 0 | 0 | 8 | 3 | 4 | 0 |
| Mvmt Flow | 48 | 40 | 20 | 1005 | 419 | 36 |



## Intersection Capacity Worksheets: 2050 Background

|  | $y$ | $\rightarrow$ | \% | 7 | $\checkmark$ | 4 | 4 | 4 | $p$ |  | $\downarrow$ | $\downarrow$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Movement | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| Lane Configurations | \% | $\uparrow$ |  | ${ }^{7}$ | $\uparrow$ |  | ${ }^{7}$ | 中 ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  | ${ }^{*}$ | 个t |  |
| Traffic Volume (veh/h) | 25 | 70 | 140 | 110 | 85 | 45 | 45 | 205 | 135 | 50 | 1135 | 105 |
| Future Volume (veh/h) | 25 | 70 | 140 | 110 | 85 | 45 | 45 | 205 | 135 | 50 | 1135 | 105 |
| Initial $Q(Q b)$, veh | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Ped-Bike Adj(A_pbT) | 1.00 |  | 1.00 | 1.00 |  | 1.00 | 1.00 |  | 1.00 | 1.00 |  | 1.00 |
| Parking Bus, Adj | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Work Zone On Approach |  | No |  |  | No |  |  | No |  |  | No |  |
| Adj Sat Flow, veh/h/ln | 1900 | 1796 | 1841 | 1767 | 1856 | 1841 | 1885 | 1722 | 1870 | 1900 | 1678 | 1900 |
| Adj Flow Rate, veh/h | 27 | 76 | 173 | 164 | 139 | 110 | 74 | 241 | 229 | 125 | 1247 | 144 |
| Peak Hour Factor | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.81 | 0.67 | 0.61 | 0.41 | 0.61 | 0.85 | 0.59 | 0.40 | 0.91 | 0.73 |
| Percent Heavy Veh, \% | 0 | 7 | 4 | 9 | 3 | 4 | 1 | 12 | 2 | 0 | 15 | 0 |
| Cap, veh/h | 328 | 146 | 333 | 302 | 288 | 228 | 200 | 900 | 803 | 557 | 1584 | 182 |
| Arrive On Green | 0.30 | 0.30 | 0.30 | 0.30 | 0.30 | 0.30 | 0.55 | 0.55 | 0.55 | 0.55 | 0.55 | 0.55 |
| Sat Flow, veh/h | 1149 | 487 | 1109 | 1068 | 960 | 759 | 392 | 1636 | 1459 | 938 | 2880 | 331 |
| Grp Volume(v), veh/h | 27 | 0 | 249 | 164 | 0 | 249 | 74 | 241 | 229 | 125 | 688 | 703 |
| Grp Sat Flow(s),veh/h/n | 1149 | 0 | 1597 | 1068 | 0 | 1719 | 392 | 1636 | 1459 | 938 | 1594 | 1618 |
| Q Serve(g_s), s | 1.2 | 0.0 | 7.8 | 9.0 | 0.0 | 7.1 | 11.1 | 4.7 | 5.0 | 4.9 | 20.5 | 20.7 |
| Cycle Q Clear(g_c), s | 8.3 | 0.0 | 7.8 | 16.8 | 0.0 | 7.1 | 31.9 | 4.7 | 5.0 | 9.9 | 20.5 | 20.7 |
| Prop In Lane | 1.00 |  | 0.69 | 1.00 |  | 0.44 | 1.00 |  | 1.00 | 1.00 |  | 0.20 |
| Lane Grp Cap (c), veh/h | 328 | 0 | 479 | 302 | 0 | 516 | 200 | 900 | 803 | 557 | 877 | 890 |
| V/C Ratio(X) | 0.08 | 0.00 | 0.52 | 0.54 | 0.00 | 0.48 | 0.37 | 0.27 | 0.29 | 0.22 | 0.78 | 0.79 |
| Avail Cap(c_a), veh/h | 328 | 0 | 479 | 302 | 0 | 516 | 200 | 900 | 803 | 557 | 877 | 890 |
| HCM Platoon Ratio | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Upstream Filter(1) | 1.00 | 0.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Uniform Delay (d), s/veh | 20.6 | 0.0 | 17.4 | 24.4 | 0.0 | 17.2 | 23.4 | 7.1 | 7.2 | 9.9 | 10.7 | 10.7 |
| Incr Delay (d2), s/veh | 0.5 | 0.0 | 4.0 | 6.8 | 0.0 | 3.2 | 5.2 | 0.7 | 0.9 | 0.9 | 7.0 | 7.1 |
| Initial Q Delay(d3),s/veh | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| \%ile BackOfQ(50\%),veh/ln | 0.3 | 0.0 | 3.0 | 2.7 | 0.0 | 3.0 | 1.2 | 1.4 | 1.4 | 1.0 | 7.0 | 7.2 |
| Unsig. Movement Delay, s/veh |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| LnGrp Delay(d),s/veh | 21.1 | 0.0 | 21.4 | 31.2 | 0.0 | 20.4 | 28.6 | 7.9 | 8.1 | 10.8 | 17.7 | 17.8 |
| LnGrp LOS | C | A | C | C | A | C | C | A | A | B | B | B |
| Approach Vol, veh/h |  | 276 |  |  | 413 |  |  | 544 |  |  | 1516 |  |
| Approach Delay, s/veh |  | 21.4 |  |  | 24.7 |  |  | 10.8 |  |  | 17.2 |  |
| Approach LOS |  | C |  |  | C |  |  | B |  |  | B |  |
| Timer - Assigned Phs |  | 2 |  | 4 |  | 6 |  | 8 |  |  |  |  |
| Phs Duration ( $\mathrm{G}+\mathrm{Y}+\mathrm{Rc}$ ), s |  | 37.5 |  | 22.5 |  | 37.5 |  | 22.5 |  |  |  |  |
| Change Period ( $Y+R \mathrm{Rc}$ ), s |  | 4.5 |  | 4.5 |  | 4.5 |  | 4.5 |  |  |  |  |
| Max Green Setting (Gmax), s |  | 33.0 |  | 18.0 |  | 33.0 |  | 18.0 |  |  |  |  |
| Max Q Clear Time (g_c+11), s |  | 33.9 |  | 10.3 |  | 22.7 |  | 18.8 |  |  |  |  |
| Green Ext Time (p_c), s |  | 0.0 |  | 0.9 |  | 6.7 |  | 0.0 |  |  |  |  |
| Intersection Summary |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| HCM 6th Ctrl Delay |  |  | 17.5 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| HCM 6th LOS |  |  | B |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |




|  | $\rangle$ |  |  | $\dagger$ |  |  | 4 | 4 | $p$ |  | $\downarrow$ | $\downarrow$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Movement | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| Lane Configurations | ${ }^{*}$ | $\hat{\square}$ |  | ${ }_{7}$ | $\hat{\dagger}$ |  | ${ }_{1}$ | 性 |  | ${ }^{*}$ | 个 ${ }^{2}$ |  |
| Traffic Volume (veh/h) | 210 | 55 | 110 | 105 | 50 | 40 | 140 | 1080 | 170 | 30 | 320 | 45 |
| Future Volume (veh/h) | 210 | 55 | 110 | 105 | 50 | 40 | 140 | 1080 | 170 | 30 | 320 | 45 |
| Initial Q (Qb), veh | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Ped-Bike Adj(A_pbT) | 1.00 |  | 1.00 | 1.00 |  | 1.00 | 1.00 |  | 1.00 | 1.00 |  | 1.00 |
| Parking Bus, Adj | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Work Zone On Approach |  | No |  |  | No |  |  | No |  |  | No |  |
| Adj Sat Flow, veh/h/ln | 1870 | 1811 | 1856 | 1856 | 1856 | 1900 | 1841 | 1870 | 1752 | 1900 | 1841 | 1900 |
| Adj Flow Rate, veh/h | 280 | 60 | 120 | 146 | 86 | 83 | 177 | 1187 | 200 | 33 | 421 | 83 |
| Peak Hour Factor | 0.75 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.72 | 0.58 | 0.48 | 0.79 | 0.91 | 0.85 | 0.92 | 0.76 | 0.54 |
| Percent Heavy Veh, \% | 2 | 6 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 0 | 4 | 2 | 10 | 0 | 4 | 0 |
| Cap, veh/h | 451 | 181 | 363 | 433 | 292 | 282 | 496 | 1522 | 255 | 201 | 1458 | 285 |
| Arrive On Green | 0.34 | 0.34 | 0.34 | 0.34 | 0.34 | 0.34 | 0.50 | 0.50 | 0.50 | 0.50 | 0.50 | 0.50 |
| Sat Flow, veh/h | 1216 | 539 | 1078 | 1195 | 868 | 837 | 881 | 3045 | 510 | 396 | 2916 | 570 |
| Grp Volume(v), veh/h | 280 | 0 | 180 | 146 | 0 | 169 | 177 | 690 | 697 | 33 | 251 | 253 |
| Grp Sat Flow(s),veh/h/ln | 1216 | 0 | 1617 | 1195 | 0 | 1705 | 881 | 1777 | 1778 | 396 | 1749 | 1738 |
| Q Serve(g_s), s | 12.1 | 0.0 | 4.6 | 5.7 | 0.0 | 4.0 | 8.1 | 17.5 | 17.7 | 4.1 | 4.6 | 4.7 |
| Cycle Q Clear (g_c), s | 16.1 | 0.0 | 4.6 | 10.3 | 0.0 | 4.0 | 12.8 | 17.5 | 17.7 | 21.8 | 4.6 | 4.7 |
| Prop In Lane | 1.00 |  | 0.67 | 1.00 |  | 0.49 | 1.00 |  | 0.29 | 1.00 |  | 0.33 |
| Lane Grp Cap (c), veh/h | 451 | 0 | 544 | 433 | 0 | 573 | 496 | 888 | 889 | 201 | 874 | 869 |
| V/C Ratio(X) | 0.62 | 0.00 | 0.33 | 0.34 | 0.00 | 0.29 | 0.36 | 0.78 | 0.78 | 0.16 | 0.29 | 0.29 |
| Avail Cap(c_a), veh/h | 451 | 0 | 544 | 433 | 0 | 573 | 496 | 888 | 889 | 201 | 874 | 869 |
| HCM Platoon Ratio | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Upstream Filter(l) | 1.00 | 0.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Uniform Delay (d), s/veh | 19.4 | 0.0 | 13.6 | 17.5 | 0.0 | 13.4 | 11.8 | 11.2 | 11.3 | 20.3 | 8.0 | 8.0 |
| Incr Delay (d2), s/veh | 6.3 | 0.0 | 1.6 | 2.1 | 0.0 | 1.3 | 2.0 | 6.6 | 6.9 | 1.7 | 0.8 | 0.8 |
| Initial Q Delay(d3),s/veh | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| \%ile BackOfQ(50\%),veh/ln | 3.8 | 0.0 | 1.7 | 1.6 | 0.0 | 1.5 | 1.6 | 6.7 | 6.9 | 0.4 | 1.5 | 1.5 |
| Unsig. Movement Delay, s/veh |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| LnGrp Delay(d),s/veh | 25.7 | 0.0 | 15.3 | 19.6 | 0.0 | 14.8 | 13.8 | 17.9 | 18.2 | 22.0 | 8.9 | 8.9 |
| LnGrp LOS | C | A | B | B | A | B | B | B | B | C | A | A |
| Approach Vol, veh/h |  | 460 |  |  | 315 |  |  | 1564 |  |  | 537 |  |
| Approach Delay, s/veh |  | 21.6 |  |  | 17.0 |  |  | 17.5 |  |  | 9.7 |  |
| Approach LOS |  | C |  |  | B |  |  | B |  |  | A |  |
| Timer - Assigned Phs |  | 2 |  | 4 |  | 6 |  | 8 |  |  |  |  |
| Phs Duration ( $\mathrm{G}+\mathrm{Y}+\mathrm{Rc}$ ), s |  | 32.0 |  | 23.0 |  | 32.0 |  | 23.0 |  |  |  |  |
| Change Period ( $\mathrm{Y}+\mathrm{Rc}$ ), s |  | 4.5 |  | 4.5 |  | 4.5 |  | 4.5 |  |  |  |  |
| Max Green Setting (Gmax), s |  | 27.5 |  | 18.5 |  | 27.5 |  | 18.5 |  |  |  |  |
| Max Q Clear Time (g_c+1), s |  | 19.7 |  | 18.1 |  | 23.8 |  | 12.3 |  |  |  |  |
| Green Ext Time (p_c), s |  | 5.5 |  | 0.1 |  | 1.2 |  | 0.8 |  |  |  |  |
| Intersection Summary |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| HCM 6th Ctrr DelayHCM 6th LOS |  |  | 16.7 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | B |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |




## Intersection Capacity Worksheets: 2050 Background Improved

 (second eastbound approach lane on E ${77^{\text {th }} \text { Avenue at York Street) }}_{\text {I }}$ (




# Intersection Capacity Worksheets: 2030 Background + Project 

|  | 4 |  |  | 7 |  |  | 4 | $\dagger$ | $p$ | $\checkmark$ | $\downarrow$ | $\downarrow$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Movement | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| Lane Configurations | \% | $\hat{F}$ |  | \% | $\uparrow$ |  | \% | $\uparrow{ }^{\text {个 }}$ |  | ${ }^{7}$ | 性 |  |
| Traffic Volume (veh/h) | 15 | 50 | 98 | 76 | 60 | 30 | 31 | 140 | 90 | 35 | 776 | 75 |
| Future Volume (veh/h) | 15 | 50 | 98 | 76 | 60 | 30 | 31 | 140 | 90 | 35 | 776 | 75 |
| Initial $\mathrm{Q}(\mathrm{Qb})$, veh | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Ped-Bike Adj(A_pbT) | 1.00 |  | 1.00 | 1.00 |  | 1.00 | 1.00 |  | 1.00 | 1.00 |  | 1.00 |
| Parking Bus, Adj | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Work Zone On Approach |  | No |  |  | No |  |  | No |  |  | No |  |
| Adj Sat Flow, veh/h/ln | 1900 | 1796 | 1841 | 1767 | 1856 | 1841 | 1885 | 1722 | 1870 | 1900 | 1678 | 1900 |
| Adj Flow Rate, veh/h | 16 | 54 | 121 | 113 | 98 | 73 | 51 | 165 | 153 | 88 | 853 | 103 |
| Peak Hour Factor | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.81 | 0.67 | 0.61 | 0.41 | 0.61 | 0.85 | 0.59 | 0.40 | 0.91 | 0.73 |
| Percent Heavy Veh, \% | 0 | 7 | 4 | 9 | 3 | 4 | 1 | 12 | 2 | 0 | 15 | 0 |
| Cap, veh/h | 572 | 197 | 442 | 533 | 395 | 294 | 246 | 665 | 575 | 514 | 1145 | 138 |
| Arrive On Green | 0.40 | 0.40 | 0.40 | 0.40 | 0.40 | 0.40 | 0.40 | 0.40 | 0.40 | 0.40 | 0.40 | 0.40 |
| Sat Flow, veh/h | 1233 | 493 | 1105 | 1143 | 988 | 736 | 592 | 1662 | 1438 | 1078 | 2864 | 346 |
| Grp Volume(v), veh/h | 16 | 0 | 175 | 113 | 0 | 171 | 51 | 162 | 156 | 88 | 475 | 481 |
| Grp Sat Flow(s),veh/h/ln | 1233 | 0 | 1597 | 1143 | 0 | 1723 | 592 | 1636 | 1463 | 1078 | 1594 | 1615 |
| Q Serve(g_s), s | 0.4 | 0.0 | 3.3 | 3.3 | 0.0 | 3.0 | 3.6 | 3.0 | 3.2 | 2.7 | 11.5 | 11.5 |
| Cycle Q Clear (g_c), s | 3.4 | 0.0 | 3.3 | 6.6 | 0.0 | 3.0 | 15.1 | 3.0 | 3.2 | 5.9 | 11.5 | 11.5 |
| Prop In Lane | 1.00 |  | 0.69 | 1.00 |  | 0.43 | 1.00 |  | 0.98 | 1.00 |  | 0.21 |
| Lane Grp Cap (c), veh/h | 572 | 0 | 639 | 533 | 0 | 689 | 246 | 654 | 585 | 514 | 638 | 646 |
| V/C Ratio(X) | 0.03 | 0.00 | 0.27 | 0.21 | 0.00 | 0.25 | 0.21 | 0.25 | 0.27 | 0.17 | 0.74 | 0.74 |
| Avail Cap(c_a), veh/h | 572 | 0 | 639 | 533 | 0 | 689 | 246 | 654 | 585 | 514 | 638 | 646 |
| HCM Platoon Ratio | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Upstream Filter(l) | 1.00 | 0.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Uniform Delay (d), s/veh | 10.1 | 0.0 | 9.1 | 11.3 | 0.0 | 9.0 | 18.0 | 9.0 | 9.1 | 11.0 | 11.5 | 11.5 |
| Incr Delay (d2), s/veh | 0.1 | 0.0 | 1.1 | 0.9 | 0.0 | 0.9 | 1.9 | 0.9 | 1.1 | 0.7 | 7.7 | 7.6 |
| Initial Q Delay(d3),s/veh | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| \%ile BackOfQ(50\%),veh/In | 0.1 | 0.0 | 1.0 | 0.8 | 0.0 | 1.0 | 0.6 | 1.0 | 0.9 | 0.6 | 4.3 | 4.4 |
| Unsig. Movement Delay, s/veh |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| LnGrp Delay(d),s/veh | 10.2 | 0.0 | 10.2 | 12.2 | 0.0 | 9.9 | 19.9 | 9.9 | 10.2 | 11.8 | 19.3 | 19.2 |
| LnGrp LOS | B | A | B | B | A | A | B | A | B | B | B | B |
| Approach Vol, veh/h |  | 191 |  |  | 284 |  |  | 369 |  |  | 1044 |  |
| Approach Delay, s/veh |  | 10.2 |  |  | 10.8 |  |  | 11.4 |  |  | 18.6 |  |
| Approach LOS |  | B |  |  | B |  |  | B |  |  | B |  |
| Timer - Assigned Phs |  | 2 |  | 4 |  | 6 |  | 8 |  |  |  |  |
| Phs Duration ( $\mathrm{G}+\mathrm{Y}+\mathrm{Rc}$ ), $s$ |  | 22.5 |  | 22.5 |  | 22.5 |  | 22.5 |  |  |  |  |
| Change Period ( $\mathrm{Y}+\mathrm{Rc}$ ), s |  | 4.5 |  | 4.5 |  | 4.5 |  | 4.5 |  |  |  |  |
| Max Green Setting (Gmax), s |  | 18.0 |  | 18.0 |  | 18.0 |  | 18.0 |  |  |  |  |
| Max Q Clear Time (g_c+11), s |  | 17.1 |  | 5.4 |  | 13.5 |  | 8.6 |  |  |  |  |
| Green Ext Time (p_c), s |  | 0.2 |  | 0.8 |  | 2.5 |  | 0.9 |  |  |  |  |
| Intersection Summary |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| HCM 6th Ctrl Delay |  |  | 15.2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| HCM 6th LOS |  |  | B |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |


| Intersection |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |



| Intersection |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Int Delay, s/veh | 4.9 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Movement | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | NBL | NBR |
| Lane Configurations | $\mathbf{F}$ |  |  | $\mathbf{A}$ | Yr |  |
| Traffic Vol, veh/h | 8 | 0 | 39 | 18 | 0 | 10 |
| Future Vol, veh/h | 8 | 0 | 39 | 18 | 0 | 10 |
| Conflicting Peds, \#/hr | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Sign Control | Free | Free | Free | Free | Stop | Stop |
| RT Channelized | - | None | - | None | - | None |
| Storage Length | - | - | - | - | 0 | - |
| Veh in Median Storage, \# | 0 | - | - | 0 | 0 | - |
| Grade, \% | 0 | - | - | 0 | 0 | - |
| Peak Hour Factor | 92 | 92 | 92 | 92 | 92 | 92 |
| Heavy Vehicles, \% | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 |
| Mvmt Flow | 9 | 0 | 42 | 20 | 0 | 11 |


| Major/Minor M | Major1 |  | Major2 |  | Minor1 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Conflicting Flow All | 0 | 0 | 9 | 0 | 113 | 9 |
| Stage 1 | - | - | - | - | 9 | - |
| Stage 2 | - | - | - | - | 104 | - |
| Critical Hdwy | - | - | 4.12 | - | 6.42 | 6.22 |
| Critical Hdwy Stg 1 | - | - | - | - | 5.42 | - |
| Critical Hdwy Stg 2 | - | - | - | - | 5.42 | - |
| Follow-up Hdwy | - | - | 2.218 | - | 3.518 | 3.318 |
| Pot Cap-1 Maneuver | - | - | 1611 | - | 884 | 1073 |
| Stage 1 | - | - | - | - | 1014 | - |
| Stage 2 | - | - | - | - | 920 | - |
| Platoon blocked, \% | - | - |  | - |  |  |
| Mov Cap-1 Maneuver | - | - | 1611 | - | 861 | 1073 |
| Mov Cap-2 Maneuver | - | - | - | - | 861 | - |
| Stage 1 | - | - | - | - | 1014 | - |
| Stage 2 | - | - | - | - | 896 | - |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Approach | EB |  | WB |  | NB |  |
| HCM Control Delay, s | 0 |  | 5 |  | 8.4 |  |
| HCM LOS |  |  |  |  | A |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Minor Lane/Major Mvmt |  | NBLn1 EBT EBR WBL WBT |  |  |  |  |
| Capacity (veh/h) |  | 1073 | - | - | 1611 | - |
| HCM Lane V/C Ratio |  | 0.01 | - | - | 0.026 | - |
| HCM Control Delay (s) |  | 8.4 | - | - | 7.3 | 0 |
| HCM Lane LOS |  | A | - | - | A | A |
| HCM 95th \%tile Q(veh) |  | 0 | - | - | 0.1 | - |


| Intersection |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Int Delay, s/veh | 5.4 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Movement | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | NBL | NBR |
| Lane Configurations | F |  |  | $\mathbf{4}$ | Yr |  |
| Traffic Vol, veh/h | 5 | 0 | 16 | 2 | 0 | 3 |
| Future Vol, veh/h | 5 | 0 | 16 | 2 | 0 | 3 |
| Conflicting Peds, \#/hr | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Sign Control | Free | Free | Free | Free | Stop | Stop |
| RT Channelized | - | None | - | None | - | None |
| Storage Length | - | - | - | - | 0 | - |
| Veh in Median Storage, \# | 0 | - | - | 0 | 0 | - |
| Grade, \% | 0 | - | - | 0 | 0 | - |
| Peak Hour Factor | 92 | 92 | 92 | 92 | 92 | 92 |
| Heavy Vehicles, \% | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 |
| Mvmt Flow | 5 | 0 | 17 | 2 | 0 | 3 |



|  | $\rangle$ | $\rightarrow$ |  | 7 |  | 4 | 4 | $\uparrow$ | $>$ |  | $\downarrow$ | $\downarrow$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Movement | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| Lane Configurations | ${ }^{7}$ | $\hat{\dagger}$ |  | ${ }^{7}$ | $\hat{}$ |  | \% | 中 ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  | ${ }^{7}$ | 性 |  |
| Traffic Volume (veh/h) | 145 | 40 | 78 | 71 | 35 | 25 | 99 | 736 | 116 | 20 | 220 | 30 |
| Future Volume (veh/h) | 145 | 40 | 78 | 71 | 35 | 25 | 99 | 736 | 116 | 20 | 220 | 30 |
| Initial $Q(Q b)$, veh | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Ped-Bike Adj(A_pbT) | 1.00 |  | 1.00 | 1.00 |  | 1.00 | 1.00 |  | 1.00 | 1.00 |  | 1.00 |
| Parking Bus, Adj | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Work Zone On Approach |  | No |  |  | No |  |  | No |  |  | No |  |
| Adj Sat Flow, veh/h/ln | 1870 | 1811 | 1856 | 1856 | 1856 | 1900 | 1841 | 1870 | 1752 | 1900 | 1841 | 1900 |
| Adj Flow Rate, veh/h | 193 | 43 | 85 | 99 | 60 | 52 | 125 | 809 | 136 | 22 | 289 | 56 |
| Peak Hour Factor | 0.75 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.72 | 0.58 | 0.48 | 0.79 | 0.91 | 0.85 | 0.92 | 0.76 | 0.54 |
| Percent Heavy Veh, \% | 2 | 6 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 0 | 4 | 2 | 10 | 0 | 4 | 0 |
| Cap, veh/h | 619 | 217 | 430 | 596 | 367 | 318 | 500 | 1217 | 205 | 333 | 1172 | 224 |
| Arrive On Green | 0.40 | 0.40 | 0.40 | 0.40 | 0.40 | 0.40 | 0.80 | 0.80 | 0.80 | 0.40 | 0.40 | 0.40 |
| Sat Flow, veh/h | 1281 | 543 | 1074 | 1252 | 917 | 795 | 1019 | 3043 | 512 | 603 | 2929 | 560 |
| Grp Volume(v), veh/h | 193 | 0 | 128 | 99 | 0 | 112 | 125 | 472 | 473 | 22 | 171 | 174 |
| Grp Sat Flow(s),veh/h/ln | 1281 | 0 | 1618 | 1252 | 0 | 1712 | 1019 | 1777 | 1778 | 603 | 1749 | 1740 |
| Q Serve(g_s), s | 5.1 | 0.0 | 2.3 | 2.5 | 0.0 | 1.9 | 2.4 | 5.1 | 5.1 | 1.2 | 2.9 | 3.0 |
| Cycle Q Clear(g_c), s | 7.0 | 0.0 | 2.3 | 4.8 | 0.0 | 1.9 | 5.4 | 5.1 | 5.1 | 6.3 | 2.9 | 3.0 |
| Prop In Lane | 1.00 |  | 0.66 | 1.00 |  | 0.46 | 1.00 |  | 0.29 | 1.00 |  | 0.32 |
| Lane Grp Cap(c), veh/h | 619 | 0 | 647 | 596 | 0 | 685 | 500 | 711 | 711 | 333 | 699 | 696 |
| V/C Ratio(X) | 0.31 | 0.00 | 0.20 | 0.17 | 0.00 | 0.16 | 0.25 | 0.66 | 0.66 | 0.07 | 0.24 | 0.25 |
| Avail Cap(c_a), veh/h | 619 | 0 | 647 | 596 | 0 | 685 | 500 | 711 | 711 | 333 | 699 | 696 |
| HCM Platoon Ratio | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 2.00 | 2.00 | 2.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Upstream Filter(l) | 1.00 | 0.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Uniform Delay (d), s/veh | 10.9 | 0.0 | 8.8 | 10.4 | 0.0 | 8.7 | 3.9 | 3.2 | 3.2 | 11.9 | 9.0 | 9.0 |
| Incr Delay (d2), s/veh | 1.3 | 0.0 | 0.7 | 0.6 | 0.0 | 0.5 | 1.2 | 4.9 | 4.9 | 0.4 | 0.8 | 0.9 |
| Initial Q Delay(d3),s/veh | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| \%ile BackOfQ(50\%),veh/ln | 1.3 | 0.0 | 0.7 | 0.6 | 0.0 | 0.6 | 0.4 | 1.7 | 1.7 | 0.2 | 1.0 | 1.0 |
| Unsig. Movement Delay, s/veh |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| LnGrp Delay(d),s/veh | 12.2 | 0.0 | 9.5 | 11.0 | 0.0 | 9.2 | 5.1 | 8.1 | 8.1 | 12.3 | 9.8 | 9.9 |
| LnGrp LOS | B | A | A | B | A | A | A | A | A | B | A | A |
| Approach Vol, veh/h |  | 321 |  |  | 211 |  |  | 1070 |  |  | 367 |  |
| Approach Delay, s/veh |  | 11.1 |  |  | 10.0 |  |  | 7.7 |  |  | 10.0 |  |
| Approach LOS |  | B |  |  | B |  |  | A |  |  | A |  |
| Timer - Assigned Phs |  | 2 |  | 4 |  | 6 |  | 8 |  |  |  |  |
| Phs Duration ( $\mathrm{G}+\mathrm{Y}+\mathrm{Rc}$ ), s |  | 22.5 |  | 22.5 |  | 22.5 |  | 22.5 |  |  |  |  |
| Change Period ( $Y+R \mathrm{Rc}$ ), s |  | 4.5 |  | 4.5 |  | 4.5 |  | 4.5 |  |  |  |  |
| Max Green Setting (Gmax), s |  | 18.0 |  | 18.0 |  | 18.0 |  | 18.0 |  |  |  |  |
| Max Q Clear Time (g_c+1), s |  | 7.4 |  | 9.0 |  | 8.3 |  | 6.8 |  |  |  |  |
| Green Ext Time (p_c), s |  | 4.8 |  | 0.9 |  | 1.5 |  | 0.6 |  |  |  |  |
| Intersection Summary |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| HCM 6th Ctrl Delay |  |  | 8.9 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| HCM 6th LOS |  |  | A |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |


| Intersection |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | :---: | :---: |
| Int Delay, s/veh | 1.6 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |



| Intersection |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |



| Intersection |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Int Delay, s/veh | 5.6 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Movement | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | NBL | NBR |
| Lane Configurations | F |  |  | $\mathbf{4}$ | Yr |  |
| Traffic Vol, veh/h | 3 | 0 | 4 | 6 | 0 | 16 |
| Future Vol, veh/h | 3 | 0 | 4 | 6 | 0 | 16 |
| Conflicting Peds, \#/hr | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Sign Control | Free | Free | Free | Free | Stop | Stop |
| RT Channelized | - | None | - | None | - | None |
| Storage Length | - | - | - | - | 0 | - |
| Veh in Median Storage, \# | 0 | - | - | 0 | 0 | - |
| Grade, \% | 0 | - | - | 0 | 0 | - |
| Peak Hour Factor | 92 | 92 | 92 | 92 | 92 | 92 |
| Heavy Vehicles, \% | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 |
| Mvmt Flow | 3 | 0 | 4 | 7 | 0 | 17 |


| Major/Minor | Major1 | Major2 |  |  | Minor1 |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Conflicting Flow All | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 18 | 3 |
| Stage 1 | - | - | - | - | 3 | - |
| Stage 2 | - | - | - | - | 15 | - |
| Critical Hdwy | - | - | 4.12 | - | 6.42 | 6.22 |
| Critical Hdwy Stg 1 | - | - | - | - | 5.42 | - |
| Critical Hdwy Stg 2 | - | - | - | - | 5.42 | - |
| Follow-up Hdwy | - | - | 2.218 | -3.518 | 3.318 |  |
| Pot Cap-1 Maneuver | - | - | 1619 | - | 1000 | 1081 |
| $\quad$ Stage 1 | - | - | - | - | 1020 | - |
| Stage 2 | - | - | - | - | 1008 | - |
| Platoon blocked, \% | - | - |  | - |  |  |
| Mov Cap-1 Maneuver | - | - | 1619 | - | 998 | 1081 |
| Mov Cap-2 Maneuver | - | - | - | - | 998 | - |
| Stage 1 | - | - | - | -1020 | - |  |
| Stage 2 | - | - | - | - | 1006 | - |


| Approach | EB | WB | NB |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | :---: |
| HCM Control Delay, s | 0 | 2.9 | 8.4 |  |  |
| HCM LOS |  | A |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Minor Lane/Major Mvmt | NBLn1 | EBT | EBR | WBL |  |
| WBT |  |  |  |  |  |
| Capacity (veh/h) | 1081 | - | -1619 | - |  |
| HCM Lane V/C Ratio | 0.016 | - | -0.003 | - |  |
| HCM Control Delay (s) | 8.4 | - | - | 7.2 |  |
| HCM Lane LOS | A | - | - | A |  |
| HCM 95th \%tile Q(veh) | 0 | - | - | 0 |  |

# Intersection Capacity Worksheets: 2050 Background + Project 

|  | 4 |  |  | 7 |  |  | 4 | $\dagger$ | $p$ | $\checkmark$ | $\downarrow$ | $\downarrow$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Movement | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| Lane Configurations | \% | $\hat{1}$ |  | \% | $\uparrow$ |  | \% | $\uparrow{ }^{\text {个 }}$ |  | ${ }^{7}$ | 个 ${ }_{\text {d }}$ |  |
| Traffic Volume (veh/h) | 25 | 70 | 143 | 111 | 85 | 45 | 46 | 205 | 135 | 50 | 1136 | 105 |
| Future Volume (veh/h) | 25 | 70 | 143 | 111 | 85 | 45 | 46 | 205 | 135 | 50 | 1136 | 105 |
| Initial $\mathrm{Q}(\mathrm{Qb})$, veh | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Ped-Bike Adj(A_pbT) | 1.00 |  | 1.00 | 1.00 |  | 1.00 | 1.00 |  | 1.00 | 1.00 |  | 1.00 |
| Parking Bus, Adj | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Work Zone On Approach |  | No |  |  | No |  |  | No |  |  | No |  |
| Adj Sat Flow, veh/h/ln | 1900 | 1796 | 1841 | 1767 | 1856 | 1841 | 1885 | 1722 | 1870 | 1900 | 1678 | 1900 |
| Adj Flow Rate, veh/h | 27 | 76 | 177 | 166 | 139 | 110 | 75 | 241 | 229 | 125 | 1248 | 144 |
| Peak Hour Factor | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.81 | 0.67 | 0.61 | 0.41 | 0.61 | 0.85 | 0.59 | 0.40 | 0.91 | 0.73 |
| Percent Heavy Veh, \% | 0 | 7 |  | 9 | 3 | 4 | 1 | 12 | 2 | 0 | 15 | 0 |
| Cap, veh/h | 328 | 144 | 335 | 299 | 288 | 228 | 200 | 900 | 803 | 557 | 1584 | 182 |
| Arrive On Green | 0.30 | 0.30 | 0.30 | 0.30 | 0.30 | 0.30 | 0.55 | 0.55 | 0.55 | 0.55 | 0.55 | 0.55 |
| Sat Flow, veh/h | 1149 | 479 | 1116 | 1064 | 960 | 759 | 391 | 1636 | 1459 | 938 | 2881 | 331 |
| Grp Volume(v), veh/h | 27 | 0 | 253 | 166 | 0 | 249 | 75 | 241 | 229 | 125 | 688 | 704 |
| Grp Sat Flow(s),veh/h/ln | 1149 | 0 | 1595 | 1064 | 0 | 1719 | 391 | 1636 | 1459 | 938 | 1594 | 1618 |
| Q Serve(g_s), s | 1.2 | 0.0 | 7.9 | 9.2 | 0.0 | 7.1 | 11.3 | 4.7 | 5.0 | 4.9 | 20.5 | 20.8 |
| Cycle Q Clear (g_c), s | 8.3 | 0.0 | 7.9 | 17.1 | 0.0 | 7.1 | 32.1 | 4.7 | 5.0 | 9.9 | 20.5 | 20.8 |
| Prop In Lane | 1.00 |  | 0.70 | 1.00 |  | 0.44 | 1.00 |  | 1.00 | 1.00 |  | 0.20 |
| Lane Grp Cap (c), veh/h | 328 | 0 | 479 | 299 | 0 | 516 | 200 | 900 | 803 | 557 | 877 | 890 |
| V/C Ratio(X) | 0.08 | 0.00 | 0.53 | 0.56 | 0.00 | 0.48 | 0.38 | 0.27 | 0.29 | 0.22 | 0.79 | 0.79 |
| Avail Cap(c_a), veh/h | 328 | 0 | 479 | 299 | 0 | 516 | 200 | 900 | 803 | 557 | 877 | 890 |
| HCM Platoon Ratio | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Upstream Filter(l) | 1.00 | 0.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Uniform Delay (d), s/veh | 20.6 | 0.0 | 17.5 | 24.6 | 0.0 | 17.2 | 23.5 | 7.1 | 7.2 | 9.9 | 10.7 | 10.7 |
| Incr Delay (d2), s/veh | 0.5 | 0.0 | 4.1 | 7.3 | 0.0 | 3.2 | 5.3 | 0.7 | 0.9 | 0.9 | 7.0 | 7.1 |
| Initial Q Delay(d3),s/veh | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| \%ile BackOfQ(50\%),veh/In | 0.3 | 0.0 | 3.1 | 2.7 | 0.0 | 3.0 | 1.2 | 1.4 | 1.4 | 1.0 | 7.0 | 7.2 |
| Unsig. Movement Delay, s/veh |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| LnGrp Delay (d),s/veh | 21.1 | 0.0 | 21.6 | 31.9 | 0.0 | 20.4 | 28.8 | 7.9 | 8.1 | 10.8 | 17.7 | 17.8 |
| LnGrp LOS | C | A | C | C | A | C | C | A | A | B | B | B |
| Approach Vol, veh/h |  | 280 |  |  | 415 |  |  | 545 |  |  | 1517 |  |
| Approach Delay, s/veh |  | 21.6 |  |  | 25.0 |  |  | 10.8 |  |  | 17.2 |  |
| Approach LOS |  | C |  |  | C |  |  | B |  |  | B |  |
| Timer - Assigned Phs |  | 2 |  | 4 |  | 6 |  | 8 |  |  |  |  |
| Phs Duration ( $\mathrm{G}+\mathrm{Y}+\mathrm{Rc}$ ), $s$ |  | 37.5 |  | 22.5 |  | 37.5 |  | 22.5 |  |  |  |  |
| Change Period ( $\mathrm{Y}+\mathrm{Rc}$ ), s |  | 4.5 |  | 4.5 |  | 4.5 |  | 4.5 |  |  |  |  |
| Max Green Setting (Gmax), s |  | 33.0 |  | 18.0 |  | 33.0 |  | 18.0 |  |  |  |  |
| Max Q Clear Time (g_c+11), s |  | 34.1 |  | 10.3 |  | 22.8 |  | 19.1 |  |  |  |  |
| Green Ext Time (p_c), s |  | 0.0 |  | 0.9 |  | 6.7 |  | 0.0 |  |  |  |  |
| Intersection Summary |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| HCM 6th Ctrl Delay |  |  | 17.6 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| HCM 6th LOS |  |  | B |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |


| Intersection |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |



| Intersection |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Int Delay, s/veh | 4.9 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Movement | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | NBL | NBR |
| Lane Configurations | $\mathbf{F}$ |  |  | $\mathbf{A}$ | Yr |  |
| Traffic Vol, veh/h | 9 | 0 | 39 | 18 | 0 | 10 |
| Future Vol, veh/h | 9 | 0 | 39 | 18 | 0 | 10 |
| Conflicting Peds, \#/hr | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Sign Control | Free | Free | Free | Free | Stop | Stop |
| RT Channelized | - | None | - | None | - | None |
| Storage Length | - | - | - | - | 0 | - |
| Veh in Median Storage, \# | 0 | - | - | 0 | 0 | - |
| Grade, \% | 0 | - | - | 0 | 0 | - |
| Peak Hour Factor | 92 | 92 | 92 | 92 | 92 | 92 |
| Heavy Vehicles, \% | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 |
| Mvmt Flow | 10 | 0 | 42 | 20 | 0 | 11 |


| Major/Minor M | Major1 |  | Major2 |  | Minor1 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Conflicting Flow All | 0 | 0 | 10 | 0 | 114 | 10 |
| Stage 1 | - | - | - | - | 10 | - |
| Stage 2 | - | - | - | - | 104 | - |
| Critical Hdwy | - | - | 4.12 | - | 6.42 | 6.22 |
| Critical Hdwy Stg 1 | - | - | - | - | 5.42 | - |
| Critical Hdwy Stg 2 | - | - | - | - | 5.42 | - |
| Follow-up Hdwy | - | - | 2.218 | - | 3.518 | 3.318 |
| Pot Cap-1 Maneuver | - | - | 1610 | - | 882 | 1071 |
| Stage 1 | - | - | - | - | 1013 | - |
| Stage 2 | - | - | - | - | 920 | - |
| Platoon blocked, \% | - | - |  | - |  |  |
| Mov Cap-1 Maneuver | - | - | 1610 | - | 859 | 1071 |
| Mov Cap-2 Maneuver | - | - | - | - | 859 | - |
| Stage 1 | - | - | - | - | 1013 | - |
| Stage 2 | - | - | - | - | 896 | - |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Approach | EB |  | WB |  | NB |  |
| HCM Control Delay, s | 0 |  | 5 |  | 8.4 |  |
| HCM LOS |  |  |  |  | A |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Minor Lane/Major Mvmt |  | NBLn1 EBT EBR WBL WBT |  |  |  |  |
| Capacity (veh/h) |  | 1071 | - | - | 1610 | - |
| HCM Lane V/C Ratio |  | 0.01 | - | - | 0.026 | - |
| HCM Control Delay (s) |  | 8.4 | - | - | 7.3 | 0 |
| HCM Lane LOS |  | A | - | - | A | A |
| HCM 95th \%tile Q(veh) |  | 0 | - | - | 0.1 | - |


| Intersection |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Int Delay, s/veh | 5.5 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Movement | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | NBL | NBR |
| Lane Configurations | 6 |  |  | $\mathbf{4}$ | Yr |  |
| Traffic Vol, veh/h | 5 | 0 | 16 | 2 | 0 | 4 |
| Future Vol, veh/h | 5 | 0 | 16 | 2 | 0 | 4 |
| Conflicting Peds, \#/hr | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Sign Control | Free | Free | Free | Free | Stop | Stop |
| RT Channelized | - | None | - | None | - | None |
| Storage Length | - | - | - | - | 0 | - |
| Veh in Median Storage, \# | 0 | - | - | 0 | 0 | - |
| Grade, \% | 0 | - | - | 0 | 0 | - |
| Peak Hour Factor | 92 | 92 | 92 | 92 | 92 | 92 |
| Heavy Vehicles, \% | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 |
| Mvmt Flow | 5 | 0 | 17 | 2 | 0 | 4 |


| Major/Minor | Major1 |  | Major2 |  | Minor1 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Conflicting Flow All | 0 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 41 | 5 |  |
| Stage 1 | - | - | - | - | 5 | - |  |
| Stage 2 | - | - | - | - | 36 | - |  |
| Critical Hdwy | - | - | 4.12 | - | 6.42 | 6.22 |  |
| Critical Hdwy Stg 1 | - | - | - | - | 5.42 | - |  |
| Critical Hdwy Stg 2 | - | - | - | - | 5.42 | - |  |
| Follow-up Hdwy | - | - | 2.218 | - | 3.518 | 3.318 |  |
| Pot Cap-1 Maneuver | - | , | 1616 | - | 970 | 1078 |  |
| Stage 1 | - | - | - | - | 1018 | - |  |
| Stage 2 | - | - | - | - | 986 | - |  |
| Platoon blocked, \% | - | - |  | - |  |  |  |
| Mov Cap-1 Maneuver | - | - | 1616 | - | 959 | 1078 |  |
| Mov Cap-2 Maneuver | - | - | - | - | 959 | - |  |
| Stage 1 | - | - | - | - | 1018 | - |  |
| Stage 2 | - | - | - | - | 975 | - |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Approach | EB |  | WB |  | NB |  |  |
| HCM Control Delay, s | 0 |  | 6.4 |  | 8.4 |  |  |
| HCM LOS |  |  |  |  | A |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Minor Lane/Major Mvmt NBLn1 EBT EBR WBL WBT |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Capacity (veh/h) |  | 1078 | - | - | 1616 | - |  |
| HCM Lane V/C Ratio |  | 0.004 | - | - | 0.011 | - |  |
| HCM Control Delay (s) |  | 8.4 | - | - | 7.3 | 0 |  |
| HCM Lane LOS |  | A | - | - | A | A |  |
| HCM 95th \%tile Q(veh) |  | 0 | - | - | 0 | - |  |


|  | 4 |  |  | 7 | $\checkmark$ |  | 4 | 4 | $p$ |  | $\downarrow$ | $\downarrow$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Movement | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| Lane Configurations | ${ }^{*}$ | $\hat{\dagger}$ |  | \% | $\uparrow$ |  | ${ }_{4}$ | 个t |  | ${ }^{*}$ | 性 |  |
| Traffic Volume (veh/h) | 210 | 55 | 113 | 106 | 50 | 40 | 144 | 1081 | 171 | 30 | 321 | 45 |
| Future Volume (veh/h) | 210 | 55 | 113 | 106 | 50 | 40 | 144 | 1081 | 171 | 30 | 321 | 45 |
| Initial $\mathrm{Q}(\mathrm{Qb})$, veh | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Ped-Bike Adj(A_pbT) | 1.00 |  | 1.00 | 1.00 |  | 1.00 | 1.00 |  | 1.00 | 1.00 |  | 1.00 |
| Parking Bus, Adj | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Work Zone On Approach |  | No |  |  | No |  |  | No |  |  | No |  |
| Adj Sat Flow, veh/h/ln | 1870 | 1811 | 1856 | 1856 | 1856 | 1900 | 1841 | 1870 | 1752 | 1900 | 1841 | 1900 |
| Adj Flow Rate, veh/h | 280 | 60 | 123 | 147 | 86 | 83 | 182 | 1188 | 201 | 33 | 422 | 83 |
| Peak Hour Factor | 0.75 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.72 | 0.58 | 0.48 | 0.79 | 0.91 | 0.85 | 0.92 | 0.76 | 0.54 |
| Percent Heavy Veh, \% | 2 | 6 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 0 | 4 | 2 | 10 | 0 | 4 | 0 |
| Cap, veh/h | 451 | 178 | 365 | 431 | 292 | 282 | 496 | 1521 | 256 | 201 | 1459 | 285 |
| Arrive On Green | 0.34 | 0.34 | 0.34 | 0.34 | 0.34 | 0.34 | 0.50 | 0.50 | 0.50 | 0.50 | 0.50 | 0.50 |
| Sat Flow, veh/h | 1216 | 530 | 1086 | 1191 | 868 | 837 | 880 | 3043 | 512 | 395 | 2918 | 569 |
| Grp Volume(v), veh/h | 280 | 0 | 183 | 147 | 0 | 169 | 182 | 691 | 698 | 33 | 252 | 253 |
| Grp Sat Flow(s),veh/h/ln | 1216 | 0 | 1616 | 1191 | 0 | 1705 | 880 | 1777 | 1778 | 395 | 1749 | 1738 |
| Q Serve(g_s), s | 12.1 | 0.0 | 4.7 | 5.8 | 0.0 | 4.0 | 8.4 | 17.5 | 17.8 | 4.1 | 4.6 | 4.7 |
| Cycle Q Clear (g_c), s | 16.1 | 0.0 | 4.7 | 10.5 | 0.0 | 4.0 | 13.1 | 17.5 | 17.8 | 21.9 | 4.6 | 4.7 |
| Prop In Lane | 1.00 |  | 0.67 | 1.00 |  | 0.49 | 1.00 |  | 0.29 | 1.00 |  | 0.33 |
| Lane Grp Cap (c), veh/h | 451 | 0 | 543 | 431 | 0 | 573 | 496 | 888 | 889 | 201 | 874 | 869 |
| V/C Ratio(X) | 0.62 | 0.00 | 0.34 | 0.34 | 0.00 | 0.29 | 0.37 | 0.78 | 0.78 | 0.16 | 0.29 | 0.29 |
| Avail Cap(c_a), veh/h | 451 | 0 | 543 | 431 | 0 | 573 | 496 | 888 | 889 | 201 | 874 | 869 |
| HCM Platoon Ratio | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Upstream Filter(l) | 1.00 | 0.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Uniform Delay (d), s/veh | 19.4 | 0.0 | 13.7 | 17.6 | 0.0 | 13.4 | 11.9 | 11.3 | 11.3 | 20.3 | 8.0 | 8.0 |
| Incr Delay (d2), s/veh | 6.3 | 0.0 | 1.7 | 2.2 | 0.0 | 1.3 | 2.1 | 6.7 | 6.9 | 1.8 | 0.8 | 0.8 |
| Initial Q Delay(d3),s/veh | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| \%ile BackOfQ(50\%),veh/In | 3.8 | 0.0 | 1.7 | 1.7 | 0.0 | 1.5 | 1.6 | 6.7 | 6.9 | 0.4 | 1.5 | 1.5 |
| Unsig. Movement Delay, s/veh |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| LnGrp Delay(d),s/veh | 25.7 | 0.0 | 15.3 | 19.7 | 0.0 | 14.8 | 14.0 | 17.9 | 18.2 | 22.1 | 8.9 | 8.9 |
| LnGrp LOS | C | A | B | B | A | B | B | B | B | C | A | A |
| Approach Vol, veh/h |  | 463 |  |  | 316 |  |  | 1571 |  |  | 538 |  |
| Approach Delay, s/veh |  | 21.6 |  |  | 17.1 |  |  | 17.6 |  |  | 9.7 |  |
| Approach LOS |  | C |  |  | B |  |  | B |  |  | A |  |
| Timer - Assigned Phs |  | 2 |  | 4 |  | 6 |  | 8 |  |  |  |  |
| Phs Duration ( $\mathrm{G}+\mathrm{Y}+\mathrm{Rc}$ ), $s$ |  | 32.0 |  | 23.0 |  | 32.0 |  | 23.0 |  |  |  |  |
| Change Period ( $\mathrm{Y}+\mathrm{Rc}$ ), s |  | 4.5 |  | 4.5 |  | 4.5 |  | 4.5 |  |  |  |  |
| Max Green Setting (Gmax), s |  | 27.5 |  | 18.5 |  | 27.5 |  | 18.5 |  |  |  |  |
| Max Q Clear Time (g_c+1), s |  | 19.8 |  | 18.1 |  | 23.9 |  | 12.5 |  |  |  |  |
| Green Ext Time (p_c), s |  | 5.5 |  | 0.1 |  | 1.2 |  | 0.7 |  |  |  |  |
| Intersection Summary |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| HCM 6th Ctrl DelayHCM 6th LOS |  |  | 16.7 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | B |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |


| Intersection |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |



| Intersection |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |



| Intersection |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Int Delay, s/veh | 5.6 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Movement | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | NBL | NBR |
| Lane Configurations | F |  |  | $\mathbf{4}$ | Yr |  |
| Traffic Vol, veh/h | 3 | 0 | 4 | 6 | 0 | 16 |
| Future Vol, veh/h | 3 | 0 | 4 | 6 | 0 | 16 |
| Conflicting Peds, \#/hr | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Sign Control | Free | Free | Free | Free | Stop | Stop |
| RT Channelized | - | None | - | None | - | None |
| Storage Length | - | - | - | - | 0 | - |
| Veh in Median Storage, \# | 0 | - | - | 0 | 0 | - |
| Grade, \% | 0 | - | - | 0 | 0 | - |
| Peak Hour Factor | 92 | 92 | 92 | 92 | 92 | 92 |
| Heavy Vehicles, \% | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 |
| Mvmt Flow | 3 | 0 | 4 | 7 | 0 | 17 |


| Major/Minor | Major1 | Major2 |  |  | Minor1 |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Conflicting Flow All | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 18 | 3 |
| Stage 1 | - | - | - | - | 3 | - |
| Stage 2 | - | - | - | - | 15 | - |
| Critical Hdwy | - | - | 4.12 | - | 6.42 | 6.22 |
| Critical Hdwy Stg 1 | - | - | - | - | 5.42 | - |
| Critical Hdwy Stg 2 | - | - | - | - | 5.42 | - |
| Follow-up Hdwy | - | - | 2.218 | -3.518 | 3.318 |  |
| Pot Cap-1 Maneuver | - | - | 1619 | - | 1000 | 1081 |
| $\quad$ Stage 1 | - | - | - | - | 1020 | - |
| Stage 2 | - | - | - | - | 1008 | - |
| Platoon blocked, \% | - | - |  | - |  |  |
| Mov Cap-1 Maneuver | - | - | 1619 | - | 998 | 1081 |
| Mov Cap-2 Maneuver | - | - | - | - | 998 | - |
| Stage 1 | - | - | - | -1020 | - |  |
| Stage 2 | - | - | - | - | 1006 | - |


| Approach | EB | WB | NB |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | :---: |
| HCM Control Delay, s | 0 | 2.9 | 8.4 |  |  |
| HCM LOS |  | A |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Minor Lane/Major Mvmt | NBLn1 | EBT | EBR | WBL |  |
| WBT |  |  |  |  |  |
| Capacity (veh/h) | 1081 | - | -1619 | - |  |
| HCM Lane V/C Ratio | 0.016 | - | -0.003 | - |  |
| HCM Control Delay (s) | 8.4 | - | - | 7.2 |  |
| HCM Lane LOS | A | - | - | A |  |
| HCM 95th \%tile Q(veh) | 0 | - | - | 0 |  |

# Intersection Capacity Worksheets: 2050 Background + Project Improved 



| Intersection |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Int Delay, s/veh | 4.1 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Movement | EBL | EBR | NBL | NBT | SBT | SBR |
| Lane Configurations | $\mathbf{1}$ | $\mathbf{7}$ | $\mathbf{1}$ | $\mathbf{4}$ | $\mathbf{4} \mathbf{F}$ |  |
| Traffic Vol, veh/h | 18 | 13 | 42 | 360 | 1315 | 70 |
| Future Vol, veh/h | 18 | 13 | 42 | 360 | 1315 | 70 |
| Conflicting Peds, \#/hr | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Sign Control | Stop | Stop | Free | Free | Free | Free |
| RT Channelized | - | None | - | None | - | None |
| Storage Length | 0 | 125 | 270 | - | - | - |
| Veh in Median Storage, \# | 0 | - | - | 0 | 0 | - |
| Grade, \% | 0 | - | - | 0 | 0 | - |
| Peak Hour Factor | 50 | 45 | 47 | 83 | 91 | 73 |
| Heavy Vehicles, \% | 0 | 11 | 6 | 7 | 3 | 0 |
| Mvmt Flow | 36 | 29 | 89 | 434 | 1445 | 96 |





```
APPLICANT'S CERTIFICATION CONCERNING QUALIFYING SURFACE DEVELOPMENT, PURSUANT TO C.R.S. §24-65.5-103.3 (1)(b)
I/W, TERRACE ENTERPRISES, LLC , (the "Applicant") by signing below, hereby declare and certify as follows:
```

Concerning the property located at:
Physical Address:
Legal Description:

Parcel \#(s): $工$
With respect to qualifying surface developments, that (PLEASE CHECK ONE):

$\qquad$ The application for development provides:
(i) Access to mineral operations, surface facilities, flowlines, and pipelines in support of such operations existing when the final public hearing on the application for development is held by means of public roads sufficient to withstand trucks and drilling equipment or thirty-foot-wide access easements;
(ii) An oil and gas operations area and existing well site locations in accordance with section 24-65.5-103.5 of the Colorado Revised Statutes; and
(iii) That the deposit for incremental drilling costs described in section 24-65.5-103.7 of the Colorado Revised Statutes has been made.

Date: $\qquad$ Applicant:



The Land referred to herein below is situated in the County of Adams, State of Colorado, and is described as follows:
A tract of land located in the East $1 / 2$ of the Southwest $1 / 4$ Northeast $1 / 4$ of Section 35 , Township 2 South, Range 68
West of the 6th P.M., being more particularly described as follows:
Beginning at a point in the West boundary line of the abandoned Union Pacific Railroad right of way as it was described in
Deed recorded July 16, 1908 in Book 39 at Page 24, whence the East Quarter corner of said Section bears North 89
degrees 43 minutes East, a distance of 914.7 feet;
Thence North 89 degrees 49 minutes West to a point which is 612.3 feet East of the East boundary line of the right of
way for a drainage ditch as conveyed to the County of Adams in Deed recorded October 4, 1938 in Book 250 at Page 11, said distance of 612.30 feet being measured along an extension of the last described line and said point being the True
Point of Beginning;
Thence continuing North 89 degrees 49 minutes West a distance of 612.3 feet to the East boundary line of said ditch
right of way;
Thence North 00 degrees 07 minutes East along the East boundary line of said ditch right of way, a distance of 711.4
feet, more or less to the South boundary line of a 30 foot road;
Thence South 89 degrees 49 minutes East, along the South line of said 30 foot road, a distance of 612.30 feet;
Thence South 00 degrees 10 minutes West, a distance of 711.22 feet, more or less to the True Point of Beginning,
County of Adams,
State of Colorado.
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## ENGINEER’S CERTIFICATION

I hereby certify that this report (plan) for the Preliminary Drainage design of 1661 E 77th Avenue was prepared by me or under my direct supervision in accordance with the provisions of Adams County Storm Drainage Design and Technical Criteria for the owners thereof. I understand that Adams County does not and will not assume liability for drainage facilities designed by others.

# Date <br> Ted Swan, PE No. 43903 <br> Director of Civil Engineering <br> For and on Behalf of Ware Malcomb 

## DEVELOPER'S STATEMENT

Prologis hereby certifies that the drainage facilities for Prologis shall be constructed according to the design presented in this report. I understand that Adams County does not and will not assume liability for the drainage facilities designed and/or certified by my engineer. I understand that Adams County reviews drainage plans pursuant to Colorado Revised Statues Title 30, Article 28; but cannot, on behalf of Prologis, guarantee that final drainage design review will absolve Prologis and/or their successors and/or assigns the future liability for improper design. I further understand that approval of the Final Plat and/or Final Development Plan does not imply approval of my engineer's drainage design.

## Date

Name of Developer (print)

Authorized Signature

### 1.0 GENERAL LOCATION AND DESCRIPTION

A. LOCATION

This project consists of $10.05+/-$ acres located at 1661 E $77^{\text {th }}$ Ave, Denver, CO 80229. The site is bounded to the north by E $77^{\text {th }}$ Ave and to the west, east, and south by existing private property. The site is located in a portion of the Northeast Quarter of Section 35, Township 2 South, Range 68 West of the Sixth Principal Meridian, Adams County, State of Colorado. See Vicinity Map below.


## B. PROJECT DESCRIPTION

The proposed development consists of the construction of two light industrial buildings with an area of 194,650 square-feet. The remainder of the site is anticipated to be truck courts, driveways, parking, underground stormwater detention and water quality treatment, and landscaped areas. Access to the site is anticipated to be solely from 77th Ave with internal site circulation.

### 2.0 PRE-DEVELOPMENT SITE CONDITIONS

A. EXISTING CONDITIONS

The 10.05-acre site has multiple existing structures with the land use of greenhouse. The site's slopes are variable with a subtle slope from northeast to southwest.

Existing soil conditions encountered on the property, according to the United States Department of Agriculture Natural Resource Conservation Service (NRCS) Web Soil Survey, are classified as Hydrologic Soil Group C. Group C soils are known to have a slow infiltration rate when thoroughly wet. These consist chiefly of soils having a layer that impedes the downward movement of water or soils of moderately fine texture or fine texture. These soils have a slow rate of water transmission. Please see Appendix A for the NRCS Soil Report.
B. OVERALL BASIN DESCRIPTION

There is an existing drainage ditch located on the western edge of the site that runs from north to south. The existing ditch flows south, conveying drainage to nearby ponds eventually overflowing to the South Platte River.

According to the National Flood Hazard Layer FIRMette provided by FEMA, the site is located in an area of minimal flood hazard (Zone X) shown in FIRM panel number 08001C0604H effective March 5, 2007. Please see Appendix A for the FEMA Flood map.

There are no existing master drainage reports for this project site.

### 3.0 DESCRIPTION OF PROPOSED DEVELOPMENT

## GENERAL CONCEPT

The proposed development consists of the construction of two light industrial buildings with a footprint area of 194,650 square-feet. Basins have been divided based on the storm lines, which will flow to the proposed underground stormwater detention system. The majority of the site will flow to the underground detention system. Less than $20 \%$ of the site, 1.39 acres, will flow offsite. Most offsite flows will be directed south, west, or east. The total proposed imperviousness of the site is $78 \%$.

### 4.0 STORMWATER IMPROVEMENTS, CONVEYANCE AND DRAINAGE FACILITIES

A. STORMWATER IMPROVEMENTS

Stormwater will be directed via sheet flow to curb and gutter or concrete pan, captured by inlets, and conveyed via storm pipes to outfall to the proposed underground detention system. Runoff collected on roof areas will be conveyed to sump inlets. The proposed stormwater system will majorly improve existing stormwater conveyance as there is no existing system.
B. DRAINAGE FACILTIES

Stormwater in Major Basin A will flow to inlets and roof drains that will ultimately outfall into the underground detention system along the western edge and middle of the project site. The underground detention system will release flows into the existing drainage ditch along the western edge of the site by utilizing a pump. The elevation of the existing ditch is above the proposed bottom elevation of the underground detention system, so a pump is proposed to facilitate flow into the existing drainage ditch. If the pump stops operating correctly, the underground detention system will continue to detain water. In the case of a large storm event where the underground detention system fills completely and the pump was not operating correctly, the stormwater will outlet from the two sump inlets, pond, and overflow west into the existing ditch which will then follow existing flow patterns.
C. MAJOR BASINS

Major Basin A is split up into ten sub-basins. Basin A includes 8.67 acres, with a proposed imperviousness of $90 \%$. This basin covers the parking lot, landscaped areas, sidewalks, and roofs. All basins ultimately outfall to the underground stormwater detention and water quality treatment pipes.

Four basins flow offsite: OS-1, OS-2, OS-3, and OS-4. All offsite basins include landscaped area and have a proposed imperviousness of $2 \%$. OS-1 includes 0.09 acres on the western edge of the site. OS-2 is located on the northern edge of the site and is 0.49 acres. OS-3 includes 0.24 acres on the eastern edge of the site and OS-4 is 0.57 acres located on the southern edge of the site. Please see Runoff Summary below and Appendix C for the Drainage Map.

| RUNOFF SUMMARY |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| BASIN LABEL | DESIGN <br> POINT | AREA | LOCAL (CFS) |  | ACCUMULATIVE <br> (CFS) |  |  |
|  |  |  | Q100 | Q5 | Q100 |  |  |
| A1 |  | 0.9 | 3.1 | 6.7 |  |  |  |
| A2 |  | 1.2 | 3.8 | 8.1 |  |  |  |
| A3 |  | 0.8 | 3.1 | 6.4 |  |  |  |
|  | 1 |  |  |  | 6.6 | 13.8 |  |
| A4 |  | 1.1 | 3.7 | 7.8 |  |  |  |
| A5 |  | 1.2 | 3.9 | 8.2 |  |  |  |
| A6 |  | 0.9 | 3.3 | 6.8 |  |  |  |
| A7 | 2 |  |  |  | 6.9 | 14.5 |  |


| A8 |  | 0.3 | 1.2 | 2.6 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| A9 |  | 0.3 | 1.2 | 2.4 |  |  |
|  | 3 |  |  |  | 2.3 | 4.9 |
| A10 |  | 0.8 | 2.9 | 6.2 |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| OS1 |  | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.4 |  |  |
| OS2 |  | 0.5 | 0.1 | 1.9 |  |  |
| OS3 |  | 0.2 | 0.0 | 0.8 |  |  |
| OS4 |  | 0.6 | 0.1 | 2.1 |  |  |

### 5.0 DRAINAGE CRITERIA

A. HYDROLOGIC CRITERIA

In accordance with Adams County Storm Drainage Design and Stormwater Quality Regulations, the minor storm for the proposed development type was evaluated as the 5 -year storm, and the major storm was evaluated as the 100-year storm. The design storms were found using Adams County Storm Drainage Design and Stormwater Quality Regulations chapter (Chapter 9), Table 9.3 - One-Hour Point Rainfall (inches) as 1.42 inches for the 5 -year storm and 2.71 inches for the 100-year storm (see Appendix A).

The peak discharge for the storm sewer analysis was calculated using the following Rational Method formula:
$Q=C i A$
Where:
Q = peak discharge (cfs)
C = runoff coefficient from USDCM Volume 1 Table 6-4
i = rainfall intensity (inches/hour) from NOAA Precipitation Frequency Data Server
A = drainage area (acres)

Runoff coefficients, or "C" values, have been calculated for the site in accordance with USDCM Vol. 1, Ch. 6 criteria. Refer to Appendix B for the weighted " $C$ " values used in the included calculations.
B. HYDRAULIC CRITERIA

Hydraulic calculations for the anticipated on-site drainage have been performed in accordance with Adams County Regulations and MHFD Criteria. On-site storm conveyance infrastructure has been designed to convey runoff for the 5-year and the 100year storm events.

There are no major drainage ways on-site.

### 6.0 EROSION AND SEDIMENT CONTROL

A. EROSION CONTROL OBJECTIVES AND STRATEGIES

Erosion and sediment control will be provided during construction of the development as quality measures to protect the natural features and soils.
B. DESCRIPTION OF TEMPORARY STORMWATER CONVEYANCE AND QUALITY CONTROL FACILITIES
Temporary stormwater conveyance and quality control facilities include:

1. To minimize disturbed area and protect natural features and soil: limits of construction, construction phasing
2. Soil stabilization and slope protection: surface roughening, temporary and permanent seeding
3. Storm drain inlet and outlet protection: inlet protection and outlet protection
4. Retention of sediment on-site: erosion ditch and sediment basin
5. Construction entrance/exit stabilization: vehicle tracking control and stabilized staging area
6. Additional CMs: concrete washout area

### 7.0 SUMMARY

C. COMPLIANCE WITH STANDARDS

This report has been prepared in accordance with Adams County Stormwater Drainage Design and Stormwater Quality Control Regulations and Mile High Flood District Criteria. The proposed drainage facilities shall safely and effectively convey significant storm events to an adequate outfall.

Less than $20 \%$ of the site, 1.39 acres, will flow offsite. These flows are not captured onsite due to being impractical to route flows to the underground detention storage facility. The total proposed imperviousness of the site is $78 \%$.
D. SUMMARY OF CONCEPT

The site is designed so that the industrial development has an effective stormwater system that conveys flows into the proposed underground detention storage facility, which has been designed to withstand the 5-year and 100-year storm event. Adjacent and surrounding developments will not be negatively impacted by the design as outlined within this report.

### 8.0 REFERENCES

1. Adams County Stormwater Drainage Design and Stormwater Quality Control Regulations, Adams County, CO, December 8, 2020.
2. Mile High Flood District (MHFD) Drainage Criteria Manual, Volumes 1, 2, and 3, Latest revisions.
3. Natural Resources Conservation Service, Web Soil Survey, accessed online in March 2023.
4. Federal Emergency Management Agency, National Flood Hazard Layer FIRMette, accessed online in March 2023.
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## Preface

Soil surveys contain information that affects land use planning in survey areas. They highlight soil limitations that affect various land uses and provide information about the properties of the soils in the survey areas. Soil surveys are designed for many different users, including farmers, ranchers, foresters, agronomists, urban planners, community officials, engineers, developers, builders, and home buyers. Also, conservationists, teachers, students, and specialists in recreation, waste disposal, and pollution control can use the surveys to help them understand, protect, or enhance the environment.
Various land use regulations of Federal, State, and local governments may impose special restrictions on land use or land treatment. Soil surveys identify soil properties that are used in making various land use or land treatment decisions. The information is intended to help the land users identify and reduce the effects of soil limitations on various land uses. The landowner or user is responsible for identifying and complying with existing laws and regulations.

Although soil survey information can be used for general farm, local, and wider area planning, onsite investigation is needed to supplement this information in some cases. Examples include soil quality assessments (http://www.nrcs.usda.gov/wps/ portal/nrcs/main/soils/health/) and certain conservation and engineering applications. For more detailed information, contact your local USDA Service Center (https://offices.sc.egov.usda.gov/locator/app?agency=nrcs) or your NRCS State Soil Scientist (http://www.nrcs.usda.gov/wps/portal/nrcs/detail/soils/contactus/? cid=nrcs142p2_053951).
Great differences in soil properties can occur within short distances. Some soils are seasonally wet or subject to flooding. Some are too unstable to be used as a foundation for buildings or roads. Clayey or wet soils are poorly suited to use as septic tank absorption fields. A high water table makes a soil poorly suited to basements or underground installations.

The National Cooperative Soil Survey is a joint effort of the United States Department of Agriculture and other Federal agencies, State agencies including the Agricultural Experiment Stations, and local agencies. The Natural Resources Conservation Service (NRCS) has leadership for the Federal part of the National Cooperative Soil Survey.
Information about soils is updated periodically. Updated information is available through the NRCS Web Soil Survey, the site for official soil survey information.

The U.S. Department of Agriculture (USDA) prohibits discrimination in all its programs and activities on the basis of race, color, national origin, age, disability, and where applicable, sex, marital status, familial status, parental status, religion, sexual orientation, genetic information, political beliefs, reprisal, or because all or a part of an individual's income is derived from any public assistance program. (Not all prohibited bases apply to all programs.) Persons with disabilities who require
alternative means for communication of program information (Braille, large print, audiotape, etc.) should contact USDA's TARGET Center at (202) 720-2600 (voice and TDD). To file a complaint of discrimination, write to USDA, Director, Office of Civil Rights, 1400 Independence Avenue, S.W., Washington, D.C. 20250-9410 or call (800) 795-3272 (voice) or (202) 720-6382 (TDD). USDA is an equal opportunity provider and employer.
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## How Soil Surveys Are Made

Soil surveys are made to provide information about the soils and miscellaneous areas in a specific area. They include a description of the soils and miscellaneous areas and their location on the landscape and tables that show soil properties and limitations affecting various uses. Soil scientists observed the steepness, length, and shape of the slopes; the general pattern of drainage; the kinds of crops and native plants; and the kinds of bedrock. They observed and described many soil profiles. A soil profile is the sequence of natural layers, or horizons, in a soil. The profile extends from the surface down into the unconsolidated material in which the soil formed or from the surface down to bedrock. The unconsolidated material is devoid of roots and other living organisms and has not been changed by other biological activity.

Currently, soils are mapped according to the boundaries of major land resource areas (MLRAs). MLRAs are geographically associated land resource units that share common characteristics related to physiography, geology, climate, water resources, soils, biological resources, and land uses (USDA, 2006). Soil survey areas typically consist of parts of one or more MLRA.

The soils and miscellaneous areas in a survey area occur in an orderly pattern that is related to the geology, landforms, relief, climate, and natural vegetation of the area. Each kind of soil and miscellaneous area is associated with a particular kind of landform or with a segment of the landform. By observing the soils and miscellaneous areas in the survey area and relating their position to specific segments of the landform, a soil scientist develops a concept, or model, of how they were formed. Thus, during mapping, this model enables the soil scientist to predict with a considerable degree of accuracy the kind of soil or miscellaneous area at a specific location on the landscape.

Commonly, individual soils on the landscape merge into one another as their characteristics gradually change. To construct an accurate soil map, however, soil scientists must determine the boundaries between the soils. They can observe only a limited number of soil profiles. Nevertheless, these observations, supplemented by an understanding of the soil-vegetation-landscape relationship, are sufficient to verify predictions of the kinds of soil in an area and to determine the boundaries.

Soil scientists recorded the characteristics of the soil profiles that they studied. They noted soil color, texture, size and shape of soil aggregates, kind and amount of rock fragments, distribution of plant roots, reaction, and other features that enable them to identify soils. After describing the soils in the survey area and determining their properties, the soil scientists assigned the soils to taxonomic classes (units). Taxonomic classes are concepts. Each taxonomic class has a set of soil characteristics with precisely defined limits. The classes are used as a basis for comparison to classify soils systematically. Soil taxonomy, the system of taxonomic classification used in the United States, is based mainly on the kind and character of soil properties and the arrangement of horizons within the profile. After the soil
scientists classified and named the soils in the survey area, they compared the individual soils with similar soils in the same taxonomic class in other areas so that they could confirm data and assemble additional data based on experience and research.

The objective of soil mapping is not to delineate pure map unit components; the objective is to separate the landscape into landforms or landform segments that have similar use and management requirements. Each map unit is defined by a unique combination of soil components and/or miscellaneous areas in predictable proportions. Some components may be highly contrasting to the other components of the map unit. The presence of minor components in a map unit in no way diminishes the usefulness or accuracy of the data. The delineation of such landforms and landform segments on the map provides sufficient information for the development of resource plans. If intensive use of small areas is planned, onsite investigation is needed to define and locate the soils and miscellaneous areas.
Soil scientists make many field observations in the process of producing a soil map. The frequency of observation is dependent upon several factors, including scale of mapping, intensity of mapping, design of map units, complexity of the landscape, and experience of the soil scientist. Observations are made to test and refine the soil-landscape model and predictions and to verify the classification of the soils at specific locations. Once the soil-landscape model is refined, a significantly smaller number of measurements of individual soil properties are made and recorded. These measurements may include field measurements, such as those for color, depth to bedrock, and texture, and laboratory measurements, such as those for content of sand, silt, clay, salt, and other components. Properties of each soil typically vary from one point to another across the landscape.
Observations for map unit components are aggregated to develop ranges of characteristics for the components. The aggregated values are presented. Direct measurements do not exist for every property presented for every map unit component. Values for some properties are estimated from combinations of other properties.

While a soil survey is in progress, samples of some of the soils in the area generally are collected for laboratory analyses and for engineering tests. Soil scientists interpret the data from these analyses and tests as well as the field-observed characteristics and the soil properties to determine the expected behavior of the soils under different uses. Interpretations for all of the soils are field tested through observation of the soils in different uses and under different levels of management. Some interpretations are modified to fit local conditions, and some new interpretations are developed to meet local needs. Data are assembled from other sources, such as research information, production records, and field experience of specialists. For example, data on crop yields under defined levels of management are assembled from farm records and from field or plot experiments on the same kinds of soil.

Predictions about soil behavior are based not only on soil properties but also on such variables as climate and biological activity. Soil conditions are predictable over long periods of time, but they are not predictable from year to year. For example, soil scientists can predict with a fairly high degree of accuracy that a given soil will have a high water table within certain depths in most years, but they cannot predict that a high water table will always be at a specific level in the soil on a specific date.
After soil scientists located and identified the significant natural bodies of soil in the survey area, they drew the boundaries of these bodies on aerial photographs and

## Custom Soil Resource Report

identified each as a specific map unit. Aerial photographs show trees, buildings, fields, roads, and rivers, all of which help in locating boundaries accurately.

## Soil Map

The soil map section includes the soil map for the defined area of interest, a list of soil map units on the map and extent of each map unit, and cartographic symbols displayed on the map. Also presented are various metadata about data used to produce the map, and a description of each soil map unit.


## MAP LEGEND

| Area of Interest (AOI) | Spoil Area |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Soils |  | Sor Interest (AOI) | Sap Unit Polygons |
| Spery Stony Spot |  |  |  |

# Map Unit Legend 

| Map Unit Symbol | Map Unit Name | Acres in AOI | Percent of AOI |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| NuA | Nunn clay loam, 0 to 1 percent slopes | 10.1 | 100.0\% |
| Totals for Area of Interest |  | 10.1 | 100.0\% |

## Map Unit Descriptions

The map units delineated on the detailed soil maps in a soil survey represent the soils or miscellaneous areas in the survey area. The map unit descriptions, along with the maps, can be used to determine the composition and properties of a unit.

A map unit delineation on a soil map represents an area dominated by one or more major kinds of soil or miscellaneous areas. A map unit is identified and named according to the taxonomic classification of the dominant soils. Within a taxonomic class there are precisely defined limits for the properties of the soils. On the landscape, however, the soils are natural phenomena, and they have the characteristic variability of all natural phenomena. Thus, the range of some observed properties may extend beyond the limits defined for a taxonomic class. Areas of soils of a single taxonomic class rarely, if ever, can be mapped without including areas of other taxonomic classes. Consequently, every map unit is made up of the soils or miscellaneous areas for which it is named and some minor components that belong to taxonomic classes other than those of the major soils.

Most minor soils have properties similar to those of the dominant soil or soils in the map unit, and thus they do not affect use and management. These are called noncontrasting, or similar, components. They may or may not be mentioned in a particular map unit description. Other minor components, however, have properties and behavioral characteristics divergent enough to affect use or to require different management. These are called contrasting, or dissimilar, components. They generally are in small areas and could not be mapped separately because of the scale used. Some small areas of strongly contrasting soils or miscellaneous areas are identified by a special symbol on the maps. If included in the database for a given area, the contrasting minor components are identified in the map unit descriptions along with some characteristics of each. A few areas of minor components may not have been observed, and consequently they are not mentioned in the descriptions, especially where the pattern was so complex that it was impractical to make enough observations to identify all the soils and miscellaneous areas on the landscape.

The presence of minor components in a map unit in no way diminishes the usefulness or accuracy of the data. The objective of mapping is not to delineate pure taxonomic classes but rather to separate the landscape into landforms or landform segments that have similar use and management requirements. The delineation of such segments on the map provides sufficient information for the development of resource plans. If intensive use of small areas is planned, however, onsite investigation is needed to define and locate the soils and miscellaneous areas.

An identifying symbol precedes the map unit name in the map unit descriptions. Each description includes general facts about the unit and gives important soil properties and qualities.
Soils that have profiles that are almost alike make up a soil series. Except for differences in texture of the surface layer, all the soils of a series have major horizons that are similar in composition, thickness, and arrangement.

Soils of one series can differ in texture of the surface layer, slope, stoniness, salinity, degree of erosion, and other characteristics that affect their use. On the basis of such differences, a soil series is divided into soil phases. Most of the areas shown on the detailed soil maps are phases of soil series. The name of a soil phase commonly indicates a feature that affects use or management. For example, Alpha silt loam, 0 to 2 percent slopes, is a phase of the Alpha series.
Some map units are made up of two or more major soils or miscellaneous areas. These map units are complexes, associations, or undifferentiated groups.
A complex consists of two or more soils or miscellaneous areas in such an intricate pattern or in such small areas that they cannot be shown separately on the maps. The pattern and proportion of the soils or miscellaneous areas are somewhat similar in all areas. Alpha-Beta complex, 0 to 6 percent slopes, is an example.

An association is made up of two or more geographically associated soils or miscellaneous areas that are shown as one unit on the maps. Because of present or anticipated uses of the map units in the survey area, it was not considered practical or necessary to map the soils or miscellaneous areas separately. The pattern and relative proportion of the soils or miscellaneous areas are somewhat similar. Alpha-Beta association, 0 to 2 percent slopes, is an example.
An undifferentiated group is made up of two or more soils or miscellaneous areas that could be mapped individually but are mapped as one unit because similar interpretations can be made for use and management. The pattern and proportion of the soils or miscellaneous areas in a mapped area are not uniform. An area can be made up of only one of the major soils or miscellaneous areas, or it can be made up of all of them. Alpha and Beta soils, 0 to 2 percent slopes, is an example.
Some surveys include miscellaneous areas. Such areas have little or no soil material and support little or no vegetation. Rock outcrop is an example.

## Adams County Area, Parts of Adams and Denver Counties, Colorado

## NuA-Nunn clay loam, 0 to 1 percent slopes

## Map Unit Setting

National map unit symbol: 2tIng
Elevation: 4,100 to 5,700 feet
Mean annual precipitation: 14 to 15 inches
Mean annual air temperature: 48 to 52 degrees $F$
Frost-free period: 135 to 152 days
Farmland classification: Prime farmland if irrigated

## Map Unit Composition

Nunn and similar soils: 85 percent
Minor components: 15 percent
Estimates are based on observations, descriptions, and transects of the mapunit.

## Description of Nunn

## Setting

Landform: Terraces
Landform position (three-dimensional): Tread
Down-slope shape: Linear
Across-slope shape: Linear
Parent material: Pleistocene aged alluvium and/or eolian deposits

## Typical profile

Ap-0 to 6 inches: clay loam
Bt1-6 to 10 inches: clay loam
Bt2 - 10 to 26 inches: clay loam
Btk - 26 to 31 inches: clay loam
Bk1-31 to 47 inches: loam
Bk2 - 47 to 80 inches: loam
Properties and qualities
Slope: 0 to 1 percent
Depth to restrictive feature: More than 80 inches
Drainage class: Well drained
Runoff class: Medium
Capacity of the most limiting layer to transmit water (Ksat): Moderately low to moderately high ( 0.06 to $0.20 \mathrm{in} / \mathrm{hr}$ )
Depth to water table: More than 80 inches
Frequency of flooding: None
Frequency of ponding: None
Calcium carbonate, maximum content: 7 percent
Maximum salinity: Nonsaline ( 0.1 to $1.0 \mathrm{mmhos} / \mathrm{cm}$ )
Sodium adsorption ratio, maximum: 0.5
Available water supply, 0 to 60 inches: High (about 9.1 inches)
Interpretive groups
Land capability classification (irrigated): 3e
Land capability classification (nonirrigated): 4e
Hydrologic Soil Group: C
Ecological site: R067BY042CO - Clayey Plains
Hydric soil rating: No

## Custom Soil Resource Report

## Minor Components

## Heldt

Percent of map unit: 10 percent Landform: Terraces Landform position (three-dimensional): Tread Down-slope shape: Linear
Across-slope shape: Linear
Ecological site: R067BY042CO - Clayey Plains
Hydric soil rating: No

## Wages

Percent of map unit: 5 percent
Landform: Terraces
Landform position (three-dimensional): Tread
Down-slope shape: Linear
Across-slope shape: Linear
Ecological site: R067BY002CO - Loamy Plains
Hydric soil rating: No
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## National Flood Hazard Layer FIRMette



## Legend

SEE PIS REPORT FOR DETAILED LEGEND AND INDEX MAP FOR FIRM PANEL LAYOUT
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## $\therefore$ Digital Data Available <br> No Digital Data Available <br> 

${ }^{9}$
The pin displayed on the map is an approximate point selected by the user and does not represent an authoritative property location.

This map complies with FEMA's standards for the use of digital flood maps if it is not void as described below. The baseman shown complies with FEMA's baseman accuracy standards
The flood hazard information is derived directly from the authoritative NFHL web services provided by FEMA. This map was exported on 3/6/2023 at 5:41 PM and does not reflect changes or amendments subsequent to this date and time. The NFHL and effective information may change or become superseded by new data over time.

This map image is void if the one or more of the following map elements do not appear: baseman imagery, flood zone labels, legend, scale bar, map creation date, community identifiers, FIRM panel number, and FIRM effective date. Map images for unmapped and unmodernized areas cannot be used for regulatory purposes.

APPENDIX B
Drainage Impervious Percentages and " $C$ " Valued Concentration
Drainage Time of Concentration
Drainage SF2 \& SF3 Rational Method Calculations
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| Project Location |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| User Input | $\nabla$ |

IDF Rainfall Data

|  | $\mathrm{P}_{1}:$ 1-hour Rainfall Depths (inches) |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Minor Storm | Major Storm |
|  | $5-$ Year | $100-\mathrm{Year}$ |
| Minutes | $\mathbf{1 . 4 2}$ | $\mathbf{2 . 7 1}$ |
| 5 | 4.82 | 9.19 |
| 10 | 3.84 | 7.33 |
| 20 | 2.79 | 5.33 |
| 30 | 2.23 | 4.25 |
| 40 | 1.87 | 3.57 |
| 50 | 1.62 | 3.09 |
| 60 | 1.44 | 2.74 |
| 120 | 0.88 | 1.68 |

Equation 5-1 $\quad \mathrm{I}=\left(28.5^{*} \mathrm{P}_{1}\right) /\left(10+\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{d}}\right)^{\wedge 0.786}$
I = rainfall intensity (inches per hour)
$P_{1}=1$-hour point rainfall depth (inches)
$\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{d}}=$ storm duration (minutes)

Reference:

1) Urban Drainage and Flood Control District - Urban Storm Drainage Criteria Manual Volume 1, 2017
2) NOAA Atlas 14, Volume 8, Version 2
http://hdsc.nws.noaa.gov/hdsc/pfds/pfds_map_cont.html?bkmrk=co
Impervious Percentages - from

| Asphalt | $100 \%$ |
| :---: | :---: |
| Concrete | $90 \%$ |
| Roof | $90 \%$ |
| Landscape | $2 \%$ |


| Future | $90 \%$ |
| :---: | :---: |
| Land Use 6 | $0 \%$ |
| Land Use 7 | $0 \%$ |
| Land Use 8 | $0 \%$ |

SOIL TYPE: C or D
(use equation from Table 6-4)
= FORMULA CELLS = USER INPUT CELLS
PROPOSED COMPOSITE IMPERVIOUSNESS

|  |  | Weighted Impervious and C Values |  |  |  |  | Areas (ac) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Basin | Area (ac) | Imp. | $\mathrm{C}_{2}$ | $\mathrm{C}_{5}$ | $\mathrm{C}_{10}$ | $\mathrm{C}_{100}$ | Asphalt | Concrete | Roof | Landscape | Future | Land Use 6 | Land Use 7 | Land Use 8 |
| A1 | 0.92 | 87\% | 0.71 | 0.75 | 0.78 | 0.84 | 0.77 | 0.03 |  | 0.12 |  |  |  |  |
| A2 | 1.17 | 90\% | 0.74 | 0.77 | 0.80 | 0.85 |  |  | 1.17 |  |  |  |  |  |
| A3 | 0.82 | 94\% | 0.77 | 0.80 | 0.83 | 0.87 | 0.31 | 0.51 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| ------------ | 1.12 | 90\% | 0.74 | 0.77 | 0.80 | 0.85 |  |  | 1.12 |  |  |  |  |  |
| A5 | 1.17 | 90\% | 0.74 | 0.77 | 0.80 | 0.85 |  |  | 1.17 |  |  |  |  |  |
| A6 | 0.88 | 94\% | 0.77 | 0.80 | 0.83 | 0.87 | 0.34 | 0.54 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| A7 | 1.12 | 90\% | 0.74 | 0.77 | 0.80 | 0.85 |  |  | 1.12 |  |  |  |  |  |
| ---------18 | 0.33 | 91\% | 0.75 | 0.78 | 0.81 | 0.86 | 0.28 | 0.03 |  | 0.03 |  |  |  |  |
| -------------19 | 0.31 | 91\% | 0.75 | 0.78 | 0.81 | 0.86 | 0.26 | 0.02 |  | 0.03 |  |  |  |  |
| A10 | 0.85 | 86\% | 0.70 | 0.74 | 0.77 | 0.84 | 0.73 |  |  | 0.12 |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| OS1 | 0.09 | 2\% | 0.01 | 0.05 | 0.15 | 0.49 |  |  |  | 0.09 |  |  |  |  |
| OS2 | 0.49 | 2\% | 0.01 | 0.05 | 0.15 | 0.49 |  |  |  | 0.49 |  |  |  |  |
| --------------- | 0.24 | 2\% | 0.01 | 0.05 | 0.15 | 0.49 |  |  |  | 0.24 |  |  |  |  |
| --------------- | 0.57 | 2\% | 0.01 | 0.05 | 0.15 | 0.49 |  |  |  | 0.57 |  |  |  |  |
| TOTAL | 10.05 | 78\% | 0.63 | 0.67 | 0.71 | 0.80 | 2.68 | 1.13 | 4.57 | 1.68 |  |  |  |  |
| TOTAL ONSITE | 8.67 | 90\% | 0.74 | 0.77 | 0.80 | 0.85 | 2.68 | 1.13 | 4.57 | 0.29 |  |  |  |  |


Date: $3 / 14 / 2023$

STANDARD FORM SF-2 TIME OF CONCENTRATION SUMMARY

Project: 1661 E 77th AVE
Job No.: DEN22-0137
Checked By: xxxxxxxxxx

| $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { SUB-BASIN } \\ \text { DATA } \end{gathered}$ |  |  |  | INITIAL/OVERLAND TIME ( $\mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{i}}$ ) |  |  | TRAVEL TIME <br> ( t ) |  |  |  |  | tc CHECK <br> (URBANIZED BASINS) |  |  |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { FINAL } \\ \mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{c}} \end{gathered}$ | REMARKS |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Basin <br> (1) | i <br> (2) | C5 (3) | AREA <br> Ac <br> (4) | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline \text { LENGTH } \\ \mathrm{Ft} \\ (5) \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline \text { SLOPE } \\ \% \\ (6) \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{i}}$ <br> Min <br> (7) | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline \text { LENGTH } \\ \mathrm{Ft} \\ (8) \\ \hline \end{array}$ | Cv | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline \text { SLOPE } \\ \% \\ (9) \\ \hline \end{array}$ | VEL. <br> FPS <br> (10) | $t_{t}$ <br> Min <br> (11) | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline \text { COMP. } \\ t_{c} \\ (12) \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline \text { TOT. LENGTH } \\ \mathrm{Ft} \\ (13) \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { So } \\ \% \\ (14) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { tc (Equa } \\ \text { Min } \\ \text { (15) } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \text { n 6-5) } \\ \begin{array}{r} \text { Min } \\ (16) \\ \hline \end{array} \end{array}$ |  |
| A1 | 0.87 | 0.75 | 0.92 | 74 | 2.0 | 4.34 | 303 | 20 | 2.0 | 2.83 | 1.79 | 6.1 | 377 | 0.50 | 15.4 | 6.12 |  |
| A2 | 0.90 | 0.77 | 1.17 | 258 | 2.0 | 7.54 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 258 | 2.00 | 12.1 | 7.54 |  |
| A3 | 0.94 | 0.80 | 0.82 | 130 | 2.0 | 4.85 | 112 | 20 | 2.0 | 2.83 | 0.66 | 5.5 | 242 | 1.24 | 11.7 | 5.51 |  |
| A4 | 0.90 | 0.77 | 1.12 | 252 | 2.0 | 7.46 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 252 | 2.00 | 12.1 | 7.46 |  |
| A5 | 0.90 | 0.77 | 1.17 | 230 | 2.0 | 7.12 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 230 | 2.00 | 12.0 | 7.12 |  |
| A6 | 0.94 | 0.80 | 0.88 | 130 | 2.0 | 4.83 | 112 | 20 | 2.0 | 2.83 | 0.66 | 5.5 | 242 | 1.24 | 11.7 | 5.49 |  |
| A7 | 0.90 | 0.77 | 1.12 | 226 | 2.0 | 7.06 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 226 | 2.00 | 11.9 | 7.06 |  |
| A8 | 0.91 | 0.78 | 0.33 | 38 | 2.0 | 2.81 | 153 | 20 | 2.0 | 2.83 | 0.90 | 3.7 | 191 | 0.61 | 12.4 | 5.00 |  |
| A9 | 0.91 | 0.78 | 0.31 | 40 | 2.0 | 2.90 | 128 | 20 | 2.0 | 2.83 | 0.75 | 3.7 | 168 | 0.71 | 12.1 | 5.00 |  |
| A10 | 0.86 | 0.74 | 0.85 | 60 | 2.0 | 3.98 | 344 | 20 | 2.0 | 2.83 | 2.03 | 6.0 | 404 | 0.40 | 16.4 | 6.01 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| OS1 | 0.02 | 0.05 | 0.09 | 22 | 4.0 | 5.62 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 22 | 4.00 | 25.9 | 5.62 |  |
| OS2 | 0.02 | 0.05 | 0.49 | 40 | 2.7 | 8.63 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 40 | 2.70 | 26.1 | 8.63 |  |
| OS3 | 0.02 | 0.05 | 0.24 | 32 | 1.0 | 10.71 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 32 | 1.00 | 26.2 | 10.71 |  |
| OS4 | 0.02 | 0.05 | 0.57 | 41 | 1.8 | 9.99 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 41 | 1.80 | 26.2 | 9.99 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Equation 6-3
Equation 6-5
$\mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{i}}=\left(\left(0.395\left(1.1-\mathrm{C}_{5}\right) \mathrm{SQRT}(\mathrm{L})\right) /\left(\mathrm{S}_{0}{ }^{\wedge} 0.33\right)\right)$
$\mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{c}}=(26-17 \mathrm{i})+\left(\mathrm{L}_{t} /\left(60(14 \mathrm{i}+9) \mathrm{SQRT}\left(\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{o}}\right)\right)\right)$
= FORMULA CELLS = USER INPUT CELLS

| NRCS Conveyance Factor K Table - Cv Value |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| Heavy Meadow | 2.5 |
| Tillage/Field | 5 |
| Short Pasture and Lawns | 7 |
| Nearly Bare Ground | 10 |
| Grassed Waterway | 15 |
| Paved Areas and Shallow Paved Swales | 20 |
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| RUNOFF SUMMARY |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| BASIN LABEL | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline \text { DESIGN } \\ \text { POINT } \end{array}$ | AREA | LOCAL (CFS) |  | ACCUMULATIVE (CFS) |  |
|  |  |  | Q5 | Q100 | Q5 | Q100 |
| A1 |  | 0.9 | 3.1 | 6.7 |  |  |
| A2 |  | 1.2 | 3.8 | 8.1 |  |  |
| A3 |  | 0.8 | 3.1 | 6.4 |  |  |
|  | 1 |  |  |  | 6.6 | 13.8 |
| A4 |  | 1.1 | 3.7 | 7.8 |  |  |
| A5 |  | 1.2 | 3.9 | 8.2 |  |  |
| A6 |  | 0.9 | 3.3 | 6.8 |  |  |
|  | 2 |  |  |  | 6.9 | 14.5 |
| A7 |  | 1.1 | 3.8 | 7.9 |  |  |
| A8 |  | 0.3 | 1.2 | 2.6 |  |  |
| A9 |  | 0.3 | 1.2 | 2.4 |  |  |
|  | 3 |  |  |  | 2.3 | 4.9 |
| A10 |  | 0.8 | 2.9 | 6.2 |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| OS1 |  | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.4 |  |  |
| OS2 |  | 0.5 | 0.1 | 1.9 |  |  |
| OS3 |  | 0.2 | 0.0 | 0.8 |  |  |
| OS4 |  | 0.6 | 0.1 | 2.1 |  |  |

$$
\begin{aligned}
& =\text { FORMULA CELLS } \\
& \text { = USER INPUT CELLS }
\end{aligned}
$$

APPENDIX C
Drainage Plan



notes
TOS



TREASURER \& PUBLIC TRUSTEE
ADAMS COUNTY, COLORADO Certificate Of Taxes Due

| Account Number R0070660 | Certificate Number 2022-223883 |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Parcel 0171935100032 | Order Number FCAD_1150542 |  |  |  |
| Assessed To | Vendor ID 2 |  |  |  |
| TERRACE ENTERPRISES LLC 1661 E 77TH AVE DENVER, CO 80229 | DATA TRACE <br> 30005 LADYFACE CT STE 200 <br> AGOURA HILLS, CA 91301 |  |  |  |
| Legal Description | Situs Address |  |  |  |
| SECT,TWN,RNG:35-2-68 DESC: BEG AT A PT ON W BDRY LN ROW OF U P RR WHENCE E4 COR SEC 35 BRS N 89D 43M E 914/7 FT TH W TO A PT WHICH IS $612 / 3$ FT E OF E BDRY LN OF ROW FOR DRAINAGE DT SD PT BEING TRUE POB TH CONT W $612 / 3$ FT TO E BDRY LN SD DT ROW TH N $711 / 4$ FT M/L TO S BDRY LN OF A 30 FT RD TH E $612 / 3$ FT TH S $711 / 22$ FT M/L TO POB 10 A |  |  |  |  |
| Year Tax | Interest | Fees | Payments | Balance |
| Tax Charge |  |  |  |  |
| 2021 \$5,722.22 | \$0.00 | \$0.00 | (\$5,722.22) | \$0.00 |
| Total Tax Charge |  |  |  | \$0.00 |
| Grand Total Due as of 12/20/2022 |  |  |  | \$0.00 |

Tax Billed at 2021 Rates for Tax Area 085-085

| Authority | Mill Levy | Amount | Values | Actual | Assessed |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| RANGEVIEW LIBRARY DISTRICT | 3.6890000 | \$213.96 | AG BUSINESS LAND | \$200,000 | \$58,000 |
| ADAMS COUNTY FIRE PROTECTIO | 16.6860000 | \$967.79 | Total | \$200,000 | \$58,000 |
| ADAMS COUNTY | 27.0690000 | \$1,570.00 |  |  |  |
| NORTH WASHINGTON WATER \& SA | 0.7750000 | \$44.95 |  |  |  |
| SD 1 | 49.4400000 | \$2,867.52 |  |  |  |
| URBAN DRAINAGE SOUTH PLATTE | 0.1000000 | \$5.80 |  |  |  |
| URBAN DRAINAGE \& FLOOD CONT | 0.9000000 | \$52.20 |  |  |  |
| Taxes Billed 2021 | 98.6590000 | \$5,722.22 |  |  |  |

ALL TAX SALE AMOUNTS ARE SUBJECT TO CHANGE DUE TO ENDORSEMENT OF CURRENT TAXES BY THE LIENHOLDER OR TO ADVERTISING AND DISTRAINT WARRANT FEES. CHANGES MAY OCCUR; PLEASE CONTACT THE TREASURY PRIOR TO MAKING A PAYMENT AFTER AUGUST 1. TAX LIEN SALE REDEMPTION AMOUNTS MUST BE PAID BY CASH OR CASHIER'S CHECK. SPECIAL TAXING DISTRICTS AND THE BOUNDARIES OF SUCH DISTRICTS MAY BE ON FILE WITH THE BOARD OF COUNTY COMMISSIONERS, THE COUNTY CLERK, OR, THE COUNTY ASSESSOR.
This certificate does not include land or improvements assessed under a separate account number, personal property taxes, transfer tax, or, miscellaneous tax collected on behalf of other entities, special or local improvement district assessments, or mobile homes, unless specifically mentioned.
I, the undersigned, do hereby certify that the entire amount of taxes due upon the above described parcels of real property and all outstanding lien sales for unpaid taxes as shown by the records in my office from which the same may still be redeemed with the amount required for redemption on this date are as noted herein. In witness whereof, I have hereunto set my hand and seal.

TREASURER \& PUBLIC TRUSTEE, ADAMS COUNTY, Lisa L. Culpepper, J.D.
S. Adams County Parkway

Brighton, CO 80601

TREASURER \& PUBLIC TRUSTEE
ADAMS COUNTY, COLORADO Certificate Of Taxes Due

| Account Number R0070671 | Certificate Number 2022-223884 |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Parcel 0171935100056 | Order Number FCAD_1150542-2 |  |  |  |
| Assessed To | Vendor ID 2 |  |  |  |
| TERRACE ENTERPRISES LLC 1661 E 77TH AVE DENVER, CO 80229 | DATA TRACE <br> 30005 LADYFACE CT STE 200 <br> AGOURA HILLS, CA 91301 |  |  |  |
| Legal Description |  |  | Situs Address |  |
| SECT,TWN,RNG:35-2-68 DESC: IMPS ONLY BEG AT A PT ON W BDR TH W TO A PT WHICH IS $612 / 3$ FT E OF E BDRY LN OF ROW FOR D LN SD DT ROW TH N 711/4 FT M/L TO S BDRY LN OF A 30 FT RD TH | $\begin{aligned} & \text { HENCE E4 } \\ & \text { NG TRUE } \\ & \text { T M/L TO } \end{aligned}$ |  | 1661 E 77TH AVE |  |
| Year Tax | Interest | Fees | Payments | Balance |
| Tax Charge |  |  |  |  |
| 2021 \$72,393.02 | \$0.00 | \$0.00 | (\$72,393.02) | \$0.00 |
| Total Tax Charge |  |  |  | \$0.00 |
| Grand Total Due as of 12/20/2022 |  |  |  | \$0.00 |

Tax Billed at 2021 Rates for Tax Area 085-085

| Authority | Mill Levy | Amount | Values | Assessed |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| RANGEVIEW LIBRARY DISTRICT | 3.6890000 | $\$ 2,706.88$ | AG BUSINESS IMPRV | $\$ 2,530,247$ |
| ADAMS COUNTY FIRE PROTECTIO | 16.6860000 | $\$ 12,243.69$ | Total | $\$ \$ 3,530,247$ |
| ADAMS COUNTY | 27.0690000 | $\$ 19,862.42$ |  |  |
| NORTH WASHINGTON WATER \& SA | 0.7750000 | $\$ 568.67$ |  |  |
| SD 1 | 49.4400000 | $\$ 36,277.59$ |  |  |
| URBAN DRAINAGE SOUTH PLATTE | 0.1000000 | $\$ 73.38$ |  |  |
| URBAN DRAINAGE \& FLOOD CONT | 0.9000000 | $\$ 660.39$ |  |  |
| Taxes Billed 2021 | 98.6590000 | $\$ 72,393.02$ |  |  |

ALL TAX SALE AMOUNTS ARE SUBJECT TO CHANGE DUE TO ENDORSEMENT OF CURRENT TAXES BY THE LIENHOLDER OR TO ADVERTISING AND DISTRAINT WARRANT FEES. CHANGES MAY OCCUR; PLEASE CONTACT THE TREASURY PRIOR TO MAKING A PAYMENT AFTER AUGUST 1. TAX LIEN SALE REDEMPTION AMOUNTS MUST BE PAID BY CASH OR CASHIER'S CHECK. SPECIAL TAXING DISTRICTS AND THE BOUNDARIES OF SUCH DISTRICTS MAY BE ON FILE WITH THE BOARD OF COUNTY COMMISSIONERS, THE COUNTY CLERK, OR, THE COUNTY ASSESSOR.
This certificate does not include land or improvements assessed under a separate account number, personal property taxes, transfer tax, or, miscellaneous tax collected on behalf of other entities, special or local improvement district assessments, or mobile homes, unless specifically mentioned.
I, the undersigned, do hereby certify that the entire amount of taxes due upon the above described parcels of real property and all outstanding lien sales for unpaid taxes as shown by the records in my office from which the same may still be redeemed with the amount required for redemption on this date are as noted herein. In witness whereof, I have hereunto set my hand and seal.

TREASURER \& PUBLIC TRUSTEE, ADAMS COUNTY, Lisa L. Culpepper, J.D.
S. Adams County Parkway

Brighton, CO 80601

## ARCHITECTURE PLANNING

 INTERIORSCIVIL ENGINEERING BRANDING

BUILDING MEASUREMENT

## CERTIFICATION OF NOTICE TO MINERAL ESTATE OWNERS

1/we, Terrace Enter prises, LLC (the "Applicant") by signing below, hereby declare and certify as follows:

With respect to the property located at:
Physical Address: $1661 \mathrm{E} .7^{\text {nt }}$ Ave., Denver, Co 80229
Legal Description: See Exhibit $A$
Parcel \#(s): One

## (PLEASE CHECK ONE):

$\qquad$ On the $\qquad$ day of $\qquad$ 20 $\qquad$ , which is not less than thirty days before the initial public hearing, notice of application for surface development was provided to mineral estate owners pursuant to section 24-65.5-103 of the Colorado Revised Statutes; Clerk and Recorder for the above identified parcel and have found that no mineral estate owner is identified therein.

Date: 4-12-23 Applicant: Terrace Enterprises,LLC
By:
Print Name:
Address:


STATE OF COLORADO )
COUNTY OF ADAMS ()
Subscribed and sworn to before me this Byron R. Chrismar.

Witness my hand and official seal.


My Commission expires: 7-31-23

After Recording Return To:
Name and Address of Person Preparing Legal Description:

A recorded copy of this Certification shall be submitted to the Adams County Community and Economic Development Department with all applicable land use applications.

## EXHIBIT A

The Land referred to herein below is situated in the County of Adams, State of Colorado, and is described as follows:
A tract of land located in the East $1 / 2$ of the Southwest $1 / 4$ Northeast $1 / 4$ of Section 35, Township 2 South, Range 68
West of the 6th P.M., being more particularly described as follows:
Beginning at a point in the West boundary line of the abandoned Union Pacific Railroad right of way as it was described in
Deed recorded July 16, 1908 in Book 39 at Page 24, whence the East Quarter corner of said Section bears North 89
degrees 43 minutes East, a distance of 914.7 feet;
Thence North 89 degrees 49 minutes West to a point which is 612.3 feet East of the East boundary line of the right of
way for a drainage ditch as conveyed to the County of Adams in Deed recorded October 4, 1938 in Book 250 at Page 11,
said distance of 612.30 feet being measured along an extension of the last described line and said point being the True
Point of Beginning;
Thence continuing North 89 degrees 49 minutes West a distance of 612.3 feet to the East boundary line of said ditch
right of way;
Thence North 00 degrees 07 minutes East along the East boundary line of said ditch right of way, a distance of 711.4
feet, more or less to the South boundary line of a 30 foot road;
Thence South 89 degrees 49 minutes East, along the South line of said 30 foot road, a distance of 612.30 feet;
Thence South 00 degrees 10 minutes West, a distance of 711.22 feet, more or less to the True Point of Beginning,
County of Adams,
State of Colorado.

Prologis hosted a Neighborhood Meeting regarding a comprehensive plan amendment and rezoning of roughly ten acres known as 1661 East $77^{\text {th }}$ Avenue from 7:00 PM to approximately 8:00 PM on April $5^{\text {th }}$, 2023, at Botany Lane Greenhouse, 1661 East 77 ${ }^{\text {th }}$ Avenue, Denver, Colorado, 80229.

Twenty-one notices of the meeting were timely mailed to owners of property within 500 feet of the subject properties. Attendees included three of the property owners who received notice, Prologis's Stephanie O'Neil and David Mecham, current property owners and the design team.

```
Attendees:
Ted Swan - Ware Malcomb
Brian Muller - Ware Malcomb
Steve Smith - Ware Malcomb
Rob Kiester - Ware Malcomb
Stephanie O'Neil - Prologis
David Mecham - Prologis
Ed McMillan - Neighbor
Fred & Coleen Orr - Neighbor
Rafael Mendoza - Neighbor
```

Ms. O'Neil briefly described the proposed project in general, including its proposed use, design, architecture, and landscape.

Eight questions were raised, as follows:

1. What will be the orientation of the buildings?

Answer: The buildings will be oriented so that the truck docks are internal, and fronts of the buildings will be facing $77^{\text {th }}$ and future $76^{\text {th }}$ respectively.
2. Will there be screening or fencing?

Answer: Landscaping will be provided meeting Adams County code. Fencing will be provided if required by tenant generally for safety or security concerns.
3. How big are the buildings?

Answer: The buildings are about 100,000 SF each.
4. Where is your detention?

Answer: Detention will be provided underground and released to existing drainage channel west of the property.
5. What are you doing for storm drainage?

Answer: Storm inlets and pipes will be provided to capture flows and drained to proposed underground detention vault.
6. What is the clear height of the buildings?

Answer: 32' clear height.
7. Will there be sidewalks? Will they connect to York?

Answer: We are only responsible to build the local industrial half section in our property frontage, which includes curb \& gutter and sidewalk.

## 8. Are there setbacks?

Answer: There are landscape and building setbacks. (15' side, $5^{\prime}$ side and $25^{\prime}$ front and rear). Additionally, we are required to dedicate $30^{\prime}$ to the County on both $77^{\text {th }}$ and future $76^{\text {th }}$.

After the presentation, the applicant invited individuals to view the graphics informally and remained available for questions.

## WARRANTY DEED

THIS DEED, Made this 12 day of June 2006, between
Avallone Ventures, LLC, a Colorado Limited Liability Company and Lloyd Enterprises, Inc., Profit Sharing Plan as their interests appear (As to Parcel A) and Bryce Avallone and Amanda P. Avallone and Lloyd Enterprises Inc., Profit Sharing Plan as their interests appear (As to Parcel B)
of the County of Adams, State of Colorado, grantor and
Terrace Enterprises, LLC, an Idaho limited liability company
whose legal address is:
of the County of Adams, State of Colorado, grantee:
WITNESSETH, That the grantor for and in consideration of the sum of Three Million One Hundred Thousand Dollars and NO/100's $(\$ 3,100,000.00)$ the receipt and sufficiency of which is hereby acknowledged, has granted, bargained, sold and conveyed, and by these presents does grant, bargain, sell, convey and confirm, unto the grantee, his heirs and assigns forever, all the real property together with improvements, if any, situate, lying and being in the County of Adams, and State of COLORADO, described as follows:

See Exhibit A attached hereto and made a part hereof.

also known by street and number as 1661 East 77th Avenue, Denver, CO 80229
TOGETHER with all and singular the hereditaments and appurtenances thereunto belonging, or in anywise appertaining, and the reversion and reversions, remainder and remainders, rents, issues and profits thereof, and all the estate, right, title, interest, claim and demand whatsoever of the grantor, either in law or equity, of, in and to the above bargained premises, with the hereditaments and appurtenances.
TO HAVE AND TO HOLD the said premises above bargained and described, with the appurtenances, unto the grantee, his heirs and assigns forever. And the grantor, for himself, his heirs, and personal representatives, does covenant, grant, bargain and agree to and with the grantee, his heirs and assigns, that at the time of the ensealing and delivery of these presents, he is well seized of the premises above conveyed, has good, sure, perfect, absolute and indefeasible estate of inheritance, in law, in fee simple, and has good right, full power and lawful authority to grant, bargain, sell and convey the same in manner and form as aforesaid, and that the same are free and clear from all former and other grants, bargains, sales, liens, taxes, assessments, encumbrances and restrictions of whatever kind or nature soever, except all taxes and assessments for the current year, a lien but not yet due or payable, and those specific Exceptions described by reference to recorded documents as reflected in the Title Documents accepted by Buyer in accordance with section 8a "Title Review", of the contract dated, between the parties.

The grantor shall and will WARRANT AND FOREVER DEFEND the above-bargained premises in the quiet and peaceable possession of the grantee his heirs and assigns, against all and every person or persons lawfully claiming the whole or any part thereof. The singular number shall include the plural, the plural the singular, and the use of any gender shall be applicable to all genders.
IN WITNESS WHEREOF, the grantor has executed this deed on the date set forth above.

## SELLERS:

Avallone Ventures, LLC (Parcel A)


Lloyd Enterprises Inc. (Parcel B)



Amanda P. Avallone (Parcel B)


## Exhibit A

Parcel A:
A tract of land located in the East $1 / 2$ of the Southwest $1 / 4$ Northeast $1 / 4$ of Section 35 , Township 2 South, Range 68 West of the $6^{\text {th }}$ P.M., being more particularly described as follows:

Beginning at a point in the West boundary line of the abandoned Union Pacific Railroad right of way as it was described in Deed recorded July 16, 1908 in Book 39 at Page 24, whence the East Quarter corner of said Section bears North 89 degrees 43 minutes East, a distance of 914.7 feet;
Thence North 89 degrees 49 minutes West to a point which is 612.3 feet East of the East boundary line of the right of way for a drainage ditch as conveyed to the County of Adams in Deed recorded October 4, 1938 in Book 250 at Page 11, said distance of 612.30 feet being measured along an extension of the last described line and said point being the True Point of beginning;

Thence continuing North 89 degrees 49 minutes West a distance of 612.3 feet to the East boundary line of said ditch right of way;
Thence North 00 degrees 07 minutes East along the East boundary line of said ditch right of way, a distance of 711.4 feet, more or less to the South boundary line of a 30 foot road;
Thence South 89 degrees 49 minutes East, along the South line of said 30 foot road, a distance of 612.30 feet; Thence South 00 degrees 10 minutes West, a distance of 711.22 feet, more or less to the True Point of Beginning,

County of Adams,
State of Colorado.
Except improvements on the above property (also referenced as infrastructure)

Parcel B:
The infrastructure thereunder to the legal description described below:
A tract of land located in the E $1 / 2$ of the SW $1 / 4$ NE $1 / 4$ of Section 35 , Township Township 2 South, Range 68 West of the $6^{\text {th }}$ P.M., being more particularly described as follows:

Beginning at a point in the West boundary line of the abandoned Union Pacific Railroad right-of-way as it was described in deed recorded July 16, 1908, in Book 39 at Page 24, whence the East Quarter corner of said Section 35 bears North $89^{\circ} 43^{\prime}$ East, a distance of 914.7 feet;
Thence North $89^{\circ} 49^{\prime}$ West to a point which is 612.3 feet East of the East boundary line of the right of way for a drainage ditch as conveyed to the County of Adams in deed recorded October 4, 1938, in Book 250 at Page 11, said distance of 612.3 feet being measured along an extension of the last described line and said point being the True Point of Beginning;
Thence continuing North $89^{\circ} 49^{\prime}$ West a distance of 612.3 feet to the East boundary line of said ditch right of way;
Thence North $0^{\circ} 07^{\prime}$ East along the East boundary line of said ditch right of way, a distance of 711.4 feet, more or less, to the South boundary line of a 30 foot road;
Thence South $89^{\circ} 49^{\prime}$ East along the South line of said 30 foot road, a distance of 612.3 feet;
Thence South $0^{\circ} 10^{\prime}$ West, a distance of 711.22 feet, more or less, to the True Point of Beginning,
County of Adams,
State of Colorado.

# North Washington Street 

# Water and Sanitation 

# District 

3172 E. $78^{\text {th }}$ Avenue, Denver, CO $80229303 / 288-6664$

To Whom It May Concern:
Dear Sir/Madame:

The North Washington Street Water and Sanitation District ("District") provides the following in response to your request for water and sanitary sewer service dated April 6, 2023 related to the property located at 1661 E $77^{\text {th }}$ Ave. ("Property"). The District can provide water and sewer service to the Property based on conditions set forth herein. The following are general requirements for water and sanitary sewer service. The District Rules and Regulations and the standards and requirements of Denver Water and Metro Wastewater Reclamation District must be complied with as an on-going condition of service.

The subject Property is understood to be entirely within the service and boundary area of the District based on your assertions. The District makes no representation or warranty in regard to the Property boundaries and applicant is responsible for verification of same. If the Property is outside of the District's boundaries, applicant is responsible for undertaking and paying all costs to include the Property within the District's boundaries. Treatment of sewage generated within the District is provided by the Metro Wastewater Reclamation District. Treatment and provision of water within the District is provided by Denver Water. Conditions for water and sanitary service from the District include meeting the requirements contained herein and payment of all fees and costs as provided in District's Rules and Regulations along with those of Denver Water and Metro Wastewater Reclamation District. Timing of water and sanitary availability is subject to further coordinated by the Town and District.

Water and Sanitary availability are subject to review and acceptance of design documents from owner/developer of the Property, by the District. Appropriate right-of-way easements and agreements are required for all water and sanitary sewer extensions. Jurisdictional coordination, approvals, permitting, license agreements and easements are to be completed prior to acceptance of plans. All costs associated with collection and distribution system improvements required to serve the Property are the responsibility of the owner/developer including guarantee of improvements and warranty periods.

Receipt of service is also subject to all costs being paid by owner/developer for engineering, reviews, construction, observation, and inspections at the then current rate fee structure established by the District, including establishing an imprest account with the District as a deposit for such accounts. Please be aware that proper tap connection and development fees are required to be paid, at the most recent fee schedule, prior to connection to the District main.


Mike DeMattee,
District Manager



[^0]:    ＊Delay ranges based on 2010 Highway Capacity Manual Criteria

