ADCOG Subregional Forum Funding Recommendations

March 21, 2019
Meeting Purpose

- Action needed today by the ADCOG Subregional Forum:
  - Agree and recommend to the DRCOG Board a suite of projects within the targeted ADCOG Subregional Funds and determine a waiting list (if needed).
Meeting Overview

- Public Comment
- Review the TIP Process: Subregional Share
- Review the ADCOG Subregional Forum IGA
- Presentation of ADCOG Subregional Forum Technical Committee work
  - Applications, scoring approach, scoring outcomes & funding recommendations
- Forum Discussion
- Recommendations to DRCOG Board
- Discuss Ongoing ADCOG Coordination
TIP Process Overview: Subregional Share

- **First Year of Dual Model**
  - A dual project selection model has two TIP project selection elements—regional and subregional
    - Within the Subregional Share, funds are proportionately targeted for planning purposes to predefined geographic units (counties) for project prioritization and recommendations to the DRCOG Board.
    - Each county subregion can add criteria specific to their subregional application accounting for local values.

- **Total Subregional Funding:** $34.533 million
- **Available Subregional Funding:** $32.933 million

  Broomfield SH7 Project funded through the Regional TIP Process - $1.6 million in subregional funds awarded
ADCOG Subregional Forum IGA

- Members (11) & Voting Members (11)
  - Adams County, City of Arvada, City of Aurora, Town of Bennett, City of Brighton, City of Commerce City, City of Federal Heights, Town of Lochbuie, City of Northglenn, City of Thornton, City of Westminster

- Voting Procedures
  - “A quorum of the Forum must be present to take a vote. The quorum is comprised of the simple majority (Six) of the Appointees (or Alternates in the absence of an Appointee). All Forum actions shall be made by motion duly seconded and approved by simple majority. Each Agency shall have one vote.”

- Forum Actions
  - “The Forum’s actions may include, but are not limited to . . . developing a recommended portfolio of projects for Subregional funding . . .”
ADCOG Technical Committee Work

- DRCOG Process, with modifications:
  - Scoring scale of 1 through 5 to provide clearer separation in project scores
- 5 Additional Considerations:
  1. Does the project benefit a small community, which for this process is defined as a community with a population of less than 50,000 people?
  2. Is this project a suburban connector?
  3. Does the project address a gap in existing service?
  4. Is this the logical next step of a project?
  5. Is the project construction ready?
Applications
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ADCOG Subregional TIP Applications

Legend
- TIP Applications
- ORCOG Urban Centers

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Key</th>
<th>Sponsor</th>
<th>Project Name</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>A</td>
<td>Adams County</td>
<td>Interstate 270 Corridor Environmental Assessment</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B</td>
<td>Aurora</td>
<td>Bicycle and Pedestrian Improvements on Havana Street and 1st Street in Northwest Aurora</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C</td>
<td>Aurora</td>
<td>City-wide Pedestrian Accessibility Improvements - Filling Critical Gaps of Missing Sidewalks</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D</td>
<td>Aurora</td>
<td>Fulton Street Bicycle Boulevard and Pedestrian Enhancements (Phase 2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E</td>
<td>Aurora</td>
<td>High Line Canal Trail - East Colfax Avenue to I-70</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F</td>
<td>Bennett</td>
<td>SH70 and I-70 EB Ramp Improvement</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>G</td>
<td>Brighton</td>
<td>Bridge Street &amp; I-76 Interchange</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H</td>
<td>Commerce City</td>
<td>88th Ave Widening, I-76 to Hwy 2 - Complete Design</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I</td>
<td>Commerce City</td>
<td>US 65 / 120th Avenue Interchange RCWI Acquisition Activities</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>J</td>
<td>Commerce City</td>
<td>Vasquez Boulevard Improvements Project</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>K</td>
<td>JeffCo</td>
<td>Peaks to Plains Trail - 3 mile East Clear Creek Canyon Segment</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>L</td>
<td>Lochbuie</td>
<td>I-70/Baseline Road Interchange Signalization</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M</td>
<td>Northglenn</td>
<td>120th Avenue Project</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N</td>
<td>Smart Commute</td>
<td>Metro North TIP Flexibility Micro Transit Service &amp; Mobility Operations (Various Locations)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>O</td>
<td>Thornton</td>
<td>104th Ave (SH-44) Widening, Colo Blvd to US-85</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P</td>
<td>Westminster</td>
<td>Sheridan Boulevard Multimodal Improvements</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
# Scoring Outcomes

## ADCOG Subregional TIP Application Scoring

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Rank</th>
<th>Sponsor</th>
<th>Project Name</th>
<th>Ask</th>
<th>Weighted Score</th>
<th>$32,933,000</th>
<th>Type of Project (S=Study, P=Precon., C=Construct)</th>
<th>AC1: Sm Comm.</th>
<th>AC2 Sub. Connect.</th>
<th>AC3: Gap</th>
<th>AC4: Next Step</th>
<th>AC5: Constr. Ready</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Westminster</td>
<td>Sheridan Boulevard Multimodal Improvements</td>
<td>$1,500,000</td>
<td>4.27</td>
<td>$31,433,000</td>
<td>C</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Adams County</td>
<td>Interstate 270 Corridor Environmental Assessment</td>
<td>$1,800,000</td>
<td>4.07</td>
<td>$29,633,000</td>
<td>S</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Commerce City</td>
<td>Vasquez Boulevard Improvements</td>
<td>$4,750,000</td>
<td>4.07</td>
<td>$24,883,000</td>
<td>C</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>JeffCo</td>
<td>Peaks to Plains Trail - 3 mile East Clear Creek Canyon Segment</td>
<td>$500,000</td>
<td>3.79</td>
<td>$24,383,000</td>
<td>C</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>Aurora</td>
<td>High Line Canal Trail - East Colfax Avenue to I-70</td>
<td>$3,301,267</td>
<td>3.78</td>
<td>$21,081,733</td>
<td>C</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>Commerce City</td>
<td>US 85 / 120th Avenue Interchange - ROW Acquisition Activities</td>
<td>$6,300,000</td>
<td>3.64</td>
<td>$14,781,733</td>
<td>P</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>Smart Commute Metro North TMC</td>
<td>Flexible Micro Transit Service &amp; Mobility Options to Support the Underserved Workforce Needs in the North I-25 Area</td>
<td>$1,600,000</td>
<td>3.61</td>
<td>$13,181,733</td>
<td>C</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>Bennett</td>
<td>SH79 and I-70 Interchange Eastbound Ramp Improvement</td>
<td>$650,000</td>
<td>3.55</td>
<td>$12,531,733</td>
<td>C</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>Commerce City</td>
<td>88th Ave Widening, I-76 to Hwy 2 - Complete Design</td>
<td>$2,000,000</td>
<td>3.38</td>
<td>$10,531,733</td>
<td>P</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>Aurora</td>
<td>City-Wide Pedestrian Accessibility Enhancement – Closing Critical Gaps of Missing Sidewalks / Ramps</td>
<td>$935,200</td>
<td>3.29</td>
<td>$6,596,533</td>
<td>C</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>Lochbuie</td>
<td>I-76/Baseline Road Interchange Signalization</td>
<td>$700,000</td>
<td>3.26</td>
<td>$8,896,533</td>
<td>C</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>Thornton</td>
<td>104th Ave (SH-54) Widening, Colo Blvd to US-85</td>
<td>$1,600,000</td>
<td>3.21</td>
<td>$7,796,533</td>
<td>C</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>Northglenn</td>
<td>120th Avenue Improvements</td>
<td>$16,750,000</td>
<td>3.29</td>
<td>$9,463,467</td>
<td>C</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>Aurora</td>
<td>Fulton Street Bicycle Boulevard and Pedestrian Enhancements (Phase 2)</td>
<td>$1,910,610</td>
<td>2.95</td>
<td>$11,374,077</td>
<td>C</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>Aurora</td>
<td>Bicycle and Pedestrian Improvements on Havana Street and Iola Street in Northwest Aurora</td>
<td>$916,600</td>
<td>2.87</td>
<td>$12,290,677</td>
<td>C</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td>Brighton</td>
<td>Bridge Street &amp; I-76 Interchange</td>
<td>$300,000</td>
<td>2.70</td>
<td>$12,590,677</td>
<td>C</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Funding Recommendations

- These options provide the Forum with technical and funding focused approaches to allocating available subregional funds
  - Available: $32,933,000
  - Total Asks: $45,523,677
  - Difference: -$12,590,677

- ADCOG additional considerations provides the Forum opportunity to adjust the options provided, at their discretion

- Waiting Lists are included, where appropriate
  - Ranked in priority order with first right of refusal

- Option 1
  - Based on scores
  - Northglenn modifies project scope and reduces their ask to $7.3m (from $16.8)
  - Wait List:
    - Remainder of Northglenn project
    - Aurora’s 2 projects: Fulton St & Havana/Iola St
    - Brighton’s project: Bridge St/I-76 Interchange
  - Combined Total: $13,127,210
Funding Recommendations

- These options provide the Forum with technical and funding focused approaches to allocating available subregional funds
  - Available: $32,933,000
  - Total Asks: $45,523,677
  - Difference: -$12,590,677
- ADCOG additional considerations provides the Forum opportunity to adjust the options provided, at their discretion
- Waiting Lists are included, where appropriate
  - Ranked in priority order with first right of refusal

- Option 1A
  - Based on scores
    - Northglenn modifies project scope and reduces their ask to $7.0m (from $16.8)
    - Brighton’s project is funded: $300,000
  - Wait List:
    - Remainder of Northglenn project
      - Aurora’s 2 projects: Fulton St & Havana/Iola St
    - Combined Total: $12,827,210
Funding Recommendations

- These options provide the Forum with technical and funding focused approaches to allocating available subregional funds
  - Available: $32,933,000
  - Total Asks: $45,523,677
  - Difference: -$12,590,677
- ADCOG additional considerations provides the Forum opportunity to adjust the options provided, at their discretion
- Waiting Lists are included, where appropriate
  - Ranked in priority order with first right of refusal

**Option 2**

- Based on scores
- Northglenn modifies project scope to include design, environmental and right-of-way/easements only and reduces their ask to $3.8m (from $16.8)
- Allows all projects to be funded
- Wait List:
  - Northglenn: construction portion of project on wait list
  - Total (estimate): $13,500,000
Funding Recommendations

- These options provide the Forum with technical and funding focused approaches to allocating available subregional funds
  - Available: $32,933,000
  - Total Asks: $45,523,677
  - Difference: -$12,590,677
- ADCOG additional considerations provides the Forum opportunity to adjust the options provided, at their discretion
- Waiting Lists are included, where appropriate
  - Ranked in priority order with first right of refusal

Option 3

- Based on scores
- Reduces allocations to projects that stated they could achieve project goals at a lesser funding amount
  - $1,819,836
- Allows all projects to be funded
  - Northglenn modifies project scope and reduces their ask to equal remaining funds
    - $5,989,160
- Wait List:
  - Northglenn: unfunded portion of project
- This option was considered but not advanced because it did not provide significant benefit
Discussion and Recommendation to the DRCOG Board

- Action needed today by the ADCOG Subregional Forum:
  - Agree and recommend to the DRCOG Board a suite of projects within the targeted ADCOG Subregional Funds and determine a waiting list (if needed).
    - Due: April 5
    - DRCOG Board Meeting:

- Recommendation:
  - Projects to receive Subregional Share Funding Level
  - Projects on the wait list (if needed)
Ongoing Coordination

- IGA supports ongoing coordination of ADCOG
- Suggest
  - TIP debrief discussion
  - Ongoing meetings for transportation project coordination